

Reaction of (POR)M(OAc)₂ (M = Zr, Hf) with ⁿBuLi and solid state structure of [(TPP)Zr(μ-OH)₂]₂

Jean L. Huhmann^{a,*}, Joyce Y. Corey^a, Nigam P. Rath^a, Charles F. Campana^b

^a University of Missouri-St. Louis, St. Louis, MO 63121, USA

^b Siemens Industrial Automation, 6300 Enterprise Lane, Madison, WI 53719, USA

Received 24 March 1995; in revised form 3 July 1995

Abstract

A general route to (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂, (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ and (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂ from the reaction of MCl₄ and H₂(POR) in benzonitrile, followed by treatment with pyridine + acetic acid is described. The reaction of (POR)M(OAc)₂ with ⁿBuLi occurs in a stepwise manner, with approximately 2 equiv. of ⁿBuLi required before the formation of M(ⁿBu) is observed, and 4 equiv. required for stoichiometric conversion to (POR)M(ⁿBu)₂. The tetrahydroxo-bridged dimer [(TPP)Zr(μ-OH)₂]₂ was obtained as the benzene solvate from the hydrolysis of (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂, and the structure was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction (triclinic, *P*1̄; *a* = 10.606(2) Å, *b* = 13.003(3) Å, *c* = 14.587(3) Å, α = 99.85(3)°, β = 107.61(3)°, γ = 96.82(3)°, *Z* = 2).

Keywords: Porphyrin; Zirconium; Hafnium; Silane

1. Introduction

The organometallic chemistry of the early transition metal porphyrins has lagged behind that of the corresponding late transition metal derivatives, largely due to their high oxophilicity and ease of hydrolysis [1]. There has been a growing interest in the development of Zr and Hf porphyrins, a useful feature of which is the availability of *cis* forms of (POR)MX₂ (POR = porphyrin dianion), such as (TPP)MCl₂ (TPP = *meso*-tetraphenyl porphyrin) and (OEP)MCl₂ (OEP = octaethylporphyrin) [2]. Furthermore, the structure and reactivity for such Zr and Hf metallocenes could be related to that of the versatile Group 4 metallocenes Cp₂MCl₂ (Fig. 1(a,b)) [2a,2c,3,4].

Recent investigations into the reaction chemistry of Zr and Hf porphyrins have provided several organometallic compounds. The Zr complexes are obtained primarily from a salt elimination reaction between RM' and (POR)ZrCl₂. For example, the σ-bonded complexes (OEP)ZrR₂ (R = Me, Et, CH₂SiMe₃, *p*-C₆H₄Bu) [2c], (TPP)ZrMe₂ [2a], and π-bonded complexes (OEP)Zr(COT) [2c] and (OEP)Zr(η⁵-1,2-C₂B₉H₁₁) [2a,5] were all obtained from the reaction of (POR)ZrCl₂ with a Li, Na, K, Mg, or Tl salt of R.

Similarly, reaction of (TPP)HfCl₂ with MeLi provided (TPP)HfMe₂ [6a]. In addition, the preparation of (POR)Hf(bdt) (bdt = benzenedithiolate, POR = TPP) from the reaction of (POR)HfCl₂ with H₂(bdt) in the presence of Et₃N illustrates the further synthetic utility of the halide precursors [6b]. A related process has recently been described by Arnold, where Li₂(POR)(solv)_n was reacted with (Me₃SiCH₂)₂MCl₂·(solv)_n to produce (POR)M(CH₂SiMe₃)₂ (M = Zr, Hf; POR = TPP, OEP; solv = Et₂O, THF) [7]. The dichloro-substituted Zr and Hf metallocenes employed as starting materials in the majority of these reactions were prepared from the reaction of [Li(THF)₄][Li(POR)] with [MCl₄(solv)₂] (solv = THF, THT) [2]. One disadvantage of using (POR)MCl₂ as a starting point for the synthesis of organometallic complexes is that the Zr and Hf porphyrin dichlorides are




Fig. 1.

* Corresponding author.


easily hydrolyzed, leading to difficulties in purification and storage.

We sought an alternative entry to organometallic Zr and Hf porphyrin complexes through the diacetate derivatives, (POR)M(OAc)₂, which are air and moisture stable, and may be easily stored and handled. The Zr and Hf porphyrin diacetates have been shown to possess two bidentate ⁻O₂CCH₃ ligands, and because these ligands are oriented in a *cis* configuration, (POR)M(OAc)₂ may also be considered related to Cp₂MCl₂ (Fig. 1(c)) [8]. Along these lines, an earlier study by Inoue presented NMR evidence that the reaction of (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ with a large excess (9 equiv.) of RLi produced (TPP)ZrR₂ (R = Me, ⁿBu, Et, Ph) [9]. However, little of the reaction chemistry of Zr and Hf porphyrin diacetates has been developed, and a general method leading to the isolation of organometallic compounds from reactions with (POR)M(OAc)₂ has not yet been established. In this study, we report a general synthesis of (POR)M(OAc)₂ (M = Zr; POR = TPP, OEP; M = Hf; POR = TPP) and reactions of these porphyrin diacetates with ⁿBuLi, CH₃COOH and HCl.

2. Results

2.1. Synthesis of (POR)M(OAc)₂

The porphyrin diacetates (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂, (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ and (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂ were prepared in high yields (82–92%) from MCl₄ and H₂(POR) in refluxing benzonitrile, followed by reaction of the concentrated benzonitrile solution with a boiling acetic acid + pyridine mixture. The crude product crystallized from the reaction mixture after the addition of boiling water to provide bright pink or purple plates. The general reaction sequence is summarized in Eq. (1).



