of up to 72° could explain the NMR spectrum of IV. The crystal structure of III, however, reveals that the compound with three pentamethylene bridges is eclipsed. The measured twist angle is 0.7 (1)°. Thus, the length of the bridge is not the major determinant for staggering or eclipsing the cyclopentadienyl rings.

The feature that appears to be the driving force is the arrangement of the protons of the bridges. It is apparent from models that an eclipsed conformation of the rings for a molecule containing a tetramethylene bridge forces the proton on the bridge to be eclipsed with resulting short hydrogen-hydrogen distances. This is relieved by twisting the rings by the 12-14° observed in I and II. On the other hand, twisting of the rings in a compound containing a pentamethylene bridge forces the protons on the bridge to have a much higher probability of interaction than when the rings are eclipsed. With eclipsed rings, there are several possible conformations for a pentamethylene bridge. From models it can be seen that the conformation that gives the least eclipsing of the bridge protons is the one used by all of the bridges in III. The repulsion of the γ -proton by the iron is more easily alleviated by enlarging the bond angles.

While extrapolating from crystal structures to phenomena in solution is dangerous, an intramolecular interaction would be common to both. Conformations that avoid eclipsing of protons are expected to occur in solutions as well as in crystals. Thus, there is a high likelihood that the predominant pentamethylene conformation in solution is the same as observed in the crystal structure of III. In the case of V, however, the ease of conversion of this conformation to others is indicated by the rapid flipping observed in the NMR spectrum of V.

It is possible that the staggered conformation of the rings that is observed in tetramethylene-bridged ferrocenes is a source of the anomalous arrangement of the ring-proton peaks in the NMR spectra of bridged ferrocenes. Additional evidence is required.

Acknowledgment. We are indebted to Dr. A. McLaughlin and Mr. D. Lawler for the NMR spectra at 360 MHz. We are indebted to Dr. A. C. Larson for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Division of Chemical Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C., under Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016.

Registry No. I, 75827-48-4; II, 72177-38-9; III, 79169-89-4; IV, 56045-61-5.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of anisotropic thermal parameters and structure factors for $FeC_{18}H_{22}$, $FeC_{22}H_{28}$, and $FeC_{25}H_{34}$ and isotropic thermal parameters for $FeC_{25}H_{34}$ (51 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Thermally Induced Diastereoisomerization of (Cyclobutadiene)cobalt Complexes as a Probe for the Reversibility of Their Formation from Complexed Alkynes

Guy A. Ville, ^{1a,c} K. Peter C. Vollhardt, * ^{1a} and Mark J. Winter * ^{1a,b}

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, the Materials and Molecular Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, and the Department of Chemistry, The University, Sheffield, S3 7HF United Kingdom

Received February 6, 1984

The synthesis and separation of a series of racemic diastereomers 2–5 of formula $Co[\eta^4 \cdot \dot{C}(SiMe_3)C \cdot (SiMe_3)CHCCHMeR](\eta - C_5H_5)$ by cocyclization of $Co(CO)_2(\eta - C_5H_5)$, $Me_3SiC_2SiMe_3$ (btmse), and HC_2CHMeR are described. Gas- and solution-phase pyrolyses for both diastereomers of $Co[\eta^4 - \dot{C}(SiMe_3)C(SiMe_3)CHCCHMePh](\eta - C_5H_5)$ (5) reveal extensive mutual interconversion. A crossover experiment demonstrates the unimolecular character of this reaction and studies on enantiomerically enriched $Co[\eta^4 - \dot{C}(SiMe_3)C(SiMe_3)CHCCHMeOH](\eta - C_5H_5)$ (2) show that the cobalt unit is the site of diastereois somerization rather than the alternative carbon chiral center. During gas-phase pyrolysis of 5 small amounts of the geometrical isomer $Co[\eta^4 - \dot{C}(SiMe_3)CHCCHMeOH](\eta - C_5H_5)$ (2) show that the cobalt unit is the site of diastereois somerization rather than the alternative carbon chiral center. During gas-phase pyrolysis of 5 small amounts of the geometrical isomer $Co[\eta^4 - \dot{C}(SiMe_3)CHCCHMeOH](\eta - C_5H_5)$ (12) are formed. Similarly, gas-phase pyrolysis of 12 results in a small extent of positional isomerization to both diastereomers of 5. Heating one diastereomer of 26, i.e., $Co[\eta^4 - \dot{C}(SiMe_3)C(SiEt_3)CHCCHMePh](\eta - C_5H_5)$ (26a), results in one diastereomer of 27, $Co[\eta^4 - \dot{C}(SiMe_3)CHCCHMePh](\eta - C_5H_5)$ (27b), but none of 26b. An experiment utilizing the 1,2-1³C-labeled cyclobutadiene 26a results only in 1,3-1³C-labeled 27b. The results are best interpreted by assuming that the cyclobutadiene rings open directly to bis(alkyne)cobalt species without the intermediacy of cobaltacyclopentadiene intermediates. Alternative rationales are discussed.

Introduction

By what mechanisms are metal cyclobutadiene complexes formed from alkynes? To what extent are such transformations reversible? This paper addresses these questions for cyclopentadienylcobalt chemistry.² Interactions of transition-metal compounds with alkynes lead to many types of complexes. Among them those

⁽³⁰⁾ Nesmeyanov, A. N.; Shul'pin, G. B.; Petrovskii, P. V.; Robas, B. I.; Rybinskaya, M. I. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1974, 215, 865–868.

^{(1) (}a) University of California. (b) University of Sheffield. (c) Current address: C.N.R.S. ER84, Université Pierre and Marie Curie 75005, Paris, France.



bearing cyclobutadiene ligands are common,³ although their formation is unpredictable.⁴ An unambiguous mechanism for their mode of formation under these conditions has never been established (indeed, there is no requirement for a common mechanism). Two frequently invoked pathways are illustrated in Scheme I. The first $(A \rightarrow C)$ may be regarded as a concerted $(2 + 2)\pi$ cycloaddition reaction taking place within the coordination sphere of the metal. The process is postulated as being unfavorable on theoretical grounds when occurring within the vicinity of a single metal center although this restriction is lifted in the presence of two metals.^{5,6} Perhaps the more accepted mechanism is the process $(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C)$ comprising oxidative coupling of the two complexed alkvnes followed by valence tautomerization of the resulting metallacyclopentadiene to the observed metal cyclobutadiene complex.^{3-5,7}

The species in Scheme I are relevant to other transformations. Thus, metallacyclopentadienes of type B are significant since they are regarded as intermediates in cyclotrimerization pathways of alkynes to arenes.⁸ Cyclobutadiene complexes have been suggested on occasion to be transients in cyclooctatetraene formation from alkynes,^{3,9} are held responsible for the catalyst death in some



catalytic cyclotrimerization reactions¹⁰ and thought possible as intermediates in alkyne metathesis.¹¹

Precedent exists for the conversion of metallacyclopentadienes B to give C,¹² even though the reaction appears to be forbidden on symmetry grounds.¹³ The direct transition of a bis(alkyne) complex of type A to cyclobutadiene C has also been observed.¹⁴ Finally, both the $A \rightarrow C^{11a}$ and $B \rightarrow C^{15}$ interconversions appear to be reversible, although mechanistic information is virtually nonexistent. Does the former pass through the intermediacy of B or the latter through A?

This work examines the potential equilibration of the structural types A, B, and C for $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (CpCo) systems.

Basic Strategic Considerations. We start with the realization (Scheme II) that cyclobutadiene complexes of type 1 exist as enantiomers related by the mirror plane M. Oxidative insertion of the CpCo fragment into the fourmembered ring would lead to a cobaltacyclopentadiene B. Reformation of the cyclobutadiene complex may then proceed to either enantiomer, according to which side of the four-carbon fragment the CpCo unit migrates. Such a route therefore provides a path for racemization at the metal center.

Alternative racemization pathways also exist for the direct retrocyclization pathway ($C \rightarrow A$) through alkyne rotations (Scheme III) which also provides for the possibility of formation of an alternative isomer E.

If the substituent R is chosen to be chiral, the relationship between the pair 1a,1b is diastereomeric. Each

⁽²⁾ A preliminary report has appeared: Ville, G.; Vollhardt, K. P. C.; Winter, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5267.

⁽³⁾ Efraty, A. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 691.
(4) Bowden, F. L.; Lever, A. B. P. Organomet. Chem. Rev. 1968, 3, 227. (5) (a) Mango, F. D.; Schachtschneider, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 1030. (b) Mango, F. D. Top. Curr. Chem. 1974, 45, 39. (c) Mango, F. D. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1975, 15, 109.

⁽⁶⁾ Allowedness has been argued even for mononuclear systems: Pearson, R. G. "Symmetry Rules for Chemical Reactions"; Wiley: New

<sup>York, 1976; p 431.
(7) See: Pasynskii, A. A.; Anisimov, K. N.; Kolobova, N. E.; Nesmeyanov, A. N. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 1969, 185, 610. (b) Gardner, S. A.; Rausch, M. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 1974, 78, 415. (c) Lee, W.-S.;</sup> Brintzinger, H. H. Ibid. 1977, 127, 93. (d) Davidson, J. L.; Manojlovic-Muir, L.; Muir, K. W.; Keith, A. N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 749. See, however: Bühler, R.; Geist, R.; Mündnich, R.; Plieninger, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 1919. Kelley, E.; Maitlis, P. M. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1979, 167.

