

Article

Enantioselective Rh-catalyzed Carboacylation of C=N Bonds via C-C Activation of Benzocyclobutenones

Lin Deng, Tao Xu, Hongbo Li, and Guangbin Dong

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b11120 • Publication Date (Web): 16 Dec 2015

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on December 16, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Enantioselective Rh-catalyzed Carboacylation of C=N Bonds via C–C Activation of Benzocyclobutenones

Lin Deng[†], Tao Xu^{*†,‡}, Hongbo Li[§], and Guangbin Dong^{*†}

^TDepartment of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

[‡]Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ocean University of China, Qingdao 266003, China

[§]West Deptford, NJ 08086, United States

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Herein we describe the first enantioselective Rh-catalyzed carboacylation of oximes (imines) via C–C activation. In this transformation, the benzocyclobutenone C1–C2 bond is selectively activated by a low valent rhodium catalyst and subsequently the resulting two Rh–C bonds add across a C=N bond, which provides a unique approach to access chiral lactams. A range of polycyclic nitrogen-containing scaffolds were obtained in good yields with excellent enantioselectivity. Further derivatization of the lactam products led to a rapid entry to various novel fused heterocycles.

1. INTRODUCTION

Transition metal-catalyzed C–C σ -bond cleavage of cyclic compounds followed by 2π -insertion has recently emerged as an attractive approach for preparing various ring systems.¹ These methods generally operate at near pH and redox neutral conditions, and usually in a highly atom-economical fashion. However, the scope of the unsaturated 2π -units that can undergo such a "Cut & Sew" sequence² have been primarily restricted to non-polar carbon-carbon multiple bonds, such as alkenes, alkynes and 1,3-dienes.¹⁰ Pioneering work by Cramer and coworkers demonstrated the first and asymmetric example of Rh-catalyzed carboacylation of aldehydes and ketones via enantiotopic C–C activation of cyclobutanones to access bridged lactones (Scheme 1A).³ Recently, the same group showed the same transformation can also be catalyzed by Lewis acids.⁴



Stimulated by the pivotal role of amide-bond formation in organic synthesis and the pharmaceutical importance of nitrogencontaining heterocycles, we have been fascinated by the transition metal-catalyzed carboacylation of C=N bonds. Initiated by oxidative addition into ketone α C–C bonds, the resulting two M-C bonds, including one M-acvl bond, can add across an imine C=N bond to form an amide (eq 1), which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been reported previously. Elegant work by Chi and coworkers, involving an organocatalyst-promoted ringopening of cyclobutenones followed by a formal enantioselective hetero-Diels-Alder reaction with sulfonyl and isatin imines, represents the closest example.⁵ Given the importance of optically enriched lactam moieties in bioactive compounds,⁶ herein a Rhcatalyzed enantioselective intramolecular carboacylation of oximes (imines) is described via C-C activation of benzocylcobutenones^{7,8,9} to access chiral fused lactams (Scheme 1B). Considering the prevalence of hydroisoquinolines and isoquinolinones in natural products and pharmaceuticals,¹⁰ this

method also provides a unique entry to these scaffolds (Scheme 1C).

Scheme 1. Carboacylation of C=X Bonds via Transition-Metal-Catalyzed C-C Activation.