An excess of MCl₄ was used to drive the consumption of H₂(POR), as it is difficult to cleanly separate the product (POR)M(OAc)₂ from the starting porphyrin. The initial mixing of H₂(TPP) with MCl₄ in benzonitrile produced a bright green solution for both Zr and Hf, which indicated the formation of H₄(TPP)²⁺ (identified by the characteristic UV-vis spectra) [10]. The green color gradually darkened upon reflux and eventually turned dark red, presumably forming the dichloride (TPP)MCl₂ [11], which was not isolated from the benzonitrile solution but concentrated and dissolved in acetic acid + pyridine. In contrast, the mixture of H₂(OEP) with ZrCl₄ immediately turned dark red upon




Fig. 2. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) spectra, acetate region only. (a) (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂; (b) after addition of 0.4 equiv. of HOAc to (a); (c) after addition of 1.2 equiv. of HOAc to (a).

mixing in benzonitrile, and the intermediate formation of H₄(OEP)²⁺ was not observed.

The ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR and UV-vis spectroscopic data for (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ and (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ prepared according to Eq. (1) match those described in the literature [2c,4,12]. The Hf derivative, (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂, which was previously unreported, provided satisfactory elemental analysis and exhibited spectroscopic data that were very similar to those obtained for (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂.

2.2. Acetic acid exchange with (POR)M(OAc)₂

Surprisingly, the initial crystals obtained from the dropwise addition of boiling water to the (POR)M(OAc)₂ acetic acid + pyridine solutions did not exhibit the expected ¹H-NMR resonance for the acetate-CH₃ groups. This was found to result from an exchange between coordinated acetate and residual acetic acid. The residual acid was removed from the Hf complex by heating under vacuum, but the Zr complexes required elution of CHCl₃ solutions through a short SiO₂ plug. Once the residual acid was removed, a sharp resonance associated with the methyl group of the coordinated acetate was observed. As an example of this exchange, Fig. 2 demonstrates the effect that sequential addition of HOAc has on the ¹H-NMR resonance of the coordinated acetate for (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ in CDCl₃ solution. All three diacetate derivatives described in this paper exhibited this exchange.




Fig. 3. Partial ^1H -NMR (300 MHz, C_7D_8) spectrum of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{nBu})_2$ formed from the addition of 2 equiv. of nBuLi to $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$.

of hexanes provided almost quantitative conversion to $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$ (95% yield). The reaction proceeded with a color change from bright red to burgundy, and the final dichloride product was obtained as a red powder. The NMR and UV-vis spectral data matched those reported in the literature for $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$, prepared from $[\text{Li}(\text{THF})_4][\text{Li}(\text{TPP})]$ and $[\text{ZrCl}_4(\text{THF})_2]$ [2a]. Reaction of $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$ with HCl under similar conditions produced a dark purple solid, which proved to be a mixture of $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$ and $(\text{TPP})\text{HfCl}_2$ in approxi-

mately a 1:2 ratio, as determined by ^1H -NMR. The ^1H -NMR peaks assigned to the dichloride matched those reported in the literature for $(\text{TPP})\text{HfCl}_2$, prepared from $[\text{Li}(\text{THF})_4][\text{Li}(\text{TPP})]$ with $[\text{HfCl}_4(\text{THF})_2]$ [2b]. Under the same conditions, reaction of $(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ with HCl produced no identifiable products.

2.4. Reactions of $(\text{POR})\text{ZrCl}_2$ and $(\text{POR})\text{M}(\text{OAc})_2$ with nBuLi

When 2 equiv. of nBuLi were added to $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$ in C_7D_8 , resonances attributed to σ -bonded nBu groups for $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{nBu})_2$ appeared as well resolved first order multiplets between 0.2 and -4.0 ppm (Fig. 3). The integrated ratio of the sum of the α - and β - nBu protons to the β -pyrrole protons of the porphyrin was 1:1, confirming the presence of 2 coordinated nBu groups per $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}$ unit.

When 2 equiv. of nBuLi were added to $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$, $(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ or $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$, intermediate products were obtained, as indicated by multiple resonances in the porphyrin regions of the ^1H -NMR spectra and ratios of coordinated nBu to $(\text{POR})\text{M}$ of less than 1:1. However, when 4 equiv. of




Fig. 4. Partial ^1H -NMR (500 MHz, C_7D_8) spectra of stepwise reaction of $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$ with nBuLi . (a) $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$; (b) after addition of 2 equiv. of nBuLi to (a); (c) after addition of 4 equiv. of nBuLi to (a).

$^n\text{BuLi}$ had been added to $(\text{POR})\text{M}(\text{OAc})_2$, the ratio of coordinated ^nBu to $(\text{POR})\text{M}$ became 2:1, signifying the formation of the σ -bonded dibutyl complexes $(\text{POR})\text{M}(^n\text{Bu})_2$. The ^1H -NMR spectra shown in Fig. 4 illustrate this stepwise reaction of $^n\text{BuLi}$ with $(\text{POR})\text{M}(\text{OAc})_2$ for $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$, and similar data were obtained from reaction with $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ and $(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$. Finally, the porphyrin and butyl regions in the ^1H -NMR for the product obtained from the addition of 4 equiv. of $^n\text{BuLi}$ to $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ are identical to those obtained from the addition of 2 equiv. of $^n\text{BuLi}$ to $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$, confirming the formation of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(^n\text{Bu})_2$ from the diacetate.

2.5. Hydrolysis of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(^n\text{Bu})_2$ and solid state structure of $[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2]_2[\text{C}_6\text{H}_6]$


When a solution of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(^n\text{Bu})_2$ was kept at 5°C in benzene solution for 1 month, small purple crystals deposited. The crystals were sparingly soluble in CHCl_3 , CH_2Cl_2 and C_7H_8 , and insoluble in THF and hexanes. The ^1H -NMR spectrum of the crystals in CDCl_3 showed an upfield shift of the pyrrole protons to 8.42 ppm, and a signal at -6.79 ppm which was assigned to bridging OH groups. The FT-IR spectrum in KBr exhibited a weak O–H band at 3577 cm^{-1} . These data are consistent with those observed for hydroxo-bridged porphyrin dimers, such as described by Kim for $[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\mu\text{-O})]_2$ [13].

