Chemistry of thiocarboxylates: syntheses and characterization of silver and copper thiocarboxylate complexes, and the structures of $[Ph_4P][M(SC{O}Me)_2]$ (M = Cu or Ag) and $[Et_3NH][Ag(SC{O}Ph)_2]$



Jeyagowry T. Sampanthar,^a Jagadese J. Vittal *^a and Philip A. W. Dean *^b

^a Department of Chemistry, National University of Singapore, Kent Ridge, Singapore 119260. E-mail: chmjjv@nus.edu.sg

^b Department of Chemistry, The University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada N6A 5B7. E-mail: pawdean@julian.uwo.ca

Received 14th June 1999, Accepted 21st July 1999

The bis(thiocarboxylate) complexes of silver(1) and copper(1) namely, $[Ph_4P][M(SC{O}Me)_2]$, (M = Cu 1 or Ag 2) and $[Et_3NH][M(SC{O}Ph)_2]$ (M = Cu 3 or Ag 4) were prepared from the appropriate metal salt, $RC{O}S^-$ anions and the counter ion in the ratio 1:2:1. The structures of 1, 2 and 4 were determined by X-ray crystallography. The compounds 1 and 2 are isomorphous and isostructural. In both structures, the metal is bonded to sulfur atoms of two thioacetate ligands to give a near-linear geometry. A crystallographically imposed 2-fold rotational symmetry is present in the anions and the cations. The $[Ag(SC{O}Ph)_2]^-$ anion in 4 also has an approximately-linear SAgS skeleton. One of the S atom interacts weakly with a second silver(1) ion to form a dimer. The N–H hydrogen atom of the Et₃NH⁺ cation is involved in N–H···O hydrogen bonding to a carbonyl oxygen atom of the anion. There is a crystallographic center of symmetry present in this 'ion-pair dimer', having a rectangular arrangement of Ag_2S_2 with a T-shaped coordination geometry around the silver atoms. All the compounds decompose under a nitrogen atmosphere to the corresponding metal sulfides according to weight loss observed in TG.

Introduction

Thiocarboxylates are an interesting class of ligands with a soft sulfur donor site and a hard oxygen donor site. These ligands are expected to exhibit a variety of interesting bonding modes,¹ as found for the related monothiocarbamates and xanthates. However, the chemistry of metal-thiocarboxylates has not been studied in detail.² We have been interested in the chemistry of the thiobenzoate anion.³⁻¹² In most \dagger [M(SC{O}Ph)₃]⁻ anions of the Group 12 elements, the metal atoms are surrounded by three sulfur atoms in a trigonal planar fashion.³ This unusual geometry is otherwise exhibited only in complexes of bulky thiolate ligands.¹³ In the structure of the $[Na{Cd(SC{O}Ph)_3}_2]^$ anion, the PhC $\{O\}S^-$ ligand binds to the soft Cd via S, to give a CdS₃ kernel of trigonal planar geometry.⁴ (The hard Na binds to the ligands via O to give an NaO₆ kernel.) Recently, we have reported¹⁰ homoleptic anionic transition metal complexes of the type $[Ph_4P][M(SC{O}Ph)_3]$ (M = Mn, Co or Ni) where both oxygen and sulfur atoms are bonded to the central metal atom in a bidentate fashion. Although structural data for several other thiobenzoate complexes of main-group and transition elements are available in the literature,¹⁴⁻²¹ there has been no systematic study. To our knowledge no homoleptic thiocarboxylate complexes of coinage metals have been reported. However, structural data have been given²² for a dinuclear Cu(I) thiobenzoate triphenylphosphine complex and the simple neutral metal-thiobenzoates of Ag and Cu are known.23

Apart from exhibiting interesting bonding modes, thiocarboxylates are also used to synthesize 'single-source' precursors for metal sulfide materials. Hampden-Smith and co-workers^{24,25} have successfully used a variety of metal thiocarboxylates as precursors to prepare metal sulfides that include ZnS and CdS. In this paper we report the synthesis and characterization of bis(thiocarboxylate) complexes of silver(I) and copper(I) namely $[Ph_4P][M(SC{O}Me)_2]$, $(M = Cu \ 1 \text{ or } Ag \ 2)$ and $[Et_3NH][M(SC{O}Ph)_2]$ $(M = Cu \ 3 \text{ or } Ag \ 4)$, and the structures of 1, 2 and 4 as determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction techniques. The thermal decomposition of these compounds under a nitrogen atmosphere followed by TG is described.