Table 1. Selected Pre-Evaluation of Reaction Conditions^a

	Me O 10 mol% [Rh]		OMe	
	110 °C, solvent		Õ	
Entry	Ta Catalyst/ Ligand	2a Solvent	Yield ^b	er (<i>R</i> : <i>S</i>) ^c
1	[Rh(cod)Cl] ₂ /dppb	THF	<20%	N/A
2^d	[Rh(cod)dppb]BF ₄	THF	58% (66%)	N/A
3 ^d	[Rh(cod)dppb]BF ₄	1,4-dioxane	40% (60%)	N/A
4 ^d	[Rh(cod)dppb]BF ₄	PhCl	22% (47%)	N/A
5 ^d	[Rh(cod)dppb]BF ₄	Toluene	7% (8%) ^f	N/A
6 ^e	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /dppb	THF	57%	N/A
7	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF₄/(<i>R</i>)-DTBM-SEGPHOS	THF	23%	38.5:61.5
8	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SEGPHOS	THF	54%	7:93
9	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(S,S)-DIOP	THF	54%	51.6:48.4
10	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(R)-SYNPHOS	THF	39%	25:75
11	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(S)-BINAP	THF	20%	78:22
12	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(R)-tol-BINAP	THF	50%	16:84
13	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(R)-xyl-BINAP	THE	72%	4:96
14	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(R)-H8-BINAP	THF	71%	10:90
15	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-H8-BINAP	THF	52%	6:94
16	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-MeO-BIPHEP	THF	60%	8:92
17	$[Rh(cod)(CH_3CN)_2]BF_4/(R)-SDP$	THF	32%	97:3
18	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP	THF	46% (63%)	99.5:0.5
22	$\begin{array}{c c} tBu \\ \downarrow \\ DTBM \\ tBu \\ DTBM \\ tol \\ Ar= DTBM, (R)-DTBM-SEGPHOS \\ Ar= xyl, (R)-xyl-SEGPHOS \\ Ar= xyl, (R)-xyl-SEGPHOS \\ Ar= xyl, (R)-xyl-SEGPHOS \\ Ar= xyl, (R)-xyl-SEGPHOS \\ Ar= xyl, (R)-xyl-BINAP \\ Ar= xyl, (R)-x$	$ \begin{array}{c} $	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$	$P(xyl)_2$ $P(xyl)_2$ $P(xyl)_2$ $P(Ar)_2$ P

^{*a*} Unless otherwise mentioned, the reaction was run with 10 mol % rhodium complex (based on the metal) and 12 mol % ligand on a 0.1 mmol scale at 110 °C for 48 h; numbers in parenthesis are yields based on recovered starting material (brsm). ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*d*} 110 °C for 12 h and then 130 °C for 24 h. ^{*e*} 130 °C. ^{*f*} NMR yield using mesitylene as the internal standard.

Previously, we have demonstrated the C1–C2 bond of benzocyclobutenones can be selectively cleaved to allow subsequent intramolecular insertion of alkenes and alkynes.⁸ The challenges of developing enantioselective carboacylation of C=N double bonds are *three-fold*: a) unlike ketones/aldehydes, imines tend to undergo E/Z isomerization and exist as a mixture of geometric isomers, which may complicate the enantio-determining process; b) due to the Lewis basicity of the nitrogen, imines can coordinate with metals in either an η^1 or η^2 mode, which is a distinct feature from the olefin/alkyne insertion;¹¹ (c) imine hydrolysis can be a competitive side reaction.

2. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 Condition optimization

To explore the aforementioned challenges, we sought the use of oximes as an imine equivalent due to their bench stability and the ease of cleavage of N–O bond for introducing various substituents on the nitrogen (*vide infra*, eq 2 and Scheme 2). Consequently, benzocyclobutenone **1a** containing a ketoxime group with

variable E/Z ratios (1:1 to 4:1) was employed as the initial substrate, and various catalytic conditions were explored (Table 1). Due to the different nature between C=C and C=N bonds, the conditions with [Rh(cod)Cl]₂ and dppb that previously worked best^{8a} for olefin insertion only yielded a small amount of product (entry 1, Table 1). In contrast, through examining of various rhodium pre-catalysts, the corresponding cationic complex showed much higher reactivity (entry 2, Table 1). Regarding the solvent effect, THF was found to be optimal, and 1,4-dioxane also provided a reasonable yield (entries 2-5, Table 1). It is likely that both solvents can stabilize the active rhodium intermediates during the reaction via weak coordination. In addition, the pre-made and in situ generated rhodium complexes (with dppb ligand) exhibited similar reactivity (entry 6, Table 1). Meanwhile, a survey of the counter-ion effect indicated tetrafluoroborate is the best counter-ion for this reaction (Table S1). Hence, [Rh(cod)(CH₃CN)₂]BF₄ was selected as the initial pre-catalyst for further investigation of the enantioselective transformation.

