NEW TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF EQUILENIN AND ESTRONE

Andrzej Robert Daniewski and Teresa Kowalczyk-Przewłoka Institute of Organic Chemistry, Pdish Academy of Sciences 01-224 Warsaw, Poland

Abstract: The coupling of m-methoxystyrene $(\underline{1})$ and diazoketone $(\underline{2})$ over copper tartarate gave optically active (46% ee) cyclopropyl derivative (3), which was converted into compounds 5 or 8 with 22% of optical induction.

Since the first synthesis of equilenin in 1939 by Bachman¹, many new approaches² towards the total synthesis of estrogens have been elaborated in many laboratorics. Because of the importance of these compounds, the efforts to find better methods are continued.

We would like to present our recent results dealing with the total synthesis of estrone and equilenin. The reaction of m-methoxystyrene (1) with diazoketone (2) upon Pd(AcO)₂ catalyst gave two bromides 3a (trans) and 3b (cis) which treated with sodium salt of 2-methyl-1,3--cyclopentadione in acetonitrile gave triketones 4a and 4b (60% yield with respect to 2, ratio 4a:4b = 7:1). Cyclization of 4a or 4b in acetic acid with HBr gave methyl ether of 14-dehydroequilenin (5) which can be transformed into equilenin by hydrogenation and demethylation³. The triketones 4a and 4b treated with TiCl₄ in methylene chloride at room temperature gave tricyclic chloride 6, which without isolation was reduced by zinc to the known unsaturated diketone 7 (85%). The cyclization of 7 in acetic acid with the addition of perchloric acid and hydrobromic acid gave pentaene 8 (80%) which can be converted into estrone or its derivatives by known methods⁴. In order to obtain optically active compounds we carried out the coupling reaction of m-methoxystyrene (1) with diazoketone (2) over copper tartarate as a catalyst, and in this way we obtained compounds 4a and 4b in 30% chemical yield, ratio 4a: 4b = 12: 1. The



MCPD = 2 - methyl - 1, 3 - cyclopentadione

Scheme I

optical rotation of $\underline{4}a$ was $\underline{4}_D^{20} = 2.2^\circ$, c 2.21 in ethanol (ee = 46%) and $\underline{4}b$; $\underline{4}_D^{20} = -0.135^\circ$, c 1.2 in ethanol. Compound $\underline{4}b$ was isomerized⁵ with PdCl₂·2PhCN into $\underline{4}a$; $\underline{4}_D^{20} = 0.416^\circ$, c, 1.3 in ethanol. The optical purity of $\underline{4}a$ was determined by chemical transformation shown in Scheme II. An attempt to evaluate the optical purity of $\underline{3}a$ or methyl ester of the acid $\underline{9}$ using Eu(TBC)₃ failed so that we had to convert the racemic bromoketone $\underline{3}a$ as well as the optically active one to diastereoisomeric amides 10a and 10b. In case of racemic bromoketone $\underline{3}a$, two diastereoisomers 10a and 10b were obtained, which were separated by column chromatography. The pure amides 10a and 10b enabled us to measure the optical rotation of defined mixtures of 10a and 10b and then to draw the curve of relationship between the optical rotation and the composition of mixtures 10a and 10b. In case of the optically active bromide $\underline{3}a$ the optical rotation of obtained mixture of 10a and 10b was $\underline{4}_D^{20} = 112^\circ$ (c 0.6 in chloroform) which indicated 46% of enantiomer excess. The same optically active bromide $\underline{3}a$ was transformed into $\underline{4}a$ and cyclized with hydrobromic acid in acetic acid to yield methyl ether of 14-dehydroequilenin (5).



a: Et_3N , b: OsO_2 in pyridine, c: $NaJO_2$, d: $SOCl_2$, e: (+) -phenyloethylamine $\mathbb{Z}_D^{20} = +39^{\circ}$ Scheme II

optically inactive. The cyclization of the same $\underline{4}a$ with TiCl₄ gave chloride <u>6</u> which was cyclized to $\underline{5}$ ($\underline{8} \stackrel{20}{D} = 4.5^{\circ}$, c 1.1 in chloroform, optical purity 10.9%, lit⁶, $\underline{8} \stackrel{20}{D} = 41^{\circ}$) or reduced with zine to compound <u>7</u> ($\underline{8} \stackrel{20}{D} = 18.5^{\circ}$, c 2.2 in benzene, o.p. 10.22%, lit⁷, $\underline{8} \stackrel{20}{D} = 181^{\circ}$). The cyclization of <u>7</u> gave compound <u>8</u> ($\underline{8} \stackrel{20}{D} = 10.72$, c 1.2 in chloroform, o.p. 10.4%, lit⁸, $\underline{8} \stackrel{20}{D} = 103^{\circ}$). We could then compute the optical induction during the cyclization step ($\underline{4}a - \underline{5}6$) which was 22%. The relative configurations of compounds <u>3</u>a and <u>3</u>b were determined by a comparison of the NMR spectra of acids <u>9</u> (trans and cis) with the spectra of similar compounds known in literature⁹.

