Scheme II. Model for the Prediction of Absolute Configuration of Sulfoxides in Asymmetric Oxidation of Sulfides


Chemicals. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was purified by treatment with basic alumina, distilled over calcium hydride, and stored under nitrogen. TBHP solutions in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were prepared according to ref 15 and stored over molecular sieves under nitrogen. TBHP solution in toluene was prepared according to ref 15 c . Silica gel (Merck, Kieselgel 60) was used for column chromatography. ( + )- and ( $(-)$-diethyl tartrate $((+)$ - or ( $(-)-$ DET) was purchased from the Aldrich $\mathrm{Co} . \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ were obtained from Fluka. These reagents were used as such, and both were stored under anhydrous conditions. Sulfides were prepared according to the literature by alkylation of the corresponding thiols.

Asymmetric Oxidation. The procedure for sulfide oxidation is exemplified in the case of methyl $p$-tolyl sulfide. $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}(1.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 5$ mmol ) and ( $R, R$ )-DET ( $1.71 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) are dissolved at room temperature in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ under nitrogen. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5 \mathrm{mmol})$ is introduced through a spectrum via a microsyrynge. Stirring is maintained until the yellow solution becomes homogeneous ( $15-20 \mathrm{~min}$ ) and sulfide ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) is added. The solution is cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or at the desired reaction temperature, and 5.5 mmol of a TBHP solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 2 \mathrm{M}$ ) or toluene ( $\simeq 3.6 \mathrm{M}$ ) are then introduced. After reaction, water ( 10 mol equiv) is added dropwise by a microsyringe to the solution at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A strong stirring was maintained for 1 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for one additional hour at room temperature. The white gel is filtered (a small amount of alumina added to the solution helps the filtration) and thoroughly washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate is kept in the presence of $\mathrm{NaOH}(5 \%)$ and brine for 1 h and then separated. The organic phase is dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude product, which does not contain sulfone. Chromatography (AcOEt, cyclohexane $1: 1$ ) on silica gel gives $0.70 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of methyl $p$-tolyl sulfoxide: $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+131^{\circ}\left(c 2\right.$, acetone) (lit. ${ }^{6}$ data for enantiomerically pure $(R)$-sample: $[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+145.5^{\circ}$ (acetone)). Enantiomeric excess was calculated to be $90 \%$ ee and confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) with a new chiral shift reagent. ${ }^{27}$ The present procedure is an improvement of the one that was previously reported. ${ }^{17}$

[^0]For benzylic sulfoxides or sulfoxides bearing ester functions a modification of the workup is necessary. After the filtration the solution was washed only with brine. The experiments of Figure 3 were performed with use of 2 equiv of TBHP with respect to $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{4}$

All the sulfoxides were isolated and characterized by the usual spectral methods. The careful drying of the sulfoxides is necessary before the measurement of optical rotation. The ee measurements were performed on freshly obtained samples. It is known that some sulfoxides can racemize slowly with time (e.g., for benzylic sulfoxides). We observed in the specific case of the methyl $p$-nitrophenyl sulfoxide a slow racemization with time. The oxidation on $40-\mathrm{mmol}$ scale was done with 8.12 g of $p$-bromophenyl methyl sulfide at $-21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , following the same conditions as described above. The corresponding sulfoxide ( 6.66 g ) was obtained in $76 \%$ yield and $80 \%$ optical purity.
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#### Abstract

The lipophilic extract of the freshwater blue-green alga Oscillatoria acutissima contains two novel macrolides, acutiphycin (1) and 20,21-didehydroacutiphycin (2), which exhibit cytotoxicity and antineoplastic activity. The structures and absolute stereochemistries of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 have been determined by spectral studies and chemical degradation.