Table 2. Studies of the Mixed-ligand Conditions and Control Experiments^a

	10 N-0Me 10 12 n 110	mol% [Rh] nol% ligand °C, solvent			
Entry	Catalyst/ Ligand	Additives	Solvent	Yield ^b	er(R:S) ^c
1	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP	none	THF	46% (63%)	99.5:0.5
2	[Rh(cod)(CH ₃ CN) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP	none	1,4-dioxane	51% (85%)	99:1
3	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyI-SDP	none	1,4-dioxane	53% (90%)	99:1
4 ^d	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP	none	1,4-dioxane	55% (85%)	99:1
5	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(S)-xyl-BINAP	none	1,4-dioxane	79%	96:4
6	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP : (<i>S</i>)-xyl-BINAP = 1:1	none	1,4-dioxane	63%	97:3
7	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP : (<i>R</i>)-xyl-BINAP = 1:1	none	1,4-dioxane	75%	45:55
8	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP : (<i>rac</i>)-xyl-BINAP = 1:1	none	1,4-dioxane	74%	66:34
9 ^d	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP : (<i>S</i>)-xyl-BINAP = 1:1	none	1,4-dioxane	72%	97.2:2.5
10 ^d	$[Rh(cod)(CH_3CN)_2]BF_4/(R)-xyI-SDP : (S)-xyI-BINAP =$	1:1 none	1,4-dioxane	61%	97:3
11 ^d	[Rh(cod) ₂]BF ₄ /(<i>R</i>)-xyl-SDP : (<i>S</i>)-xyl-BINAP = 2:1	none	1,4-dioxane	60%	98.5:1.5
12 ^d	$[Rh(cod)_2]BF_4/(R)-xyl-SDP : (S)-xyl-BINAP = 5:1$	none	1,4-dioxane	54%	99:1
	variations from entry 9				
13	w/o Rh catalysts	none	1,4-dioxane	0%	N/A
14	w/o ligand	none	1,4-dioxane	0%	N/A
15	w/o catalyst & ligand	none	1,4-dioxane	0%	N/A
16	w/o catalyst & ligand	20 mol% ZnCl ₂	1,4-dioxane	0%	N/A
17	w/o catalyst & ligand	20 mol% AICl ₃	1,4-dioxane	0%	N/A
18	w/o catalyst & ligand	20 mol% B(C ₆ F ₅) ₃	1,4-dioxane	0%	N/A

^{*a*} Unless otherwise mentioned, the reaction was run with 10 mol % rhodium complex (based on the metal) and 12 mol % ligand on a 0.1 mmol scale at 110 °C for 48 h; numbers in parenthesis are yields based on recovered starting material (brsm). ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*d*} Rhodium complex (5 mol%) and mixed ligands (6 mol%) were added initially; the reaction mixture was stirred at 110 °C for 24 h before another portion of the same catalyst was added.

A range of chiral bi-dentate phosphine ligands were examined. DTBM-SEGPHOS and DIOP, which previously gave excellent enantioselectivity^{8b} for the olefin insertion, only resulted in low yields and poor enantioselectivity (entries 7 and 9, Table 1). Surprisingly, xylyl-substituted SEGPHOS provided 86% ee (entry 8, Table 1). Subsequently, ligands with different backbones, such as SYNPHOS, BINAP, H8-BINAP and MeO-BIPHEP, were evaluated (entries 10-16, Table 1). Again, the xylyl-based ligands showed significantly higher enantioselectivity than their phenyl and tolyl analogues. In particular, good yield (72%) and excellent ee (92%) can be obtained with xyl-BINAP (entry 13, Table 1). While efforts to further improve the enantioselectivity using xyl-BINAP remained unfruitful, the xyl-SDP ligand, first developed by Zhou and coworkers,¹² was found to give almost perfect enantioselectivity (99% ee) (entry 18, Table 1). Such a high enantioselectivity of this transformation is remarkable, because it suggested that, although involving C-N formation, both E and Z isomers of the oxime substrate can be converted to the same enantiomer of the product. The absolute configuration (the R isomer) was confirmed by the micro-focused X-ray crystallography (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Crystal structure of compound **2a** at 50% probability level with absolute stereochemistry, Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity

