CERIUM CATALYZED SELECTIVE OXIDATION OF SECONDARY ALCOHOLS IN THE PRESENCE OF PRIMARY ONES

Hiroki Tomioka, Koichiro Oshima,* and Hitosi Nozaki Department of Industrial Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Kyoto University, Yoshida, Kyoto 606, Japan

Abstract: The combination of $(NH_4)_2Ce(NO_3)_6$ -NaBrO3 or $Ce(SO_4)_2$ ·2H₂SO₄-NaBrO₃ has been found to be effective for the title selective oxidation.

Although cerium(IV) ion oxidation of organic compounds has been widely studied,¹ application of cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate (CAN) oxidation of alcohols to organic synthesis has been limited because of the large quantities of reagents required and the lack of generality of the reaction.² Here we wish to report that under CAN catalysis NaBrO₃ oxidizes prosaic secondary alcohols⁷ into ketones in excellent yields and certain diols are thus converted into the expected keto alcohols with high selectivity.

To a suspension of NaBrO₃ (0.30 g, 2.0 mmol) in aq. acetonitrile $(CH_3CN/H_2O = 7/3 v/v, 12 ml)$ cyclododecanol (0.37 g, 2.0 mmol) and CAN (0.11 g, 0.2 mmol) were added. The mixture was heated at reflux for 30 min. The resulting yellow suspension was diluted with ether (20 ml), then washed with sat. NaHCO₃ and brine. After ether extraction (10 mlx2), the combined organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative TLC (AcOEt-hexane, 1:10) to yield cyclododecanone (0.36 g, 98%).

Variations in catalysts and oxidants have been examined with cyclododecanol as the substrate. Cerium(IV) ammonium nitrate and cerium(IV) sulfate⁸ were equally active as catalyst, while cerium(III) chloride and cerium(IV) oxide were inactive. Sodium bromate was far superior to other oxidants tried.⁹ Although the longer reaction period was required, the amount of cerium(IV) and NaBrO₃ could be reduced from 0.1 mol and 1.0 mol to 0.035 mol and 0.35 mol, respectively, per 1.0 mol of cyclododecanol without decrease of the yield of cyclododecanone.

As shown in Table 1, wide variety of secondary alcohols could be easily oxidized to ketones. Primary alcohol, however, was recovered practically unchanged. On treatment of 1-dodecanol (1.0 mmol) with cerium(IV) sulfate¹⁰ (0.035 mmol) and NaBrO₃ (0.35 mmol) in aq. CH_3CN at reflux for 30 min¹¹ dodecanal was obtained in only 2% yield along with 92% recovery of starting alcohol. Olefinic moiety even in the remote position from hydroxyl group

539

		5	6	
Run	Alcohol	Reaction Time (h)	Product	Yield(%) ^a
1	4-Dodecanol	0.8	4-Dodecanone	94
2	Cyclododecanol	0.2	Cyclododecanone	98
3	4-t-Butylcyclohexanol	0.5	4-t-Butylcyclohexanone	86
4	PhCH (OH) C (O) Ph	4.5	PhC (0) C (0) Ph	66 (9)
5	ОН	0.3		82 ^b
6	Алон	1.0	F.	77 ^b
7	l-Menthol	0.3	2-Menthone	82 ^C
8	36-Cholestanol	0.8	3-Cholestanone	97 ^d
9	11-Dodecen-2-ol	7.0	11-Dodecen-2-one	3 (71)

 $(NH_4)_2 Ce(NO_3)_6$ (0.2 mmol)

NaBrO₃ (2.0 mmol), aq. CH₃CN, 80°C

RR'CO

Table 1. Oxidation of Secondary Alcohols

RR'CHOH

(2.0 mmol)

^aIsolated yields unless otherwise stated. The figures in parentheses indicate the yields of recovered, unreacted alcohols. ^bGlpc yields using an internal standard. ^cContaminated by less than 1% of *iso-*menthone. ^dBecause of solubility problem, CH₃CN/H₂O/ClCH₂CH₂Cl = 2:1:1 system was used as solvent.

interrupts the oxidation (run 9).¹² It is worth noting that the oxidation proceeds smoothly even with the substrates undergoing C-C bond fission upon treatment with stoichiometric amount of CAN (run 4, 5, and 6).^{6,13}

It was anticipated that the treatment of primary, secondary diols with this technique would afford hydroxy ketones arising from selective oxidation at the secondary carbon.^{14,15} This was indeed the case as demonstrated by the reactions summarized in Table 2. The selectivity was excellent except the case of 1-p-hydroxymethylphenylethanol. In this particular substrate, the secondary hydroxyl group reacts only three times the rate of primary one.

