Complexes of the Platinum Metals. Part 33.¹ Synthesis of Some Ruthenium and Osmium Sulphonate Derivatives: X-Ray Crystal Structure of Aqua(carbonyl)bis(toluene-p-sulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II)*

P. Alexander Harding and Stephen D. Robinson

Department of Chemistry, King's College, Strand, London WC2R 2LS Kim Henrick

School of Chemistry, The Polytechnic of North London, Holloway Road, London N7 8DB

Sulphonic acids RSO₃H (R = CH₃, CF₃, or C₆H₄CH₃-*p*) react with the precursors [MH₂(CO)(PPh₃)₃] (M = Ru or Os) and [Ru(CO)₃(PPh₃)₂] or [OsH₂(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂] in refluxing benzene or toluene to afford the complexes [M(O₃SR)₂(H₂O)(CO)(PPh₃)₂] and [M(O₃SR)₂(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂] respectively. The precursors [RuH₂(PPh₃)₄] and [OsH₄(PPh₃)₃] react with sulphonic acids in refluxing benzene to give the η^6 -benzene complexes [MH(η^6 -C₆H₆)(PPh₃)₂][O₃SR]. However a similar reaction in refluxing ethanol yielded [OsH₃(PPh₃)₄][O₃SCF₃]. The complexes [Ru(O₃SR)₂(H₂O)(CO)(PPh₃)₂] have been shown by variable-temperature ¹H and ³¹P-{¹H} n.m.r. spectroscopy to be fluxional in solution. The solid-state structure of one example, [Ru(O₃SC₆H₄CH₃-*p*)₂(H₂O)(CO)(PPh₃)₂] has been determined by X-ray diffraction methods. The crystals are monoclinic, space group P2₁/*n*, with *a* = 24.382(5), *b* = 18.075(4), *c* = 10.729(2) Å, β = 99.03(2)°, and Z = 4. The octahedral ruthenium(II) complex contains monodentate sulphonate ligands (*trans* to PPh₃ and CO) and an aqua ligand (*trans* to PPh₃) with strong hydrogen-bonding interactions between the H atoms of the H₂O and the non-co-ordinated oxygen atoms of the sulphonate ligands. The molecular structure is very similar to that previously reported for the alcohol dehydrogenation catalyst [Ru(O₂CCF₃)₂(CH₃OH)(CO)(PPh₃)₂].

The present paper, which complements an earlier one on sulphonate complexes of iridium,¹ describes the synthesis and characterisation of some new sulphonate complexes of ruthenium and osmium including sulphonate analogues of the alcohol dehydrogenation catalyst [Ru(O₂CCF₃)₂(CH₃OH)- $(CO)(PPh_3)_2$] previously reported from this laboratory.^{2.3} Since the co-ordinating properties of sulphonate anions and the chemistry of their known platinum group metal derivatives were surveyed in the previous paper¹ these topics are not reviewed here. However, mention should be made of a more recent paper by Bailey and Ludi⁴ in which new toluene-p-sulphonate complexes of ruthenium, relevant to the present work, including $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)(dppe)_2][O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p]$ (dppe =Ph,PCH,CH,PPh,), $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(\eta^6-C_6H_6) (PPh_3)$], and $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)_2L_2](L = PPh_3 \text{ or}$ tetrahydrofuran) are reported.

A preliminary account of the present results was given in our earlier publication on sulphonate complexes of the platinum group metals.⁵

Experimental

Ruthenium and osmium salts were supplied by Johnson Matthey plc and were converted into the phosphine complexes carbonyldihydridotris(triphenylphosphine)-ruthenium and -osmium, tricarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)osmium,⁶ dihydridotetrakis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium,⁷ and tetrahydridotris-(triphenylphosphine)osmium,⁶ by standard literature procedures. Other experimental details are similar to those reported in ref. 1. Spectroscopic data are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Ruthenium Sulphonate Complexes.—Aqua(carbonyl)bis-(methanesulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II). Methanesulphonic acid (0.04 cm³, 0.654 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of carbonyldihydridotris(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium (0.2 g, 0.218 mmol) in benzene (10 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h to give a yellow solution then cooled, filtered, and evaporated under reduced pressure to form a yellow solid which was twice crystallised from dichloromethane-diethyl ether. Filtration followed by washing with ethanol and n-hexane gave large yellow crystals. Yield 0.17 g, 80%; m.p. 176-184 °C (Found: C, 54.35; H, 4.40. C₃₉H₃₈O₈-P₂RuS₂ requires C, 54.35; H, 4.45%). Aqua(carbonyl)bis-(trifluoromethanesulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II) was similarly prepared and, after two crystallisations from dichloromethane-n-hexane, was isolated as yellow crystals. Yield 95%, m.p. 187-190 °C (Found: C, 48.65; H, 3.45. $C_{39}H_{32}F_6O_8P_2RuS_2$ requires C, 48.30; H, 3.35%). Aqua-(carbonyl)bis(toluene-p-sulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II) was similarly prepared and, after crystallisation from dichloromethane-diethyl ether, yielded well formed yellow *crystals.* Yield 86%, m.p. 176–184 °C (Found: C, 60.1; H, 4.65. $C_{51}H_{46}O_8P_2RuS_2$ requires C, 60.40; H, 4.55%).