The enantioselective version of the reaction was further optimized with xyl-SDP as the ligand (Table 2). Changing the solvent from THF to 1,4-dioxane slightly increased the yield (entry 2, Table 2) and employing [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ as the pre-catalyst gave a cleaner reaction (entry 3, Table 2). In addition, adding the catalyst in two portions also improved the yield (entry 4, Table 2). However, the reaction yield remained moderate despite intensive conditions screened using xyl-SDP ligand alone (Table S2). The impressively high enantioselectivity with the rigid SDP ligands suggested a well-controlled transition state, but the moderate yields indicated the stability of the catalyst could be an issue. Efforts of adding a mono-dentate ligand, such as PPh₃ or $P(C_6F_5)_3$,¹³ to stabilize the catalyst intermediate remained unsuccessful due to side reactions triggered by these rhodiummono-dentate-phosphine complexes (Table S2).

However, instead of using xyl-SDP (12 mol%) alone, when 6 mol% xyl-BINAP and 6 mol% xyl-SDP were used together, a significantly higher yield (72%) and excellent ee (95%) were obtained (entry 9, Table 2). It is worth noting that the Renantiomers of xyl-BINAP and xyl-SDP gave opposite enantioselectivity (entry 7, Table 2), thus the (S)-xyl-BINAP was coupled with (R)-xyl-SDP. We hypothesized that, while giving lower enantioselectivity than xyl-SDP, xyl-BINAP has a higher catalytic activity and lifetime. Indeed, when an equimolar (R)-xyl-SDP and (R)-xyl-BINAP were used together, the major enantiomer of the product was dictated by the xyl-BINAP ligand (entry 7, Table 2). It was also reasonable to observe that only 32% ee (instead of ~50% ee if two ligands were equally efficient) was provided when (R)-xyl-SDP was used in combination with racxyl-BINAP (entry 8, Table 2). In addition, [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ was confirmed to be a better pre-catalyst for the mixed ligand system (entry 10, Table 2). Although increasing the xyl-SDP/BINAP ratio further enhanced the ee (entries 11 and 12, Table 2), the yields were nevertheless compromised.

Further control experiments suggested that both rhodium and ligands were crucial for the success of the reaction (entries 13-15, Table 2). Finally, to examine whether this transformation could be

catalyzed by Lewis acids alone, a number of Lewis acids were surveyed (entries 16-18, Table 2 and Table S2); however, none provided the desired product.

Table 3. Substrate Scope^a



^a Reaction conditions: [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ (5 mol %), (*R*)-xyl-SDP (3 mol %), (*S*)-xyl-BINAP (3 mol%), 1,4-dioxane, 130 °C; another portion of the same catalyst was added after 24h. ^b Reaction temperature was 110 °C. ^c Isolated yield; numbers in the parenthesis are brsm yields. ^d Determined by chiral HPLC. ^e (*R*)-xyl-SDP (12 mol%) alone was used. ^f [Rh(CH₃CN)₂(cod)]BF₄ (20 mol%) and (*R*)-xyl-BINAP (25 mol%) were used.

2.2 Substrate Scope

With the optimized conditions in hand (entry 9, Table 2), we next investigated the substrate scope. First, substitutes on the ketoxime with various steric properties all underwent the desired carboacylation giving excellent enantioselectivity (≥ 90% ee, Table 3). It is not surprising that when the steric bulk of the oxime substituent increased from methyl to ethyl and to isopropyl, the reactivity diminished and a higher temperature (130 °C) was required (entries 2-4, Table 3). Although the aldoxime substrate (1e) suffered from a competing β -H elimination issue and the lactam product (2e) was unstable at high temperatures, a moderate yield (37%) with an excellent ee (92%) can nevertheless be obtained by using xyl-SDP alone as the ligand. It is encouraging to observe that 6-membered rings can also be formed generating an interesting 6-6-6 fused lactam (entry 6, Table 3). Moreover, both electron-donating and withdrawing groups on the arene can be well tolerated (entries 7-12, Table 3). In particular, both C5 and C6-substituted benzocyclobutenones are competent substrates

Next, we aimed at replacing the ether linker with a carbonbased one. Substrate 1m with a pre-existing stereocenter underwent smooth transformation to give the fused lactam in 70% yield with 3:1 d.r. (entry 13, Table 3). Finally, the substrate containing a cyclic oxime was examined for this transformation (entry 14, Table 3). We were concerned that the relatively rigid conformation of the six-membered ring with a fixed orientation would hinder the carboacylation process. To our delight, with a higher catalyst loading the desired tetracyclic scaffold containing two adjacent stereocenters can nonetheless be provided in a good yield and excellent diastereoselectivity (>20:1 d.r.). The structure of product 2n was confirmed by both 2D-NMR and X-ray diffraction analysis.

