AN ASSESSMENT OF THE REACTIVITY OF GUANOSINE AND SOME OF ITS DERIVATIVES TO ELECTROPHILES BY 15 N-NMR SPECTROSCOPY.

G. Remaud, X-x. Zhou, C. J. Welch and J. Chattopadhyaya*

Department of Bioorganic Chemistry, Box 581, Biomedical Center, Uppsala University, S-751 23 Uppsala, Sweden.

(Received in UK 24 April 1986)

Summary:

 15 N-NNR spectra of guanosine and uridine and several of their amide-protected derivatives have been studied. The nucleophilicity of the N⁷ of the guanosine, in some of its 0⁶ and N¹ substituted derivatives, has been studied by following the 15 N chemical shift of the protonated species in the presence of CF₃COOH. The formation of the N⁷ protonated species, the magnitude of its 15 N chemical shift and the potential of the N⁷ to undergo methylation reaction in a particular protected derivative of guanosine throws light on the efficiency of a protecting group to protect the guanine residue against an electrophile.

It has emerged very recently that the protections of the exocyclic amino functions of DNA and RNA aglycones are not quite enough to obtain pure oligonucleotides, that are free of undesirable base modifications¹⁻²². It has been indeed shown that there is a considerable formation of by-products if the imide functions of N^2 -protected guanine, uracil and thymine residues are not suitably protected¹⁻¹¹. Several protecting groups have therefore been introduced to remedy the latter problem in nucleic acid synthesis⁸⁻²³. Recently, it has been observed that the chemical reactivity of the fully protected guanine residue, in oligonucleotide synthesis, is indeed influenced, by the exact location of the protecting group on the 0^6 or N¹ of the lactam function²³

We have thus reported²³ that the <u>N</u>⁷ of <u>N</u>¹, <u>N</u>²-bis(2,2,2-trichlorobuty]oxycarbony])-2',3',5'-tri-<u>O</u>-acety] guanosine (<u>A</u>) reacted with the <u>2</u>-chloropheny]phosphoro-bis-(1,2,4-triazolide) (<u>B</u>) giving an imidazole ring-opened <u>N</u>⁷-phosphoramidate (<u>C</u>) while 2-<u>N</u>-(<u>p-t</u>-buty]benzoy])-6-<u>O</u>-(2-nitropheny])-2',3',5'-tri-<u>O</u>acety] guanosine (<u>D</u>) was completely stable under the latter reaction condition. We herein report our ¹⁵N-NMR studies of a few guanosine 06 protected



derivatives $(3-pyridy)^{-24}$, $2-nitropheny]^{-14}$, $4-nitropheny]ethy]^{-22}$ and show how these 0^6 protecting groups affect the electron density distribution of resulting purine system. We have subsequently examined the effect of such redistributed electron density in the protected guanine residues on their chemical reactivities toward electrophilic reaction conditions, such as protonation and methylation, enabling us to define the "electronic criteria" that a 0^6 protecting group of an appropriately substituted guanine derivative must fulfil in order to protect the structural integrity of the guanine residue.

At the outset of this work, we anticipated a similarity in behaviour of the 15 N chemical shifts of the \underline{N}^1 of the \underline{C}^6 substituted guanosines and the \underline{N}^3 of \underline{C}^4 substituted uridines because of their comparable state of hybridization in both systems. It was, therefore, decided first to explore and unambiguously assign the 15 N resonances of the \underline{C}^4 substituted uridines and then use this knowledge to distinguish between the \underline{N}^1 and \underline{N}^3 of \underline{C}^6 substituted guanosines.