Dicarbonylbis(methanesulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II). Methanesulphonic acid (0.08 cm³, 1.23 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of tricarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium (0.23 g, 0.34 mmol) in benzene (10 cm³) and the mixture heated under reflux for 1 h. The yellow solution was cooled, filtered, diluted with methanol (25 cm³), and then concentrated under reduced pressure whereupon a white microcrystalline solid deposited. This was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and light petroleum (b.p. 60–80 °C), then recrystallised from dichloromethane–n-hexane to afford white microcrystals. Yield 0.14 g, 50%; m.p. 200–203 °C (Found: C, 55.0; H, 4.15. C₄₀H₃₆O₈P₂RuS₂ requires C, 55.10; H, 4.15%).

^{*} Supplementary data available: see Instructions for Authors, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1988, Issue 1, pp. xvii—xx.

Dicarbonylbis(trifluoromethanesulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II) was similarly prepared and isolated as white *crystals*. Yield 55%, m.p. 255–258 °C (Found: C, 50.05; H, 3.25. $C_{40}H_{30}F_6O_8P_2RuS_2$ requires C, 49.05; H, 3.10%).

Dicarbonylbis(toluene-p-sulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II). A solution of toluene-p-sulphonic acid (0.22 g, 1.16 mmol) in ethanol (2 cm³) was added to a stirred suspension of tricarbonylbis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium (0.4 g, 0.56 mmol) in benzene (10 cm³) and the mixture heated under reflux for 1 h. The yellow solution was cooled, filtered, diluted with methanol (ca. 35 cm³), and concentrated under reduced pressure whereupon a white solid deposited. This was filtered off, washed with diethyl ether and light petroleum (b.p. 60– 80 °C), then crystallised from dichloromethane-n-hexane and dried *in vacuo* to give white microcrystals. Yield 0.33 g, 57%; m.p. 251–253 °C (Found: C, 60.6; H, 4.25. C₅₂H₄₄O₈P₂RuS₂ requires C, 61.0; H, 4.35%).

$(\eta^{6}$ -Benzene)hydridobis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II)

methanesulphonate-dichloromethane (1/1). Dihydridotetrakis-(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium (0.4 g, 0.347 mmol) was added to stirred, nitrogen-purged benzene (20 cm³) and after ca. 5 min methanesulphonic acid (0.05 cm³, 0.76 mmol) was added and the resulting red-brown mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h to give a yellow-brown solution. After cooling, the solution was filtered and then evaporated under reduced pressure to give a yellow-brown oil which was crystallised from dichloromethanediethyl ether and washed with diethyl ether and light petroleum. Recrystallisation from dichloromethane-diethyl ether gave yellow needle crystals. Yield 0.205 g, 68%; m.p. 185-190 °C (Found: C, 59.5; H, 4.80. C₄₄H₄₂Cl₂O₃P₂RuS requires C, 59.7; H, 4.80%). (n⁶-Benzene)hydridobis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II) trifluoromethanesulphonate-dichloromethane (1/1) was similarly prepared and crystallised as yellow needlelike crystals. Yield 43%, m.p. 199-202 °C (Found: C, 56.35; H, 4.20. C44H39Cl2F3O3P2RuS requires C, 56.3; H, 4.20%).

Osmium Sulphonato Complexes.—Aqua(carbonyl)bis-(methanesulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(II).

Methanesulphonic acid (0.05 cm³, 0.745 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of carbonyldihydridotris(triphenylphosphine)osmium (0.25 g, 0.248 mmol) in benzene (10 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h to give a yellow solution which was then cooled, filtered and evaporated to dryness. The residue was crystallised from dichloromethane–n-hexane then washed with ethanol and recrystallised from dichloromethane–n-hexane as yellow prisms. Yield 0.2 g, 83%; m.p. 270–273 °C (Found: C, 48.75; H, 3.95. $C_{39}H_{38}O_8OsP_2S_2$ requires C, 49.25; H, 4.05%).

Aqua(carbonyl)bis(toluene-p-sulphonato)bis(triphenylphos-

phine)osmium(11). A solution of toluene-p-sulphonic acid monohydrate (0.28 g, 1.49 mmol) in ethanol (2 cm³) was added to a stirred solution of carbonyldihydridotris(triphenylphosphine)osmium (0.25 g, 0.248 mmol) in benzene (10 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 2 h to form a yellow solution which was worked up as described above to give pale yellow needlelike crystals. Yield 0.13 g, 48%; m.p. 210–221 °C (Found: C, 55.55; H, 4.35. $C_{51}H_{46}O_8OSP_2S_2$ requires C, 55.5; H, 4.20%).

