

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker CDCh International Edition Www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: DMSO Enabled Selective Radical O-H Activation of 1,3(4)-Diols

Authors: Ning Jiao, Yuchao Zhu, Ziyao Zhang, Rui Jin, Jianzhong Liu, Guoquan Liu, and Bing Han

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202007187

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202007187

WILEY-VCH

DMSO Enabled Selective Radical O-H Activation of 1,3(4)-Diols

Yuchao Zhu, ^[a] Ziyao Zhang, ^[a] Rui Jin, ^[a] Jianzhong Liu, ^[a] Guoquan Liu, ^[a] Bing Han, ^[b] and Ning Jiao*^[a]

Dedicated to the 70th anniversary of Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry

Abstract: Selectivity control is one of the central topics in organic chemistry. Although the alkoxyl radical induced unprecedented transformations have drawn more attentions, compared to the booming selective C-H activation, the selective radical O-H activation remains less explored. Herein, we report a novel selective radical O-H activation strategy of diols by combining spatial effect with proton coupled electron transfer (PCET). We found the common molecule DMSO is an essential reagent that enables the regioselective transformation of diols. Mechanistic studies indicated the existence of the alkoxyl radical and the selective interaction between DMSO and hydroxyls. Moreover, the distal C-C cleavage is realized by this selective alkoxyl radical initiation protocol.

The selective transformation of hydroxyl (OH) groups in di- and polyols is a frequently encountered problem in organic synthesis, in contexts ranging from simple alcohol transformations to the preparation of highly functionalized natural products.^[1] Despite the importance of this issue, strategies for the selective O-H activation are rare compared to the fast-growing studies on selective C-H activation.^[2] The classic methods for selective activation of one hydroxyl in diols involve ionic transformations by steric regulation or based on special hydrocarbon structure.^[3] Unlike traditional ionic alcohol transformations involving nucleophilic substitution/addition,^[4] oxidation^[5] and elimination^[6] of hydroxyls, the alkoxyl radical induced transformations have drawn more attention with the discovery of unprecedented strategies for the generation of alkoxy radicals from alcohol without the need for pre-activation.^[7] The O-H radical processes greatly enlarged the reaction types of alcohols either with alkoxyl radical induced ß scission^[8] or hydrogen abstraction.^[9, 10] However, to the best of our knowledge, the general methods for the alkoxyl radical induced distal C-C cleavage remains unexplored (Scheme 1a). Moreover, the realized O-H radical transformations are limited to the activation of mono-ols, so far, there is a lack of selective radical O-H activation due to the almost identical bond-dissociation energy between two hydroxyls in diols (~105 kcal mol⁻¹)^[11] (Scheme 1b).

| [a] | Y. Zhu, Z. Zhang, R. Jin, J. Liu, G. Liu, Prof. N. Jiao | |
|-----|---|--|
| | State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs | |
| | School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University | |
| | Xue Yuan Rd. 38, Beijing 100191 (China) | |
| | Prof. N. Jiao | |
| | State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry | |
| | Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200032 (China) | |
| | E-mail: jiaoning@pku.edu.cn | |
| | Homepage: http://sklnbd.bjmu.edu.cn/nj | |
| [b] | Prof. B. Han | |
| | State Key Laboratory of Applied Organic Chemistry, | |
| | Lanzhou University Lanzhou 730000 (China) | |

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under.



c) Transformation of alkoxyl radicals assisted by PCET:

 $M^{n} \xrightarrow{R-X-H_{m}} B^{\Theta} \xrightarrow{PCET} M^{n-1} \xrightarrow{R-X'} H-B$



d) Design: combine selective O-H activation of diols and distal C-C cleavage

Scheme 1. Selective hydroxyl activation of diols.

To address this problem, we paid our attention to the strategy of proton coupled electron transfer (PCET), which was reported that a Brønsted base and an oxidant can synergistically remove a proton and an electron from the substrate to afford a free radical (Scheme 1c).^[12] Recently, Knowles and coworkers significantly achieved the O-H bond homolysis through PCET.^[13] Inspired by these reports, we hypothesized that if we could find a proper Brønsted or Lewis base that can selectively form a hydrogen bond with one hydroxyl in diols, we would have a chance to selectively activate one O-H bond and realize the selective alkoxyl radical transformation of diols (Scheme 1d).