2.3 Synthetic Applications

One advantage of using oximes as the C=N coupling partner is that the O–N bond can be easily cleaved using various reductants.¹⁴ For example, treatment of lactam **2a** with Mo(CO)₆ provided the free amide in 86% yield (eq 2). *N*-arylated and alkylated lactams can be conveniently obtained under crosscoupling¹⁵ and S_N2 conditions (Scheme 2). While the benzocyclobutenone substrates with a C8-substituent were not reactive under carboacylation conditions, arylation and alkylation at the C8-position can be efficiently achieved through post functionalization. In particular, complete diastereoselectivity (7) was obtained for the alkylation reaction, suggesting an excellent convex-face-controlled situation. The relative stereochemistry was unambiguously confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis.



60

1

Scheme 2. Synthetic Applications I



Furthermore, a new saturated scaffold can be efficiently constructed using a mild Rh-catalyzed hydrogenation protocol.¹⁶ Two interesting features should be noted: 1) the reaction gives a perfect diastereoselectivity; 2) the *N*-OMe bond and the amide moiety remained intact after the reaction. In addition, a complementary LiAlH₄ reduction smoothly provided the corresponding *N*-OMe piperidine.¹⁷

Scheme 3. Synthetic Applications II



3. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a highly enantioselective Rhcatalyzed carboacylation of oxime C=N bonds via C-C activation. Using this method, unique polycyclic lactam scaffolds can be efficiently accessed from benzocyclobutenone-coupled oximes. The reaction conditions do not use a strong acid or base, and are overall redox neutral. High enantioselectivity can be achieved despite using a mixture of the E/Z isomers of the oximes. Considering the novelty of these structures, the potential pharmaceutical applications of the fused heterocyclic products are being investigated. Moreover, given the importance of amidebond formation, this catalytic asymmetric C-C activation method should also have broad implications beyond this work. Detailed mechanistic studies and expansion of the reaction scope to other 2π -insertion reactions are ongoing in our laboratory.

4. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Conditions for the Rh-catalyzed Carboacylation of C=N Bonds:

In a nitrogen filled glove box, an 8 mL vial was charged with the benzocyclobutenone substrate (1a to 1n, 0.1 mmol), [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ (2.1 mg, 0.005 mmol, 5 mol%), (*R*)-xyl-SDP (2.1 mg, 0.003 mmol, 3 mol%) and (*S*)-xyl-BINAP (2.2 mg, 0.003 mmol, 3 mol%) {or [Rh(cod)₂]BF₄ (2.1 mg, 0.005 mmol, 5 mol%), (*R*)-xyl-SDP (4.2 mg, 0.006 mmol, 6 mol%) for 1e; [Rh(CH₃CN)₂(cod)]BF₄ (3.7 mg, 0.01 mmol, 10 mol %) and (*R*)-xyl-BINAP (9.2 mg, 0.0125 mmol, 12.5 mol%) for 1n}. After adding 2 mL 1,4-dioxane, the vial was capped and stirred at room temperature for 5 minutes. The solution was then maintained at 110 °C (1a, 1e, 1f, 1h, 1i, 1j, 1m) or 130 °C (1b, 1c, 1d, 1g, 1k, 1l, 1n) for 24h before another portion of the same catalyst were

added. After the reaction was maintained at the same temperature for another 24h, it was cooled to room temperature and purified by silica gel flash chromatography (CAM stain was used to visualize the location of the sample on TLC plate).