Dicarbonylbis(methanesulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(II). Methanesulphonic acid (0.016 cm³, 0.249 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of dicarbonyldihydridobis(triphenylphosphine)osmium (0.4 g, 0.518 mmol) in toluene (20 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 4 h to give a yellow solution. On cooling this was filtered and then evaporated under reduced pressure to give white crystals in a brown oily residue. These were twice crystallised from dichloromethane-diethyl ether to give white crystals. Yield 0.23 g, 46%; m.p. 310-312 °C (Found: C, 49.8; H, 3.70. C₄₀H₃₆O₈OsP₂S₂ requires C, 50.0; H, 3.80%). Dicarbonylbis(trifluoromethanesulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(II) was similarly prepared and twice crystallised from dichloromethane-diethyl ether to give white crystals. Yield 53%, m.p. 303-304 °C (Found: C, 45.1; H, 2.85. C₄₀H₃₀F₆O₈OsP₂S₂ requires C, 44.95; H, 2.85%).

Dicarbonylbis(toluene-p-sulphonato)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(II). A solution of toluene-p-sulphonic acid monohydrate (0.32 g, 1.66 mmol) in ethanol (2 cm³) was added to a stirred suspension of dicarbonyldihydridobis(triphenylphosphine)osmium (0.32 g, 0.414 mmol) in toluene (20 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 8 h to give a pale yellow solution which was worked up as described above to give white microcrystals. Yield 0.2 g, 35%; m.p. 262—265 °C (Found: C, 55.7; H, 4.00. $C_{52}H_{44}O_8OsP_2S_2$ requires C, 56.10; H, 4.0%).

 $(\eta^{6}$ -Benzene)hydridobis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(11) trifluoromethanesulphonate-dichloromethane (1/1). Trifluoromethanesulphonic acid (0.08 cm³, 0.917 mmol) was added to a suspension of tetrahydridotris(triphenylphosphine)osmium (0.3 g, 0.306 mmol) in benzene (20 cm³). The mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h to give a yellow-brown solution. This was cooled, filtered, and then evaporated under reduced pressure to leave a yellow-brown oil. Crystallisation of the oil from dichloromethane-n-hexane followed by washing with ethanol and light petroleum and a further crystallisation from dichloromethane-n-hexane gave yellow crystals. Yield 0.11 g, 35%; m.p. 220-223 °C (Found: C, 51.95; H, 3.85. C44H39Cl2F3O3OsP2S requires C, 51.4; H, 3.80%). (n⁶-Benzene)hydridobis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(II) methanesulphonate-dichloromethane (1/1) was similarly prepared and isolated as yellow crystals. Yield 17%, m.p. 183–190 °C (Found: C, 53.40; H, 4.45. C₄₄H₄₂Cl₂O₃OsP₂S requires C, 54.3; H, 4.35%).

Trihydridotetrakis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(1V) trifluoromethanesulphonate. Trifluoromethanesulphonic acid (0.12 cm³, 1.36 mmol) was added to a suspension of tetrahydridotris-(triphenylphosphine)osmium (0.46 g, 0.469 mmol) in ethanol (20 cm³) and the mixture was heated under reflux for 1 h to give a yellow-brown solution. After cooling the solution was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give glittering white crystals. These were filtered off, washed with ethanol and diethyl ether, then dried *in vacuo*. Yield 0.05 g, 7.5%; m.p. 217– 229 °C (Found: C, 62.40; H, 4.75. C₇₃H₆₃F₃O₃OsP₄S requires C, 63.00; H, 4.55%). Addition of free triphenylphosphine (1 mmol) to the reaction mixture increased the yield to 0.47 g (72%).

X-Ray Structural Analysis of $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)-(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$.—Crystal data. Crystals were obtained from dichloromethane-diethyl ether in the presence of traces of water. C₅₁H₄₆O₈P₂RuS₂, M = 1 014.06, monoclinic, a = 24.382(5), b = 18.075(4), c = 10.729(2) Å, $\beta = 99.03(2)^\circ$, U = 4 652.76 Å³, space group P2₁/n (alternative P2₁/c), Z = 4, $D_c = 1.592$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 2.088, $\lambda(Mo-K_a) = 0.710.69$ Å, $\mu(Mo-K_a) = 4.78$ cm⁻¹.