⁽⁸⁾ Collman, J. P. Acc. Chem. Res. 1968, 1, 136. Maitlis, P. M. Pure Appl. Chem. 1972, 30, 427. Yur'eva, L. P. Russ. Chem. Rev. (Engl. Transl.) 1974, 43, 48. Yamazaki, H.; Wakatsuki, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 139, 157. McAlister, D. R.; Bercaw, J. E.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 166. Vollhardt, K. P. C. Acc. Chem. Res. 1977, 10,

⁽⁹⁾ Hoberg, H.; Richter, W. J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 195, 347, 355. Hoberg, H.; Fröhlich, C. Ibid. 1981, 204, 131. See, however: Colborn, R. E.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6259.

⁽¹⁰⁾ See, for example, Funk, R. L.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 5245, 5253. Gesing, E. R. F.; Sinclair, J. A.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 286. Chang, J. A.; King, J. A., Jr.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. Ibid. 1981, 53.

^{A., Jr.; Vollhardt, K. P. C.} *Ibid.* 1981, 53.
(11) (a) Fritch, J. R.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. Angew. Chem. 1979, 91, 439;
Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 409. (b) See also: Mortreux, A.;
Petit, F.; Blanchard, M. J. Mol. Catal. 1980, 8, 97. Sancho, J.; Schrock,
R. R. Ibid. 1982, 15, 75 and references therein.
(12) (a) Yamazaki, H.; Hagihara, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 1970, 21,
431. Gastinger, R. G.; Rausch, M. D.; Sullivan, D. A.; Palenik, G. J. J.
Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 719. McDonnell-Bushnell, L. P.; Evitt, E. R.;
Bergman, R. G. J. Organomet. Chem. 1978, 157, 455. (b) Wakatsuki, Y.;
Nomure O.; Kitsure K.; Morchume, K.; Yamezeki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. Nomura, O.; Kitaura, K.; Morokuma, K.; Yamazaki, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1907. Hofmann, P., private communication

⁽¹³⁾ Thorn, D. L.; Hoffmann, R. Nouv. J. Chim. 1979, 3, 39.

 ⁽¹⁶⁾ Thorn, D. L.; Hormann, R. Nobe, J. Chim. 1919, 5, 55.
 (14) Davidson, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1980, 113.
 (15) See: Chin, H. B.; Bau, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5068.
 Victor, R.; Shoshan, R. B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1974, 93.
 Davies, R. E.; Barnett, B. L.; Amiet, R. G.; Mark, W.; McKennis, J. S.; Pettit, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 7108. Rest, A. J.; Taylor, D. J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1981, 489. King, M.; Holt, E. M.; Radria, P.: McKennis, J. S. Orgenment/Jusc 1982, 1 1718 P.; McKennis, J. S. Organometallics 1982, 1, 1718.

(Cyclobutadiene)cobalt Complexes

diastereomer should be separable from the other by conventional techniques and distinguishable by virtue of its differing spectroscopic properties. Provided that R is configurationally stable, inversion at the chiral cobalt center results in diastereoisomerization of the molecule if any of the processes depicted in Schemes II and III operate.

Results and Discussion

Preparation and Separation of Complexes. Reasonable yields of the diastereomers 2–5 were obtained by addition of a mixture of bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (btmse) and equimolar quantities of the appropriate alkyne 6–9



and $CpCo(CO)_2$ to boiling btmse at approximate rates of $0.02 \text{ mmol } h^{-1}$. Although it was not necessary to take strenuous precautions concerning the dryness of glassware, admission of any air caused losses in yield while prerinsing the glassware with hexamethyldisilazane resulted in increased yields and cleaner product mixtures. Interestingly, use of the alcohol 6 gave mixtures of 2a and 2b together with quantities of the silvl ethers 3a and 3b when using nonsilylated glassware. Conversely, some hydrolysis occurred when employing the silyl ether 7 which again furnished a mixture of 2a, 2b, 3a, and 3b. In the cases of 6-8 the products were separable by conventional chromatography on alumina. However, to separate 5, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was required. No attempts were made to assign relative stereochemistries. The **a** series of complexes corresponds to the higher R_f and series **b** to the lower R_t isomers on chromatography on alumina (2, 3, and 4) and HPLC (5). In all cases the diastereomers are readily distinguishable by their diagnostic ¹H NMR spectra (for the ¹H NMR spectra of these and other complexes see Table I). They are all vellow oils or relatively low melting solids, mildly air sensitive in solution.

Interconversion of the Diastereomers. Two sets of reaction conditions were employed. The first involved flash vacuum pyrolyses, ¹⁶ the second solution thermolyses. The former employed very short contact times (ca. 5 ms at 10^{-5} torr) within the temperature range 540–650 °C. The solution experiments simply involved monitoring a hot dilute solution in a high boiling solvent such as pristane or triglyme. In all cases most consistent results were obtained by using silylated glassware.

Flash vacuum pyrolysis of 2b at 520 °C led largely to recovery of starting material in addition to a new compound. Two signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum with an intensity ratio of 15:85 were assignable to the respective cyclopentadienyl groups of 2a and 2b, respectively. No decomposition was apparent.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture after a similar pyrolysis at 582 °C was more complex. Of the four signals assignable to cyclopentadienyl groups those for 2a and 2b were the most intense (2a/2b, 46:54). However, two other compounds were present in the mixture. Chromatography on alumina resulted in the separation of



Figure 1. Results of the flash vacuum pyrolyses of diastereomers 5a (D) and 5b (Δ). Percentages of the isomers are derived from Table III and IV.

four cyclobutadiene complexes characterized respectively as 10, 11, 2a, and 2b. Complexes 10 and 11 could be formed by dehydration and dehydrogenation, respectively, of 2b.

Pyrolysis of the other diastereomer 2a at 560 °C gave a similar mixture (2a/2b, 66:34). Because the formation of 10 and 11 in these experiments complicated the analysis



of the results, alternative systems 3 and 4 were investigated, in which the hydroxy group had been protected. Both sets of compounds also underwent extensive equilibration in the temperature range 543-581 °C, as exemplified by the results in Table II for 4a,b. In all runs a certain amount of decomposition was observed, indicated by the formation of a cobalt mirror within the pyrolysis tube and the isolation of free alkynes derived by retrocyclization of the four-membered ring.^{11a} Small amounts of a new uncharacterized organometallic complex were also formed, very likely the 1,3-bis(silyl) isomer of 4 (vide infra).

Work with these systems was terminated because they were also unsuitable for solution studies (vide infra), and our attention turned to 5 in which the apparently troublesome oxygen function was not present. Again extensive diastereoisomerization was the result when either 5a or 5bwas subjected to flash vacuum pyrolysis in the temperature range of 542–650 °C (Tables III and IV; Figure 1). At higher temperatures small quantities were found of a third organometallic species which, based on spectroscopic data, was assigned the structure of a geometrical isomer of 5, the 1,3-bis(trimethylsilyl) complex 12. A chemical



structure proof was obtained by an independent synthesis, as follows. Cyclization of 1-(trimethylsilyl)-3-phenylbut-