Blue-green algae, in particular those belonging to the Oscillatoriaceae, are potential sources of new antineoplastic agents. ${ }^{1}$

The crude extract of Oscillatoria acutissima, for example, shows cytotoxicity against KB and $\mathrm{NIH} / 3 \mathrm{~T} 3$ cells and significant an-
tineoplastic activity in vivo against murine Lewis lung carcinoma. We report here the isolation and structure elucidation of two novel macrolides, acutiphycin (1) and 20,21-didehydroacutiphycin (2),

$1 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$
$2 R=$

which account for the cytotoxicity ${ }^{2 a}$ and antitumor activity ${ }^{2 b}$ of this cyanophyte.
O. acutissima was found in a freshwater pond in Manoa Valley, Oahu and grown in mass culture in the laboratory. The dichloromethane extract of the freeze-dried alga was subjected to gel filtration on Sephadex LH-20 with 1:1 dichloromethane/2propanol followed by chromatography on Florisil with dichloromethane and HPLC on Partisil with $35 \%$ ethyl acetate and $1 \%$ ethanol in hexane to give acutiphycin (1) and 20,21-didehydroacutiphycin (2), both in $0.15 \%$ yield. After recrystallization from hexane-chloroform, 1 was obtained as a white solid with melting point $155-156{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+107^{\circ}$; the melting point and $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ of 2 were virtually the same, $153-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $+110^{\circ}$, respectively. Both compounds exhibited similar infrared spectra with intense carbonyl bands at $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; compound 2 , however, showed an additional band at $960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for a trans-1,2-disubstituted car-bon-carbon double bond. In the positive ion fast atom bombardment (FAB) mass spectrum, $\mathbf{1}$ showed a $\left[\mathrm{MH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{+}$ion at $m / z 463$ whereas 2 gave a $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$ion at $m / z 479$. The negative ion FAB spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$, however, showed a $[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}]^{-}$ion at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 479. The molecular weights of 1 and 2 were therefore 480 and 478, respectively.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectral data indicated that acutiphycin possessed six methyl groups, eight methylenes, seven methines, six quaternary carbons, and three exchangeable protons (Table I). Since the molecular weight of 1 was 480 , we concluded that its elemental composition was probably $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{O}_{7}$.

Three of the oxygens were in hydroxyl groups. Two of these OHs were secondary alcohol groups, since acutiphycin formed a diacetate which showed in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum two acetate signals and two methine signals that were shifted about 1 ppm downfield from those of $\mathbf{1}$. The third OH appeared to be in a hemiketal functionality (which now accounted for a fourth oxygen) since this OH was connected to a quaternary carbon which had to have another oxygen on it to explain its carbon- 13 chemical shift ( $\delta 96.2$ ). Since the proton signal for the hemiketal OH appeared as a doublet ( $J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), we suspected that we were looking at W -coupling and that the OH was trans to a proton on one of the carbons attached to the hemiketal carbon. ${ }^{3}$ The

[^1]Table I. NMR Data for Acutiphycin (1) and
20,21-Didehydroacutiphycin (2)

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \delta^{a-c}$ |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \delta^{c, d}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NOE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 124.4 s | 11 |  |  |
| 170.9 s | 1 |  |  |
| 136.1 s | $8^{2}$ |  |  |
| 134.9 s | $14^{*}$ |  |  |
| 128.1 d | 9 | 5.147 dq |  |
| 123.6 d | 15 | 5.202 m |  |
| 96.2 s | 3 |  |  |
| 77.3 d | 13 | 4.507 br s |  |
| 74.2 d | 7 | 4.164 dd | 9 |
| 73.8 d | 17 | 4.893 dddd |  |
| 62.6 d | 5 | 3.996 tt | 6(e), 4(e) |
| 53.0 s | 12 |  |  |
| 49.9 t | 2 | 2.394 d | $4(\mathrm{a})^{\prime}$ |
|  |  | 2.278 d |  |
| 43.5 t | 4(e) ${ }^{i}$ | 1.899 ddd |  |
|  | 4(a) ${ }^{i}$ | 0.994 br dt |  |
| 41.3 d | 10 | 3.856 dq | 23, 13, 26 |
| 38.2 t | 6(e) | 1.537 ddt |  |
|  | 6(a) | 1.298 m |  |
| 34.4 t | $16 \alpha$ | 2.186 ddd |  |
|  | $16 \beta$ | 1.97 m |  |
| 31.8 t ( 130.2 d ) | 20 | $1.16 \mathrm{~m}(5.37 \mathrm{~m})$ |  |
| 31.0 t (31.6 t) | 18 | 1.16 m ( 1.97 m ) |  |
| 24.4 t (27.9 t) | 19 | 1.16 m |  |
| 23.4 q | 26 | 1.092 s |  |
| 22.0 t (124.9 d) | 21 | 1.16 m ( 5.37 m ) |  |
| 20.6 q | 25 | 0.841 s | 27,13,9 |
| 16.7 q | 24 | 1.026 d |  |
| 13.9 q (17.7 q ) | 22 | 0.802 t (1.63 dm) |  |
| 12.9 q | 27 | 1.431 t | $16 \alpha$ |
| 11.9 q | 23 | 1.616 d | 13, 10, 6(a) |
|  | OH on $\mathrm{C}-3$ | 5.362 d |  |