A single crystal X-ray diffraction study showed the crystals to be a benzene solvate of the tetra-hydroxo bridged dimer $[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2]_2$, which was presumed to form upon hydrolysis of the metal alkyl. Experimental details of the structure determination are provided in Table 1, and the atomic coordinates for non-hydrogen atoms are in Table 2. Table 3 lists selected bond lengths and angles. Two orientations of the dimer are presented as ORTEP drawings in Fig. 5.

3. Discussion

3.1. Formation and reactivity of $(\text{POR})\text{M}(\text{OAc})_2$

The preparation of the Zr diacetate complexes $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ [4] and $(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ [12] has been previously described. Generally, $\text{H}_2(\text{POR})$ is reacted with an excess of $\text{M}(\text{acac})_4$ ($\text{acac} = \text{acetylacetonate}^-$) in refluxing phenol to produce $(\text{POR})\text{M}(\text{X})_2$ ($\text{X} = \text{OPh}^-$ or acac^-). After treatment with aqueous NaOH and elution over Al_2O_3 , the resulting residue is treated with a refluxing acetic acid + pyridine mixture to produce the diacetate derivatives, which are precipitated by the addition of boiling water. This process is outlined in Eq. (2), and is similar to that used for the preparation of



$(\text{OEP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$ from $\text{H}_2(\text{OEP})$ and $\text{Hf}(\text{acac})_4$ (% yield not reported) [14].

The route developed in this paper and outlined in Eq. (1) has several advantages over the literature route described in Eq. (2). First, commercially available MCl_4 is used instead of $\text{M}(\text{acac})_4$. Second, this method does not require the isolation of the intermediate product from the reaction of MCl_4 with $\text{H}_2(\text{POR})$, eliminating the problems associated with removing high boiling, coordinating solvents. Lastly, the overall yields are slightly higher (82–92% vs. 74%).

The conversion of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ to $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$ with HCl gas in CHCl_3 has been reported in the literature, and the spectroscopic data were provided, although no experimental details were provided [4]. In this paper, we describe the nearly quantitative conversion of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ to $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$ (95% yield) by reaction with HCl in CH_2Cl_2 solution, followed by precipitation with an HCl saturated hexane solution. In contrast to the Zr case, the reaction of $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$ with HCl did not result in complete conversion to the dichloride. Instead, a mixture of $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$ and $(\text{TPP})\text{HfCl}_2$ was obtained. This difference in reactivity toward HCl for the Zr and Hf porphyrin diacetates is most probably due to the differences in M–Cl bond strengths. The Hf–Cl bond in $(\text{TPP})\text{HfCl}_2$ is weaker than the Zr–Cl bond in $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$ [11b]. Therefore, $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ is converted almost quantitatively to $(\text{TPP})\text{ZrCl}_2$, whereas $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$ remains in equilibrium with $(\text{TPP})\text{HfCl}_2$.

Table 1
Crystallographic data for $[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2]_2[\text{C}_6\text{H}_6]$

Crystal system	Triclinic
Space group	$P\bar{1}$
a (Å)	10.606(2)
b (Å)	13.003(3)
c (Å)	14.587(3)
α (°)	99.85(3)
β (°)	107.61(3)
γ (°)	96.82(3)
V (Å ³)	1857.8(7)
Z	2
D_c (g cm ⁻³)	1.389
T (K)	293(2)
Radiation	Mo K α ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å)
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.342
2θ range (°)	3.0 to 45.0
Reflections collected	6080
Independent reflections	4689 ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0416$)
Data/restraints/parameters	4518/0/488
Final R indices [$I > 2\sigma$]	$R(F) = 0.0920$
R indices (all data)	$wR(F^2) = 0.3165$
Goodness of fit (F^2)	1.04

Curiously, the analogous reaction of (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ with HCl did not produce (OEP)ZrCl₂. Instead, dark colored insoluble decomposition products were obtained. However, Arnold has recently described the

Table 2

Atomic coordinates ($\times 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2 \times 10^3$) for [(TPP)Zr(μ -OH)₂]₂