Experimental

All the materials used in the syntheses were obtained commercially and used as received. Thioacetic acid (97%), thiobenzoic acid (95%) and triethylamine were purchased from Fluka. The solvents were dried over 3 Å molecular sieves and degassed with N₂ prior to use. All the preparations were carried out under a N₂ atmosphere. The microanalytical laboratory at NUS performed the microanalysis. For the NMR spectra, recorded on a AC 300 MHz spectrometer, solutions were prepared in CDCl₃ and external SiMe₄ was used as the reference. Thermogravimetric analyses under N₂(g) were carried out using an SDT 2980 TGA thermal analyser with a heating rate of 20 °C min⁻¹ and a sample size of about 5–10 mg per run. Solution IR spectra were obtained using a Bio-Rad FTIR spectrometer using a cell of path length 0.1 mm, with KBr windows.

Syntheses

[Ph₄P][Cu(SC{O}Me)₂] 1. Et₃NH⁺MeC{O}S⁻ was obtained in situ as a pale yellow solution from thioacetic acid (0.5 mL, 7.0 mmol) and an aqueous solution (15 mL) containing triethylamine (1.0 mL, 7.2 mmol). To this, a solution of CuCl (0.32 g, 3.2 mmol) in CH₃CN (15 mL) was added slowly with stirring, causing a color change to yellowish red. A solution of Ph₄PCl (1.32 g, 3.5 mmol) in H₂O (10 mL) was added and the

J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1999, 3153–3156 3153

 $[\]dagger$ Planar and pyramidal CdS3 kernels are found in rhombohedral [Ph4P][Cd(SC{O}Ph)3]. 11

	1	2	4
Chemical formula	C ₂₈ H ₂₆ CuO ₂ PS ₂	C ₂₈ H ₂₆ AgO ₂ PS ₂	C ₂₀ H ₂₆ AgNO ₂ S ₂
Formula weight	553.12	597.45	484.41
T/K	293(2)	293(2)	295(2)
Crystal system	Tetragonal	Tetragonal	Monoclinic
Space group	$P42_1c$ (no. 114)	$P42_1c$ (no. 114)	$P2_1/c$
aĺÅ	11.5184(6)	11.7596(1)	11.1129(2)
b/Å	11.5184(6)	11.7596(1)	19.9831(4)
c/Å	19.767(2)	19.1859(3)	10.7747(1)
βl°			114.225(1)
V/Å ³	2622.5(3)	2653.18(5)	2182.04(6)
Ζ	4	4	4
$D_{\rm c}/{\rm g~cm^{-3}}$	1.401	1.496	1.475
Reflections measured	11975	16269	13365
Independent reflections	$2321 (R_{int} = 0.1320)$	$3420 (R_{int} = 0.0224)$	$5326 (R_{int} = 0.0308)$
μ/mm^{-1}	1.076	1.001	1.128
Data/restraints/parameters	1389/0/155	2780/0/156	3320/9/236
GooF on $ F ^2$	1.195	1.061	1.023
Final R indices	R1 = 0.1072, wR2 = 0.1439	R1 = 0.0332, wR2 = 0.0799	R1 = 0.0466, wR2 = 0.0922
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1796, wR2 = 0.1654	R1 = 0.0455, wR2 = 0.0851	R1 = 0.0910, wR2 = 0.1190