$J_{\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Hz})$ for $1:^{\mathrm{g}} 2.2^{\prime}=-14.6 ; 4.4^{\prime}=-11.8 ; 4(\mathrm{a}), 5=6(\mathrm{a}), 5=10.9 ;$
$4(\mathrm{e}), 5=6(\mathrm{e}), 5=4.6 ; 4(\mathrm{e}), 6(\mathrm{e})(\mathrm{W}$ coupling $)=1.8 ; 6,6^{\prime}=-14.0 ;$
$6(\mathrm{a}), 7=11.7 ; 6(\mathrm{e}), 7=2.2 ; 9,10=11.0 ; 9,23=1.4 ; 10,24=6.8 ;$
$15,16 \beta=3.1,15,16 \alpha=10.6 ; 15,27=1.3 ; 16 \alpha, 16 \beta=-15.2$;
$16 \alpha, 17=2.1 ; 16 \beta, 17=11.4 ; 16 \beta, 27=1.3 ; 17,18=4.5 ; 17,18^{\prime}=$
$8.1 ; 21,22=6.6 . J_{\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}}(\mathrm{Hz})^{h}$ for $1: 3,4(\mathrm{a})=2.5 ; 5.5=4.5$;
$13,13=4.1$.
${ }^{a} 75 \mathrm{MHz}$; in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$; solvent peak used as internal standard $=$ 39.5. ${ }^{61} \mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ connectivities determined by using the phase cycled 16 step heteronuclear chemical shift correlation map (CSCM) experiment. ' Chemical shifts in parentheses for compound 2. ${ }^{d} 300 \mathrm{MHz}$; in 1:1 $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} /$ benzene- $d_{6}$, residual benzene- $d_{5}$ peak used as internal standard $=7.15$. ${ }^{e}$ Assignments may be reversed. ${ }^{/}$Both protons on C-2 were irradiated simultaneously. ${ }^{8}$ Coupling constants for 2 are essentially identical except $J_{20,21}=15.2$. ${ }^{h}$ In $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}-d_{6}$, solvent peak used as internal standard $=2.52: \delta 5.414(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{OH}$ on $\mathrm{C}-3), 5.070(\mathrm{~d}$, OH on $\mathrm{C}-13$ ), $4.733(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{OH}$ on $\mathrm{C}-5) . \quad i(\mathrm{e})=$ equatorial and $(\mathrm{a})=$ axial.
remaining three oxygens were in a ketone group and an ester functionality since there were signals at $\delta 214.4$ and 170.9 , respectively, in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. The ester oxygen was apparently attached to a methine bearing two methylenes.

Three of the six quaternary carbons were now accounted for with the hemiketal, ketone, and ester groups. Two other quaternary carbons were located in two isolated trisubstituted ethenyl groups, both of which bore methyl groups and were $E$ since the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ signals for the olefinic methyl groups were at high field, $\delta 11.9$ and 12.9 respectively. Decoupling experiments established that one of the olefinic methines was attached to a methylene which in turn was connected to the methine bearing the ester oxygen. The sixth quaternary carbon was a saturated carbon which had to have two methyl groups on it since there were two three-proton singlets at $\delta 0.841$ and 1.092 .