Taking this strategy in hand and based on our previous works,^[14] we herein report an unprecedented Ag-catalyzed selective radical O-H activation of diols (Scheme 1e). We found the common molecule DMSO is an essential reagent to enable the high selective O-H activation under mild conditions by selectively forming hydrogen bonds with one hydroxyl in diols. Moreover, the alkoxyl radical induced distal C-C cleavage is realized, which is a formidable challenge in organic chemistry.^[15, 15]

COMMUNICATION

^{16]} This new strategy that combines the spatial effect with PCET would strategically enlarge the transformation of alcohols and can also promote the development of selective X-H radical activation reactions.

| Table 1 | Ontimization | of re | action | conditions | [a] |
|----------|--------------|-------|--------|------------|-----|
| raple r. | Opumization | orie | action | CONDITIONS | |

| HO . Př | OH Ph 1a | cat. oxidant (1.5 equiv) additive (3.0 equiv) solvent (2 mL) 50 °C, 24 h | |))) Ph 2a | ~ o Ph ⁺ | Ph Ph − − Ph − − − − − − − − − − − − − − | |
|-------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|-----------------------|
| Ph-OMe | | ≻OMe | O ≝ Me∕S`Me | O S Ph [´] Me | O S Ph ^S Ph | nBu ^{_1} | O S <i>n</i> Bu |
| Δ | В | | С | D | Е | | F |
| entry | cat.(x mol%) | additive | oxidant | Solvent | 2a | 3a | 2a/3a |
| 1 | AgNO ₃ (20) | _ | K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ | CH ₃ CN/H ₂ O(1 | :1) 33% | 12% | 3:1 |
| 2 | AgNO ₃ (20) | — | $K_2S_2O_8$ | Acetone/H ₂ O(1 | :1) 23% | 20% | 1:1 |
| 3 | AgNO ₃ (20) | — | $K_2S_2O_8$ | PhCl/H ₂ O(1:1 | l) 40% | 22% | 2:1 |
| 4 | AgClO ₄ (20) | _ | $K_2S_2O_8$ | PhCl/H ₂ O(1:1 |) 52% | 31% | 1.7:1 |
| 5 | AgClO ₄ (20) | _ | $K_2S_2O_8$ | DMSO/H ₂ O(1: | 1) 33% | 4% | 8:1 |
| 6 | AgClO ₄ (20) | _ | $K_2S_2O_8$ | H ₂ O | 31% | 21% | 1.5:1 |
| 7 | AgClO ₄ (20) | C ^[b] | $K_2S_2O_8$ | H ₂ O | 76% | 6% | 13:1 |
| 8 | AgClO ₄ (5) | C ^[b] | $K_2S_2O_8$ | H ₂ O | 77% | 6% | 13:1 |
| 9 | AgCIO ₄ (5) | С | K2S2O8 | H ₂ O | 91%(80% |) ^[c] 4% | >20:1 |
| 10 | AgClO ₄ (5) | Α | $K_2S_2O_8$ | H ₂ O | 34% | 5% | 7:1 |
| 11 | AgClO ₄ (5) | в | $K_2S_2O_8$ | H ₂ O | 17% | 2% | 9:1 |
| 12 | AgClO ₄ (5) | D | K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ | H ₂ O | 82% | 6% | 14:1 |
| 13 | AgCIO ₄ (5) | Е | K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ | H ₂ O | 40% | 15% | 2.7:1 |
| 14 | AgCIO ₄ (5) | F | K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ | H ₂ O | 35% | 2% | 17:1 |

[a] Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.2 mmol), catalyst (x mol%), oxidant (1.5 equiv), additive (3.0 equiv), solvent (2 mL) under Ar at 50 °C for 24h. NMR yields with 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane as standard. [b] 2.0 equiv DMSO was used. [c] The number in the parenthesis is isolated yield.

For proof-of-concept, we chose 1,1-diphenylpropane-1,3-diol (1a) as model substrate. Interestingly, when 1a was treated with AgNO₃ catalyst in the presence of $K_2S_2O_8$ oxidant in different solvents, a novel phenyl migration product 2a via alkoxyl radical induced distal C-C cleavage was obtained with the formation of β scission product 3a, indicating the unselective activation of two hydroxyls (Table 1, entries 1-3). Of various silver salts, AgClO₄ showed the best catalytic efficiency (Entry 4). To improve the regio-selectivity by PCET, we turned to screening a series of lewis bases and found adding DMSO as a reagent can significantly improve the regioselectivity (Entries 5-9). Other lewis bases like pyridine derivatives and sulphones with larger steric hindrance are less effective compared to DMSO (Entries 9-14), indicating the spatial effect can significantly impact the interaction between hydroxyls and selected reagents.