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	<i>U</i> _{eq} ^a
Zr	3945(1)	9782(1)	495(1)	30(1)
O(1)	5324(18)	11133(10)	511(13)	135(6)
O(2)	5986(13)	9819(18)	813(9)	167(9)
N(1)	3823(8)	8244(8)	1043(6)	32(2)
N(2)	4591(8)	10405(7)	2163(6)	31(2)
N(3)	2650(9)	11045(8)	591(6)	34(2)
N(4)	1867(8)	8915(7)	−475(6)	33(2)
C(1)	3201(11)	7275(9)	480(8)	33(3)
C(2)	3691(14)	6461(10)	995(9)	49(3)
C(3)	4593(12)	6941(10)	1850(9)	45(3)
C(4)	4706(11)	8808(10)	1906(8)	36(3)
C(5)	5426(11)	8851(10)	2731(8)	37(3)
C(6)	5362(11)	9953(11)	2845(7)	41(3)
C(7)	6052(13)	10714(10)	3710(9)	47(3)
C(8)	5662(13)	11665(11)	3592(9)	50(3)
C(9)	4731(11)	11455(9)	2586(7)	30(3)
C(10)	4091(11)	12221(10)	2173(8)	36(3)
C(11)	3154(11)	11996(9)	1224(8)	34(3)
C(12)	2507(14)	12805(10)	789(8)	49(3)
C(13)	1644(12)	12310(10)	−105(8)	42(3)
C(14)	1707(11)	11184(10)	−234(8)	36(3)
C(15)	926(11)	10403(10)	−1026(8)	37(3)
C(16)	984(11)	9320(10)	−1116(8)	37(3)
C(17)	18(13)	8527(11)	−1872(9)	52(4)
C(18)	349(13)	7556(11)	−1722(9)	50(3)
C(19)	1528(11)	7824(10)	−827(8)	37(3)
C(20)	2122(12)	7043(9)	−415(8)	36(3)
C(21)	6331(11)	8527(10)	3606(7)	34(3)
C(22)	7403(12)	8070(10)	3512(9)	47(3)
C(23)	8217(15)	7740(13)	4301(10)	65(4)
C(24)	7949(14)	7814(12)	5148(10)	62(4)
C(25)	6883(15)	8238(12)	5232(9)	60(4)
C(26)	6099(12)	8584(11)	4459(8)	48(3)
C(27)	4442(12)	13325(9)	2748(7)	35(3)
C(28)	5700(15)	13936(12)	3007(10)	58(4)
C(29)	6002(19)	14930(13)	3552(12)	74(5)
C(30)	5091(23)	15387(12)	3864(10)	77(6)
C(31)	3829(20)	14810(14)	3642(11)	79(5)
C(32)	3518(14)	13793(10)	3089(9)	50(3)
C(33)	−47(10)	10713	−1893(7)	32(3)
C(34)	−1075(11)	11198(11)	−1805(8)	46(3)
C(35)	−1934(13)	11507(13)	−2601(10)	60(4)
C(36)	−1745(13)	11301(12)	−3485(9)	55(4)
C(37)	−718(14)	10797(12)	−3593(8)	57(4)
C(38)	130(13)	10511(10)	−2812(9)	47(3)
C(39)	1654(12)	5915(9)	−951(8)	37(3)
C(40)	400(13)	5396(10)	−1077(9)	48(3)
C(41)	−24(15)	4375(12)	−1554(10)	60(4)
C(42)	771(18)	3817(12)	−1951(10)	66(4)
C(43)	2010(18)	4303(12)	−1828(10)	65(4)
C(44)	2460(14)	5354(11)	−1340(10)	53(4)
C(45)	9(53)	4742(69)	4160(27)	179(20)
C(46)	824(55)	5870(60)	4664(36)	234(37)
C(47)	−750(55)	3864(51)	4475(76)	277(34)

^a *U*_{eq} is defined as one-third of the trace of the orthogonalized *U*_{*i,j*} tensor.

Table 3

Selected bond lengths and bond angles for [(TPP)Zr(μ -OH)₂]₂

Bond lengths ($\text{\AA}$)		Bond angles ($^\circ$)	
Zr–O(1)	2.14(2)	O(1)–Zr–O(2)	56.5(7)
Zr–O(2)	2.064(13)	O(2)–Zr–O(1A)	59.8(7)
Zr–O(1A)	2.116(13)	O(1)–Zr–O(2A)	59.1(6)
Zr–O(2A)	2.081(13)	O(1)–Zr–O(1A)	88.2(5)
Zr–N(1)	2.281(10)	O(2)–Zr–O(2A)	85.0(6)
Zr–N(2)	2.288(8)	N(1)–Zr–N(2)	78.3(3)
Zr–N(3)	2.275(9)	N(2)–Zr–N(3)	78.8(3)
Zr–N(4)	2.259(9)	N(3)–Zr–N(4)	77.0(3)
Zr–ZrA	3.057(2)	N(1)–Zr–N(4)	78.6(3)
		Zr–O(1)–ZrA	91.8(5)
		Zr–O(2)–ZrA	95.0(6)

conversion of (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ to (OEP)ZrCl₂ with SiCl₄, as well as the conversion of (OEP)ZrCl₂(0.5Tol) back to the diacetate (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ with NaOAc in HOAc [2c].

3.2. Formation of (POR)M(ⁿBu)₂

The general reaction of (POR)MCl₂ with RLi has been described in the literature and used as a route for the preparation of organometallic Zr and Hf complexes (POR)MR₂ (M = Zr, R = Me, CH₂SiMe₃, and *p*-C₆H₄^tBu; M = Hf, R = Me), and in all of these cases 2 equiv. of RLi were required for complete conversion to the dialkyl complex [2,6]. This was also observed for the conversion of (TPP)ZrCl₂ to (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂ with 2 equiv. of ⁿBuLi, as described in the current study. The dibutyl complex (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂ was not isolated from the reaction mixture, but was identified by the characteristic proton ⁿBu multiplets (see Fig. 3), which were observed in the ¹H-NMR spectrum between 0.2 and −4.0 ppm. The upfield shift of the ⁿBu resonances has been attributed to the strong shielding effect of the porphyrin ligand [2c].

In NMR studies, the conversion of (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ to (TPP)ZrR₂ with a large excess (9 equiv.) of RLi (R = Me, Et, Ph, ⁿBu) was described in a brief report by Inoue [9]. We found that the reaction is complete with the addition of 4 equiv. of ⁿBuLi, and at least 2 equiv. are required for any appreciable concentration of (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂ to be observed. In fact, after the addition of 2 equiv. of ⁿBuLi, the ratio of Zr coordinated ⁿBu to (TPP)Zr unit was only 0.1:1, but after the addition of 4 equiv, the ratio was 2:1. These results suggest that the first 2 equiv. of ⁿBuLi react with the coordinated acetate ligands, and the second 2 equiv. of ⁿBuLi react with the Zr. Similar reactivity was observed for the addition of 2 and 4 equiv. of ⁿBuLi to (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂ and (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂, except that the ratio of coordinated ⁿBu to (POR)M unit after the addition of the first 2 equiv. was 0.3:1 for (TPP)Hf and 0.8 for (OEP)Zr, and 2:1 for both after the addition of 4 equiv. ⁿBuLi.




Fig. 5. Projections of $[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2]_2$. (a) top view; (b) side view. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn to 50% probability.