Data collection and processing. The X-ray analysis was performed using 5 114 reflections with $I/\sigma(I) \ge 3.0$ measured in the θ range 3-25° on a Phillips PW1100 four-circle diffractometer using Mo- K_{α} radiation from a graphite-crystal monochromator. The methods of data collection and processing have been described previously.⁸

Structure analysis and refinement.⁹ Full-matrix least-squares procedures were used in the refinement of positional and thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. The ruthenium, phosphorus, sulphur, oxygen, and non-phenyl carbon atoms were assigned anisotropic thermal parameters. Hydrogen atoms, which were given fixed thermal parameters $(U = 0.08 \text{ Å}^2)$, were allowed to 'ride' on the carbon atoms in the rings (C-H 1.08 Å). The hydrogen atoms of the co-ordinated water molecule were located from a difference Fourier synthesis

Table 1. Initiated and minit spectroscopic data							
Complex	$\tilde{\nu}(MH)/cm^{-1}$	$\tilde{\nu}(CO)/cm^{-1}$	$\delta(MH)/p.p.m.$	<i>J</i> (PH)/Hz	$\delta(\mathbf{P})/p.p.m.$	Others	
$[Ru(O_3SCH_3)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$		1 990	-9.21 (t) -9.16 (t)		*		
$[Ru(O_3SCF_3)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$		1 989 1 988 2 059, 1 987 2 080, 2 021 2 065, 2 003			*		
$[\operatorname{Ru}(O_3\operatorname{SC}_6\operatorname{H}_4\operatorname{CH}_3-p)_2(\operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O})(\operatorname{CO})(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2]$					*		
$[Ru(O_3SCH_3)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$					22.73 (s)		
$[Ru(O_3SCF_3)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$					22.76 (s) 21.03 (s) 51.03 (s) 50.87 (s)	$\delta(F) = /8.50(S)$	
$[\operatorname{Ru}(O_3\operatorname{SC}_6\operatorname{H}_4\operatorname{CH}_3 \cdot p)_2(\operatorname{CO})_2(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2]$				ca. 37 ca. 37			
$[\operatorname{RuH}(\eta^6 - \operatorname{C}_6H_6)(\operatorname{PPh}_3)_2][\operatorname{O}_3\operatorname{SCH}_3]$	2 036					S(E) 79.26 (a)	
$[RuH(\eta^{\circ}-C_{6}H_{6})(PPh_{3})_{2}][O_{3}SCF_{3}]$	2 041					$\delta(F) = /8.36(S)$	
$[Os(O_3SCH_3)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$		1 953					
$\left[Os(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2\right]$		1970			20((-)		
$[Os(O_3SCH_3)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$		2 045, 1 966			2.06 (s)	S(E) 7607 (-	
$\left[Os(O_3SCF_3)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2\right]$		2 062, 1 992			0.69 (S)	$O(\Gamma) = 70.97(S)$	
$[Os(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$	0.107	2 053, 1 973	11.27 (4)	24	1.13 (S)		
$[O_{3}H(\eta^{\circ}-C_{6}H_{6})(PPh_{3})_{2}][O_{3}SCH_{3}]$	2 127		-11.27(t)	<i>ca</i> . 34	0.22	S(E) = 79.27 (a)	
$[OsH(\eta^{\circ}-C_6H_6)(PPh_3)_2][O_3SCF_3]$	2 130		-11.21(t)	<i>ca</i> . 34	6.10	$O(\Gamma) = 78.37(8)$	
$[OsH(\eta^{\circ}-C_{6}H_{6})(PPh_{3})_{2}][O_{3}SC_{6}H_{4}CH_{3}-p]$	2 1 3 8		-11.32(l)	<i>ca.</i> 51	0.50 (S)		
$[OsH_3(PPh_3)_4][O_3SCF_3]$	2 1 2 0		-9.80 (q)	<i>ca</i> . 19.0	2.14 (8)		
* See Table 2.							
Table 2. Variable-temperature n.m.r. data							
Complex	T	7/ K	δ(P)/p.p.m.	J(P)	P′)/Hz	$\delta(CH_3)/p.p.m.$	
$[Ru(O_3SCH_3)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$	3	23	41.86 (s)			2.44 (s), 2.30 (s)	
	2	23	43.23 39.73 AB		32		
			42.07 (s)				
$[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)$)2] 3	23	41.26 (s)			2.36 (s), 2.25 (s)	
	2	23	43.94 39.50 }AB		31	2.44 (s), 2.40 (s), 2.38 (s), 2.28 (s)	
			42.03 (s)				
$[Os(O_3SCH_3)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$	3	23 Inco	omplete coalescence			2.42 (s), 2.29 (s)	
	2	23	$-6.84 \\ -5.43$ AB		13		
			-4.76 (s)				

Incomplete coalescence $\begin{pmatrix} -7.12 \\ -3.79 \end{pmatrix} AB$

-5.24 (s)

323

223

calculated using data with $(\sin \theta)/\lambda < 0.35$. These were included in structure-factor calculations (U = 0.8 Å²) but were not refined. A final R value of 0.0681 and R' of 0.0687 [weighting] scheme, $w = 1/\sigma^2(I)$ were obtained with 397 independent parameters. Positional parameters for non-hydrogen atoms are given in Table 3, selected bond length and angle data in Table 4.