The system is also effective for the oxidation of a primary, primary 1,4-diol. For instance, treatment of 2,3-dihydroxymethylbicyclo[2.2.1]-heptane with CAN-NaBrO₃ provided γ -lactone in good yield. The reaction proceeded via lactol.¹⁶

Alcohol (1.0 mmol) (Ce Salt x10-2 mmol)	NaBrO3 (mmol)	Reaction Time (h)	Product Y	ield ^b (%)
он Но _{ла} он	CAN (10)	1.0	0.5	ОН	89
ОН	CAN (10)	1.0	0.25	ОДОН	83
он он	I CS ^C (3.5)	1.0	2.0	уллон	86
ОН	H CS ^C (8)	0.8	19.0	Осн	88
	0H CS ^C (20)	2.0	24.0	И ОН	50
ОН	CAN (3.5)	0.35	1.5	59 OH 19 CHO	СНО
1-Dodecanol ^d 4-Dodecanol	cs ^c (4.0)	0.40	1.0	Dodecanal 4-Dodecanone	$\frac{3}{98}$
<i>l-</i> Menthol ^d Cyclododecanol	CAN (3.5)	0.45	1.0	l-Menthone Cyclododecanone	$\frac{37}{83}$
Сн ₂ он	CAN (10)	1.0	6.5	Apo	87
CH2OH				L0	

Table 2. Selective Oxidation of Diols^a

^aReactions were performed on 1.0 mmol scale in aq. CH₃CN at 80°C. ^bIsolated yields unless otherwise specified. The underlined figures refer to the yields determined by glpc relative to an internal standard. ^cCerium(IV) sulfate. dTwo hydroxy compounds (1.0 mmol each) were employed.

References and Notes

- 1. T-L. Ho, Synthesis, 1973, 347 and references cited therein.
- 2. Stoichiometric CAN oxidation of benzylic alcohols³ and cyclopropylcarbinols⁴ affords the carbonyl compounds, whereas 1,2-diarylethanols⁵ and *exo-* and *endo-bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-ol⁶* do not react in the expected way. The former substrates have been cleaved into benzaldehydes, while the latter into 3- and 4-cyclopentenacetaldehydes as

major products.

- W. S. Trahanovsky, L. B. Young, and G. L. Brown, J. Org. Chem., <u>32</u>, 3865 (1967).
- 4. L. B. Young and W. S. Trahanovsky, *ibid.*, <u>32</u>, 2349 (1967).
- 5. P. M. Nave and W. S. Trahanovsky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 4755 (1968).
- 6. W. S. Trahanovsky, P. J. Flash, and L. M. Smith, *ibid.*, <u>91</u>, 5068 (1969).
- 7. The CAN-NaBrO₃ system has been reported to effect the oxidation of benzylic alcohols briefly. T-L. Ho, Synthesis, <u>1978</u>, 936. See also T-L. Ho, Synth. Commun., 9, 237 (1979).
- 8. H. L. Hintz and D. C. Johnson, J. Org. Chem., 32, 556 (1967).
- 9. Several oxidants were examined for the oxidation of cyclododecanol in aq. CH₃CN in the presence of 10 mol% CAN. The results are given in order of oxidant, reaction conditions, and % yield of cyclododecanone: ^tBuOOH, 15 h at 80°C, 13%; 30% H₂O₂, 5 h at 80°C, 7%; N-methyl-morpholine-N-oxide, 5.5 h at 80°C, 0%.
- 10. The use of CAN instead of cerium(IV) sulfate under the same reaction conditions resulted in formation of dodecanoic acid in 30% yield in addition to recovered 1-dodecanol (60%). CAN was, however, as effective as cerium(IV) sulfate for the selective oxidation of primary, secondary diols (Table 2). Treatment of a mixture of 1-dodecanol and 4-dodecanol (1.0 mol each) with CAN (0.1 mol) and NaBrO₃ (1.0 mol) gave 4-dodecanone in 98% yield together with recovered 1-dodecanol (95%).
- Under the same reaction conditions, cyclododecanol was converted into cyclododecanone in 95% yield.
- 12. Allylic alcohol such as 2-cycloocten-l-ol was not easily oxidized and provided the corresponding enone in 9% yield contaminated by unidentified products after 1 h at reflux (45% of starting alcohol remained).
- Benzoin splits into benzaldehyde and benzoic acid. T-L. Ho, Synthesis, 1972, 560.
- 14. (a) Y. Ueno and M. Okawara, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>1976</u>, 4597. (b) M. E. Jung and L. M. Speltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., <u>98</u>, 7882 (1976); M. E. Jung and R. W. Brown, Tetrahedron Lett., <u>1978</u>, 2771. (c) G. H. Posner, R. B. Perfetti, and A. W. Runquist, *ibid.*, <u>1976</u>, 3499. (d) M. A. Neirabeyeh, J. C. Ziegler, and B. Gross, Synthesis, <u>1976</u>, 811.
- 15. For the preferential oxidation of primary over secondary hydroxyls, see H. Tomioka, K. Takai, K. Oshima, and H. Nozaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, <u>22</u>, 1605 (1981).
- 16. Financial support by the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture, Japanese Government (Grant-in-Aid #56306043) is acknowledged.

(Received in Japan 22 October 1981)

542