solvents were removed from the reaction mixture under reduced pressure to give a reddish oily product. This was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), H₂O (15 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred for 5 min. The CH₂Cl₂ layer was separated and concentrated to *ca*. 5 mL. Hexane (5 mL) and Et₂O (30 mL) were added, and the mixture was left undisturbed at 0 °C to obtain a reddish yellow precipitate along with red crystals. The crystals were separated, washed with Et₂O, and dried in a desiccator under vacuum. Yield: 1.18 g (66%). Calc. for C₂₈H₂₆CuO₂PS₂: C, 60.80; H, 4.70. Found: C, 60.20; H, 5.34%. ν (C=O) 1616 cm⁻¹. NMR data: δ ⁽¹H) 2.49 (s, 6H, CH₃), 7.5–7.8 (m, 20 H, Ph); δ (I³C) 39.52 (CH₃), 117.22 (C¹ of Ph, ¹*J*(P–C) = 89 Hz), 131.39 (C^{3,5} of Ph, ³*J*(P–C) = 13 Hz), 134.98 (C^{2,6} of Ph, ²*J*(P–C) = 10 Hz), 136.42 (C⁴ of Ph, ⁴*J*(P–C) = 3 Hz), 209.54 (CO).

[Ph₄P][Ag(SC{O}Me)₂] 2. Thioacetic acid (1.0 mL, 14.0 mmol) was added slowly to an aqueous solution (25 mL) containing triethylamine (2.0 mL, 14.4 mmol) to obtain a pale yellow solution of Et₃NH⁺CH₃C{O}S⁻. To this, a solution of AgNO₃ (1.16 g, 6.8 mmol) in H₂O (15 mL) was added with continuous stirring, to give a cream colored solution. A solution of Ph₄PCl (2.55 g, 6.8 mmol) in H₂O (10 mL) was added to this reaction mixture with stirring to give a cream colored precipitate. Dichloromethane (10 mL) was added to the reaction mixture and the whole stirred for 5 min, at which point the CH₂Cl₂ layer was yellow. The organic layer was separated and concentrated to a volume of ca. 5 mL, then Et₂O was layered on the concentrate and the mixture was left undisturbed at 0 °C to obtain cream crystals. The crystals were decanted off, washed with Et₂O and dried under vacuum. Yield: 2.2 g (54%). Calc. for C28H26AgO2PS2: C, 56.29; H, 4.35. Found: C, 56.63; H, 4.27%. v(C=0) 1610 cm⁻¹. NMR data: $\delta(^{1}H)$ 2.39 (s, 6H, CH₃), 7.74–7.92 (m, 20H, Ph); δ(¹³C) 38.36 (CH₃), 118.12 (C¹ of Ph, ${}^{1}J(P-C) = 89$ Hz), 131.42 (C^{3,5} of Ph, ${}^{3}J(P-C) = 13$ Hz), 135.07 $(C^{2,6} \text{ of } Ph, {}^{2}J(P-C) = 10 \text{ Hz}), 136.46 (C^{4} \text{ of } Ph, {}^{4}J(P-C) =$ 3 Hz), 209.97 (CO).

[Et₃NH][Cu(SC{O}Ph)₂] 3. Thiobenzoic acid (1 mL, 8.5 mmol) was added dropwise with stirring to a solution of triethylamine (1.2 mL, 8.6 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 mL). The resultant solution of Et₃NH⁺PhC{O}S⁻ was added to CuCl (0.42 g, 4.2 mmol) in CH₃CN (15 mL) to get a reddish yellow mixture. The mixture was stirred for 15 min., then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to leave a red viscous oil. The oil was dissolved in CHCl₃ (5 mL) and washed with H₂O (*ca.* 30 mL) to remove Et₃NHCl. The organic layer was separated, layered with hexane (5–8 mL) and Et₂O (30–40 mL) until it became turbid,

the proposed formula, $C_{20}H_{26}CuO_2NS_2$. ν (C=O) 1636, 1603 cm⁻¹. NMR data: δ (¹H) 1.37 (t, 9H, CH₃), 3.29 (q, 6H, CH₂), 7.34–7.43 (dt, 6H, *m*- and *p*-H of Ph), 8.16 (d, 4H, *o*-H of Ph). δ (¹³C) 9.49 (CH₃), 46.93 (CH₂), 128.43 (C^{2(or 3)} of Ph), 129.17 (C^{3(or 2)} of Ph), 132.32 (C⁴ of Ph), 141.61 (C¹ of Ph), 208.24 (CO). **[Et₃NH][Ag(SC{O}Ph)₂] 4.** Thiobenzoic acid (1.0 mL, 8.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a CHCl₃ solution (5 mL) containing triethylamine (1.2 mL, 8.6 mmol). A solution of AgNO₃