 $[Os(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$

Results and Discussion

Our interest in sulphonate complexes centres on the potential of the sulphonate anion as a leaving group in platinum metal ligand-substitution reactions. In this paper we describe the synthesis and characterisation of new sulphonate complexes; some of the chemistry of these systems will be reported in a later paper.

 $[M(O_3SR)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ (M = Ru or Os).—These air-stable yellow crystalline complexes are prepared by treatment of the precursors $[MH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3]$ with sulphonic acids in boiling benzene; the aqua ligands are believed to arise from residual traces of water associated with the sulphonic acids or the solvent employed. The stoicheiometry, in particular the presence of the H₂O ligand, and the stereochemistry of these complexes in the solid state have been conclusively established by the X-ray diffraction study on the ruthenium toluene-psulphonate derivative (see below) which revealed the structure (A) shown in the Scheme. However, the ${}^{1}H$, ${}^{19}F$, and ${}^{31}P$ -{ ${}^{1}H$ } n.m.r. spectra show temperature-dependent behaviour indicative of the occurrence of fluxional processes in solution. The relevant n.m.r. data, which are summarised in Table 2, are consistent with a process (Scheme) which equilibrates the PPh₃ ligands but not the O₃SR groups ($\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{CH}_3$ or $\mathbf{C}_6\mathbf{H}_4\mathbf{CH}_3$ -p) at elevated temperatures and which gives rise to two species, one containing equivalent PPh₃ ligands, and one containing nonequivalent PPh₃ ligands on cooling to ambient temperature or below. For example the ${}^{31}P{-}{{}^{1}H}$ and ${}^{1}H$ n.m.r. spectra of the ruthenium complex $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)(CO) (PPh_3)_2$] taken at elevated temperature (323 K) show a singlet attributable to equivalent ³¹P nuclei and two singlets arising

13

2.37 (s), 2.27 (s)

417

Atom	x	у	z	Atom	x	У	2
Ru	0.132 31(3)	0.228 11(3)	-0.17682(6)	C(115)	0.011 2(4)	0.279 6(6)	-0.623 7(10)
P(1)	0.060 70(9)	0.312 55(11)	-0.245 80(22)	C(116)	0.0422(4)	0.295 0(5)	-0.5033(9)
P(2)	0.130 19(9)	0.14241(11)	-0.34509(21)	C(121)	0.086 3(3)	0.407 8(5)	-0.2573(8)
S(1)	0.254 3(1)	0.190 6(1)	-0.0250(2)	C(122)	0.060 3(4)	0.455 7(6)	-0.3484(10)
O(11)	0.199 9(2)	0.160 6(3)	-0.0830(5)	C(123)	0.0800(4)	0.528 7(6)	-0.3577(11)
O(12)	0.247 0(3)	0.244 5(3)	0.073 0(7)	C(124)	0.125 6(4)	0.552 6(6)	-0.2789(10)
O(13)	0.286 6(3)	0.215 6(4)	-0.1181(7)	C(125)	0.153 5(4)	0.507 2(6)	-0.1841(10)
S(2)	0.064 1(1)	0.145 5(1)	0.045 2(2)	C(126)	0.131 6(4)	0.434 6(5)	-0.174 7(10)
O(21)	0.080 3(2)	0.156 9(3)	-0.0827(5)	C(131)	0.012 8(3)	0.322 6(5)	-0.1321(8)
O(22)	0.079 4(3)	0.210 9(3)	0.125 3(6)	C(132)	0.012 3(4)	0.386 7(5)	-0.057 7(9)
O(23)	0.007 7(3)	0.121 7(4)	0.036 8(6)	C(133)	-0.0239(4)	0.392 9(6)	0.026 4(10)
O(3w)	0.142 6(2)	0.292 7(3)	-0.0002(5)	C(134)	-0.0623(4)	0.337 5(6)	0.040 1(10)
С	0.175 6(3)	0.286 2(4)	-0.258 9(8)	C(135)	-0.062 7(4)	0.274 3(6)	-0.032 9(10)
0	0.201 6(3)	0.324 6(3)	-0.314 5(6)	C(136)	-0.0243(3)	0.266 7(5)	-0.117 5(8)
C(311)	0.289 7(4)	0.113 6(5)	0.053 2(9)	C(211)	0.154 6(3)	0.048 3(4)	-0.294 3(8)
C(312)	0.325 8(4)	0.125 2(6)	0.163 9(10)	C(212)	0.141 1(4)	0.018 5(5)	-0.185 5(9)
C(313)	0.356 2(5)	0.066 8(7)	0.222 1(11)	C(213)	0.153 9(4)	-0.055 7(6)	-0.154 7(10)
C(314)	0.350 2(5)	-0.003 8(7)	0.171 0(13)	C(214)	0.179 3(4)	-0.098 7(6)	-0.233 6(9)
C(315)	0.312 5(5)	-0.014 6(6)	0.058 8(11)	C(215)	0.193 2(4)	-0.070 8(6)	-0.342 3(10)
C(316)	0.281 3(4)	0.044 4(5)	-0.000 0(10)	C(216)	0.181 1(4)	0.004 8(5)	-0.374 0(9)
C(317)	0.386 4(6)	-0.069 4(7)	0.234 0(15)	C(221)	0.063 5(3)	0.120 0(4)	-0.440 9(7)
C(411)	0.106 0(4)	0.072 6(5)	0.116 7(8)	C(222)	0.017 5(3)	0.121 3(4)	-0.384 4(8)
C(412)	0.084 3(5)	0.000 7(5)	0.118 6(9)	C(223)	-0.034 1(4)	0.100 4(5)	-0.452 3(9)
C(413)	0.117 7(6)	-0.053 4(6)	0.177 3(11)	C(224)	-0.036 7(4)	0.079 1(5)	-0.578 0(9)
C(414)	0.171 6(6)	-0.041 3(7)	0.234 0(10)	C(225)	0.008 7(4)	0.077 0(5)	-0.633 0(9)
C(415)	0.193 9(5)	0.031 6(6)	0.233 9(10)	C(226)	0.060 9(4)	0.096 1(5)	-0.567 4(8)
C(416)	0.160 0(4)	0.086 8(5)	0.173 9(9)	C(231)	0.179 5(3)	0.170 5(4)	-0.447 8(8)
C(417)	0.211 0(7)	-0.103 7(8)	0.300 1(13)	C(232)	0.165 1(4)	0.207 0(5)	-0.562 5(8)
C(111)	0.015 9(3)	0.300 7(4)	-0.397 8(8)	C(233)	0.205 2(4)	0.235 4(6)	-0.626 1(10)
C(112)	-0.041 1(4)	0.297 3(5)	-0.412 7(9)	C(234)	0.260 7(5)	0.228 4(6)	-0.578 1(11)
C(113)	-0.072 3(4)	0.282 5(5)	-0.531 1(9)	C(235)	0.276 4(5)	0.192 5(6)	-0.461 4(11)
C(114)	-0.045 0(4)	0.272 1(6)	-0.632 5(10)	C(236)	0.235 1(4)	0.163 5(5)	-0.399 4(9)