The hemiketal group and one of the secondary alcohol groups were present in partial structure a on the basis of detailed NMR
(3) A similar signal is seen in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of aplysiatoxin where the one for the hemiketal OH on $\mathrm{C}-3$ is a doublet ( $J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), showing W-coupling to an axial proton on C-4. Moore, R. E.; Blackman, A. J.; Cheuk, C. E.; Mynderse, J. S.; Matsumoto, G. K.; Clardy, J.; Woodard, R. W. Craig, J. C. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2484.

a
analysis. The chemical shifts and coupling constants of the protons on $\mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-6$, and $\mathrm{C}-7$ and the W couplings between the axial OH on $\mathrm{C}-3$ and the axial H on $\mathrm{C}-4$ and between the equatorial protons on $\mathrm{C}-4$ and $\mathrm{C}-6$ indicated that $\mathrm{C}-3, \mathrm{C}-4, \mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-6$, and $\mathrm{C}-7$ were in a tetrahydropyran ring. An isolated methylene group was attached equatorially to $\mathrm{C}-3$ since one of the $\mathrm{C}-2$ protons showed a positive NOE to the axial H on $\mathrm{C}-4$. Furthermore, the quaternary carbon of one of the trisubstituted ethenyl groups was connected equatorially to $\mathrm{C}-7$ (as shown in a) since strong positive NOEs were observed between the H on $\mathrm{C}-7$ and the olefinic H on $\mathrm{C}-9$ and between the 8 -Me protons and the axial H on $\mathrm{C}-6$.

Five of the six methyl carbons had now been accounted for. The remaining methyl group appeared to be in an $n$-alkyl substituent. An inventory of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and O in the partial structures generated from the arguments above indicated that the $n$-alkyl group was a $n$-pentyl group which most likely was attached to the methine bearing the ester oxygen. The carbon- 13 chemical shifts for this alkyl side chain matched fairly well with calculated values, viz., 13.9, 22.7, 32.7, and 26.0 for C-22, C-21, C-20, and C-19, respectively. ${ }^{4}$

Chemical degradation allowed us to assemble a gross structure (1) for acutiphycin. Ozonolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ in dichloromethane at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reduction of the resulting diozonide with a limited amount of sodium borohydride in ethanol produced a mixture of alcohols. Acetylation and HPLC on silica gave 3 as one of the major


3
products. Acid hydrolysis ( 0.5 N hCl , reflux 1 h ) of the mixture of products containing the $n$-pentyl group (4), followed by acetylation of the hydrolyzate and HPLC, yielded 5 and 6.

The structure of 3 was deduced by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis. The protons on C-1, C-2, and C-3 all appeared to be axial protons on a tetrahydropyran ring since large vicinal couplings were observed between the $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-2$ hydrogens and between the $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ hydrogens. Furthermore, the proton on $\mathrm{C}-5$ appeared to be in an axial environment, since appreciable positive NOEs were induced in the $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ proton signals when the $\mathrm{C}-5$ proton was irradiated and conversely, in the C - 5 proton signal when either the $\mathrm{C}-1$ or $\mathrm{C}-3$ proton was irradiated. We were surprised to find that 3 was a major product of this degradation. Obviously C-1 and $\mathrm{C}-6$ in 3 had to have arisen from C-9 and C-14, respectively, in acutiphycin, but we did not expect $\mathrm{C}-9$ in 1 to remain as a masked aldehyde functionality (in 7) after borohydride reduction
(4) Levy, G. C.; Nelson, G. L. Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonance for Organic Chemists. Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1972.
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$7 R=H$
$8 R=A c$
of acutiphycin diozonide. The keto group at C -11 in acutiphycin as well as the keto group generated at C-14 after ozonolysis, however, were reduced, but with a high degree of stereospecificity, to give secondary alcohol groups at C-3 and C-6 in 7. The stereochemistry of C-6 in 3 and 7, however, was not established.

The absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{3}$ was determined by a circular dichroism (CD) study of $\delta$-lactone 9 , which was obtained by mild

acid hydrolysis of $\mathbf{3}$ to a $1: 1$ mixture of anomeric hemiacetals (8) followed by oxidation with manganese dioxide. The CD curve of 9 showed a negative Cotton effect at $[\theta]_{227}-2860$, as did the corresponding diol 10, $[\theta]_{224}-2570$, from acid hydrolysis of 9 , indicating that the $\delta$-lactone ring had the absolute stereochemistry shown in 9.5 The configurations at $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-5$ on 9 were

[^2]therefore $R$ and $S$, respectively, and this meant that $\mathrm{C}-10$ and C-13 in acutiphycin had to be $S$ and $R$, respectively.