<u>Acknowledgment</u>: The authors wish to express their thanks to Polish Academy of Sciences for financial support of this work under grant MR.1.12.1.5.1.2.

References

- 1. W.E.Bachman, W.Cole and A.L.Wilds, J.Am. Chem. Soc., <u>6</u>1,974(1939)
- A.A.Akhrem and Yu.A.Titov. Total Steroid Synthesis.Am.ed.Plenum Press, New York, NY. 1970; R.T.Blickenstaff, A.C.Ghosh and G.C.Wolf. Total Synthesis of Steroids.Academic Press, New York, NY.1974; N.Cohen.Acc.Chem.Res., 9,412(1976); U.Eder, G.Haffer, G. Neef, G.Sauer, A.Seeger and R.Wiechert, Chem.Ber., <u>110</u>,3161(1977); C.M.Lentz and G. H.Posner, Tetrahedron Lett., 3769(1978); W.Oppolzer, K.Baettig and M.Petrzilka, Helv. Chim.Acta., <u>61</u>,1945(1978); S.Djuric, T.Sarkar, P.Magnus, J.AmChem.Soc., <u>102</u>,6886(19 80); R.L.Funk, K.P.C.Vollhardt, J.Am.Chem.Soc., <u>102</u>,5253(1980); P.A.Grieco, T.Ta-

kagawa and W.J.Schillinger, J.Org. Chem., <u>45</u>, 2247(1980); W.Oppolzer and D.A.Roberts,
Helv.Chim.Acta., <u>63</u>, 1703(1980); T.A.Boryson and C.J.Reichel, Tetrahedron Lett., 2381
(1980); G.Quinkert, W.D.Weber, U.Schwartz and G.Dürner, Angew.Chem., <u>92</u>, 1060(1980)
R.L.Funk and K.P.C.Vollhardt, Chem.Soc.Rev.<u>9</u>, 41(1980); T.Kametani and H.Nemoto,
Tetrahedron, <u>3</u>, 37(1981); F.E.Ziegler and Tein-Fu Wang, Tetrahedron Lett., 1179(1981);
Y.Ito, M.Nakatsuka and T.Saegusa, J.Am.Chem.Soc., <u>103</u>, 476(1981); J.Tsuji, H.Okumoto,
Y.Kobayashi and T.Takahashi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1357(1981); A.I.A.Broess, N.P.Van
Vliet and F.J.Zeelen, J.Chem.Research, 20(1981) and references therein.

- 3. W.S.Johnson, J.W.Petersen and C.D.Gutsche, J.Am.Chem.Soc., <u>69</u>, 2942(1947)
- 4. S.N.Ananchenko and I.V.Torgov, Dokl.Akad.Nauk.SSSR, <u>127</u>, 553(1959); S.N.Ananchenko and I.V.Torgov, Tetrahedron Lett., 1553(1963); G.H.Hughes, B.J.McLoughlin, J.Siddall and H.Smith, J.Chem.Soc., 5072(1963).
- 5. J.L.Wiliams and M.F.Rettig, Tetrahedron Lett., 385(1981)
- 6. N.L.McNiven, J.Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 1725(1954)
- 7. U.Eder, G.Sauer and R.Wiechert, Angew.Chem., 83, 492(1971)
- R.Bucourt, L.Nedelec, J.C.Gasc and J.Weill-Raynal, Bull, Soc.Chim.Fr., 2, 561(1967); R. Bucourt, M.Vignau and J.Weill-Raynal, C.R.Acad.Sci., Ser. C, <u>265</u>, 834(1967)
- 9. A.Nakamura, A.Konishi, R.Tsujitani, M.Kudo and S.Otsuka, J.Am.Chem.Soc., <u>100</u>, 3449 (1978).

(Received in UK 25 March 1982)