Table 3. Fractional atomic co-ordinates for $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$. Estimated standard deviations (e.s.d.s) are given in parentheses





from the protons of two non-equivalent methyl groups, thereby supporting the occurrence of the rapid fluxional reaction shown in the Scheme which equilibrates the PPh₃ ligands but not the CH₃ groups. At lower temperatures the process is frozen out to give a static mixture of (A) and (B) which generates four nonequivalent CH₃ signals [two from (A), two from (B)], $a^{31}P-{^{1}H}$ AB pattern [from (A)], and a ${}^{31}P-{}^{1}H$ singlet [from (B)]. The somewhat less labile osmium analogues [Os(O3SR)2(H2O)-(CO)(PPh₃)₂] show similar low-temperature n.m.r. patterns but these do not fully coalesce at our high-temperature limit (323 K). These results imply that the M-O₃SR bonds trans to CO are less labile than those *trans* to PPh₃. This conclusion is supported by our earlier results¹⁰ on the related $[Ru(O_2CR)_2(CH_3OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ system which revealed that the Ru-O₂CR linkages trans to CO were less labile than those trans to PPh₃. Finally we note that similar fluxional behaviour involving interchange of monodentate, bidentate, and anionic toluene-p-sulphonate groups has recently been

reported ⁴ for the ruthenium complex $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)-(dppe)_2][O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p].$

 $[M(O_3SR)_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$.—These air-stable white crystalline complexes are prepared by treating $[Ru(CO)_3(PPh_3)_2]$ and $[OsH_2(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ with sulphonic acids in refluxing benzene and toluene respectively. Their spectroscopic data (Table 1) are consistent with the presence of a pair of *cis* carbonyl ligands and two equivalent PPh_3 ligands and thus establish the stereochemistry as (1a) or (1b). The sterically preferred *trans*-PPh_3 structure (1a) is also indicated by the ¹³C-{¹H} n.m.r. spectra of the co-ordinated PPh_3 ligands which display 'triplet' splitting patterns indicative of virtual coupling to two ³¹P nuclei for all aromatic carbon atoms save those in the *para* positions.