			Fable I. ¹ H NMR Dat	a (90 MHz) for the	New Complexes (§ from N	fe₄Si, J in Hz)	
complex	solv	C _s H ₄ R'	SiMe3	cyclobutadiene	CHMeR	CHMeR	CHMeR (and other)
2a	C,D,	4.86 (s, 5 H)	0.22 (s, 9 H) 0.16 (s, 9 H)	4.26 (s, 1 H)	4.03 (m, 1 H)	1.26 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	1.61 (d, 1 H, J = 5)
2b	C,D,	4.75 (s, 5 H)	0.10 (s, 9 H) 0.45 (s, 9 H) 0.23 (s, 9 H)	4.26 (s, 1 H)	4.16(q, 1 H, J = 6)	1.25 (d, 3 H, $J = 6$)	1.12 (d, 1 H, J = 6)
3a 3b	ໍ່ດັ່ວ ບໍ່ດີ	4.93 (s, 5 H) 4.79 (s, 5 H)	0.29 (s, 18 H) 0.30 (s, 9 H)	4.33 (s, 1 H) 4.30 (s, 1 H)	4.23 (q, 1 H, $J = 7$) 4.45 (q, 1 H, $J = 7$)	1.37 (d, 3 H, $J = 7$) 1.37 (d, 3 H, $J = 7$)	0.36 (s, 9 H) ^a 0.41 (s, 9 H) ^a
4a	C,D,	4.95 (s, 5 H)	0.24 (S, 9 H) 0.33 (S, 9 H)	4.26 (s, 1 H)	3.53 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	1.22 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	3.17 (s, 3 H)
4b	C,D,	4.80 (s, 5 H)	0.20 (s, 9 H) 0.36 (s, 9 H) 0.94 (c, 0 H)	4.33 (s, 1 H)	3.95 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	1.25 (d, 3 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	3.22 (s, 3 H)
วิล	CD_2Cl_2	4.94 (s, 5 H)	0.24 (s, 9 H) 0.20 (s, 9 H)	4.49 (s, 1 H)	3.42 (q, 1 H, J = 7)	1.35 (d, 3 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	7.19 (m, 5 H)
บิล	C,D,	4.87 (s, 5 H)	-0.00 (s, 9 H) 0.27 (s, 9 H) 0.08 (s, 0 H)	4.44 (s, 1 H)	3.40(q, 1H, J = 1)	1.32 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	
5b	CD_1Cl_1	4.58 (s, 5 H)	0.29 (s, 9 H) 0.29 (s, 9 H) 0.17 (s, 0 H)	4.06 (s, 1 H)	3.28 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	1.64 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	7.26 (m, 5 H)
5b	C,D,	4.63 (s, 5 H)	0.14 (s, 3 H) 0.38 (s, 9 H)	4.18 (s, 1 H)	3.31 (q, 1 H, J = 7)	1.47 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	
10	с, D,	4.81 (s, 5 H)	0.25 (s, 9 H) 0.32 (s, 9 H) 0.24 (s, 9 H)	4.60 (s, 1 H)			$\begin{array}{c} 6.20 \; (\mathrm{dd}, 1 \; \mathrm{H}, J = 17, 11)^{b} \\ 5.25 \; (\mathrm{dd}, 1 \; \mathrm{H}, J = 17, 2)^{b} \\ 5.07 \; (\mathrm{dd}, 1 \; \mathrm{H}, J = 11, 2)^{b} \end{array}$
11	C, D,	4.71 (s, 5 H)	0.48 (s, 9 H) 0.10 (c, 0 H)	4.44 (s, 1 H)			$1.85 (s, 3 H)^c$
12	CD_3Cl_2	4.87 (s, 5 H)	0.20 (s, 9 H) 0.20 (s, 9 H) -0.14 (s, 0 H)	4.02 (s, 1 H)	3.39 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	1.37 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	7.19 (m, 5 H)
12	C,D,	4.87 (s, 5 H)	0.33 (s, 9 H)	4.12 (s, 1 H)	3.46 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	1.46 (d, 3 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	
14	CD,CI,	4.92 (s, 5 H)	0.24 (s, 9 H) 0.22 (s, 9 H) 0.19 (s, 9 H)		3.44 (q, 1 H, $J = 7$)	$1.43 (\mathrm{d}, 3\mathrm{H}, J = 7)$	7.14 (m, 5 H)
14	C, D,	4.94 (s, 5 H)	0.02 (s, 9 H) 0.40 (s, 9 H) 0.37 (s, 9 H)		3.52 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	$1.50 (\mathrm{d}, 3\mathrm{H}, J = 7)$	
17a	CD_2Cl_2	4.88 (s, 5 H)	0.20 (s, 9 H) 0.13 (s, 9 H) 0.13 (s, 9 H)	4.42 (s, 1 H)	3.05 (dd, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 10, 3)		7.12 (m, 5 H) 1.95 (m, 2 H) d
17a	C,D,	4.91 (s, 5 H)	0.32 (s, 9 H)	4.47 (s, 1 H)	$3.16 (\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J = 10, 4)$		$2.03 (m, 2 H)^{d}$
17b	CD_2Cl_2	4.48 (s, 5 H)	0.14 (s, 9 H) 0.26 (s, 9 H) 0.14 (s, 9 H)	4.07 (s, 1 H)	2.93 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 8)		7.25 (m, 5 M) 7.25 (m, 5 H) $1.80 (quin, 2 H, J = 8)^d$
17b	c, D,	4.59 (s, 5 H)	0.44 (s, 9 H) 0 31 (s, 9 H)	4.20 (s, 1 H)	3.02 (dd, 1 H, J = 9, 6)		$\begin{array}{c} 0.00 \ (v, 0.11, 0^{-1}) \\ 1.90 \ (m, 2 H)^{d} \\ 0.03 \ (m, 3 H)^{d} \end{array}$
18a	CD_2Cl_2	4.77 (m, 4 H) 9 11 (e 3 H)	0.13 (s, 9 H)	4.24 (s, 1 H)	3.29 (q, 1 H, J = 7)	1.29 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	7.14 (m, 5 H)
18a	C, D,	2.12 (s, 3 H) 2.12 (s, 3 H)	0.13 (s, 9 H) 0.13 (s, 9 H)	4.30 (s, 1 H)	3.38 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	1.37 (d, 3 H, $J = 7$)	
18b	CD,CI2	4.50 (m, 3 H) 4.16 (m, 1 H) 1.66 (s, 3 H)	0.13 (s, 9 H) 0.13 (s, 9 H)	3.79 (s, 1 H)	3.23 (q, 1 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	1.40 (d, 3 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	7.22 (m, 5 H)

ethyl. ^h Triethylsilyl substituent	f Acid proton. ^g Ester m	^e Methyl substituent.	^d Ethyl substituent.	^c Acetyl substituent.	^b Vinyl substituent.	assignment.	^a Tentative
$0.69 (q, 6 H, J = 7)^{h}$		i		•		, •	
1.11 (t, 9 H, $J = 7)^{h}$	1.33 (d, 3 H, <i>J</i> = 7)	3.37 (q, 1 H, J = 7)	4.49 (s, 1 H)	0.39 (s, 9 H)	4.92 (s, 5 H)	ငို့ ကို	27b
0.85 (q, 6 H, $J = 7$) ^h	•	, i	•			•	
1.23 (t, 9 H, $J = 7$) ^h	1.52 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	3.26(q, 1H, J = 7)	4.23 (s, 1 H)	0.25 (s, 9 H)	4.60 (s, 5 H)	င"D	27a
$0.76 (q, 6 H, J = 7)^n$							
1.17 (t, 9 H, $J = 7$) ^h	1.33 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	3.41 (q, 1 H, J = 7)	4.48 (s, 1 H)	0.49 (s, 9 H)	4.93 (s, 5 H)	c,D,	26b
$0.75 (q, 6 H, J = 7)^{h}$							
1.15 (t, 9 H, $J = 7$) ^h	1.49 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	3.30 (q, 1 H, J = 7)	4.20 (s, 1 H)	0.49 (s, 9 H)	4.63 (s, 5 H)	င"ာ"	26a
-				0.17 (s. 9 H)	•	•	
$3.47 (s, 3 H)^{g}$			4.84 (s, 1 H)	0.44 (s, 9 H)	4.84 (s, 5 H)	C,D,	24
				0.15 (s, 9 H)			
$10.6 (bs, 1 H)^{f}$			4.73 (s, 1 H)	0.24 (s, 9 H)	4.87 (s, 5 H)	cs_{3}	23
$1.00 \ (m, 14 \ H)^{e}$							
$1.50 \text{ (m, 3 H)}^{e}$			•	•	•	•	
2.21 (m, 2 H) ^e			4.62 (s, 2 H)	0.16 (s, 9 H)	4.93 (s, 5 H)	c, D,	22
$0.98 \ (m, 14 \ H)^e$							
$1.51 (m, 3 H)^e$			•	0.23 (s, 9 H)		•	
$2.23 \text{ (m, 2 H)}^{e}$			4.95 (s, 1 H)	0.51 (s, 9 H)	4.95 (s, 5 H)	C,D,	21
					1.78 (s. 3 H)		
	1.52 (d, 3 H, J = 7)	3.32 (q, 1 H, J = 7)	3.98 (s, 1 H)	0.34 (s, 9 H)	4.34 (m, 1 H)	5	
				0.44 (s, 9 H)	4.68 (m, 3 H)	C, D,	18b

Table II.Results of the Gas-Phase Pyrolyses of
Diastereomers 4a and 4b

compd pyro- lyzed	temp, °C	4a/4b	4a/4b/ unknown	% retro- cyclizatn
4a	543	77:23	77:22:1	9
4a	563	64:36	62:35:3	26
4 a	582	56:44	52:41:7	39
4b	563	22:78	21:77:2	17
4b	581	36:64	34:60:6	44

Table III. Relative Yields of the Organometallic ProductsFormed in the Pyrolyses of 5a, 5b, and 12

temp.	r	oroducts	2	ratio	% retro-	
°C	5a	5b	12	5a/5b	cyclizatn	
		Pyro	lysis of l	5b		
542	31.6	68.4	0	31.6:68.4	22.8	
556	33.5	64.6	2.0	34.1:65.9	21.6	
573	44.0	51.5	4.5	46.1:53.9	34.6	
589	43.7	50.0	6.3	46.6:53.4	48.6	
615	46.2	45.1	8.7	50.6:49.4	56.4	
650	50.0	40.0	10.0	55.6:44.4	80.3	
		Pyro	lysis of {	5a		
542	85.3	14.7	0	85.3:14.7	7.6	
556	71.2	26.7	2.1	72.7:27.3	27.0	
572	65.2	29.5	5.4	68.9:31.2	32.0	
589	58.7	33.8	7.5	63.5:36.5	57.5	
615	55.9	37.1	7.0	60.1:39.9	54.1	
650	55.5	36.5	8.0	60.3:39.7	80.5	
		Pvro	lvsis of 1	12		
589	4 5	3.0	925			

^a Values were obtained from the relative heights of the respective cyclopentadienyl signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum, summed to 100%.

1-yne with btmse and $CpCo(CO)_2$ furnished a complex mixture. The major component was the known alkynebridged complex 13.¹⁷ One of the minor components (5.5%) was the tris(trimethylsilyl) complex 14 (Table I). Interestingly (and fortunately), protodesilylation of 14 proceeded *selectively* to give complex 12 in good yield (Table I).

In addition to 12, the four alkynes derived from retrocyclization formed in increasing amounts at higher temperatures. The yields of (trimethylsilyl)ethyne were somewhat erratic, due to its oligomerization in the NMR tube upon standing. Analysis by GC-MS revealed the presence of two isomers of the phenylalkynes $(m/e \ 130 \text{ and}$ 202) believed to be the corresponding allenes. Only 20% of the cobalt was recovered in the form of its cyclobutadiene complexes after pyrolysis at 650 °C. The cyclopentadienyl ligand from decomposed material emerged as cyclopentadiene and dihydrofulvalene, although the latter rapidly polymerized on standing in the product solutions.^{11a} Inspection of the data allows the extrapolation of the apparent equilibrium concentrations of 5a and 5b (58:42), although this number (which was used later in kinetic runs) may be slightly inaccurate if 5a and 5b decompose at somewhat different rates.