¹⁵N assignments of substituted uridines (Table 1)

The ¹⁵N chemical shifts for the <u>N</u>¹ and <u>N</u>³ of uridine (<u>16</u>) are convenienthy assigned by ¹⁵N - ¹H coupled spectra in DMSO in which the <u>N</u>³ - ¹H coupling of 89.1 Hz is easily detectable²⁵. Both <u>N</u>¹ and <u>N</u>³ resonances have large negative NOE; it is the <u>N</u>³ in uridine which has a larger NOE (ca. -4.9) because of effective longitudinal relaxation by dipole-dipole interaction Reactivity of guanosine and some of its derivatives to electrophiles

Compound	<u>N</u> 1	<u>N</u> ³	N-substituent	
1	-220.7	-149.4	-92.3	
2	-221.3	-151.3	-65.8	
3	-221.8	-149.9	- -93.0	
4	-221.6	-151.4	-67.6	
5	-221.2	-117.6	-62.4	
<u>6</u>	-222.6	-119.3	-	
<u>7</u>	-214.8	-124.8	-197.5	
8	-215.2	-140.6	-225.1	
16	-237.8	-223.7	-	
<u>17</u>	-241.9	-225.6	-	
18	-228.4	-172.1	-287.7	
<u>19</u>	-241.7	-193.9		

Table 1: 15 N chemical shifts⁴ of uridine and some of its <u>C</u>⁴ substituted derivatives.

Measurements were carried out at 303 K in 0.8 M solutions, except for 8 (0.4 M), 17 (0.8 M), 19 (0.35 M) In chloroform. The data for 16 and 18 are taken from the literature for comparison (ref. 25 and 27).



COMPOUNDS	1	2	3	4	5	ţ	<u>1</u>	Ĩ	18
R =	Ģ	Ŷ	Q.	Ş	Q	Ŷ	Ą	Ŷ	NH ₂
£' =	Ac	Ac	Ac	Ao	Ac	Ac	. Ac	A 0	н



through the $\underline{N^3}$ -H whereas the $\underline{N^1}$ has a smaller NOE (ca. -2.5) because of the dipole effect of the sugar protons²⁵⁻²⁷. Both $\underline{N^3}$ and $\underline{N^1}$ of the $\underline{C^4}$ and $\underline{N^3}$ substituted uridines could also be unambiguously assigned by a large negative NOE for $\underline{N^1}$ and a complete absence of NOE for the $\underline{N^3}$ resonance. A comparison of the ${}^{15}N$ data of the $\underline{C^4}$ substituted uridines $\underline{1}$ to $\underline{8}$ in Table 1 show their close similarity with that of cytidine (<u>18</u>) because of the analogy of their electronic structures. It is the $\underline{N^3}$ resonances in $\underline{1}$ to $\underline{8}$ which are most affected both because of change of its state of hybridization and the inductive or mesomeric effect of the $\underline{C^4}$ substituent. On the other hand, the $\underline{N^3}$ resonance of an $\underline{N^3}$ substituted uridine (<u>19</u>) is deshielded by 32 ppm, as compared to the $\underline{N^3}$ of <u>17</u>, which can be explained by the fact that the $\underline{N^3}$ is becoming a "tris amide type". In compounds <u>1</u> to <u>8</u>, the <u>N</u>¹ atom has a chemical shift of ca. -221 ppm except for compounds <u>7</u> and <u>8</u>. In <u>7</u> and <u>8</u> the <u>C</u>⁴-pyridone nitrogen is devoid of its lone pair and is electronically very similar to that of <u>N</u>¹ of the



Fig. 1: ¹⁵N-NMR spectra of compound 8; (a) proton coupled spectrum where <u>N</u>-pyridone shows a complex multiplet at ca. 225 ppm and the <u>N</u>¹ appears as a broad signal at ca. 215 ppm.; (b) decoupled mode without NOE showing the <u>N</u>³, <u>N</u>¹ and <u>N</u>-pyridone; (c) decoupled mode with NOE showing larger NOE for <u>N</u>¹ than for <u>N</u>-pyridone.