 $[MH(\eta^6-C_6H_6)(PPh_3)_2][O_3SR]$.—These complexes are obtained as yellow air-stable crystalline solids by treatment of $[RuH_2(PPh_3)_4]$ and $[OsH_4(PPh_3)_3]$ with sulphonic acids RSO_3H ($R = CH_3$ or CF_3) in boiling benzene. They are characterised by spectroscopic data (Table 1) which are in good

419

 Table 4. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$

 Ru-P(1) 2.347(2)
 Ru-P(2) 2.370(2)
 S(1)-O(11) 1.476(5)

Ru-P(1) Ru-O(11) Ru-O(3w) P(1)-C(111) P(1)-C(131) P(2)-C(221)	2.347(2) 2.165(5) 2.202(6) 1.825(8) 1.822(9) 1.826(8)	Ru-P(2) Ru-O(21) Ru-C P(1)-C(121) P(2)-C(211) P(2)-C(231)	2.370(2) 2.162(6) 1.810(9) 1.841(9) 1.853(8) 1.823(9)	S(1)-O(11) S(1)-O(13) S(2)-O(21) S(2)-O(23) C-O	1.476(5) 1.435(8) 1.498(6) 1.428(7) 1.165(11)	S(1)-O(12) S(1)-C(311) S(2)-O(22) S(2)-C(411)	1.464(7) 1.775(9) 1.472(6) 1.766(9)
$\begin{array}{l} P(2)-Ru-P(1)\\ O(11)-Ru-P(2)\\ O(21)-Ru-P(2)\\ O(3w)-Ru-P(1)\\ O(3w)-Ru-O(11)\\ C-Ru-O(11)\\ C-Ru-O(11)\\ C-Ru-O(3w)\\ C(121)-P(1)-Ru\\ C(131)-P(1)-Ru\\ C(131)-P(1)-C(121)\\ C(221)-P(2)-Ru\\ C(231)-P(2)-C(221)\\ O(13)-S(1)-O(11)\\ \end{array}$	105.0(1) 84.8(2) 91.2(2) 84.5(2) 85.6(2) 86.0(3) 95.4(3) 96.5(3) 112.7(3) 112.1(3) 102.1(4) 118.9(3) 109.8(3) 109.6(4) 112.1(4)	$\begin{array}{l} O(11)-Ru-P(1)\\ O(21)-Ru-P(1)\\ O(21)-Ru-O(11)\\ O(3w)-Ru-P(2)\\ O(3w)-Ru-O(21)\\ C-Ru-P(2)\\ C-Ru-O(21)\\ C(111)-P(1)-Ru\\ C(121)-P(1)-C(111)\\ C(131)-P(1)-C(111)\\ C(21)-P(2)-Ru\\ C(221)-P(2)-C(211)\\ C(231)-P(2)-C(211)\\ O(12)-S(1)-O(11)\\ O(13)-S(1)-O(12) \end{array}$	170.2(2) 94.2(1) 84.7(2) 170.0(1) 84.9(2) 87.4(3) 178.6(3) 121.0(3) 102.2(4) 104.5(4) 114.1(3) 100.3(3) 102.8(4) 110.1(4) 115.5(4)	$\begin{array}{l} C(311)-S(1)-O(11)\\ C(311)-S(1)-O(13)\\ O(22)-S(2)-O(21)\\ O(23)-S(2)-O(22)\\ C(411)-S(2)-O(22)\\ S(2)-O(21)-Ru\\ C(112)-C(111)-P(1)\\ C(122)-C(121)-P(1)\\ C(132)-C(131)-P(1)\\ C(212)-C(211)-P(2)\\ C(222)-C(221)-P(2)\\ C(232)-C(231)-P(2)\\ C(312)-C(311)-S(1)\\ C(412)-C(411)-S(2)\\ \end{array}$	104.3(4) 107.3(4) 110.1(3) 115.5(4) 105.6(4) 139.9(3) 124.2(7) 120.7(6) 121.7(7) 120.1(7) 118.0(6) 124.5(6) 118.9(7) 120.2(7)	$\begin{array}{l} C(311)-S(1)-O(12)\\ S(1)-O(11)-Ru\\ O(23)-S(2)-O(21)\\ C(411)-S(2)-O(21)\\ C(411)-S(2)-O(23)\\ O-C-Ru\\ C(116)-C(111)-P(1)\\ C(126)-C(121)-P(1)\\ C(136)-C(131)-P(1)\\ C(216)-C(211)-P(2)\\ C(226)-C(221)-P(2)\\ C(236)-C(231)-P(2)\\ C(316)-C(311)-S(1)\\ C(416)-C(411)-S(2)\\ \end{array}$	106.7(4) 123.8(3) 111.8(4) 106.4(4) 177.5(7) 116.5(6) 121.8(7) 120.1(7) 119.8(7) 120.5(6) 116.7(7) 119.2(7) 119.8(7)



Figure. The molecular structure of $[Ru(O_3SC_6H_4CH_3-p)_2(H_2O)(CO)-(PPh_3)_2]$

agreement with data previously reported for related salts containing the $[MH(\eta^6-C_6H_6)(PPh_3)_2]^+$ cations.^{11,12}

 $[OsH_3(PPh_3)_4][O_3SCF_3]$.—Salts containing cations of the form $[OsH_3(PR_3)_4]^+$ were first reported in 1973,¹³ and the first example containing the $[OsH_3(PPh_3)_4]^+$ cation was described by Siedle *et al.*¹⁴ while our work was in progress. Our i.r. and ambient-temperature n.m.r. data for $[OsH_3(PPh_3)_4][O_3SCF_3]$ are in good agreement with those reported ¹⁴ for $[OsH_3(PPh_3)_4][HC(SO_2CF_3)_2]$ and are characteristic of a fluxional structure in which all three hydride ligands are equivalent and couple equally to all four ³¹P nuclei. However, Siedle *et al.*¹⁴ have shown that at low temperatures a rigid structure is adopted and have found a trigonally distorted tetrahedral geometry for the OsP_4 skeleton by X-ray diffraction methods.