taining triethylamine (1.2 mL, 8.6 mmol). A solution (5 mL) containing triethylamine (1.2 mL, 8.6 mmol). A solution of AgNO₃ (0.69 g, 4.1 mmol) in H₂O (15 mL) was added to give a cream colored turbid mixture, which was stirred for 15 min. The yellow CHCl₃ layer was separated, MeOH (2–3 mL) and Et₂O (15–20 mL) were added, and the mixture was left to crystallize at 0 °C. The creamy white crystals were decanted off, washed with Et₂O and dried in vacuum. Yield: 1.55 g (79%). Calc. for C₂₀H₂₆AgO₂NS₂: C, 49.59; H, 5.37; N, 2.89. Found: C, 49.50; H, 5.16; N, 2.90%. NMR data: δ (¹H) 1.38 (t, 9H, CH₃), 3.30 (q, 6H, CH₂), 7.32–7.44 (dt, 6H, *m*- and *p*-H of Ph), 8.15 (d, 4H, *o*-H of Ph); δ (¹³C) 9.65 (CH₃), 47.33 (CH₂), 128.38 (C^{2(or 3)} of Ph),129.09 (C^{3(or 2)} of Ph), 132.06 (C⁴ of Ph), 142.63 (C¹ of Ph), 208.13 (CO).

and the mixture was left undisturbed at 0 °C. A reddish yellow

solid was obtained in a day. This was separated by decantation, washed with Et_2O and dried under vacuum. Yield: 1.84 g (77%).

Satisfactory elemental analysis could not be obtained. However, the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and TG are consistent with

Crystal structure determinations

The diffraction experiments were carried out on a Bruker AXS SMART CCD 3-circle diffractometer with a Mo-K α sealed tube at 23 °C. The software used was: SMART²⁶ for collecting frames of data, indexing reflections and determination of lattice parameters; SAINT²⁶ for integration of intensity of reflections and scaling; SADABS²⁷ for empirical absorption correction; and SHELXTL²⁸ for space group determination, structure solution and least-squares refinements on F^2 . The single crystals were obtained during the syntheses. The crystals were mounted at the ends of glass fibres and used for diffraction experiments. The crystal data and experimental details are given in Table 1. Poor agreement factors for 1 may be attributed to the weakly diffracting nature of the crystals. The absolute structure parameters were refined to -0.02(7) and 0.02(4) for 1 and 2 respectively.

CCDC reference number 186/1589.

See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/dt/1999/3153/ for crystallographic files in .cif format.



Fig. 1 A perspective view of the molecule, **2**. The non-hydrogen atoms are drawn as 50% probability thermal ellipsoids.

Results and discussion

Preparation of $[Ph_4P][M(SC{O}CH_3)_2]$ and $[Et_3NH][M(SC{O}-Ph)_2]$ (M = Ag or Cu)

The complexes 1-4 were prepared by reacting suitable metal salts with two equivalents of the appropriate deprotonated thiocarboxylic acid [eqn. (1)]. For thiobenzoate complexes, the

$$2[Et_3NH][SC{O}R] + MX \longrightarrow [Et_3NH][M(SC{O}R)_2] + Et_3NHX \quad (1)$$

triethylamine base served to remove the proton from PhC- $\{O\}$ SH and the resultant Et₃NH⁺ cation was used as the counter ion to isolate the product in the solid state. The Et₃NH⁺ salts of the thioacetate complexes were found to be moisture sensitive, very unstable in air and the yellow color changed to dark brown even under nitrogen at 5 °C. However, the Ph₄P⁺ cation was successfully used to isolate the thioacetate complexes of Cu and Ag as shown in eqn. (2).

$$[Et_{3}NH][M(SC\{O\}Me)_{2}] + Ph_{4}PCl \longrightarrow$$
$$[Ph_{4}P][M(SC\{O\}Me)_{2}] + Et_{3}NHX \quad (2)$$

The isolated yield of these compounds varies from 54 to 79%. The compounds were characterized by elemental analysis, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra in solution and IR spectra and are consistent with the empirical formula. The crystal structures of the compounds were determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction techniques.