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information Experimental procedures; spectral data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

gbdong@cm.utexas.edu; xutao@ouc.edu.cn

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank CPRIT, NIGMS (R01GM109054) and the Welch Foundation (F 1781) for research grants. G.D. is a Searle Scholar and Sloan fellow. We thank Dr. M. C. Young for proofreading the manuscript. We also thank Dr. V. Lynch and Dr. M. C. Young for X-ray structures. Johnson Matthey is acknowledged for a generous donation of Rh salts. We are grateful to Ms. V. Garza for her kind assistance on HPLC. Chiral Technologies is thanked for their generous donation of chiral HPLC columns.

REFERENCES

- (1) For selected reviews on C-C activation, see: (a) Jones, W. D. Nature 1993, 364, 676. (b) Murakami, M.; Ito, Y. Top. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 3, 97. (c) Rybtchinski, B.; Milstein, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 870. (d) Jun, C.-H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2004, 33, 610. (e) Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 14, 1. (f) Necas, D.; Kotora, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2007, 11, 1566. (g) Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 245. (h) Ruhland, K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2683. (i) Korotvicka, A.; Necas, D.; Kotora, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2012, 16, 1170. (j) Seiser, T.; Saget, T.; Tran, D. N.; Cramer, N. Angew. Chem., 2011, 47, 1100. (l) Dermenci, A.; Coe, J. W.; Dong, G. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 567. (m) C-C bond activation. In Topics in Current Chemistry; Dong, G., Ed.; Springer-Verlag: Berlin, 2014, Vol. 346. (n) Chen, F.; Wang, T.; Jiao, N. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 8613. (o) Souillart, L.; Cramer, N. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9410.
- (2) For two seminal works: (a) South, M. S.; Liebeskind, L. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 4181. (b) Murakami, M.; Itahashi, T.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 13976.
- (3) Souillart, L.; Cramer, N. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 9640.
- (4) Souillart, L.; Cramer, N. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1863.
- (5) Li, B.-S.; Wang, Y.; Jin, Z.; Zheng, P.; Ganguly, R.; Chi, Y. R. Nature Comm. 2015, 6, 6207.
- (6) For examples of drugs containing a chiral lactam moiety, see: (a) St Georgiev, V.; Van Inwegen, R. G.; Carlson, P. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **1990**, *25*, 375. (b) Mekonnen, B.; Weiss, E.; Katz, E.; Ma, J.; Ziffer, H.; Kyle, D. E. *Biorg. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *8*, 1111. (c) Ding, Y.-S.; Lin, K.-S.; Logan, J.; Benveniste, H.; Carter, P. J. Neurochem. **2005**, *94*, 337. (d) Lin, K.-S.; Ding, Y.-S. *Biorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 4658. (e) Altomare, C.; Carotti, A.; Casini, G.; Cellamare, S.; Ferappi, M.; Gavuzzo, E.; Mazza, F.; Pantaleoni, G.; Giorgi, R. *J. Med. Chem.* **1988**, *31*, 2153. (f) Altomare, C.; Cellamare, S.; Carotti, A.; Casini, G.; Ferappi, M.; Gavuzzo, E.; Mazza, F.; Carrupt, P.-A.; Gaillard, P.; Testa, B. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 170.
- (7) For a seminal mechanistic study on metal-mediated cleavage of benzocyclobutenone C-C bonds, see: (a) Huffman, M. A.; Liebeskind, L. S.; Pennington, W. T. Organometallics, **1990**, *9*, 2194. (b) Huffman, M. A.; Liebeskind, L. S.; Pennington, W. T. Organometallics, **1992**, *11*, 255. For a detailed computational mechanistic study of Rhcatalyzed C-C activation of benzocyclobutenones, see: (c) Lu, G.; Fang, C.; Xu, T.; Dong, G.; Liu, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2015**, *137*, 8274.