Pyrolysis of a sample of 12 at 589 °C rules out the possibility that the rather low observed quantities of the isomer 12 were of thermodynamic (electronic?) origin. This experiment gave small quantities of 5a and 5b [12/(5a + 5b) = 92.5:7.5], the extent of isomerization to the diastereomeric pairs 5a and 5b being rather similar to that of 12 upon pyrolysis of either 5a or 5b under the same

⁽¹⁷⁾ Sakurai, H.; Hayashi, J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1972, 39, 365; 1974, 70, 85.

starting	temp	p	roducts	8				m/e		m/e
material	°C	5b	5a	12	$Me_{3}SiCCH$	$Me_{3}SiCCSiMe_{3}$	PhCHMeCCH	130	PhCHMeCCSiMe ₃	202
5b	542	62.0	28.6	0.0	5.4	2.6	2.1	0.6	6.5	0.2
5b	556	54.1	28.0	1.7	9.0	4.5	3.5	0.6	11.4	0.4
5b	573	38.8	33.1	3.3	20.0	7.9	7.1	1.8	14.4	1.4
5b	589	25.7	22.5	3.2	9.2	9.1	10.9	4.2	32.0	2.5
5b	615	18.0	18.3	3.5	17.6	18.4	13.2	5.6	37.0	4.8
5b	650	8.2	10.1	2.1	21.3	24.7	16.2	7.8	47.6	7.3
5a	542	13.9	80.3	0.0	3.4	1.4	1.2	~ 0	4.4	~0
5a	556	22.9	61.0	1.8	10.9	4.2	3.0	0.6	9.6	0.6
5a	572	19.1	42.2	3.5	9.6	8.4	7.3	2.7	23.1	2.2
5a	589	12.5	21.7	2.8	7.8	13.6	12.2	5.7	39.8	5.3
5a	615	17.7	27.0	3.3	22.5	16.1	10.7	4.6	31.0	4.7
5a	650	7.2	9.1	1.6	27.6	24.5	15.1	6.2	48.8	6.9

^a Yields were normalized to 100% for purposes of comparison. The experimental yields of the organic products were obtained by comparison to integrated GC signals for known quantities of dioxane as internal standard and those for the respective organic compounds. The yields of organometallic compounds were measured by comparison of the dioxane reference in the ¹H NMR spectrum with the respective cyclopentadienyl signals. In all cases the mass balances were excellent (quantitative within estimated error margins).





conditions [(5a + 5b)/12 = 93.7:6.3 and 92.5:7.5 for 5b and 5a, respectively]. Assuming for the moment the intermediacy of bis(alkyne) complexes 15 and 16 (Scheme IV) in this isomerization, these results may indicate a high alkyne rotational barrier for interconversion of 15 and 16, a relatively facile decomplexation from 15 and 16 to provide free alkynes, or the kinetic inaccessibility of 15 and 16 from 5a,b and 12, respectively, at 589 °C under the flash vacuum pyrolysis conditions.

Molecularity of Diastereoisomerization. So far in our discussion we have formulated only intramolecular pathways. Confidence in the exclusive occurrence of the latter rested on the likelihood that bimolecular reactions were unlikely under the vacuum conditions employed.¹⁶ A crossover experiment involving the joint pyrolysis of 17 and 18 indicated that this expectation was justified. These compounds were chosen to maximize molecular similarity and ensure near equal volatility.

Complexes 17 and 18 were prepared by cyclization of the appropriate alkynes with $CpCo(CO)_2$ and $(\eta^5-C_5H_4Me)Co(CO)_2$, respectively, and the diastereomers



separated by HPLC in a manner similar to that employed in the separation of **5a** from **5b**. Both **17b** and **18a** when pyrolyzed independently at 589 °C underwent diastereoisomerization (Table V). Copyrolysis of **17b** and **18a** under the same conditions gave a mixture of the complexes **17a,b** and **18a,b** (Table V), but none of the crossover products **5** and **19** as determined by NMR and, more accurately, GC-MS. Thus, the isomerization clearly proceeds in an intramolecular fashion under these conditions.

Which Chiral Center Is Inverting? We have until now tacitly assumed that inversion of the chiral cobalt center is responsible for the isomerization of the diastereomeric cyclobutadiene complexes. It is also possible that this transformation occurs through inversion at the ap-

Table V. Results of the Pyrolysis of Complexes 17b and 18a at 589 $^\circ$

 compd pyrolyzed	ratio a/b after reactn	% retro- cyclizatn
 18a	60:40	36
17b	34:66	28
18a + 17b	18 59:41	31
	17 29:71	

pended chiral substituent. Such inversion could proceed through the intermediacy of a "cyclobutadienylic" radical or some other mechanism involving the metal. The identity of the reacting center was established by the results of the pyrolysis of an enantiomerically enriched complex.

Initial experiments aimed to synthesize an optically active CpCo cyclobutadiene lacking the additional chiral substituent in order to study its simple racemization under the above conditions. However, *l*-menthyl propynoate 20^{18} when cocyclized to 21, formed an inseparable mixture of



diastereomers in the ratio of 58:42. Attempted transesterification of the mixture with methanol in the presence of catalytic *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (*p*-TsOH) led to surprisingly regioselective protodesilylation furnishing 22, which exists as only one compound. Treatment of 21 with hydroxide ion in aqueous methanol gave only recovered starting material. Hydrolysis was finally accomplished in basic boiling ethylene glycol to form the cyclobutadienecarboxylic acid 23. The latter was smoothly converted



to the methyl ester 24 on treatment with diazomethane in ether at 0 °C. Chemical structural proof for 24 was provided both by its independent synthesis from methyl

⁽¹⁸⁾ Pfaendler, H. R.; Gosteli, J.; Woodward, R. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 6306.

(Cyclobutadiene)cobalt Complexes

propynoate and btmse in the presence of $CpCo(CO)_2$ and by protodesilylation with fluoride to give the known¹⁹ 25. Unfortunately, 24 was obtained in racemic form, as rigorously shown by a proton NMR spectrum recorded with added tris[3-[(trifluoromethyl)hydroxymethylene]-d-camphorato]europium, Eu(tfc)₃. Because of this problem, the difficulties in separating the diastereomers of 21, and our failure to resolve the acid 23 (brucine, strychnine, ephedrine, quinidine) our attention turned to the partial resolution²⁰ of 1-butyn-3-ol 6. The enriched *R*-(+)-enantiomer was cyclized to give optically active diastereomers 2a and 2b. The enantiomeric excess obtained, measured by ¹H NMR with Eu(tfc)₃ which causes unequal downfield shifts for the cyclopentadienyl resonances of each enantiomer, was 81:19 for 2a and 80:20 for 2b.

Pyrolysis of the enantiomerically enriched 2a at 571 °C under flash vacuum conditions lead to a mixture of 2a/2b = 65.6:34.4. Small quantities of complexes 10 and 11 also resulted from this reaction, the latter was generated with 20% ee.

The enantiomer ratios in which the diastereomers of 2 were produced in this pyrolysis were 78:22 for 2a and 79:21 for 2b. The employment of only partially enriched 2a in this experiment was usefully diagnostic. The europium shifted NMR peaks of 2a show the Cp singlet of the major enantiomer (arbitrarily designated RS) shielded somewhat more than that of the minor one (SR). After pyrolysis the pattern observed for 2b (RR/SS = 4:1) was identical with that recorded in the NMR spectrum of synthetic 2b obtained from enriched 6 by cyclization. This clearly indicates that inversion in the diastereoisomerization of 2 occurs at the cobalt center. Were it to take place at the chiral carbon, an inverse NMR pattern should have been observed for pyrolytic 2b (RR/SS = 1:4).

Solution-Phase Studies. It was felt that further mechanistic information would be forthcoming from condensed phase experiments, in which perhaps side reactions could be minimized and kinetic parameters determined. Initial experiments were carried out with 4.

Two compounds formed when a dilute solution of 4a was heated to reflux in toluene: the vinyl complex 10 (66%) and the ketone 11 (7%), corresponding to the formal loss of methanol and methane, respectively. Disappointingly, none of the second diastereomer 4b was apparent by HPLC analysis.

Fortunately, 5 was considerably better behaved than 4. Exposure of either diastereomer 5a or 5b in silylated vessels to boiling pristane (301 °C) gave clean mutual interconversion. Under these conditions *none* of the positional isomer 12 was generated and, remarkably, decomposition was not evident. By using the equilibrium ratio of 5a/5b = 58:42 a good fit for the rate of conversion following first-order kinetics was obtained [rate($5a \rightarrow$ 5b)_{301°C} = $2.226 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$; $\Delta G^* = 51.7 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$]. The absence of crossover also in solution was established by an experiment identical with that executed in the gas phase (vide supra).

Interconversion of Silicon Labeled Complexes. Despite the considerable mechanistic information accumulated thus far there are still various widely divergent options by which the rearrangements described could proceed. The simplest involves the metal traversing through the plane of the cyclobutadiene ring via an intermediate metallacyclopentadiene B as previously out-



Bold dots indicate ¹³C label,

lined in Scheme II. On the other hand, the operation of Scheme III cannot be excluded, although isomerization to E would have to be (inexplicably) slow. Perhaps if retrocyclization preferentially forms only D, isomerization might proceed through a single rotation of RC=CH followed by closure. Finally, one must consider some type of (possibly wall-catalyzed) silyl migration mechanism,²¹ however unlikely, which could give rise to the observed results.