3.3. Reactions of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$ with PhMe_2SiH and H_2O

It has been demonstrated that dialkyl zirconocenes such as $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$ decompose near room tempera-

ture via β -hydride elimination to produce the olefin complex $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{butene})$, which may be intercepted with PhMe_2SiH to provide the hydrosilylzirconium dimer $[\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{H})(\text{SiPhMe}_2)]_2$ [15]. Furthermore, $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{butene})$ acts as a catalyst for the conversion of R_2SiH_2 ($\text{R} = \text{H}, \text{Ph}, \text{Me}, \text{Et}$) to silicon oligomers through dehydrocoupling [16]. Our study was originally motivated by the expectation that $(\text{POR})\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$ would react in an analogous manner, and that the development of $(\text{POR})\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$ as a catalyst precursor for the dehydrocoupling of hydrosilanes to silicon oligomers would be possible. However, $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$ seems to be considerably more thermally stable than $\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$, and also shows no reaction with PhMe_2SiH . In fact, decomposition of the dialkyl porphyrin complex is not observed below 80°C . It is possible that the decomposition of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$ proceeds by a route other than β -hydride elimination, accounting for the lack of reactivity toward PhMe_2SiH .

Although $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{}^n\text{Bu})_2$ appears to be quite thermally stable in aromatic solvents, it proved to be susceptible to hydrolysis, and attempts to obtain crystals of the dibutyl complex were unsuccessful. One such attempt resulted in the isolation of a small amount of dark purple cubic shaped crystals of medium quality which were satisfactory for a single crystal X-ray diffraction study. The structure was determined to be a benzene solvate of the first tetrahydroxo bridged porphyrin dimer, $[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2]_2[\text{C}_6\text{H}_6]$ as illustrated in Fig. 5. Fig. 5a shows the approximate square-antiprismatic environment about the Zr center, with the four bridging oxygens forming one square of the face, and the four nitrogens of the porphyrin ring forming the other square face. The porphyrins are eclipsed and the bridging oxygens are disordered, as are the carbons in the benzene solvent molecule [17].

The structure of this dimer is similar to that reported for the oxygen bridged dimers $[(\text{TPP})\text{M}]_2(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\mu\text{-O})$ ($\text{M} = \text{Zr}$ [13], Hf [6a]) and $[(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}]_2(\mu\text{-OH})_3(7,8\text{-C}_2\text{B}_9\text{H}_{12})$ [13], which were isolated from attempts to crystallize $(\text{TPP})\text{MMe}_2$ and $(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\eta^5\text{-C}_2\text{B}_9\text{H}_{11})$ re-

Table 4
Comparison of parameters in oxygen-bridged Zr and Hf porphyrin dimers

Parameter	$[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2]_2$ ^a	$[(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}]_2(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\mu\text{-O})$ ^b	$[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}]_2(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\mu\text{-O})$ ^c	$[(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}]_2(\mu\text{-OH})_3^+$ ^d
M–O (oxo) (Å)	–	1.98	1.98	–
M–O (hydroxo) (Å)	2.14 (av)	2.19 (av)	2.18 (av)	2.15 (av)
M–O–M' (oxo) (°)	–	101.6	101.7	–
M–O–M' (hydroxo) (°)	91.4 (av)	88.9	89.7	98.2 (av)
M–M' (Å)	3.06	3.06	3.07	3.25
M–N ₄ plane (Å)	1.03	1.05	1.06	0.94
M–N (Å)	2.28 (av)	2.27 (av)	2.29 (av)	2.22 (av)
N–M–M'–N' (torsion) (°)	0.6 ^e	9.5	7.8	0.7

^a This work. ^b Ref. [6a]. ^c Ref. [13]. ^d Counterion is $7,8\text{-C}_2\text{B}_9\text{H}_{12}^-$, Ref. [13]. ^e N(1)–Zr–Zr–N(3).

spectively. A comparison of selected parameters for these three dimers and the new tetrahydroxo-bridged dimer is given in Table 4. All four structures have essentially eclipsed porphyrin rings, with the $[(\text{TPP})\text{M}]_2(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\mu\text{-O})$ exhibiting a slight twist about the M–M axis, and the shortest Zr–Zr distance is observed in the tetra-hydroxo bridged complex.

In a different study, the Zr compound $(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\text{CH}_2\text{-SiMe}_3)_2$ produced a mixture of products upon hydrolysis, and the bridged dimers $[(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}]_2(\mu\text{-OH})_2(\mu\text{-O})$ and $[(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-O})]_2$ were identified by NMR, but not characterized crystallographically [2c]. Hydrolysis can be quite complicated, and a number of products could be formed. Scheme 1 shows several possible reaction pathways for the hydrolysis of $(\text{POR})\text{MR}_2$ ($\text{R} = {}^n\text{Bu}$) leading to di-, tri-, and tetra-bridging dimers.

Other related oxygen bridged porphyrin dimers have been reported in the literature. For example, $[(\text{OEP})\text{Sc}(\mu\text{-OH})]_2$, which was obtained from the hydrolysis of $(\text{OEP})\text{ScN}(\text{SiMe}_3)_2$ and characterized by X-ray crystallography, also exhibits eclipsed porphyrin rings [18]. Data for a series of later transition metal mono-oxygen bridged porphyrin dimers have recently been described [19].