pyrimidone molety. It thus appears that this lone-pair is shared to the rest of the pyridone-pyrimidone system, which exerts an overall electron-withdrawing influence to the pyrimidone, causing the deshielding of N³ and especially influencing N^1 . The ^{15}N assignments of pyridone-nitrogen and the N^1 was established on the basis of the proton-coupled spectrum and the favourable NOE of N $^{
m 1}$ (Fig. 1). For the C^4 -pyridyl substituent in compounds 1 to 4, the O^4 lone pair is presumably delocalized, depending upon whether it is C-2 or C-3 oxo with respect to the pyridyl-nitrogen, to the pyrimidine ring yielding a similar chemical shift for <u>N1</u> (ca. -220 ppm) and for N³ (ca. -150 ppm). It should be noted that the 3-pyridyl shields the N^3 a slightly more (by ca. -1.5 ppm) as expected, as opposed to the 2-pyridyl while the chemical shifts of pyridyl-nitrogen are in agreement with the literature data 28,29 . Finally, when 0^4 is substituted with S^4 in 5 and 6, the N^3 resonance is deshielded due to the usual "B effect" which has been already docummented in $^{13} ext{C-}$ and $^{15} ext{N-NMR}$ spectroscopy^{24,30,31}; consequently the pyridyl nitrogen in 5 is also deshielded by 30 ppm as compared to 1.

15 N assignments of C⁶ substituted N²-protected guanosines (Table 2)

The exocyclic amino function of the guanosine is normally protected by an acyl group for oligonucleotide synthesis. Thus the presence of <u>p</u>-t-butylbenzoyl (TBB) group at the \underline{N}^2 position in <u>21</u> has a profound influence on the ¹⁵N chemical shifts of all purine-nitrogens. Each nitrogen atom of 21, especially N³, is deshielded as compared to the nitrogens of guanosine (20). A comparison of 15 M shifts of 21 with compounds 9 to 15 in Table 2 shows that a C⁶ substitutent shields the M⁷ to ca. -140 ppm and M⁹ to ca. -210 ppm. These values are very similar to those found for adenosine²⁵ which can be rationalized by an activation of the aromatic ring by the C⁶ substituent which is further delocalized to the imidazole part. The "azine-like" M⁷ is more affected than the "pyrrole-like" M⁹. In the C⁶ substituted guanosines 9 to 15, the pyrimidine moiety is fully aromatic and hence a direct unambiguous assignments of M¹ and M³ are difficult. However, as the M¹ in C⁴ substituted uridine derivatives (Table 1), the M³ of C⁶ substituted guanosines 9 to 15 are at best expected to experience a long range influence of the C⁶ substitution and is, therefore, expected to have a steady chemical shift which turned out to be true, showing the absorption of the M³ of 9 to 15 indeed at ca. -160 ppm. On the other hand, the N¹ absorption of compounds 9 - 15 showed a critical dependence (Table 2) to the

	•					
Compound	<u>n</u> 1	<u>N</u> 3	<u>N</u> 7	<u>N</u> 9	<u>n</u> ²	<u>N</u> -substituent
9	-155.9	-160.4	-140.6	-210.0	-243.2	-60.3
10	-156.3	-160.8	-140.9	-210.2	-243.3	-62.9
<u>11</u>	-154.2	-159.4	-141.1	-210.1	-243.1	-73.8
<u>12</u>	-129.4	-158.6	-139.5	-210.8	-243.3	-65.5
<u>13</u>	-157.7	-160.7	-141.1	-210.2	-243.5	-13.7
<u>14</u>	-166.1	-158.0	-140.3	-210.6	-243.1	-10.5
<u>15</u>	-155.4	-159.3	-141.7	-209.7	-243.4	-224.9
<u>20</u>	-232.5	-215.4	-133.6	-211.3	-307.8	-
<u>21</u>	-227.0	-195.6	-131.8	-207.8	-248.4	-
<u>22</u>	-223.3	-198.0	-219.1	-203.5	-246.9	- -

Table 2: 15 N chemical shifts^a of some purines and some <u>C</u>⁶ substituted quanosines

⁴ Measurements were carried out at 303 K in 0.25 M solution, except for <u>20</u> and <u>21</u> (0.5 <u>M</u>), <u>22</u> (0.4 <u>M</u>) and <u>14</u> (0.6 M, 313 K) in DMSO.