The absolute stereochemistry of $5,[\alpha]_{D}-18^{\circ}$, was solved by synthesis. ( $Z$ )-2-Octen-1-ol was converted by the Sharpless method to $2(R), 3(S)$-epoxy-1-octanol. The epoxide was then reduced with lithium aluminum hydride to a mixture of $1,2-$ and 1,3 -diols. After destruction of the 1,2 -diol in the mixture with periodate, $(S)$-1,3-octanediol, $[\alpha]_{D}+15.2^{\circ}$, was obtained. The configuration of $\mathrm{C}-3$ in 5 was therefore $R$ which meant that $\mathrm{C}-17$ in acutiphycin was $R$.

Since C-10 in 1 was $S$, the absolute stereochemistry of the tetrahydropyran ring had to be $3 R, 5 S, 7 S$. The coupling between the $\mathrm{C}-9$ and $\mathrm{C}-10$ protons was 11.0 Hz , indicating that these two protons were anti to each other. The methyl group on C-8, the axial proton on $\mathrm{C}-6$, and the $\mathrm{C}-10 \mathrm{H}$ had to be eclipsed in the preferred conformation of 1 , since strong positive NOEs were seen in the axial $\mathrm{C}-6$ proton and $\mathrm{C}-10$ proton signals upon irradiation of the methyl group on C-8. The C-7 and C-9 protons also had to be eclipsed since a strong positive NOE was seen in the C-9 proton signal when the C-7 proton was irradiated (see b).


Additional NOE studies supported the proposed absolute stereochemistry of the tetrahydropyran ring and suggested $\mathbf{b}$ as the most probable conformation of the acutiphycin molecule in the solution state. Irradiation of the methyl group on C-8 produced a strong positive NOE on the C-13 proton, as did irradiation of the C-10 proton. Examination of a Dreiding model showed that the $\mathrm{C}-13$ proton was oriented toward and close to both the $8-\mathrm{Me}$ group and the $\mathrm{C}-10$ proton. In the conformation depicted in b , one of the methyl groups on $\mathrm{C}-12$ was close to the $\mathrm{C}-10$ proton and the hydroxyl group on C-13 eclipsed the C-10 proton. This was concluded from the following data. Irradiation of the C-10 proton produced a significant NOE in the methyl group at $\delta 1.092$. The C-10 proton signal showed a 0.5 ppm upfield shift when the OH group on $\mathrm{C}-13$ in $\mathbf{1}$ was acetylated (from $\delta 3.928$ for $\mathbf{1}$ to $\delta$ 3.450 for the diacetate in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ); none of the other proton signals changed more than $\pm 0.1 \mathrm{ppm}$.

The CD spectrum of 6 showed a positive Cotton effect at 257 $\mathrm{nm},[\theta]+1150$, indicating that $C-5$ in 6 was $R$ and confirming the proposed absolute stereochemistry of the tetrahydropyran ring. $(+)$-Parasarbic acid, which has the same absolute configuration at C-5, shows $[\theta]_{262}+74300^{7,8}$
The structure determination of 20,21-didehydroacutiphycin (2) was straightforward. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were essentially identical with those of 1 , except for the signals for the five-carbon side chain. The extra double bond, trans according to the IR spectrum, was located between $\mathrm{C}-20$ and $\mathrm{C}-21$. The coupling

[^3]constant ( $J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between the protons on C-20 and C-21 also indicated that the extra double bond was trans.

## Experimental Section

Culture Conditions. Oscillatoria acutissima Kufferath, strain number B-1, was collected from a fresh, running water source in Manoa Valley on the island of Oahu, Hawaii. Clonal cultures were prepared by repeated subculture on solidified media. ${ }^{9}$ The alga was cultured in $25-\mathrm{L}$ bottles containing an inorganic medium, designated FM, in which the major salt concentrations corresponded to those in Fitzgerald's medium, ${ }^{10}$ viz., sodium nitrate, 1.5 mM ; dipotassium hydrogen phosphate, 0.06 mM ; magnesium sulfate, 0.10 mM ; calcium chloride, 0.25 mM ; sodium carbonate, 0.19 mM ; sodium metasilicate, 0.20 mM ; MOPS (3morpholinopropanesulfonic acid), 5.0 mM ; minor elements solution, ${ }^{11} 1$ $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{L}$; trace elements solutions $\mathrm{B}_{7}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{13},{ }^{10} 0.12 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{L}$ each. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 7.0 before sterilization. Cultures were illuminated continuously at an incident intensity of $330 \mu$ Einsteins $\mathrm{m}^{-2}$ $\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ from banks of cool-white fluorescent tubes and vigorously aerated with approximately $1 \%$ carbon dioxide in air at an incubation temperature of $24 \pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3-4 weeks the alga was harvested by centrifugation. Yields of lyophilized cells typically ranged from 0.4 to $0.5 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ of culture.