4. Summary

The air and moisture stable $(\text{POR})\text{M}(\text{OAc})_2$ diacetates offer a convenient entry into organometallic Zr and Hf porphyrin compounds. In this study, an improved general route to $(\text{POR})\text{M}(\text{OAc})_2$ from commercially available MCl_4 and $\text{H}_2(\text{POR})$ has been developed for $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$, $(\text{OEP})\text{Zr}(\text{OAc})_2$ and $(\text{TPP})\text{Hf}(\text{OAc})_2$. It was demonstrated for these three compounds that reaction with ${}^n\text{BuLi}$ occurs in a stepwise manner,


with approximately 2 equiv. of ${}^n\text{BuLi}$ required before the formation of metal coordinated ${}^n\text{Bu}$ is detected in measurable concentrations, and 4 equiv. required for complete conversion to $(\text{POR})\text{M}({}^n\text{Bu})_2$. The dibutyl complexes are quite thermally stable, but undergo hydrolysis, and for the case of $(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}({}^n\text{Bu})_2$, the tetrahydroxo-bridged dimer $[(\text{TPP})\text{Zr}(\mu\text{-OH})_2]_2$ was obtained as the benzene solvate and characterized by X-ray crystallography.

5. Experimental

General considerations.

All reactions unless otherwise noted were carried out under an atmosphere of N_2 using standard Schlenk techniques. Solvents were dried using standard methods, and all glassware was flame dried before use. Commercial compounds ZrCl_4 , HfCl_4 , $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{CN}$ (anhydrous), CH_3COOH , and $\text{C}_5\text{H}_5\text{N}$ were used as supplied; ${}^n\text{BuLi}$ was purchased in hexanes and the concentration determined by titration before use; PhMe_2SiH was prepared according to a literature procedure [20]; H_2TPP was prepared according to a literature procedure [21] and purified by elution over silica gel with hexanes– CHCl_3 (1:5). A sample of H_2OEP was graciously supplied by R. Guillard.

${}^1\text{H}$ - and ${}^{13}\text{C}$ -NMR data were recorded in CDCl_3 (referenced to CHCl_3) or C_7D_8 (referenced to $\text{C}_7\text{D}_7\text{H}$ quintet) on either an XL-300 Varian spectrometer equipped with a four nucleus probe, or a Bruker ARX500 equipped with either an inverse probe or a broad band probe. UV-vis data were collected on a Hitachi U-3100 spectrophotometer in CHCl_3 and are reported as λ_{max} in nm (log ϵ). IR data were collected



Scheme 1. Possible pathway for hydrolysis of $(\text{POR})\text{M}({}^n\text{Bu})_2$ to di-, tri- and tetra-oxygen bridged porphyrin dimers.

on a Mattson 6020 Galaxy Series FT-IR spectrometer (KBr). Elemental analysis was performed by Schwarzkopf Microanalytical Laboratories.

5.1. Synthesis of (POR)M(OAc)₂

Benzonitrile (10 ml) was added to a Schlenk tube containing H₂TPP (1.00 g, 1.63 mmol) and HfCl₄ (1.04 g, 3.25 mmol) to produce a bright green mixture which contained H₄TPP²⁺ (characteristic UV-vis bands at $\lambda_{\max}$ 670 nm, Soret band 443 nm). This solution was refluxed with stirring for 10 h, after which time the reaction mixture had developed an intense red color. Approximately 50% of the benzonitrile was removed by distillation under reduced pressure, and the concentrated reaction mixture was added to an Erlenmeyer flask containing pyridine (25 ml) and CH₃COOH (15 ml). The resulting dark red solution was refluxed 20 min before the dropwise addition of boiling water to provide bright pink plates, which were collected by filtration and washed with hot water and hexanes. Residual acetic acid was removed by heating under vacuum (100°C, 0.05 mmHg) for 24 h to provide pure (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂ (1.38 g, 92% yield). UV-vis (CHCl₃): 415 (5.71), 542 (4.23). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.20 (s, 6H, acetate CH₃), 7.7–7.9 (m, 12H, *m*, *p*-phenyl), 8.10 (br d, 4H *o*-phenyl), 8.35 (br d, 4H *o*-phenyl), 8.98 (s, 8H, pyrrole). ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 20.9, 125.0, 126.7, 127.9, 131.3, 134.8, 142.1, 150.2 (the C=O was not observed). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₈H₃₄O₄N₄Hf: C, 63.40; H, 3.77; Found: C, 64.24; H, 4.02.

Analogous to the procedure above, (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ (82% yield) and (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ (88% yield) were obtained from the reaction of H₂(POR) with ZrCl₄ in refluxing benzonitrile followed by treatment with pyridine + acetic acid. The residual acetic acid was removed from the zirconium diacetates by heating under vacuum followed by filtration of CHCl₃ solutions through a short SiO₂ plug. The ¹H, ¹³C-NMR and UV-vis spectra were identical to those reported in the literature [2c,4,12].

5.2. Reaction of (POR)M(OAc)₂ with acetic acid

In a septa capped NMR tube, (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ (14 mg, 0.0172 mmol) was dissolved in CDCl₃. Acetic acid was injected in increments of 0.0070 mmol via a 10 μ l syringe. The ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded after each addition and the results are illustrated in Fig. 3. Similar observations were obtained on addition of acetic acid to (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ and (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂.

5.3. Reaction of (POR)M(OAc)₂ with HCl

Anhydrous HCl was passed through a flask containing hexanes, then through a flask containing a solution

of (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ (0.50 g, 0.610 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (200 ml) for a period of 30 min, during which time the reaction mixture turned from bright red to burgundy. The CH₂Cl₂ solution was concentrated to approximately 50 ml and the HCl saturated hexanes was added to precipitate a dark red powder. The solid was collected by filtration, washed with hexanes, and dried under vacuo (0.05 mm Hg) at 50°C overnight to provide pure (TPP)ZrCl₂ (0.45 g, 95% yield). The ¹H, ¹³C-NMR and UV-vis spectra for (TPP)ZrCl₂ were identical to those reported in the literature [2a].

Analogous reaction of (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂ with HCl provided a mixture of (TPP)HfCl₂ and (TPP)Hf(OAc)₂ in a ratio of approx. 2/1 as determined by integration of the pyrrole resonances in the ¹H-NMR. The ¹H-NMR and UV-vis spectra for the (TPP)HfCl₂ were identical to those reported in the literature [2b]. Analogous reaction of (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂ provided no identifiable products.