38: R = NH₂: R' = H 31: R = 4-(t-butyl)benzamido R' = H 33: R = NH₂: R' = CH₃ 26: R = R' = H nature of the <u>C</u>⁶ substituent. The <u>N</u>¹ chemical shifts for the <u>O</u>⁶ substituents in compounds <u>9</u> to <u>11</u> and <u>13</u> is -154 to -158 ppm depending upon the exact electronic nature of the <u>O</u>⁶-substituent. The <u>C</u>⁶-<u>N</u>-substituent in <u>15</u> was not found to influence the <u>N</u>¹ chemical shift anyway differently (truly "isoelectronic") than in compound <u>11</u>. This is presumably partly because of delocalization of the <u>C</u>⁶-nitrogen lone pair to the pyrimidone molety. As already noticed, the <u>C</u>⁶-sulfur in <u>12</u> has a drastic effect on the <u>N</u>¹ chemical shift which goes downfield to reach the value of -129.4 ppm. Substituents in any other position of guanosine do not produce so strong changes on its ¹⁵N chemical shifts. For example, in <u>N</u>¹-methyl guanosine (<u>23</u>), the <u>N</u>³, <u>N</u>⁷ and <u>N</u>⁹ have almost identical chemical shifts as that of guanosine (<u>20</u>) but the <u>N</u>¹ goes upfield by 3.3 ppm because of +I effect of the methyl group; however, the protonation study (<u>vide infra</u>) has clearly shown that the <u>N</u>¹-methyl group significantly alters the nucleophilicity of N⁷ (Table 3).

Protonation studies (Table 3)

The 15N chemical shifts of the \underline{N}^7 is expected to be influenced by its effective nuclear charge, its symmetry in the valence electron and by its effective excitation energy $(n \rightarrow \pi *, \pi \rightarrow \pi *)^{32}$. Table 2 shows that a protecting group or a substituent either at $\underline{0}^6$ or \underline{N}^1 of guanosine has a definite effect on the nitrogen chemical shifts of purines. However, a comparison of the \underline{N}^7 chemical shifts amongst 20, 21 and 23 and 9, 13, and 14 in neutral DMSO and in their acidic solutions (Table 3) clarifies that the reactivity of the \underline{N}^7 toward electrophiles can not be estimated, even qualitatively, from the 15N chemical shifts alone in any of these media but from the magnitude of the difference in $\delta 15N$ shift.

What we considered important is to understand how a protecting group on $\underline{0}^6$ actually influences the nucleophilicity of \underline{N}^7 and which are the $\underline{0}^6$ protecting groups ($\underline{0}^6$ -alkyl vs. $\underline{0}^6$ -aryl) that are safe to use for employing guanosine in electrophilic reaction conditions! In the Table 3, the effect of \underline{C}^2 -amino substituent is obvious through the comparison of chemical shifts of guanosine ($\underline{20}$) and inosine ($\underline{25}$). With 1 equivalent of acid (CF3C00H) in inosine ($\underline{25}$), the \underline{N}^7 moves by almost 11 ppm³³ while the \underline{N}^7 in guanosine, under a similar acidic condition, moves upfield by 75 ppm, establishing the electron-donating effect of the \underline{C}^2 -amino function (Fig. 2). On the other hand, the \underline{N}^7 in \underline{N}^2 -TBB-guanosine ($\underline{21}$), under a similar acidic condition, was shielded by 25 ppm (Fig. 2). The nucleophilic character of the \underline{N}^7 in $\underline{21}$ is therefore much poorer than in $\underline{20}$.