With the optimum conditions in hand, we explored the scope of this reaction. For the symmetrical diaryl-substituted diols, the aryl bearing CI, F, Me groups were tolerated (**2b-e**). Especially, the selective generation of secondary alkoxyl radical and tertiary alkoxyl radical was efficient to afford **2f** and **2g** in good yields. The unsymmetrical diaryl-substituted diols were also tested. **1h** worked well to afford **2h** and **2h'** in totally 64% yield in a ratio of 1:7. The migration ability of 4-chlorophenyl was stronger than phenyl to afford **2i** and **2i'** in 1:1.7 ratio. Furthermore, in F and CN substituted diols, phenyl migration products **2j** and **2k** were the main products. In general, the migration of electron-rich aryl groups is easier than electron-deficient groups to react with the electron deficient O-centered radicals.

We further applied this reaction to cyclic diols. Although the strained diol **1I** was favored to undergo β scission pathway (Scheme 1d) and afforded **2I** in 60% yield, to our delight, 10-membered cyclic ketone **2m** was high selectively obtained by the present protocol. Heteroaryl substituted diols were also investigated and we found the penzothiazolyl is a good migration group, affording **2n** and **2o** in 55% and 66% yields with excellent regioselectivity.



[a] Reaction conditions: see entry 9, Table 1. Isolated yields. [b] 0.5 mL CH₃CN was added. [c] Yield based on recovered alcohol. [d] 0.1 mL DMSO was used.

By increasing the loading of $K_2S_2O_8$ to 4.0 equiv, new migration-oxygenation products by a further alkyl substituents oxidation process were detected (Scheme 3). Under these conditions, various benzaldehyde derivatives were obtained in moderate yields (**2p-t**). It was noteworthy that we obtained **2r** and **2s** with excellent regioselectivity. The ketone product **2u** can also be isolated in 51% yield. Besides, the diols **1v-x** afforded the same oxygenation product **2v** in 47% to 65% yields via the oxidative oxygen element incorporation reaction.





[[]a] Reaction conditions: see entry 9, Table 1. Isolated yields. [b] Yield of chlorophenyl migration product. [c] Yield of Heteroaryl migration product. [d] 0.5 mL CH₃CN was added. [e] Yield of phenyl migration product.

We further explored the generality of this distal (hetero) aryl migration reaction using aryl alkyl disubstituted diol **1y** as the substrate. Unfortunately, no **2y** was detected (eq 1). We also investigated 1,4-diol **4** under standard conditions and found the 1,5-aryl migration is compatible (eq 2). On the contrary, the 1,3-aryl migration is incompatible because of the strained and unstable four-membered ring transition state (eq 3). Also, we

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

tested the reaction activity by expanding the scale of several substrates to 2 mmol. As shown in eqs 4-5, 1a, 1f and 1p could transform to the corresponding migration products in moderate to good yields.



In previous reports, the aryl radical cation could be involved in an intramolecular electron transfer leading to the alkoxyl radical,^[13a] therefore we tested our catalyst system using alkanols as substrates. As shown in eqs 6-7, alkanols 7 and 10 can react to afford 9 and 11 either by alkoxyl radical induced 1,5-HAT or βscission process under our conditions. These results support our assumption that the alkoxyl radicals are generated through PCET. Next, we carried out EPR experiments with DMPO as radical scavenger (Figure 1, A). To our delight, we detected the clear RO-DMPO signal at 60 minutes under standard conditions (Figure 1, A1).^[17] However, in the absence of AgClO₄, the RO-DMPO signal became very weak and the signal of the oxidation product of DMPO, 5,5-dimethyl-2-oxopyrroline-1-oxyl (DMPOX)^[18] was detected (Figure 1, A2). Besides, no signal was detected when the reaction conducted without K₂S₂O₈. These results suggest K₂S₂O₈ is necessary to initiate the alkoxyl radical and silver catalyst greatly increased reaction rate.