To gain some information about the likelihood of these possibilities the silicon group has to be labeled. Intermediate formation of B would leave the initial connectivity of the ring intact. However, the other options are likely to cause scrambling of the label. 1-(Triethylsilyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyne was cocyclized with 3-phenyl-1-butyne to give all four possible diastereomers (two pairs of positional isomers, each of course racemic) of the cyclobutadiene products: 26a, 26b, 27a and 27b (Scheme V), all separable by HPLC. We had hoped to utilize the normally diagnostic mass spectral fragmentation patterns for these complexes²² (intense peaks for $CpCoR^1C = CR^{2+}$) to unambiguously assign the structure of the pairs of related positional isomers (e.g., 26a,b vs. 27a,b). This proved impossible because all possible permutations of $[CpCoR^1C = CR^2]^+$ fragments appeared in their mass spectra. This finding suggests that the technique is not reliable as the sole means by which to establish the substitution patterns of CpCo cyclobutadienes. Instead, the pairs 26 and 27 were independently synthesized from triethylsilylethyne and 1-(trimethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-1-butyne on the one hand and (trimethylsilyl)ethyne and 1-(triethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-1-butyne on the other. In each case four compounds formed, the respective pairs 26 and 27 and the two corresponding 1,3-bis(silyl)cyclobutadiene diastereomers (not isolated). Analytical HPLC confirmed the identity of 26 and 27. As observed with the other systems the structures of the complexes belonging to the a series (high R_{f}) or **b** series (low R_{f}) appeared to be similar, based on the similarities of the ¹H NMR spectra (Table I).

What is to be expected on pyrolysis of any one of the silyl labeled systems? Should isomerization proceed through B then equilibration should occur only within each pair of compounds (e.g., $26a \leftrightarrow 26b$ and $27a \leftrightarrow 27b$). Intermediacy of D would lead to complete equilibration of any isomer with all the others, assuming free rotation of *both* alkynes in the coordination sphere of the metal. Should there be (well-precedented²³) restricted rotation,

⁽¹⁹⁾ Rosenblum, M.; North, B.; Wells, D.; Giering, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 1239.

⁽²⁰⁾ Weidmann, R.; Schoofs, A.; Horeau, A. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1976, 645.

⁽²¹⁾ Trimethylsilyl migrations occur on the benzene nucleus; see: Hillard, R. L., III; Vollhardt, K. P. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4058 and references therein.

⁽²²⁾ Fritch, J. R.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. Organometallics 1982, 1, 590; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 3643.

presumably of the bulkier bis(silyl)alkyne, then 26a would equilibrate only with 27b and 26b with 27a. The alternative mode of opening the four-membered ring to furnish initially F and involving restricted rotation of the benzvl(silvl)alkyne appeared not to be operating competitively as it would have given 1,3-bis(silyl) isomers, which are not observed during solution phase pyrolysis. Finally, a silyl migration process could give either result depending on its specificity.

To our great surprise, solution pyrolyses of the individual isomers of 26 and 27 under standard conditions when monitored by HPLC revealed clean interconversion between 26a and 27b, as well as between 26b and 27a! This clearly rules out a metallacyclopentadiene as being responsible for all of the isomerizations described in this paper.

An additional labeling experiment (vide infra) involving ¹³C confirms the above mechanistic ramifications and dispenses with the bothersome (and deliberately unspecified) silyl migration "mechanism" for isomerization.

Pyrolysis of Hexalabeled Cyclobutadiene Complexes. The postulate of D in Scheme III as the crucial intermediate in the observed transformations requires unique carbon-carbon bond breaking. The occurrence of such a topological change if observed to be coupled with the above silyl positional shifts and concomitant isomerization would constitute powerful mechanistic evidence. For this purpose the "hexalabeled" (two chiral, two silyl, two ¹³C labels) 26-¹³ C_2 was synthesized starting from $Et_3Si^{13}C = {}^{13}CH$ (Scheme V). Pyrolysis of isomer 26a- ${}^{13}C_2$ in solution gave $27b^{-13}C_2$ in which the label was clearly located at $C_{2,4}$ (26a-¹³ C_2 : $J_{^{13}C^{-13}C} = 24.1$ Hz; $J_{^{13}C^{-13}CH} = 5.5$ Hz; $J_{^{13}C^{-H}} = 177$ Hz. 27b-¹³ C_2 : $J_{^{13}C^{-13}C} = 2$ Hz; $J_{^{13}C^{-13}CH} = 9$ Hz; $J_{^{13}C^{-H}} = 190$ Hz).^{24,25} Thus, C–C bond rupture accompanies the silyl shift in a specific way, ruling out silyl carbon bond cleavage during the diastereoisomerization process.

Conclusions and Further Questions

We have for the first time observed thermal intramolecular diastereomerizations of complexed cyclobutadienes involving inversion at the complexed four-membered ring. Surprisingly, the mechanism of this transformation does not conform to the currently accepted pathways associated with alkyne cyclizations (and their reverse). Thus, the most obvious intermediate, a metallacyclopentadiene, is not involved (at least directly) in the isomerization step. One is forced to seriously consider an alternative, suggested years ago by Mango,⁵ namely, a metal-mediated concerted (retro)cyclization in the (retro)-(2 + 2) cycloaddition sense. The regioselectivity of this reaction in the present case is interesting. There is a clear tendency to generate a [bis-(silyl)alkyne]cyclopentadienylcobalt fragment, also observed as dominant molecular ions in the mass spectra of these compounds. In the gas phase the alternative mode of retrocyclization, cleaving the SiC-CSi bond, is barely competitive and gives rise to the 1,3-bis(trimethylsilyl) substitution pattern as in 12. On the other hand, decomplexation of the complexed alkynes appears to be kinetically comparable regardless of substituents (Table IV).

The extent to which our findings may be generalized to other systems involving additional ligands¹² and other metals³⁻⁵ is not clear. However, it is evident that direct cyclization pathways are not as unlikely as commonly thought and deserve serious consideration in other systems.

It should be pointed out that our results do not rigorously negate the presence of metallacyclopentadienes as equilibrium or intermediate species along the observed isomerization path. Thus, it is still possible that oxidative addition by the metal into the cyclobutadiene bond precedes retrocyclization. In that case our data demand that such a metallacycle be nonplanar and configurationally stable. While this is not implausible (a planar 16-electron Cp cobaltacyclopentadiene might be forced by symmetry to adopt an unfavorable open-shell configuration),^{13,26} calculations imply a negligible barrier to ring flip,^{12b} which makes it unlikely.

Finally, we note the (superficial?) similarity of our isomerizations to the substituent scrambling that occurs in five-ring heterocycles such as pyrrole, furan, and thiophene.²⁷ These studies lead to the consideration of novel metal-containing intermediates of the type 28, 29,



and others in the present system, possibly constructible through the intermediacy of a cobaltacyclopentadiene or directly from the cyclobutadiene fragment.²⁸ However, these structures are only feasible if the metal maintains configurational stability in order to explain the selectivity observed in the pyrolyses of 26 and 27. Future work aimed at the isolation of some of the postulated reactive transients might shed additional light on these problems.

Experimental Section

General Consideration ... Melting points are uncorrected and were measured on a Thomas Hoover Unimelt apparatus. Infrared spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer 337 and 681 spectrometers and calibrated to the 1601.4 and 906.7 cm⁻¹ absorptions of a polystyrene film. Only the most characteristic or prominent peaks are quoted. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Varian Associates T-60 and EM-390 instruments and the UCB-250 system. Spectra are reported at 90 MHz in CCl₄ unless mentioned otherwise. ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained by using a Nicolet TT-23 instrument. Low- and high-resolution mass spectra were recorded on AEI-MS 12 and Du Pont CEC-21-110B instruments, respectively, on a service basis at the University of California, Berkeley. Linked mass spectra were measured on a Finnigan-4023 machine using a 28-m SP-2100 capillary column. Only the most diagnostic or prominent peaks are quoted. Elemental analyses were performed by the Microanalytical Department of the University of California, Berkeley.

Chromatographic separations were effected with alumina [Ventron; activated, neutral, Camag; deactivated with water (5% w/w) unless otherwise noted] and silica gel 60 (E. Merck). An Altex HPLC system equipped with an ultraviolet detector (254 and 280 nm) using reversed phase columns (Ultrasphere-ODS, 250×10 i.d. or 250×4 i.d. mm), generally operating isocratically, was used for some separations.²⁹ A Hewlett-Packard 5710A gas chromatograph equipped with flame ionization detectors and columns packed with 20% UCW 98 on 60-80 mesh Chromosorb

⁽²³⁾ For recent work, see: Winston, P. B.; Nieter-Burgmayer, S. J.; Templeton, J. L. Organometallics 1983, 2, 167. Davidson, J. L.; Vasapollo, G. Polyhedron 1983, 2, 305. Herrick, R. S.; Templeton, J. L. Organometallics 1982, 1, 842.

⁽²⁴⁾ Ville, G.; Vollhardt, K. P. C. J. Magn. Reson. 1981, 45, 525.
(25) Levy, G. C.; Lichter, R. L.; Nelson, G. L. "Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy", 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1980.
Wehrli, F. W.; Wirthlin, T. "Interpretation of Carbon-13 NMR Spectra"; Heyden: Philadelphia, 1978.

⁽²⁶⁾ Hofmann, P.; Padmanabhan, M. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1273. (27) Braslavsky, S.; Heicklen, J. Chem. Rev. 1977, 77, 473.

⁽²⁸⁾ See, Kollmar, H.; Carrion, F.; Dewar, M. J. S.; Bingham, R. C. J.

Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 5292.
 (29) Huggins, J. M.; King, J. A., Jr.; Vollhardt, K. P. C.; Winter, M. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1981, 208, 73.

(Cyclobutadiene)cobalt Complexes

W-DMCS-AW in 10 ft \times 0.25 in. o.d. stainless steel tubes using N2 as the carrier gas was used for analytical GC work.