Compound	Concent- ration of acid	<u>N</u> ¹	<u>N</u> ³	<u>n</u> ⁷	N ₉	<u>N</u> 2	<u>N</u> -substituent
20	0 equiv.	-233.9	-215.2	-134.4	-211.1	-307.7	
<u></u>	1.0 equiv.	-232.7	-218.1	-211.0	-204.4	-301.4	
	2.0 equiv.	-232.8	-218.3	-214.8	-204.3	-301.3	
	1.8 equiv.	-232.2	-217.2	-211.2	-205.6	-303.0	
23	0 equiv.	-237.2	-215.8	-133.3	-212.3	-300.4	
	1.0 equiv.	-235.7	-217.0	-232.8	-208.3	-297.0	
	2.0 equiv.	-235.1	-217.8	-	-207.1	-296.0	
24	0 equiv.	-231.9	-217.9	-220.8	-207.1	300.1	
	1.0 equiv.	-232.2	-218.1	-220.9	-207.2	300.3	
21	0 equiv.	-226.6	-195.0	-132.1	-207.4	-248.3	
	1.0 equiv.	~226.3	-196.3	-156.8	-205.3	-248.0	
	2.0 equ1v.	-226.3	-196.8	-166.3	-204.5	-248.0	
25	0 equiv.	-206.6	-167.1	-131.9	-206.6	-	
	1.0 equiv.	-206.2	-167.3	-141.2	-205.6	-	
	2.0 equiv.	-205.9	-167.4	-147.7	-204.9	-	
9	0 equiv.	-157.7	-160.9	-141.5	-210.6	-243.5	-61.9
-	1.0	-157.0	-160.8	-142.0	-209.6	-243.1	-113.1
	2.0	-158.3	-161.1	-142.8	-209.5	-243.1	-159.2
26	0 equiv.	-159.6	-160.5	-141.5	-209.4	-242.9	-177.3
13	0 equiv.	-157.3	~160.5	-141.1	-209.9	-243.5	-13.5
	1.0 equiv.	-157.4	-160.8	-142.1	-209.9	-243.4	-13.5
	2.0 equiv.	-157.5	-161.0	-143.5	-209.8	-243.4	-13.5
14	0 equiv.	-166.1	-158.0	-140.3	-210.6	-243.1	-10.5
	1.0 equiv.	-166.5	-157.9	-145.5	-210.2	-243.1	-10.6
	2.0 equiv.	-167.1	-157.9	-150.0	-209.4	-243.0	-10.6
<u>27</u>	0 equiv.	-170.3	-154.7	-225.7	-205.3	-242.9	-10.7

Table 3. Study of the ¹⁵N chemical shifts^{a,b} of guanosine derivatives upon protonation and methylation at 313 K.

a compounds 9, 20 and 24 were recorded as 0.8 M solution; 13, 14, 21, 23 and 25 were recorded in 0.6 M solution; 26 and 27 were recorded in 0.4 M solution.

b study of 20 with 1.8 equiv. of acid is sited for the sake of comparison from literature (ref. 25 & 27)





33: R = 4-(t-butyl)bensemide 34: R = H



No. of equiv. of CF, COOH.



This reduced nucleophilic reactivity is also supported by methylation studies with <u>20</u> and <u>21</u> by methyl iodide in dimethylformamide at 20° C which showed that the half-lives of N^7 -methylation of <u>20</u> and <u>21</u>, to give <u>24</u> and <u>22</u> respectively, are 50 and 165 min respectively.

The 15 N chemical shifts of the C⁶ substituted guanosine derivatives 9, 13and 14 along with the result of protonated and methylated derivatives are shown in Table 3. Since all guanosine derivatives in this work has a N^2 -TBB group, the observed ¹⁵N chemical shifts, in protonation and methylation experiments in Table 3, is attributed to the electronic effect of the C^6 substituent. The data for N¹-methyl guanosine (23) are also shown for comparison. The \underline{N}^7 in compounds 9 and 13 moved only 0.5 and 1 ppm upfield, respectively, upon protonation. Compound 13 was completely resistant to methylation reaction condition (vide supra); the N^7 of 9 was also found to be completely resistant to methylation while its pyridyl-nitrogen, as expected, got methylated to give 26 which was isolated and characterized in the usual way. The N^7 of C^6 -(4-nitrophenylethyl-) derivative 14, upon protonation, moved upfield by 5 ppm, suggesting that the N^7 is not completely deactivated. This is also corroborated by the fact that the 14 can be methylated (vide supra) at N^7 to give 27 with a half-life of 300 min. (compare half-lives of methylation of 20 and 21 which are respectively 50 and 165 min!). Compound 27, which was also isolated and characterized as a pure compound, has ¹⁵N shifts which are very similar to N^7 -methyl- N^2 -TBB-guanosine (22) (Table 3).