X-Ray Crystal Structure of [Ru(O₃SC₆H₄CH₃-p)₂(H₂O)-(CO)(PPh₃)₂].-The molecular structure of the complex is shown in the Figure, salient bond lengths and bond angles are given in Table 4. The co-ordination geometry about the ruthenium atom, which is essentially octahedral with two monodentate sulphonates, a co-ordinated water molecule, a carbonyl group, and a pair of triphenylphosphine ligands, closely parallels that previously found³ for the related carboxylate complex $[Ru(O_2CCF_3)_2(CH_3OH)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$. In each case the complex adopts a sterically unfavourable cis arrangement for the bulky triphenylphosphine ligands and displays intramolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions between the non-co-ordinated oxygen atoms of the anionic ligands and the hydroxyl groups of water or methanol. The most noticeable differences between the two structures are found in the Ru-P and Ru-O bond distances, all bar one of which [Ru-P(1)] are slightly longer (ca. 0.02-0.06 Å) for the sulphonate complex than for the carboxylate, and in the P-Ru-P bond angle which increases from 99.5° in the carboxylate to 105.0(1)° in the sulphonate. The Ru-OH₂ bond distance [2.202(6) Å] is slightly greater than those found in the complex cations $[RuH(H_2O)(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]^+$ (2.15 Å)¹⁵ and $[Ru(H_2O)_6]^{2+}$ [2.122(16) Å].¹⁶ The hydrogen-bonded [O-(H) · · · O] distances (2.68 and 2.65 Å) are slightly shorter than those recorded for several intermolecular hydrogenbonding interactions involving co-ordinated sulphonate and aqua ligands.¹⁵ The distorted tetrahedral geometry about the sulphur atoms is similar to that found in other sulphonate complexes: 17-19 the O-S-O bond angles (mean 112.5°) are greater and the O-S-C bond angles (mean 106.15) are smaller than the tetrahedral angle (109.5°). Finally the S-O bond distances show the expected increase as the external bonding interactions of the oxygen atoms involved strengthen; values are 1.435(8), 1.428(7) (terminal S=O), 1.464(7), 1.472(6) (hydrogenbonded S=O), and 1.476(5), 1.498(6) Å (ruthenium-bonded S-O).

Acknowledgements

P. A. H. and S. D. R. acknowledge financial support from the Royal Society.

References

- 1 Part 32, P. A. Harding and S. D. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1987, 947.
- 2 A. Dobson and S. D. Robinson, Inorg. Chem., 1977, 16, 137.
- 3 A. Dobson, D. S. Moore, S. D. Robinson, M. B. Hursthouse, and L. New, *Polyhedron*, 1985, 4, 1119.
- 4 O. H. Bailey and A. Ludi, Inorg. Chem., 1985, 24, 2582.
- 5 P. A. Harding, M. Preece, S. D. Robinson, and K. Henrick, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1986, 118, L31.
- 6 N. Ahmad, J. J. Levison, S. D. Robinson, and M. F. Uttley, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1974, 15, 45.
- 7 J. J. Levison and S. D. Robinson, J. Chem. Soc. A, 1970, 2947.
- 8 M. K. Cooper, P. A. Duckworth, K. Henrick, and M. McPartlin, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1981, 2357.
- 9 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX 76 program system, University of Cambridge, 1976.
- 10 C. J. Creswell, A. Dobson, D. S. Moore, and S. D. Robinson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1979, 18, 2055.

- 11 D. J. Cole-Hamilton, R. J. Young, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1976, 1995.
- 12 H. Werner and R. Werner, J. Organomet. Chem., 1980, 194, C7.
- 13 B. Bell, J. Chatt, and G. J. Leigh, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1973, 997.
- 14 A. R. Siedle, R. A. Newmark, and L. H. Pignolet, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, 25, 3412.
- 15 S. M. Boniface, G. R. Clark, T. J. Collins, and W. R. Roper, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 206, 109.
- 16 P. Bernhard, H-B. Burgi, J. Hauser, H. Lehmann, and A. Ludi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1982, 21, 3936.
- 17 F. Charbonnier, R. Faure, and H. Loiseleur, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B*, 1977, **33**, 1845, 3342, and 3759.
- 18 G. Doyle, K. A. Eriksen, and D. Van Engen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 2892.
- 19 M. B. Humphrey, W. M. Lamanna, M. Brookhart, and G. R. Husk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 3355.

Received 23rd January 1987; Paper 7/119