All reactions were performed under oxygen-free dinitrogen atmospheres using magnetic stirring in deoxygenated solvents. Glassware for reactions involving cobalt species was silvlated by treatment with hexamethyldisilazane for a few seconds followed by a pentane rinse. Vacuum line operations were carried out by using a standard Schlenk double manifold for routine work or a line equipped with a simple mercury diffusion pump for flash vacuum pyrolyses. Solvents (Mallinckrodt, AR grade) were used as supplied, except for tetrahydrofuran which was distilled from sodium-benzophenone. Pentane was distilled away from higher boiling residues.

The following alkynes were used as supplied: 1-phenylprop-1-yne (Farchan), 3-butyn-2-ol (Farchan), and bis(trimethylsilyl)ethyne (PCR). $CpCo(CO)_2$ was used as supplied. The alkynes 3-phenylbut-1-yne,³⁰ 3-phenylpent-1-yne,³⁰ and 3-methoxy-1-butyne,³¹ were prepared according to literature methods.

3-[(Trimethylsilyl)oxy]-1-butyne (7). A cooled (-78 °C) magnetically stirred solution of 3-butyn-2-ol (9.93 g, 142 mmol) in ether (150 mL) was treated with *n*-butyllithium (65 mL, 2.18 M in hexane, 142 mmol) for 30 min and stirred for a further 15 min. Addition of chlorotrimethylsilane (15.5 g, 142 mmol) to the resulting straw-colored solution and warming to ambient temperature resulted in a white suspension. After 2 h the reaction mixture was worked up with water. Distillation of the resulting oil provided pure trimethylsilyl ether 7 (4.1 g, 20.3%) together with several grams of slightly impure material: colorless oil; bp 110–110 °C (720 mm) [lit.³² bp 111 °C (727 mm)]; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 141 (M⁺ – H, 5%), 127 (94), 83 (100), 73 (76); ¹H NMR δ 0.09 (s, 9 H), 1.32 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3 H), 2.17 (d, J =2 Hz, 1 H), 4.37 (dq, J = 6.5, 2 Hz, 1 H).

1-(Trimethylsilyl)-3-phenylbut-1-yne. A cooled (-78 °C) magnetically stirred solution of 3-phenylbut-1-yne (9, 5.71 g, 43.9 mmol) in ether (150 mL) was treated with *n*-butyllithium (33 mL, 1.33 M solution in hexane, 43.9 mmol) and allowed to stir for 15 min. Addition of chlorotrimethylsilane (5 g, 46.1 mmol) at -78 °C and warming to ambient temperature resulted in a white precipitate. Aqueous workup gave crude 1-(trimethylsilyl)-3phenylbut-1-yne (7.74 g, 87%) purified immediately prior to use by preparative GLC: colorless oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 202 (32%), 201 (100), 187 (29), 97 (97), 73 (83); ¹H NMR δ 0.28 (s, 9 H), 1.60 (d, J = 7 Hz, 3 H), 3.82 (9, J = 7 Hz, 1 H), 7.37 (m, J)5 H); IR (neat) 2960, 2165, 1493, 1250, 840, 760, 697 cm⁻¹.

Preparation of (Triethylsilyl)ethyne- ${}^{13}C_2$. A sample of ethyne- ${}^{13}C_2$ (250 mL, 11.25 mmol) was frozen by using a liquidnitrogen trap and dissolved in THF (15 mL) at -78 °C. Ethylmagnesium bromide (25 mL, 0.7 M in THF, 15.5 mmol) was added at -78 °C, the resulting solution stirred for 1 h, and the mixture allowed to warm to ambient temperature. After addition of chlorotriethylsilane (1.7 g, 11.25 mmol) and stirring for 2 h, the mixture was worked up with aqueous NH₄Cl. Analysis of the resulting liquid by GLC indicated a mixture of Et₃Si¹³C₂H and $Et_3Si^{13}C_2SiEt_3$ (55:45). The former was purified by preparative GLC, while the bis(silyl)alkyne was selectively desilylated ac-cording to Holmes³³ to Et₃Si¹³C₂H (combined yield 1.2 g, 75%): colorless oil; MS, m/e 142; IR (neat) 3280, 2955, 2910, 2875, 1960, 1460, 1415, 1235, 1018, 725, 665 cm^{-1} .

 $[\eta^4-1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-(1-hydroxyethyl)cyclo$ butadiene](η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (2). A mixture of CpCo(CO)₂ (0.8 g, 5 mmol), 3-butyn-2-ol (6, 0.35 g, 5 mmol), and btmse (4 mL) was added (0.092 mL h^{-1}) to boiling btmse (45 mL). After removal of excess btmse and filtration, the resulting brown oil was chromatographed on alumina (50×2.5 cm o.d.). Elution with ether-pentane (10:90) produced a yellow fraction containing a mixture of the diastereomers 3a,b (0.82 g, 37%). Continued elution with ether afforded a mixture of the hydroxy diastereomers 2a,b (0.625 g, 34%). Repeated chromatography on a similar column using ether-pentane (5:95) as eluant separated the mixture

of **3a** (0.402 g, 18.5%) and **3b** (0.315 g, 14.5%), the former as a yellow oil and the latter as a yellow crystalline solid (from pentane). Repeated chromatography of 2 on the same column with ether-pentane (20:80) gave 2a (0.196 g, 11%): yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 364 (100%), 346 (36), 294 (35), 266 (11), 222 (23); IR (neat) 3491, 3110, 3072, 2989, 2971, 2910, 1245, 831, 750 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{17}H_{29}CoOSi_2)$ C, H.

A second fraction furnished 2b (0.353 g, 19.5%): yellow crystals; mp 65-67 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 364 (86%), 346 (41), 294 (89), 222 (34), 73 (100); IR (neat) 3362, 3100, 3062, 2971, 1239, 1075, 1037, 835, 749 cm⁻¹. Anal. $(C_{17}H_{29}CoOSi_2)$ C, H.

 ${\eta^{4}-1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-[1-(trimethylsiloxy)ethyl]}$ cyclobutadiene $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (3). The conditions employed in this preparation will be subsequently referred to as "standard". A mixture of CpCo(CO)₂ (0.18 g, 1 mmol), 3-(trimethylsiloxy)but-1-yne (7) (0.142 g, 1 mmol), and btmse (5 mL) was added (0.13 mL h⁻¹) to boiling btmse (45 mL). After removal of excess btmse and filtration the resulting brown oil was chromatographed on alumina $(40 \times 2 \text{ cm o.d.})$. Elution with pentane produced minor quantities of yellow byproducts. Continued elution allowed the separation of the diastereomers furnishing first 3a (0.170 g, 39%): yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 436 (65%), 338 (20), 294 (58), 266 (5), 222 (13), 73 (100); HRMS calcd for $C_{20}H_{37}CoOSi_3$ 436.1483, found 436.1488; IR (neat) 3121, 3073, 2972, 2911, 1247, 844, 806, 749 cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{20}H_{37}CoOSi_3$: C, 55.04; H, 8.49. Found: C, 55.91; H, 8.21.

A second fraction gave 3b (0.104 g, 24%): yellow crystals, mp 39-41 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 436 (81%), 338 (25), 294 (75), 266 (6), 222 (17), 73 (100); IR (neat) 3090, 3053, 2954, 2894, 1245, 836, 805, 747 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₀H₃₇CoOSi₃) C, H.

[n⁴-1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-(1-methoxyethyl)cyclobutadiene](η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (4). A mixture of CpCo(CO)₂ (0.9 g, 5 mmol), 3-methoxy-1-butyne (8, 0.42 g, 5 mmol), and btmse were subjected to cyclization conditions similar to those employed for the preparation of 3. Chromatography on alumina using ether-pentane (5:95) gave two distinct yellow bands, the first resulting in 4a (0.545 g, 29%): yellow oil; MS, m/e(relative intensity) 378 (67%), 346 (76), 294 (100), 280 (20), 248 (49), 222 (28); HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₃₁CoOSi₂ 378.1233, found 378.1241; IR (neat) 3107, 3050, 2955, 2891, 2818, 1242, 1101, 828, 750 cm^{-1} .

The second gave 4b (0.40 g, 21%): yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 378 (100%), 294 (92), 280 (29), 248 (43), 222 (26); HRMS calcd for C₁₈H₃₁CoOSi₂ 378.1245, found 378.1233; IR (neat) 3092, 3055, 2965, 2896, 2815, 1247, 1095, 841, 752 cm⁻¹.

[n⁴-1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-(1-phenylethyl)cyclobutadiene](η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (5). CpCo(CO)₂ (0.90 g, 5 mmol), 3-phenyl-1-butyne (0.65 g, 5 mmol) and btmse were cocyclized according to the above standard conditions. Chromatography on alumina gave a yellow oil of 5a,b (1.58 g, 74%). Samples of the mixture were separated by HPLC²⁹ using a reversed phase column operating isocratically with water-methanol (7:93) eluant. The pure complexes 5a and 5b were obtained by addition of pentane and water to each fraction, followed by separation of the layers, drying (MgSO₄) of the pentane layer, rotary evaporation, and finally pumping under high vacuum. Complex 5a: yellow crystals; mp 65-66 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 424 (100%), 326 (38), 294 (68), 253 (58), 222 (12); HRMS calcd for $C_{23}H_{33}CoSi_2$ 424.1452, found 424.1440; IR (neat) 3095, 3045, 3012, 2959, 2882, 1242, 822, 804, 750 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₃-H₃₃CoSi₂) C, H. Complex 5b: yellow crystals, mp 62–63 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 424 (100%), 326 (41), 294 (71), 253 (58), 222 (12); HRMS calcd for C23H33CoSi2 424.1452, found 424.1456; IR (neat) 3045, 3009, 2938, 2904, 2888, 2847, 1245, 886, 808, 701 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₃H₃₃CoSi₂) C, H.