- (8) (a) Xu, T.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7567. (b) Xu, T.;
 Ko, H. M.; Sagave, N. A.; Dong, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20005. (c) Xu, T.; Savage, N. A.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1891. (d) Xu, T.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 10733. (e) Chen, P.-h.; Xu, T.; Dong, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1674.
- (9) For selected examples involving 2π-insertion to related rhodacyclopentanones: references 2b, 3 and (a) Matsuda, T.; Fujimoto, A.; Ishibashi, M.; Murakami, M. Chem. Lett. 2004, 33, 876. (b) Shaw, M. H.; Melikhova, E. Y.; Kloer, D. P.; Whittingham, W. G.; Bower, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4992. (c) Ko, H. M.; Dong, G. Nature Chemistry 2014, 6, 739. (d) Parker, E.; Cramer, N. Organometallics 2014, 33, 780. (e) Souillart, L.; Parker, E.; Cramer, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3001. (f) Shaw, M. H.; McCreanor, N. G.; Whittingham, W. G.; Bower, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 463. (g) Shaw, M. H.; Croft, R. A.; Whittingham, W. G.; Bower, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8054.
- (10) For examples of drugs containing a tetrahydroisoquinoline moiety, see: (a) Omar, H.; Hashim, N. M.; Zajmi, A.; Nordin, N.; Abdelwahab, S. I.; Azizan, A. H. S.; Hadi, A. H. A.; Ali, H. M. Molecules 2013, 18, 8994. (b) Zarga, M. A.; Miana, G. A.; Shamma, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1981, 22, 541. (c) Grunewald, G. L.; Sall, D. J.; Monn, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1988, 31, 433. (d) Kim, J. H.; Ryu, Y. B.; Lee, W. S.; Kim, Y. H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2014, 22, 6047. (e) Ye, N.; Chen, C. H.; Chen, T.; Song, Z.; He, J. X.; Huan, X. J.; Song, S. S.; Liu, Q.; Chen, Y.; Ding, J.; Xu, Y.; Miao, Z. H.; Zhang, A. J. Med. Chem. 2013, 56, 2885. (f) Parraga, J.; Galan, A.; Sanz, M. J.; Cabedo, N.; Cortes, D. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 90, 101. For a comprehensive review of the chemistry and biology of the tetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids, see: (g) Scott, J. D.; Williams, R. M. Chem. Rev. 2002, 102, 1669. For isoquinolinones with novel vasorelaxation activity, see: (h) Lin, C. H.; Lin, M. S.; Lin, Y. H.; Chen, I. M.; Lin, P. R.; Cheng, C. Y.; Tsai, M. C. Pharmacology 2003, 67, 202.
 - (11) (a) Ittel, S. D.; Johnson, L. K. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 1169. (b)
 Milios, C. J.; Stamatatos, T. C.; Perlepes, S. P. Polyhedron 2006, 25, 134.
 - (12) (a) Xie, J.-H.; Zhou, Q.-L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 581. (b) Xie, J.-H.; Zhu, S.-F.; Zhou, Q.-L. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1713. (c) Fan, B.-M.; Xie, J.-H.; Li, S.; Wang, L.-X.; Zhou, Q.-L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1275. (d) Liu, S.; Xie, J.-H.; Wang, L.-X.; Zhou, Q.-L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7506.
- (13) Yang, Y.; Shi, S.-L.; Niu, D.; Liu, P.; Buchwald, S. L. Science 2015, 349, 62.
- (14) For examples of reductive cleavage of N-O bonds: (a) using samarium(II) iodide: Myers, R. M.; Langston, S. P.; Conway, S. P.; Abell, C. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1349. (b) using ruthenium: Fukuzawa, H.; Ura, Y.; Kataoka, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 3643. (c) using zinc or molybdenum: (e) Atobe, M.; Yamazaki, N.; Kibayashi, C. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5595. (d) Ren, Z.; Schulz, J. E.; Dong, G. Org Lett 2015, 17, 2696.
- (15) For reviews on C-N bond formations: (a) Muci, A.R.; Buchwald, S. L. Topics in Curr. Chem. 2002, 219, 131. (b) Hartwig, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 852. (c) Wolfe, J. P.; Wagaw, S.; Marcoux, J. F.; Buchwald, S. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 805. (d) Bariwal, J.; Eycken, E. V. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 9283. (e) Beletskaya, I.; Cheprakov, A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 2337.
- (16) Januszkiewicz, K. R.; Alper, H. Organometallics 1983, 2, 1055 and reference 8b.
- (17) Wardrop, D. J.; Basak, A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 1053.