Molecular structures

The compounds **1** and **2** are isomorphous and isostructural. The anions and the cations are well separated in the two structures. The structure of the Ph_4P^+ cation is unexceptional and will not be discussed further. In the $[M(SC{O}Me)_2]^-$ anion, the central metal atom is coordinated to the sulfur atoms of the thioacetates to give a two-coordinate near-linear geometry. The anion has a crystallographically imposed 2-fold symmetry. A representative view of the anion in **2** is shown in Fig. 1. Selected bond distances and angles for the anions in **1** and **2** are summarized in Table 2. In the anion of **1**, the Cu-S distance and S–Cu–S angle are 2.151(3) Å and 176.6(2)° respectively. A search in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) System²⁹ revealed there are only 9 compounds containing two-coordinate Cu(1) as CuS₂ fragments.[‡] Of those, only two contain discrete monomeric

View Online $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{k} \end{pmatrix}$ and angles $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for the origin 1

Table 2 Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°) for the anions in 1 and 2 $\,$

	1	2
M(1)–S(1)	2.151(3)	2.359(1)
S(1) - C(1)	1.716(12)	1.730(4)
$\dot{O}(1) - \dot{C}(1)$	1.210(12)	1.999(4)
C(1)–C(2)	1.494(14)	1.499(5)
S(1)-M(1)-S(1A)	176.6(2)	178.96(5)
C(1)-S(1)-M(1)	100.2(4)	98.99(12)
O(1) - C(1) - C(2)	122.7(11)	120.9(3)
O(1) - C(1) - S(1)	124.5(9)	124.4(3)
C(2) - C(1) - S(1)	112.8(9)	114.8(3)

Symmetry operator: A - x + 1, -y, z.



Fig. 2 The structure of the 'ion-pair dimer' 4 in the solid state. The thermal ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

 $[Cu(SR)_2]^-$ anions. Both of these have bulky R groups: SR = 2,3,5,6-tetramethylbenzenethiolate³¹ and adamantylthiolate.³² (We have noted earlier that PhC{O}S⁻ mimics bulky thiolates as a ligand.³) The Cu–S distances observed in these two thiolate complexes, 2.137 and 2.147 Å, are slightly lower than the value observed in **1**. The Cu···O distance, 2.998 Å, indicates that there is no interaction between the Cu and O atoms (sum of the van der Waals radii,³³ 2.9 Å). The atoms Cu(1), S(1), O(1), C(1) and C(2) are in a plane (deviation, 0.01 Å) and the interplanar angle between the two thioacetates is 76.6(3)°.

The Ag–S distance and S–Ag–S angle in the anion of **2** are 2.359(1) Å and 178.96(5)° respectively. The CSD search revealed no mononuclear two-coordinate silver(I) thiolate complexes. In all the 12 hits, linear AgS_2 fragments were present in polynuclear silver thiolate anions. Thus, to the best of our knowledge, **2** is the first example of a structurally characterized mononuclear Ag complex having a linear S–Ag–S geometry.‡ The Ag–S distances found in **2** are comparable to those reported in the literature (range, 2.289–2.528 Å). There appears to be no interaction between the Ag and the carbonyl oxygen atoms of the thioacetate ligands (Ag(1) ··· O(1) and the sum of the van der Waals radii of Ag and O are 3.082 and 2.9 Å respectively). All the non-hydrogen atoms in the thioacetate ligand and Ag(1) are in a plane (deviation, 0.006 Å) and the inter-planar angle between the two such planes, is 71.8(1)°.