5.4. Reaction of (TPP)ZrCl₂ with ⁿBuLi

(TPP)ZrCl₂ (8.60 mg, 0.0110 mmol) was partially dissolved in C₇D₈ (1.0 ml) and a solution of ⁿBuLi (12.0 μ l, 2.0 M) was added via a 50 μ l syringe to produce a dark red solution of (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂. ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, C₇D₈, see Fig. 3): δ -3.53 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 4H, α -CH₂), -2.84 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H, β -CH₂), -0.29 (sextet, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 4H, γ -CH₂), 0.15 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 6H, δ -CH₃), 7.4–7.6 (m, 12H, *m*, *p*-phenyl), 7.88 (br d, 4H *o*-phenyl), 8.52 (br d, 4H *o*-phenyl), 8.92 (s, 8H, pyrrole) [22].

5.5. Reaction of (POR)M(OAc)₂ with ⁿBuLi

(TPP)Hf(OAc)₂ (16.8 mg, 0.0185 mmol) was dissolved in C₇D₈ (1.0 ml) and a solution of ⁿBuLi (2.5 M) was injected in two increments of 14.8 μ l (2 equiv., 0.370 mmol) each via a 50 μ l syringe. ¹H-NMR of the dark red solution resulting after the addition of the first increment is shown in Fig. 4(b), and the integrated ratio of metal coordinated ⁿBu to (TPP)Hf unit is 0.3:1. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of the dark red/brown solution obtained after the addition of the second increment is shown in Fig. 4(c), where the integrated ratio of coordinated ⁿBu to (TPP)Hf unit becomes 2:1, and is assigned to (TPP)Hf(ⁿBu)₂. Similar observations were obtained on incremental addition of ⁿBuLi to (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂, where the ratio of coordinated ⁿBu to (TPP)Zr unit was 0.1:1 after the addition of the first increment, and 2:1 after the addition of the second; and for (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂, where the ratio of coordinated ⁿBu to (OEP)Zr unit was 0.8:1 after addition of the first increment, and 2:1 after addition of the second. (TPP)Hf(ⁿBu)₂: ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, C₇D₈, TPP and ⁿBu regions): δ -3.83 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 4H, α -CH₂), -2.00 (quintet, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 4H,

β -CH₂), -0.19 (sextet, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 4H, γ -CH₂), 0.17 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H, δ -CH₃), 7.3 – 7.6 (m, 12H, *m*, *p*-phenyl), 7.90 (br d, 4H *o*-phenyl), 8.47 (br d, 4H *o*-phenyl), 8.94 (s, 8H, pyrrole). (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, C₇D₈, TPP and ⁿBu regions): resonances identical to those obtained from the addition of 2 equiv. ⁿBuLi to (TPP)ZrCl₂. (OEP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, C₇D₈, OEP and ⁿBu regions): δ -3.87 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 4H, α -CH₂), -3.01 (quintet, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 4H, β -CH₂), -0.45 (sextet, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 4H, γ -CH₂), 0.12 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 6H, δ -CH₃), 1.88 (t, 24H, Et), 4.00 (m, 16H, Et), 10.53 (s, 4H, meta-porphyrin).

5.6. Reaction of (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂ with PhMe₂SiH

(TPP)ZrCl₂ (73 mg, 0.094 mmol) was allowed to react with ⁿBuLi (0.10 ml, 2.0 M) in C₇D₈ to form (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂, the presence of which was verified by ¹H-NMR. Neat PhMe₂SiH (5.0 μ l, 3 equiv.) was added via a 10 μ l syringe and the reaction mixture was warmed slowly in the NMR probe. The ¹H-NMR spectrum was recorded after every 20°C increment. Signals attributed to (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂ persisted up to 80°C, at which temperature decomposition occurred. No silicon containing products other than PhMe₂SiH were observed.

5.7. Isolation of [(TPP)Zr(OH)₂]₂

A solution of (TPP)ZrBu₂ in C₆H₆, prepared from the reaction of (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂ with 4.0 equivalents of ⁿBuLi, was stored for 1 month at 5°C. The resulting cubic shaped purple crystals were marginally soluble in CDCl₃. The structure was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction to be a benzene solvate of [(TPP)Zr(μ -OH)₂]₂. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.42 (s, 16H, pyrrole), 7.5 – 7.7 (m, 40H, Ph), -6.79 (s, μ -OH); IR(KBr): 3577 cm⁻¹ (w, O–H).

5.8. Crystallographic data collection and structure determination

A crystal of dimensions $0.10 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$ mm was mounted on a glass fiber in a random orientation. Preliminary examination and data collection were performed using a Siemens P4RA automated single crystal X-ray diffractometer using graphite monochromatic Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) at 25°C. Autoindexing of 15 centered reflections from the rotation photographs indicated a triclinic lattice. Equivalent reflections were checked to confirm the Laue symmetry and a fractional index search was conducted to confirm the cell lengths XSCANS [23]. Final cell constants and orientation matrix for data collection were calculated by least squares refinement of the setting angles: 26 reflections ($12^\circ < 2\theta < 26^\circ$). Intensity data were collected using 2θ – θ

scans with variable scan speed. Three representative reflections were measured every 50 reflections during data collection. Crystal data and intensity data collection parameters are listed in Table 1.

Data reduction was carried out using XSCANS and structure solution was achieved using the SHELXTL-PLUS (VMS) software package [24]. Empirical absorption correction was applied to the data. The structure was solved by Direct Methods and refined successfully in the space group $P\bar{1}$. Full matrix least-squares refinement on F^2 was carried out using SHELXL-93 [25]. The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically to convergence. The hydrogen atoms were calculated using riding models, but attempts to resolve disorder in the bridging oxygen atoms were not successful. The compound crystallizes with one molecule of benzene solvent per dimer, and the solvent molecule was disordered as well.