It appears from these data that there is a considerable reduction of the nucleophilicity of \underline{N}^7 with most of the \underline{C}^6 substituted guanosine derivatives. This is most probably due to the delocalization of the π -electron rich imidazole system to the π -deficient pyrimidine system with a overall reduction of the nucleophilic character of the \underline{N}^7 . However, the present data suggests an "electronic criteria" that a \underline{O}^6 protecting group of guanosine should fulfill. That such a group should not be electron-releasing to the resulting fully-aromatic purine system. This is substantiated by the fact that the 0^6 -alkyl substituent of 14, as the 0^6 protecting group of the guanine moiety, has made the N^7 more susceptible to electrophilic reagents than the electron-withdrawing 0^6 -aryl group of 9 or 13.

It also should be noted that the \underline{N}^7 of \underline{N}^1 -methyl guanosine (23), upon protonation with one equivalent of acid, moved upfield by 99.5 ppm while the \underline{N}^7 of guanosine, in a similar protonation experiment, moved only by 75 ppm (Table 3). This clearly shows that the \underline{N}^1 methylation enhances the nucleophilicity of the \underline{N}^7 . It is also established that an \underline{N}^1 -acyl group of a fully-protected guanosine derivative <u>A</u> enhances the nucleophilicity of the \underline{N}^7 and gave a \underline{N}^7 phosphorylated product <u>C</u>. All of these observations suggest that if the lactam function of the \underline{N}^2 -protected guanine is protected at \underline{N}^1 , subsequent electrophilic reactions at the \underline{N}^7 can not be prevented.

Experimental

All 15 N-NMR spectra were recorded at 27.4 MHz on a Jeol JNM-GX-270 spectrometer equipped with a tunable probe-head of 10 mm. Routinely, the NOE suppresseddecoupled spectra (the decoupler is on during the acquisition time) were recorded using a 45° pulse angle (13 µs of pulse width), 0.8 to 1 s acquisition time for 16 K data points, zero filled to 32 K and Fourier transformed. The spectral range was 9500 Hz in order to observe the resonance from the amino group and 8200 Hz for <u>N</u>² substituted guanosines and <u>C</u>⁴ substituted uridines. With the pulse delay set at 15 to 30 s, a useful spectrum is obtained after 2 h for 0.8 <u>M</u> solutions, 4 h for 0.6 <u>M</u> solutions, 7 h for 0.25 <u>M</u> solutions. A broadening factor of 2 - 3 Hz was applied before Fourier transformation. ¹⁵ N chemical shifts



are assumed to be correct to \pm 0.1 ppm. As it is empirically known that a strongly protonated nitrogen atom has a shorter T₁ and a large NOE, often it is very difficult to observe the absorption of this atom because of both a short T₂ involving broad signal and a possible exchange of the acidic proton³⁴. In these cases, the solution is either the reduction of the acquisition time in order to reduce the magnitude of the negative NOE or to use this NOE to reveal a negative peak as it is shown in the fig. 3 for the compound 23. The chemical shifts were measured relative to an external solution $CH_3^{15}NO_2$ in CD_3NO_2 to provide the reference and the frequency lock respectively (a coaxial 4 mm capillary with 5 x 10^{-3} ml $CH_3^{15}NO_2$ (96%) and 0.35 ml of CD_3NO_2 and some relaxation reagent to shorten the T₁ value.)

The samples were run at $30 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C or $40 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C with either gated proton decoupling or no decoupling. A negative increment denotes an increased shielding.