The structure of **4** is shown in Fig. 2, and selected bond distances and angles are given in Table 3. In the complex anion, Ag(1) is bonded to two thiobenzoate anions to give an approximately linear S–Ag–S coordination geometry. The Ag–S distances are 2.359(1) and 2.382(1) Å. The S–Ag–S angle, 161.16(4)°, is smaller than S–M–S observed in **1** and **2**. The Ag(1)–S(2) distance is longer than Ag(1)–S(1). The phenyl rings in the two PhC{O}S⁻ ligands are twisted from the C–C{O}S plane by 12.1(2) and 22.0(1)°. The interplanar angle between

 $[\]ddagger$ The anions MS₂⁻ (M = Cu, Ag) are known in the gas phase, and are thought to be linear.³⁰

Ag(1)-S(1)	2.359(1)	O(1)-C(1)	1.213(4)
Ag(1)-S(2)	2.382(1)	O(2)–C(8)	1.232(4)
S(1)-C(1)	1.727(4)	C(1) - C(2)	1.512(4)
S(2)–C(8)	1.729(3)	C(8)–C(9)	1.485(4)
S(1) - Ag(1) - S(2)	161.16(4)	C(2)-C(1)-S(1)	116.9(2)
C(1)-S(1)-Ag(1)	106.8(1)	O(2)-C(8)-C(9)	119.8(3)
C(8)-S(2)-Ag(1)	107.2(1)	O(2) - C(8) - S(2)	123.2(3)
O(1)-C(1)-C(2)	119.6(3)	C(9)-C(8)-S(2)	117.0(3)
O(1)–C(1)–S(1)	123.5(3)		

Table 4Thermogravimetry for 1, 2, 3 and 4

Compound	Temperature range/°C	Remaining weight (%)	
		Observed	Calculated ^a
1	150-460	16.3	14.4
2	180-460	21.7	20.7
3	100-280	17.7	18.1
4	110-340	25.6	25.6

the planes containing S(1), O(1), C(1), C(2) (deviation, 0.00 Å) and S(2), O(2), C(8), C(9) (deviation, 0.06 Å) is 57.8(1)°. This value is much smaller than the corresponding ones observed in 1 or 2. These differences may be explained if we consider the

secondary interactions of [Ag(SC{O}Ph2]⁻ in the crystal lattice. The carbonyl oxygen atoms of the $PhC{O}S^-$ ions are involved in hydrogen bonding. The N-H hydrogen atom is strongly hydrogen bonded to O(2). The relevant parameters are: H(1) · · · O(2), 1.887(4) Å, N(1) · · · .O(2), 2.776(4) Å, N-H · · · O, 165.0(1)°. This N–H····O=C hydrogen bonding appears to weaken the C(8)–O(2) bond (1.232(4) Å) as compared to C(1)– O(1). Also found is a very weak interaction between H(19) and O(1). The relevant parameters are: H(19) \cdots O(1), 2.436(5) Å, C(19) · · · .O(1), 3.340(5) Å, C–H · · · O, 155.0(1)°. Evidently the anion and the cation are present as an ion-pair in the solid state. There is also a weak intermolecular interaction between Ag(1)and S(2A) atoms in the solid state. The Ag(1) \cdots S(2A) distance, 3.106 Å between adjacent $[Ag(SC{O}Ph)_2]^-$ anions is less than the sum of the van der Waals radii,³³ 3.50 Å. If we consider this interaction, then the structure can be described as an 'ion-pair dimer' in the solid-state (Fig. 2) with T-shaped geometry around the silver atoms. The presence of a crystallographic centre of inversion results in this 'ion-pair dimer' having rectangular geometry. Such ion-pairs exist in [Et₃NH][Cd-(SC{O}Ph)₃]⁶ also.

Thermogravimetric analysis

Thermal decomposition of the compounds was studied by thermogravimetry under an N_2 atmosphere. All the complexes decompose in multi-step processes. A summary of the overall weight losses is displayed in Table 4. The residual weight at the end of the decomposition indicated the formation of the corresponding metal sulfides.

Acknowledgements

J. J. V. would like to thank the National University of Singapore for a research grant (Grant No. RP970618), and P. A. W. D. thanks the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada for financial support.