Isolation. Digestion of 275 g of freeze-dried O. acutissima with dichloromethane ( 2 L ) yielded 9.2 g of crude extract. Gel filtration on a $114 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ column of Sephadex LH-20 with $1: 1$ dichloromethane/ 2-propanol afforded, after 3 L of eluant had passed, a fraction ( 482 mL ) containing 3.04 g of material. Chromatography on Florisil ( $37 \mathrm{~g}, 31 \mathrm{~cm}$ $\times 2.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ column) using dichloromethane as the eluant gave 1.5 g of a crude mixture of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2. HPLC on silica (Whatman Partisil M-9 column; $15-\mathrm{mg}$ portions; $35 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}, 1 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane) gave 300 mg of acutiphycin (1) and 320 mg of 20,21 -didehydroacutiphycin (2). Crystallization from hexane-chloroform gave pure $1, \mathrm{mp} 155-156^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+107^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c 1.0\right)$, and 2 , $\mathrm{mp} 153-154{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}$ $+110^{\circ}(\mathrm{MeOH}$, c 1.0$)$.

Acetylation of acutiphycin. A solution of $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of acetic anhydride, 100 mL of triethylamine, and a trace of 4 -(dimethylamino) pyridine ${ }^{13}$ in 1 mL of dichloromethane was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and a solution of 1 in 1 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added. The reaction was monitored by thin-layer chromatography. Two new higher $R_{f}$ spots appeared ( 0.65 and $0.73,1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane ) initially, but after 2.5 h TLC analysis showed only one spot at $R_{f} 0.73$. Water was added, and the organic layer was washed successively with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, saturated sodium bicarbonate solution, water, and saturated sodium chloride solution, dried over sodium sulfate, and evaporated to give 6.4 mg of an oil. HPLC purification on a silica column ( $30 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}, 0.5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane) afforded essentially one product which proved to be acutiphycin 5,13-diacetate by NMR analysis: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OAc}), 2.023$ (s, OAc), 5.270 (tt, H on C-5) 5.504 (br s, H on C-13). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of acutiphycin in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ showed signals at $\delta 4.261$ and 4.627 for the $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $\mathrm{C}-13$ protons, respectively.

Ozonolysis of 1. A solution of 21 mg of acutiphycin in 4 mL of dichloromethane was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with ozone. When all of the starting material had reacted (TLC analysis) nitrogen was bubbled through the solution for 10 min to expel excess ozone. The mixture was allowed to warm to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of sodium borohydride ( 23 mg ) in 0.5 mL EtOH was added dropwise. This mixture was stirred for 45 min and the excess hydride was decomposed with 2 mL of 1 M potassium phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ). The organic solvents were evaporated, and the residue was dried in vacuo. The solid was treated with acetic anhydride/pyridine (1:1) overnight at room temperature. After evaporation of the reagents and standard workup, 28 mg of an oil was recovered. TLC analysis of this crude mixture ( $1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ hexane) showed the presence of both high and low $R_{f}$ material ( 0.7 and 0.2 , respectively). Separation by chromatography on a short silica column (Bond Elut, Analytichem International) gave the faster moving material, eluted with dichloromethane, and the slower moving material, eluted with ethyl acetate. The high $R_{f}$ fraction was purified by HPLC on Partisil ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) to yield 9.1 mg of compound 3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.330(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-1), 5.069$ (quintet, H on $\mathrm{C}-6$ ), $4.583(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-3), 3.286(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-5)$, 2.112 (s, OAc), 2.099 (s, OAc), 2.002 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}$ ), 1.880 ( $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-2$ ), 1.217 (d, $J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Me on C-6), 0.957 ( s , equatorial Me on $\mathrm{C}-4$ ), 0.829

[^4](s, axial Me on C-4), $0.790\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Me on $\mathrm{C}-2$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ (irr) $\rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ (positive NOE): $5.330 \rightarrow 4.583,3.286 ; 5.069 \rightarrow 3.286,1.217,0.957$, $0.829 ; 4.583 \rightarrow 5.330,0.790 ; 3.286 \rightarrow 5.330,5.069,4.583,1.217 ; 1.217$ $\rightarrow 5.069,3.286 ; 0.957 \rightarrow 5.069,4.583,3.286 ; 0.829 \rightarrow 5.069,1.880$, $1.217 ; 0.790 \rightarrow 5.330,4.583,1.880$.