Figure 1. Mechanism studies. (A) Detected EPR signals at 60 minutes. (1) Standard conditions. (2) Without AgCIO₄. (3) Without K₂S₂O₈. (B) DMSO is essential to the regioselectivity

It is noteworthy that DMSO is essential to the regioselectivity. With the increase of DMSO loading, the regioselectivity improved. (Figure 1, B). DMSO may act as a ligand for the silver catalyst,^[19] but the IR experiments (Figures S8-9, SI) indicate it has little



Furthermore, we turned to study the interaction between DMSO and the hydroxyls of diols (Figure 2). We had hypothesized that DMSO can selectively form the hydrogen bonding with the hydroxyl. To prove this, we studied the reaction between 3,3diphenylpropan-1-ol 12 and a radical acceptor 8, which afforded phenyl migration product 13 in 18% yield via silver catalyzed O-H homolysis process.^[15g, 20] Interestingly, the yield of **13** increased with the addition of DMSO, and then decreased when DMSO was added too much. In contrast, when 14 was used, the reaction efficiency was not significantly affected by DMSO. These results indicate that the diaryl substituted tertiary hydroxyl group may not interact with DMSO, probably due to the steric hindrance.

| | Ph Ph 12 | OH + PhO ₂ S [°] 8 | NOBn CN | DMSO (0 ~ AgNO ₃ (20 r K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (1.5 CH ₃ CN/H ₂ C Ar, 50 °C, 2 | 1 mL) mol%) Br 5 eq) ➤ 9 (1:1) 24 h | nON CN Ph 13 | ` o Ph ⁽¹⁰⁾ |
|---|----------------|---|------------|--|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | HO Ph Ph 14 | / / _ | DMSO (0 ~ 1 AgClO ₄ (5 m K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (1.5 H ₂ O (2 mL Ar, 50 °C, 24 | l mL) ol%) eq) .) 4 h | O Ph Ph 3a | (11) |
| 1 | DMSO | 0 equiv | 0.5 equiv | 1.0 equiv | 3.0 equiv | 5.0 equiv | 1 mL |
| | Yield of 13 | 18% | 45% | 45% | 39% | 34% | 14% |
| I | Yield of 3a | 23% | 25% | 25% | 18% | 15% | 3% |



Figure 2. The yields of 13 and 3a with different amount of DMSO. а но



Α

COMMUNICATION

with 5.0 equiv DMSO (B) ¹H-NMR analysis of **1a** with different amount of DMSO. (1) without DMSO. (2) with 3.0 equiv DMSO (3) with 5.0 equiv DMSO (4) with 1 mL DMSO.



Figure 4. The crystal structure and the hydrogen bonds of 15 and 1a.

We next conducted ¹H-NMR analysis. The results showed the hydrogen signal of the tertiary hydroxyl in 15 is not affected by DMSO (Figure 3, A), probably because the difficulty to break the internal hydrogen bonds between the tertiary hydroxyl and methoxy groups (See the crystal structure of 15 in Figure 4, A). However, the ¹H-NMR analysis of **1a** showed that the shifts of both hydroxyl hydrogen signals moved toward the low field with the increase of DMSO loading (Figure 3, B). According to the crystal structure of 1a (Figure 4, B), we speculate that the less hindered hydrogen bonds between the primary hydroxyl and O atom in the tertiary hydroxyls were destroyed by the addition of DMSO, which caused the hydroxyls signals shift (Figure 3, B). These results indicated that the hydrogen bonds exist between DMSO and the primary hydroxyl.^[21] Although it was not completely clear, we believed that the larger steric hindrance prevented the direct interaction between DMSO and the tertiary hydroxyl group.

On the basis of the above experiments and precedent reports, $^{\left[13,\ 14,\ 15g\mathchar`]}$ we proposed a plausible mechanism (Scheme 2). The addition of DMSO initially breaks the less hindered hydrogen bonds between the primary hydroxyl and O atoms in the tertiary hydroxyls, and yields stronger new hydrogen bonds. Subsequently, through the PCET effect, the activated Aq(II) catalyst selectively coordinates with the DMSO assisted hydroxyl to afford intermediate II which undergoes homolytic cleavage to afford alkoxyl radical III and Ag(I). Then, the intramolecular electrophilic radical addition of species III occurs giving a spiro intermediate IV, which undergoes the relay of oxidation, dealkyl aromatization via C-C cleavage, and deprotonation processes to afford 2a (Scheme 2). Although the formation of aryl radical cation could interact with alcohols to afford the same inetrmediate IV after deprotonation, we didn't detect the nucleophilic addition products by adding benzylamine, TMSCN, or imidazole into our reaction system (Eqs S1-3, SI).^[22] These results, combined with the EPR experiments, indicate that the formation of radical cation followed by deprotonation is not the main process in our transformations.