References

- (a) B. J. McCormick, R. Bereman and D. Baird, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1984, 54, 99; (b) J. S. Casas, P. Montero-Vazquez, A. Sanchez, J. Sordo and E. M. Vazquez-Lopez, *Polyhedron*, 1998, 17, 2417; (c) M. Chunggaze, M. A. Malik, P. O'Brien, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 1998, 3839.
- 2 J. A. Cras and J. Willemse, in *Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry*, ed. G. Wilkinson, R. D. Gillard and J. A. McCleverty, Pergamon, Oxford, 1987, ch. 16.4.
- 3 J. J. Vittal and P. A. W. Dean, Inorg. Chem., 1996, 35, 3089.
- 4 J. J. Vittal and P. A. W. Dean, Inorg. Chem., 1993, 32, 791.
- 5 J. J. Vittal and P. A. W Dean, Acta Crystallogr, Sect. C, 1997, 53, 409.
- 6 J. J. Vittal and P. A. W. Dean, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C*, 1998, 54, 319.
 7 T. R. Burnett, P. A. W. Dean and J. J. Vittal, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1994, 72,
- 8 J. J. Vittal and P. A. W. Dean, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1996, 52,
- 8 J. J. Vittal and P. A. W. Dean, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1996, 52, 1180.
- 9 J. J. Vittal and P. A. W Dean, Polyhedron, 1998, 17, 1937.
- R. Devy, J. J. Vittal and P. A. W. Dean, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 6939.
 P. A. W. Dean, J. J. Vittal, D. C. Craig and M. L. Scudder, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 1661.
- 12 T. C. Deivaraj, G. X. Lai and J. J. Vittal, Inorg. Chem., submitted.
- 13 J. R. Dilworth and J. Hu, Adv. Inorg. Chem., 1994, 40, 411.
- 14 P. Singh, S. Bhattacharya, V. D. Gupta and H. Nöth, *Chem. Ber.*, 1996, **129**, 1093.
- 15 L.-K. Lee, S.-G. Teoh, W.-F. Fong, S.-B, Teo and H.-K. Fun, J. Coord. Chem., 1994, 31, 103.
- 16 S. D. Robinson, A. Sahajpal and D. A. Tocher, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton. Trans., 1997, 757.
- 17 R. D. Bereman, D. M. Baird, J. R. Dorfman and J. Bordner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, **21**, 2365.
- 18 J. A. Goodfellow and T. A. Stephenson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1980, **41**, 19.
- M. Cindric, V. Vrdoljak, B. Prugovecki and B. Kamenar, *Polyhedron*, **17**, 3321.
 M. Cindrig, V. Videlich, D. Mathemic Colonnair and D. Kamenar,
- 20 M. Cindric, V. Vrdoljak, D. Matkovic-Calogovic and B. Kamenar, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C, 1996, 52, 3016.
- 21 N. Mehmet and D. A. Tocher, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 1991, 188, 71.
- 22 G. Speier, V. Fulop and G. Argay, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1991, **16**, 576.
- 23 V. V. Savant, J. Gopalakrishnan and C. C. Patel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1970, 9, 748.
- 24 M. D. Nyman, M. J. Hampden-Smith and E. N. Duesler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **37**, 2218.
- 25 M. D. Nyman, M. J. Hampden-Smith and E. N. Duesler, *Chem. Vap. Deposition*, 1996, **2**, 171.
- 26 SMART and SAINT Software Reference Manuals, Version 4.0, Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc., Analytical Instrumentation, Madison, WI, 1996.
- 27 G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, a software for empirical absorption correction, University of Göttingen, 1996.
- 28 SHELXTL Reference Manual, Version 5.03, Siemens Energy & Automation, Inc., Analytical Instrumentation, Madison, WI, 1996.
- 29 F. H. Allen, J. E. Davies, J. J. Galloy, O. Johnson, O. Kennard, C. F. Macrae, E. M. Mitchell, G. F. Mitchell, J. M. Smith and D. G. Watson, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci., 1991, 31, 187.
- 30 (a) J. H. El Nakat, I. G. Dance, K. J. Fisher and G. D. Willett, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 2957; (b) J. H. El Nakat, I. G. Dance, K. J. Fisher and G. D. Willett, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 1991, 746; (c) K. J. Fisher, I. G. Dance and G. D. Willett, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 2731.
- 31 S. A. Koch, R. Fikar, M. Millar and T. O'Sullivan, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1984, 23, 122.
- 32 K. Fujisawa, S. Imai, N. Kitajima and Y. Moro-oka, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, 37, 168.
- 33 A. Bondi, J. Chem. Phys., 1964, 68, 441.

Paper 9/04718B