The slower moving material was purified in a similar manner ( $1: 1$ EtOAc-hexane) to give two fractions, each one containing the compounds of gross structure 4. No attempt was made to fully characterize each isomer; instead the mixture was refluxed for 1 h with 0.5 N HCl . After the solution had cooled, the water was removed (in vacuo) and the hydrolyzate was acetylated with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /pyridine (2:1) overnight at room temperature. After removal of the reagents and standard workup, a crude oil was obtained which after HPLC on a silica column ( $30 \% \mathrm{Et}$ OAc in hexane) afforded 1 mg of diacetate 5 and 1 mg of lactone 6. Compound 5 had the following properties: $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-18^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c 0.5\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.958(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-3), 4.070(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ on C-1), 2.024 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}$ on $\mathrm{C}-1$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ ), 1.765-1.955 (m, 2 H on C-2), 1.53 (br m, 2 H on $\mathrm{C}-4$ ), 1.26 (br m, 6 H on $\mathrm{C}-5, \mathrm{C}-6$, and $\mathrm{C}-7$ ), $0.862(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-8$ ). Compound 6 had the following properties: $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{MeOH})[\theta]_{257}=+1150$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.850(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-3), 6.016(\mathrm{dt}, 9.8$ and 1.8 Hz , H on $\mathrm{C}-2), 5.14(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}-8 \mathrm{H}), 4.459(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-5), 2.36(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H on $\mathrm{C}-4$ ), 2.044 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}$ ), 2.031 ( $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OAc}$ ), 1.90 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-6$ ), $1.213(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-9)$.

Conversion of 3 to Lactone 9. To a solution of 5 mg of compound 3 in 2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added a catalytic amount of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate. After 6 h at room temperature the mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and poured over ice-cold saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic layer was washed with water and saturated sodium chloride solution, dried, and evaporated to give 3 mg of a $1: 1$ mixture of the $\alpha$ and $\beta$ hemiacetals (8). This material was treated without purification with excess $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ ( 20 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature. After 12 h the mixture was filtered through a small column of silica gel ( 1 g ). Elution with ethyl acetate afforded 2.1 mg of an oil which was purified by HPLC on a silica column ( $35 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}, 1 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane) to give 1.5 mg (overall yield $36 \%$ ) of lactone 9: $\mathrm{CD}(\mathrm{MeOH})$ $[\theta]_{227}=-2860 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.203$ (qd, $J=6.3$ and $3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ on C-6), $4.834(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, axial H on $\mathrm{C}-3), 4.082(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, axial H on $\mathrm{C}-5$ ), 2.573 (dq, $J=10.5$ and 6.8 Hz , axial H on $\mathrm{C}-2$ ), 2.143 (s, OAc) 2.058 (OAc), 1.333 (d, $J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{Me}$ on $\mathrm{C}-6$ ), 1.261 (d, $J$ $=6.8$, Me on C-2), 0.993 (s, Me on C-4), 0.989 (s, Me on C-4). Hydrolysis of 9 with 0.5 N HCl (reflux) gave the corresponding diol 10; CD $(\mathrm{MeOH})[\theta]_{224}=-2160 ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.024$ (br qd, $J=6.3$ and $4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-6$ ), 3.311 (br d, $J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{H}$ on $\mathrm{C}-3$ ).