Scheme 2. Proposed mechanism.

In conclusion, we have disclosed a novel and efficient selective radical O-H activation strategy. The alkoxyl radicals were selectively generated from corresponding diols without preactivation. Mechanistic studies indicate that the common molecule DMSO can selectively form hydrogen bonds with the less-hindered hydroxyls, which facilitates the site-selective alkoxyl radical initiation of alcohols via the combination of spatial effect and PCET process. Meanwhile, we addressed a long-standing problem to realize the distal (hetero)aryl migration from C to O via alkoxyl radical induced distal C-C cleavage. We hope this chemistry could inspire the development of selective X-H activation and controlled radical chemistry.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21632001, 21772002, 81821004), and the Drug Innovation Major Project (2018ZX09711-001), Peking University (No. PKU2020PKYZX004), and the Open Research Fund of Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes are greatly appreciated. We thank Dr. Shihui Shi in this group for reproducing the results of **2b** and **2s**.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: Selectivity • Alkoxyl radical • DMSO • C-C cleavage • Silver

- a) C. R. Shugrue, S. J. Miller, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 11894-11951; b)
 Z. Guo, B. Liu, Q. Zhang, W. Deng, Y. Wang, Y. Yang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, *43*, 3480–3524; b) V. Dimakos, M. S. Taylor, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 11457-11517.
- [2] a) K. M. Engle, T.-S. Mei, M. Wasa, J.-Q. Yu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2012. 45, 788-802. b) K. Liao, T. C. Pickel, V. Boyarskikh, J. Bacsa, D. G. Musaev, H. M. L. Davies, Nature 2017, 551, 609-613; c) C.-M. Che, V. K.-Y. Lo, C.-Y. Zhou, J.-S. Huang, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1950-1975; d) A. J. Metrano, S. J. Miller, Acc. Chem. Res. 2019. 52, 199-215; e) X.-Q. Hu, J.-R. Chen, W.-J. Xiao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 1960-1962; Angew.Chem. 2017, 129,1988-1990.
- a) Y. Zhou, M. Rahm, B. Wu, X. Zhang, B. Ren, H. Dong, J. Org. Chem. [3] 2013, 78, 11618-11622; b) S. Peddibhotla, Y. Dang, J. O. Liu, D. Romo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12222-12231; c) B. R. Sculimbrene, S. J. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 10125-10126; d) B. R. Sculimbrene, A. J. Morgan, S. J. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11653-11656; e) R.-Z. Li, H. Tang, L. Wan, X. Zhang, Z. Fu, J. Liu, S. Yang, D. Jia, D. Niu, 2017, Chem, 3, 834-845; f) E. Dimitrijević, M. S. Taylor, ACS Catal. 2013, 3, 945-962; g) P. Peng, M. Linseis, R. F. Winter, R. R. Schmidt, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6002-6009; h) X. Sun, H. Lee, S. Lee, K. L. Tan, Nat. Chem. 2013, 5, 790-795; i) G.-Z. Xiao, G. A. Cintron-Rosado, D. A. Glazier, B.-M. Xi, C. Liu, P. Liu, W. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017. 139, 4346-4349. j) A. D. Worthy, X. Sun, K. L. Tan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7321-7324; k) J. Wu, X. Li, X. Qi, X. Duan, W. L. Cracraft, I. A. Guzei, P. Liu, W. Tang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 19902-19910. M. Dryzhakov, E. Richmond, J. Moran, Synthesis, 2016, 48, 935-959.
- [4] M. Dryzhakov, E. Richmond, J. Moran, *Synthesis*, **2016**, *48*, 935-959.
 [5] S. Caron, R. W. Dugger, S. G. Ruggeri, J. A. Ragan, D. H. B. Ripin, *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 2943-2989.
- [6] R. Rousseau, D. A. Dixon, B. D. Kay, Z. Dohnálek, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2014, 22, 7664-7680.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