Projection views of the molecule with non-hydrogen atoms represented by 50% probability ellipsoids, and showing the atom labeling, are presented in Fig. 5. Experimental data, a complete list of bond distances, bond angles and positional and isotropic displacement coefficients for the non-hydrogen atoms are available from the authors and will be deposited with the Cambridge Structural Database. Additionally, two figures containing a labeled ORTEP diagram and a packing diagram are available from the authors.

Acknowledgments

Acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, and to the National Science Foundation (CHE-9213688) for partial support of this work. Financial support for JLH was provided by a UM-St. Louis Graduate School Summer Fellowship, and a Mallinkrodt Fellowship. Additional support was provided by a Research Incentive Award from the University of Missouri-St. Louis. We wish to thank C. Spilling and L. Brammer for helpful discussions and R. Guillard for a sample of H₂(OEP).

References and notes

- [1] J.W. Buchler, in D. Dolphin (ed.), *The Porphyrins*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1978, Chapter 10, p. 389.
- [2] *cis* forms of (POR)MCl₂ for M = Zr, Hf: (a) (TPP)ZrCl₂(THF): H.-J. Kim, D. Whang, K. Kim and Y. Do, *Inorg. Chem.*, **32** (1993) 360. (b) (TPP)HfCl₂(0.5C₇H₈) and (OEP)HfCl₂(0.5CH₂Cl₂): S. Ryu, D. Whang, J. Kim, W. Yeo and K. Kim, *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* (1993) 205. (c) (OEP)ZrCl₂(0.5C₇H₈): H. Brand and J. Arnold, *Organometallics*, **12** (1993) 3655.
- [3] H. Brand and J. Arnold, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **114** (1992) 2266.

- [4] K. Shibata, T. Aida and S. Inoue, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **33** (1992) 1077.
- [5] J. Arnold, S.E. Johnson, C.B. Knobler and M.F. Hawthorne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **114** (1992) 3996.
- [6] (a) S. Ryu, J. Kim, H. Yeo and K. Kim, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **228** (1995) 233. (b) S. Ryu, D. Whang, H. Yeo and K. Kim, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **221** (1994) 51.
- [7] H. Brand, J.A. Capriotti and J. Arnold, *Organometallics*, **13** (1994) 4469.
- [8] (a) (OEP)Zr(OAc)₂: Full structural details have not been published, but a preliminary report appears in: M. Tsutsui and G.A. Taylor, in K.M. Smith (ed.), *Porphyrins and Metalloporphyrins*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1975, p. 295. (b) (TPP)Zr(OAc)₂: J.L. Huhmann, J.Y. Corey and N.P. Rath, *Acta Crystallogr., C51* (1995) 195.
- [9] K. Shibata, T. Aida and S. Inoue, *Chem. Lett.* (1992) 1173.
- [10] J.W. Buchler, in D. Dolphin (ed.), *The Porphyrins*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, 1978, Chapter 10, p. 415.
- [11] (a) (TPP)ZrCl₂ has been isolated from reaction of H₂(TPP) with ZrCl₄ in benzonitrile; B.D. Berezin and T.N. Lomova, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **26** (1981) 203. (b) (TPP)HfCl₂ has been isolated from reaction of H₂(TPP) with HfCl₄ in phenol; T.N. Lomova, N.I. Volkova and B.D. Berezin, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **28** (1983) 1429.
- [12] J.W. Buchler, G. Eikermann, L. Puppe, K. Rohbock, H.H. Shneehage and D. Weck, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.*, **745** (1971) 135.
- [13] H.-J. Kim, D. Whang, Y. Do and K. Kim, *Chem. Lett.* (1993) 807.
- [14] J.W. Buchler and K. Rohbock, *Inorg. Nucl. Chem. Lett.*, **8** (1972) 1073.
- [15] T. Takahashi, M. Hasegawa, N. Suzuki, M. Subari, C.J. Rousset, P.E. Fanwick and E.I. Negishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **113** (1991) 8564.
- [16] (a) J.Y. Corey, J.L. Huhmann and X.H. Zhu, *Organometallics*, **12** (1993) 1121. (b) J.Y. Corey and X.H. Zhu, *Organometallics*, **11** (1992) 672. (c) J.Y. Corey, X.H. Zhu, T.C. Bedard and L.D. Lange, *Organometallics*, **10** (1991) 924.
- [17] An ORTEP plot showing the disordered benzene solvent molecule is available from the authors.
- [18] J. Arnold, C.G. Hoffman, D.Y. Dawson and F.J. Hollander, *Organometallics*, **12** (1993) 3645.
- [19] B. Cheng, J.D. Hobbs, P.G. Debrunner, J. Erlebacher, J.A. Shelnutt and W.R. Scheidt, *Inorg. Chem.*, **34** (1995) 102.
- [20] J.D. Andose, A. Rauk, K. Mislow, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **96** (1974) 6904.
- [21] A.D. Adler, F.R. Longo, J.D. Finarelli, J. Goldmacher, J. As-sour and L. Korsakoff, *J. Org. Chem.*, **32** (1967) 476.
- [22] NMR data for (TPP)Zr(ⁿBu)₂ reported in Ref. [9]: ¹H-NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆): δ -3.15 (t, 4H, α-CH₂), -2.51 (m, 4H, β-CH₂), 0.04 (m, 4H, γ-CH₂), 0.42 (t, 6H, δ-CH₃).
- [23] XSCANS, Siemens Analytical Instruments, Madison, WI, (1994).
- [24] SHELXTL- PLUS (VMS), G.M. Sheldrick, Madison, WI, 1991.
- [25] SHELXTL, G.M. Sheldrick, Madison, WI, 1993.