References

- Bridson, P.K., Markiewicz, W.T. and Reese, C.B. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. (1977) 447.
- 2. idem J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. (1977) 791
- 3. Reese, C.B. and Ubasawa, A. Tetrahedron Lett. (1980) 2265.
- Jones, S.S., Rayner, B., Reese, C.B., Ubasawa, A. and Ubasawa, M. Tetrahedron 36 (1980) 3075.
- Reese, C.B., Titmus, R.C. and Yau, L. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. (1978) 2727.
- Stawinski, J., Hozumi, T. and Narang, S.A. <u>Can. J. Chem. 54</u> (1976) 670.
- Daskalow, H.P., Sekine, M. and Hata, T. <u>Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap. 54</u> (1981) 3076.
- 8. idem Tetrahedron Lett. 21 (1980) 3899.
- 9. Kamimura, T., Masegi, T. and Hata, T. Chemistry Lett. (1982) 965
- Kamimura, T., Tsuchiya, M., Koura, K., Sekine, M and Hata, T. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. <u>24</u> (1983) 2775.
- Sekine, N., Matsuzaki, J-I., Satoh, M. and Hata, T. J. Org. Chem.
 47 (1982) 571.
- Sekine, M., Matsuzaki, J-I. and Hata, T. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u>. 23 (1982) 5287-
- 13. Kamimura, T., Masegi, T., Sekine, M. and Hata, T. <u>Tetrahedron Lett</u> 25 (1984) 4241.
- 14. Jones, S.S., Reese, C.B., Sibanda, S. and Ubasawa, A. <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett. 22 (1981) 4755.
- 15. Reese, C.B. and Skone, P.A. J. Chem. Soc. Perk. Trans. I 6 (1984) 1263.
- Welch, C.J. and Chattopadhyaya, J. <u>Acta. Chem. Scand</u>. <u>B37</u> (1983) 147.
- Claessen, C.A.A., Pistorius, A.M.A. and Tesser, G.I. <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett. 26 (1985) 3859-
- Zhou, X-x., Ugi, I. and Chattopadhyaya, J. <u>Acta Chem. Scand</u>. <u>B39</u> (1985) 761-
- 19. Welch, C.J., Bazin, H., Heikkilä, J. and Chattopadhyaya, J. <u>Acta</u> Chem. Scand. B39, (1985) 203.
- 20. Gaffney, B.L. and Jones, R.A. Tetrahedron Lett.23 (1982) 2253
- 21. idem 23 (1982) 2257.
- Trichtinger, T., Charubala, R. and Pfleiderer, W. <u>Tetrahedron</u> Lett. (1983) 711.

- Zhou, X-x., Sandström, A. and Chattopadhyaya, J. <u>Chemica Scripta</u> 26 (1986) 241.
- Zhou, X-x., Welch, C.J. and Chattopadhyaya, J. <u>Acta Chem. Scand</u>. (submitted).
- 25. Markowski, V., Sullivan, G.R. and Roberts, J.D. <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u> 99 (1977) 714.
- 26. Hawkes, G.E., Randall, E.W. and Hull, W.E. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II (1977) 1268.
- 27. Martin, G.J., Martin, M.L. and Gouesnard, J.P. <u>MMR Basic Prin.</u> <u>Prog., Vol.</u> 18, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981.
- DiGioia, A.J., Furst, G.T., Posta, L, Lichter, R. L. J. Phy. Chem. 82 (1978) 1644.
- 29. Städeli, W. and von Philipsborn, W. Org. Mag. Res. 15 (1981) 106
- Stefaniak, L. <u>Tetrahedron 32</u> (1976) 1065; <u>idem Org. Mag. Res. 12</u> (1979) 379.
- Stefaniak, L., Webb, G.A., Brevard, C., Bourdonneau, M., Lejeune, R., Thuns, L. and Lapiere, C.L. Mag. Res. Chem. 23 (1985) 790.
- 32. Mason, J. Chem. Rev. 81 (1981) 205.
- 33. Buchanan, G.W. and Bell, M.J. Can. J. Chem. 61 (1983) 2445.
- Anderegg, G., Popov, K. and Pregosin, P. <u>Hel. Chim. Acta</u> 69 (1986) 329.

Acknowledgements:

Authors thank Wallenbergstiftelse for funds for the purchase of a Jeol GX-270 -NMR spectrometer. The generous financial supports from the Swedish Board for Technical Development is also gratefully acknowledged.