Synthesis of (S)-(+)-1,3-Diacetoxyoctane. (Z)-2-Octen-1-ol (950 mg ) was epoxidized by the Sharpless method ${ }^{14}$ employing unnatural $(-)$-diethyl tartrate as the chiral pool. After 48 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, standard workup and HPLC on silica ( $30 \%$ EtOAc in hexane) gave $2(R), 3(S)$ -epoxy-1-octanol. The epoxide ( 33 mg ) was treated with lithium aluminum hydride ( 19 mg ) in tetrahydrofuran $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL}) .{ }^{15}$ After 20 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, water ( 0.5 mL ) was added followed by $6-8$ drops of $2 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. This mixture was filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was taken up in ethanol-water ( $1: 3$ ) and treated with sodium metaperiodate ( 23 mg ) in water ( 1 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2.5 h excess $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was added followed by 1 M potassium phosphate ( pH 7 ) buffer. The mixture was stirred until it was homogeneous ( 1 h ). The ethanol was evaporated, and the remaining aqueous mixture was lyophilized. The dried residue was treated with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /pyridine ( $2: 1$ ) overnight at room temperature. After standard workup and HPLC on Partisil ( $20 \%$ EtOAc in hexane), ( $S$ )-1,3-diacetoxyoctane was obtained, $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+15.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, c\right.$ 1.7).
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#### Abstract

Pyrolysis of 3,4-bis(acetoxymethyl)-2,5-dimethylfuran (8) gives a $12 \%$ yield of a [4+2] dimer (10) of furanoradialene (4). A similar pyrolysis of 3,4-bis(benzoyloxymethyl)-2,5-dimethylfuran (9) gives 10 in $69 \%$ yield. Low-temperature ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral studies show that 4 is the primary pyrolysis product from 8 and 9 , which forms 10 upon warming. Pyrolysis of 3,4-bis[acetoxydideuteriomethyl]-2,5-dimethylfuran $\left(8-d_{4}\right)$ gives $[4+2]$ dimer 10- $d_{8}$ via the intermediacy of $4-d_{4}$. A concerted mechanism is proposed for the conversion of furanoradialene (4) to $\mathbf{1 0}$.


Recently we reported that the flash vacuum pyrolysis (FVP) of (2-methyl-3-furyl)methyl benzoate (1) gives good yields of 2,3-dimethylene-2,3-dihydrofuran (2). ${ }^{3}$ Compound 2 is an air-
(1) Based on work by T. J. Cassady in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree at Iowa State University.
sensitive material which readily undergoes dimerization, forming the head-to-head [ $4+4$ ] dimer (3). Although 2 has been previously postulated as an intermediate in the liquid-phase pyrolysis
(2) Trahanovsky, W. S.; Cassady, T. J.; Woods, T. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6691.


[^0]:    (26) Cooke, R. S.; Hammond, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 2739.
    (27) Deshmukh, M.; Dunach, E.; Jugē S.; Kagan, H. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 3467.
    (28) Note Added in Proof: A paper recently appeared (Di Furia, F.; Modena, G.; Seraglia, R. Synthesis, 1984, 325) which describes asymmetric oxidation of four aryl alkyl sulfides (ee from $14 \%$ till $88 \%$ ) by $t$ - BuOOH and $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{O}-i-\mathrm{Pr})_{4} /(\mathrm{DET})(1: 4)$.

    The structure of the Sharpless reagent has just been established by X-ray cristallography. This analogue has a structure similar to that shown in Figure 3 where each tartramide acts as a bidentate diolate to a titanium and there is a double bridging between the two titaniums through the tartramide alkoxy groups (K. B. Sharpless, private communication).
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    (2) (a) For cytotoxicity against KB and NIH $/ 3 \mathrm{~T} 3$ cells, $\mathrm{ED}_{50}<1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ for both 1 and 2. (b) For activity against murine Lewis lung carcinoma, T/C $=>150$ at $50 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$.
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[^3]:    (6) The presence of acetoxyl groups on the $\delta$-lactone does not affect the sign of the Cotton effect in the CD curve; e.g., compare the CD of $\delta$-lactone 17 in ref $5 \mathrm{c},[\theta]_{224}+2300(\mathrm{EtOH})$, and the corresponding diacetate, $[\theta]_{224}$ $+3900(\mathrm{EtOH})$.
    (7) (a) Snatzke, G.; Schwang, H.; Welzel, P. In "Some Newer Physical Methods in Structural Chemistry"; Bonnett, R., Davis, J. G., Eds.; United Trade Press: London, 1967, p 157. (b) Tschesche, R.; Schwang, H.; Fehlhaver, H.-W.; Snatzke, G. Tetrahedron 1966, 22, 1129.
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