Gas-Phase Pyrolyses-General Method. Compounds were pyrolyzed through a 20×1.8 cm o.d. quartz glass tube whose surface was silvlated (hexamethyldisilazane) prior to use. Heating was accomplished with a 13-in. Hoskins electric furnace equipped with an Omega Model 4001 KC thermostat. Temperatures quoted are uncorrected and obtained at the oven center. Routine pressures of less than 10^{-4} torr were maintained throughout by a simple mercury diffusion pump in series with a mechanical pump. Products and/or unreacted starting materials were collected on a liquid-nitrogen-coated surface and subsequently transferred into an NMR tube with deoxygenated C₆D₆, while

⁽³⁰⁾ Becker, J. Y.; Brenner, S.; Klein, J. Isr. J. Chem. 1972, 10, 827. (31) Kirmse, W.; Engelmann, A.; Heese, J. Chem. Ber. 1973, 106, 3073.
 (32) Shostakovskii, M. F.; Komarov, N. Y.; Ermolova, T. I.; Langba-

 ⁽a) Show and A. S. (1998) 1968, 2463.
 (33) Holmes, A. B.; Jennings-White, C. L. D.; Schulthess, A. H.;
 Akinde, B.; Walton, D. R. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1979, 840.

maintaining a static vacuum. Reaction products were analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (internal dioxane standard, 2-8 μ L), GLC (dioxane standard corrected by measured response factors), and GC-MS. Typical pyrolysis rates were maintained at 15-20 mg over 1-2 h, using an external heating element to volatilize materials where necessary.

Formation of Side Products 10 and 11 in the Pyrolyses of 2, 3, and 4. The products could be observed by NMR and GC-MS in the pyrolyses of 2-4. They were isolated from the gas-phase pyrolysis of 4 at 571 °C using column chromatography on alumina eluting before the mixture of diastereomers 4 (pentane). $[\eta^4-1,2$ -Bis(trimethylsily)-3-vinylcyclobutadiene] $(\eta^5$ cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (10) (major): yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 346 (100%), 294 (73), 248 (57), 222 (32), 176 (5); HRMS calcd for C₁₇H₂₉CoSi₂ 346.0983, found 346.0990; IR (neat) 2936, 2900, 2884, 1247, 836, 808, 754 cm⁻¹. $[\eta^4-1,2$ -Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-acetylcyclobutadiene] $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (11) (minor): yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 362 (100%), 294 (76), 264 (63), 222 (28).

 $[\eta^{4}-1,2,3$ -Tris(trimethylsilyl)-4-(1-phenylethyl)cyclobutadiene](η^{5} -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (14). CpCo(CO)₂ (0.90 g, 5 mmol), 1-(trimethylsilyl)-3-phenylbut-1-yne (1.01 g, 5 mmol), and btmse were cocyclized by using standard conditions. The resulting black oil was chromatographed on alumina. Elution with pentane provided a yellow fraction (1.3 g) containing several components followed by the black, slightly air-sensitive complex 13 (0.539 g, 48%), crystallized in two crops from pentane (-78 °C). The yellow mixture was subjected to HPLC on a reversed phase column operating isocratically using water-methanol (7:93) eluant. Many uncharacterized minor components were separated, but a major fraction produced yellow cyclobutadiene complex 14 (0.135 g, 5.5%) crystallized from pentane: yellow crystals, mp 195-196 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 496 (4%), 324 (19), 294 (53). Anal. (C₂₈H₄₁CoSi₃) C, H.

 $[\eta^4$ -1,3-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-2-(1-phenylethyl)cyclobutadiene](η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (12). A mixture of tris(trimethylsilyl)cyclobutadiene complex 14 (0.07 g, 0.14 mmol), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (0.027 g, 0.14 mmol), and C₆D₆ (1 mL) contained in a 5-mm NMR tube was subjected to sonic vibration for 2 h at 30 °C and monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Extraction with pentane followed by HPLC using a reversed phase column and methanol eluant produced two minor and one major fraction. The major fraction contained complex 12 (0.045 g, 75%) recrystallized from pentane: yellow crystals; mp 103–104 °C; MS, *m/e* (relative intensity) 424 (100%), 326 (58), 294 (30), 253 (65), 222 (17); HRMS calcd for C₂₃H₃₃CoSi₂ 424.1452, found 424.1456; IR (Nujol) 1241, 905, 839, 801, 752, 697 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₃H₃₃CoSi₂) C, H.

 $[\eta^4$ -1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-(1-phenylpropyl)cyclobutadiene](η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (17). CpCo(CO)₂ (0.70 g, 3.89 mmol), 3-phenyl-1-pentyne (0.55 g, 3.89 mmol), and btmse were subjected to the standard cyclization conditions to give 17 (1.385 g, 84%). Separation by HPLC as for 5 gave first 17a: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 438 (100%), 340 (48), 294 (78), 267 (19), 239 (14), 222 (11); HRMS calcd for C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂ 438.1609, found 438.1610; IR (neat) 3097, 3064, 3022, 2959, 2900, 2875, 1246, 841, 806, 749, 698 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂) C, H.

Complex 17b eluted second: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 438 (100%), 340 (38), 294 (68), 267 (17), 239 (16), 222 (10); HRMS calcd for C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂ 438.1609, found 438.1615; IR (neat) 3103, 3072, 3030, 2965, 2905, 1245, 843, 750, 698 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂) C, H.

 $[\eta^{4}$ -1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-(1-phenylethyl)cyclobutadiene](η^{5} -methylcyclopentadienyl)cobalt (18). (η^{5} -C₅H₄Me)Co(CO)₂ (1.1 g, 5.67 mmol), 3-phenyl-1-butyne (0.78 g, 6 mmol), and btmse were subjected to the standard cyclization conditions to give 18 (1.8 g, 72%). HPLC gave first 18a: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 438 (100), 340 (34), 308 (62), 267 (33), 236 (11); HRMS calcd for C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂ 438.1609, found 438.1623; IR (neat) 3079, 3055, 3023, 2961, 2922, 2892, 1244, 838, 808, 753, 698 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂) C, H.

Subsequently 18b eluted: yellow crystals; mp 46–47 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 438 (100%), 340 (35), 308 (66), 267 (36), 236 (13); HRMS calcd for C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂ 438.1609, found 438.1615; IR (neat) 3095, 3066, 3038, 2966, 2923, 2906, 1244, 838, 808, 768, 754, 698 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₄H₃₅CoSi₂) C, H.

{ η^4 -1,2-Bis(trimethylsilyl)-3-[(*l*-menthyloxy)carbonyl]cyclobutadiene}(η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (21). CpCo(CO)₂ (0.9 g, 5 mmol) and *l*-menthylpropiolate (20)¹⁶ (1.04 g, 5 mmol) in THF (2 mL) were cocyclized with btmse using standard conditions to give 21 (1.94 g, 77%) as an inseparable mixture: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 502 (100%), 294 (63), 266 (37), 222 (27); IR (neat) 2924, 1704, 1435, 1251, 837 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₆H₄₃CoO₂Si₂) C, H.

 $\{\eta^4$ -1-(**Trimethylsily**])-3-[(*I*-menthyloxy)carbonyl]cyclobutadiene}(η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (22). A mixture of 21 (0.14 g, 0.28 mmol), *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (a few crystals), and methanol (25 mL) was stirred for 24 h. After removal of solvent, the resulting oily yellow material was chromatographed on alumina. Ether-pentane (3:97) eluted a small quantity of starting material followed by 22 (0.045 g, 38%): yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 430 (73%), 292 (100), 222 (25); IR (neat) 2958, 2924, 2874, 1704, 1439, 1245, 1180, 1005, 841, 811 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₂₃H₃₅CoO₂Si) C, H.

[η^4 -2,3-Bis(trimethylsilyl)cyclobutadienecarboxylic acid](η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (23). A mixture of 22 (0.75 g, 1.49 mmol), potassium hydroxide (3 g, 46 mmol), and ethylene glycol (60 mL) was heated to reflux for 90 min during which time the oily layer disappeared as the glycol became yellow. Addition of water (100 mL), careful neutralization with acid, and extraction into dichloromethane, followed by drying of the organic layer (MgSO₄) and evaporation gave 23 (0.46 g, 84%): yellow crystals; mp 207-209 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 364 (100%), 294 (64), 266 (21), 222 (38); IR (Nujol) 1665, 1244, 836 cm⁻¹. Anal. (C₁₆H₂₅CoO₂Si₂) C, H.

 $[\eta^4$ -Methyl 2,3-bis(trimethylsilyl)cyclobutadienecarboxylate](η^5 -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (24). A cold (0 °C) solution of acid 23 (0.295 g, 0.81 mmol) in ether (70 mL) was treated dropwise with a solution of diazomethane in ether (ca. 0.3 M) until no further discoloration occurred. No evolution of gas was apparent, and the reaction was monitored by TLC on silica. Aqueous work up and filtration through alumina gave 24 (0.288 g, 94%) characterized by comparison with an authentic racemic sample (see below).

Synthesis of 24 by Cyclization. $CpCo(CO)_2$ (0.90 g, 5 mmol), methyl propynoate (0.42 g, 5 mmol), and btmse were cyclized as usual to give 24 (1.032 g, 55%): yellow crystals; mp 31-32 °C; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 378 (100%), 294 (66), 280 (47), 222 (23); IR (neat) 2932, 2890, 1725, 1449, 1249, 1192, 842. Anal. ($C_{17}H_{27}CoO_2Si_2$) C, H.

 $(\eta^4$ -Methyl cyclobutadienecarboxylate) $(\eta^5$ -cyclopentadienyl)cobalt (25). A mixture of 24 (0.075 g, 0.2 mmol), dry benzyltrimethylammonium fluoride (0.18 g, 1.16 mmol), and THF (20 mL) was heated to reflux for 18 h. Removal of solvent and chromatography on alumina (10 × 2 cm o.d., activity II) using ether-pentane (5:95) as eluant produced a minor brown fraction followed by the complex 25 (0.033 mg, 71%): yellow crystals; mp 56-58 °C (lit.¹⁹ mp 55-57 °C). Spectroscopic data are identical with those reported in the literature.¹⁹

Solution-Phase Pyrolyses—General Method. A solution of the sample to be pyrolyzed in pristane was injected directly into refluxing pristane contained in silylated glassware, stirring being maintained by a silylated glass covered magnetic stirrer. Samples for monitoring were obtained by syringe, and heating was maintained by sand contained in a heating mantle. Typical reaction scale: 30–50 mg.

Cocyclization of Triethylsilylethyne with 1-(Trimethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-1-butyne. A deoxygenated mixture of $CpCo(CO)_2$ (0.81 g, 4.5 mmol), (triethylsilyl)ethyne (0.63 g, 4.5 mmol), and octane (15 mL) was added (0.26 mL h⁻¹) to a boiling mixture of 1-(trimethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-1-butyne (0.91 g, 4.5 mmol) and octane (30 mL) over a period of 59 h followed by a further 3 h of heating. After removal of solvent and filtration through alumina the dark oil was chromatographed on alumina (45 × 2.5 cm o.d.). The first yellow band contained a mixture of the diastereomers 26 (1.36 g, 65%) subsequently separated by HPLC. These were identical with the diastereomers obtained from the cocyclization of 1-(triethylsilyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyne with 3-phenyl-1-butyne (vide infra). A second yellow band furnished minor amounts of the 1,3-bis(silyl) isomers (m/e), not fully characterized.

A similar reaction with $Et_3Si^{13}C_2H$ resulted in a mixture of 1,2-¹³C-labeled diastereomers 26.²⁴

Complex 26a: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 466 (83%), 365 (15), 336 (33), 326 (21), 253 (28), 222 (6), 57 (100); HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{39}CoSi_2$ 466.1922, found 466.1925. Anal. ($C_{26}H_{39}CoSi_2$) C, H. Complex 26b: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 466 (100%), 368 (18), 336 (37), 326 (28), 222 (7), 253 (41); HRMS calcd for $C_{26}H_{39}CoSi_2$ 466.1922, found 466.1920. Anal. ($C_{26}H_{39}CoSi_2$) C, H.

Cocyclization of (Trimethylsilyl)ethyne with 1-(Triethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-1-butyne. A mixture of $CpCo(CO)_2$ (0.90 g, 5 mmol), (trimethylsilyl)ethyne (0.49 g, 5 mmol), and octane (15 mL) was added (0.26 mL h⁻¹) to a boiling mixture of 1-(triethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-1-butyne in octane (30 mL). Workup as in the synthesis of **26** gave **27a**, **b** (1.82 g, 78%) separable by HPLC to give first **27a**: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 466 (100%), 368 (19), 336 (61), 326 (29), 253 (32), 222 (12); HRMS calcd for C₂₆H₃₉CoSi₂ 466.1922, found 466.1916. Anal. (C₂₆-H₃₉CoSi₂) C, H. Subsequently **27b**: yellow oil; MS, m/e (relative intensity) 466 (100%), 368 (17), 336 (33), 326 (27), 253 (29), 222 (4); HRMS calcd for C₂₆H₃₉CoSi₂ 444.1922, found 466.1920.

Cocyclization of 1-(Triethylsilyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)ethyne and 3-Phenyl-1-butyne. $CpCo(CO)_2$ (0.90 g, 5 mmol), the bis-(silyl)ethyne³⁴ (30 mL), and 3-phenyl-1-butyne (0.65 g, 5 mmol) were cocyclized as in the above preparation of 26 and 27. A similar workup and HPLC separation gave 26a,b and 27a,b the identity of which was ascertained by coinjection on HPLC with authentic samples, isolation, and spectroscopic comparison.

(34) Cunico, R. F.; Han, Y.-K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1979, 174, 247.

Acknowledgment. This work was jointly supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences Division, and the Assistant Secretary for Fossil Energy, Office of Coal Research, Liquefaction Division, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-7405-ENG-48 through the Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center, Pittsburgh, PA, and in part by NSF (CHE 79-03954 and 82-00049). K.P.C.V. was a Camille and Henry Dreyfus Teacher-Scholar (1978–1983). G.V. was a NATO Postdoctoral Fellow, 1980–1981. M.J.W. was an SRC-NATO Postdoctoral Fellow, 1978–1980. A generous gift of btmse from Silar Laboratories is gratefully acknowledged.

Registry No. 2a, 78736-24-0; 2b, 78821-50-8; 3a, 90412-53-6; 3b, 90458-27-8; 4a, 78736-25-1; 4b, 78821-51-9; 5a, 78207-58-6; 5b, 78247-00-4; 6, 2028-63-9; 7, 17869-76-0; 8, 18857-02-8; 9, 4544-28-9; 10, 90412-54-7; 11, 90412-55-8; 12, 90458-30-3; 14, 78341-15-8; 17a, 90412-56-9; 17b, 90458-28-9; 18a, 90412-57-0; 18b, 90458-29-0; 20, 65018-52-2; 21, 90412-58-1; 22, 90432-12-5; 23, 90412-59-2; 24, 90412-60-5; 26a, 78736-26-2; 26b, 79026-86-1; 27a, 78736-27-3; 27b, 79026-87-2; btmse, 14630-40-1; 1-(trimethylsilyl)-3-phenylbut-1-yne, 28129-04-6; (triethylsilyl)ethyne, $^{13}C_2$, 68285-45-0; ethyne- $^{13}C_2$, 35121-31-4; 3-phenyl-1-butyne, 4544-28-9; 3-phenyl-1-pentyne, 1777-03-3; (trimethylsilyl)ethyne, 1066-54-2; 1-(triethylsilyl)-3-phenyl-1-butyne, 90412-52-5; 1-(triethylsilyl)-2-(trimethylsilyl)-ethyne, 23183-97-3.

A Novel Type of Cyclopentadlenyl Bridge—Structure of $(\mu$ -C₅H₅) $(\mu$ -N(SiMe₃)₂)(ZnC₅H₅)₂

Peter H. M. Budzelaar,[†] Jaap Boersma,*[†] Gerrit J. M. van der Kerk,[†] and Antony L. Spek[‡]

Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Utrecht, 3522 AD Utrecht, The Netherlands, and the Department of Structural Chemistry, University of Utrecht, 3508 TB Utrecht, The Netherlands

Received December 22, 1983

The compound $Cp_3Zn_2N(SiMe_3)_2$ was prepared from Cp_2Zn and $Zn[N(SiMe_3)_2]_2$ and characterized by X-ray diffraction analysis. It crystallizes in the monoclinic system of space group $P2_1/c$ with four molecules in a cell of dimensions a = 15.447 (3) Å, b = 11.049 (1) Å, c = 14.503 (3) Å, and $\beta = 108.35$ (2)°. The structure refinement, with anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms, converged at $R_F = 0.045$ ($R_{wF} = 0.049$) for 336 parameters and 3424 observed reflections with $I > 2.5\sigma(I)$. The molecule consists of two zinc atoms connected by a bridging cyclopentadienyl group and a bridging amide group; in addition, each zinc atom carries a terminal Cp group. This is the first example of a compound containing a Cp group that bridges between nonbonded metal atoms located on the same side of the ring plane. The Cp–Zn arrangements suggest that both σ - and π -type interactions contribute to the Cp–Zn bonds. In solution, intramolecular exchange of Cp groups occurs at elevated temperature, presumably via opening of the Cp bridge.

Introduction

Electron-deficient cyclopentadienyl compounds of the main-group metals are usually associated via bridging cyclopentadienyl groups. In these compounds, two metal atoms are located on different sides of the ring plane. The bridging mode can be symmetrical, as in CpNa-TMEDA¹ or Cp₂Pb² (Figure 1a), or asymmetrical, as in CpGaMe₂³ or CpZnMe⁴ (Figure 1b). Cyclopentadienyl groups coordinating simultaneously to two⁵ or even three⁶ transition-metal atoms located on the same side of the ring plane are known; in these cases, the metal atoms are invariably linked by direct metal-metal bonds as well. In this paper, we wish to report on the structure of a zinc compound

[†]Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Utrecht. [‡]Department of Structural Chemistry, University of Utrecht.

⁽¹⁾ Aoyagi, T.; Shearer, H. M. M.; Wade, K.; Whitehead, G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1976, 164.

Panattoni, C.; Bombieri, G.; Croatto, U. Acta Crystallogr. 1966, 21, 823.
 Mertz, K.; Zettler, F.; Hausen, H. D.; Weidlein, J. J. Organomet.

⁽a) Mertz, K., Zettler, F., Hausen, H. D., Weitelin, J. J. Organismer. Chem. 1976, 122, 159. (4) Aoyagi, T.; Shearer, H. M. M.; Wade, K.; Whitehead, G. J. Orga-

⁽⁴⁾ Abyagi, 1., Sileater, 11. M. M., Wate, 11., Wittenead, G. J. J. Signmet, Chem. 1978, 146, C29.
(5) Werner, H.; Tune, D.; Parker, G.; Krüger, C.; Brown, D. J. Angew.

Chem. 1975, 67, 205. (6) Fischer, E. O.; Mills, O. S.; Paulus, E. F.; Waversik, H. Chem.

⁽⁶⁾ Fischer, E. O.; Mills, O. S.; Paulus, E. F.; Waversik, H. Chem. Commun. 1967, 643.