- a) X. Wu, C. Zhu, Chem. Rec. 2018, 18, 587-598; b) J.-J. Guo, A. Hu, Z. Zuo, Tetrahedron Lett. 2018, 59, 2103-2111; c) Ž. Čeković, Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 8073-8090; d) K. Jia, Y. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 6105-6112.
- [8] For works on alkoxyl radicals induced β scission, see: a) Y.-F. Wang, S. Chiba, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12570-12572; b) Y.-F. Wang, K. K. Toh, E. P. J. Ng, S. Chiba, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6411-6421; c) K. Jia, F. Zhang, H. Huang, Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1514-1517; d) K. Jia, Y. Pan, Y. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2478-2481; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 2518-2521; e) J. Zhang, Y. Li, R. Xu, Y. Chen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 12619-12623; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 12793-12797; f) H. Zhao, X. Fan, J. Yu, C. Zhu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3490-3493; g) R. Ren, H. Zhao, L. Huan, C. Zhu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12692-12696; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12883-12887; h) R. Ren, Z. Wu, Y. Xu, C. Zhu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 2866-2869; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 2916-2919; i) J. J. Guo. A. Hu, Y. Chen, J. Sun, H. Tang, Z. Zuo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 15319-15322; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 15545-15548; j) A. Hu, Y. Chen, J.-J. Guo, N. Yu, Q. An, Z. Zuo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 13580-13585; k) K. Zhang, L. Chang, Q. An, X. Wang, Z. Zuo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 10556-10564; I) Y. Chen, J. Du, Z. Zuo, Chem, 2020, 6, 266-279.
- For works on alkoxyl radical induced hydrogen abstraction, see: a) D. H. [9] R. Barton, J. M. Beaton, L. E. Geller, M. M. Pechet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 2640-2641; b) D. H. R. Barton, J. M. Beaton, L. E. Geller, M. M. Pechet, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4076-4083; c) J. Zhang, Y. Li, F. Zhang, C. Hu, Y. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1872-1875; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 1904-1907; d) H. Guan, S. Sun, Y. Mao, L. Chen, R. Lu, J. Huang, L. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 11413-11417; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130,11583-11587; e) I. Kim, B. Park, G. Kang, J. Kim, H. Jung, H. Lee, M. H. Baik, S. Hong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 15517-15522; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130, 15743-15748; f) X. Bao, Q. Wang, J. Zhu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2139-2143; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 2161-2165; g) X. Bao, Q. Wang, J. Zhu, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 11630-11634; h) A. Hu, J.-J. Guo, H. Pan, H. Tang, Z. Gao, Z. Zuo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1612-1616; i) A. Hu, J.-J. Guo, H. Pan, Z. Zuo, Science 2018, 361, 668-672; j) X. Wu, H. Zhang, N. Tang, Z. Wu, D. Wang, M. Ji, Y. Xu, M. Wang, C. Zhu, Nat. Commun. 2018, 9, 3343; k) G. X. Li, X. Hu, G. He, G. Chen, Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 688-693; I) Q. An, Z. Wang, Y. Chen, X. Wang, K. Zhang, H. Pan, W. Liu, Z. Zuo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 6216-6226.
- [10] Recently, some alkoxyl radical addition reactions are reported: a) A.-L. Barthelemy, B. Tuccio, E. Magnier, G. Dagousset, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 13790-13794; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 13986-13990. b) E. Tsui, A. J. Metrano, Y. Tsuchiya, R. R. Knowles, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2020, *59*, 59, 11845-11849; *Angew. Chem.* 2020, *132*, 11943-11947.

- [11] S. J. Blanksby, G. B. Ellison, Acc. Chem. Res. 2003, 36, 255-263.
- [12] a) C. R. Waidmann, A. J. M. Miller, C. A. Ng, M. L. Scheuermann, T. R. Porter, T. A. Tronic, J. A. Mayer, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, *5*, 7771-7780; b) D. R. Weinberg, C. J. Gagliardi, J. F. Hull, C. F. Murphy, C. A. Kent, B. C. Westlake, A. Paul, D. H. Ess, D. G. McCafferty, T. J. Meyer, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, *112*, 4016-4093.
- a) H. G. Yayla, H. Wang, K. T. Tarantino, H. S. Orbe, R. R. Knowles, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10794-10797; b) E. Ota, H. Wang, N. L. Frye, R. R. Knowles, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 1457-1462.
- [14] a) Y. Zhu, X. Li, X. Wang, X. Huang, T. Shen, Y. Zhang, X. Sun, M. Zou, S. Song, N. Jiao, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 4702-4705; b) Y. Zhu, K. Huang, J. Pan, X. Qiu, X. Luo, Q. Qin, J. Wei, X. Wen, L. Zhang, N. Jiao, *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 2625.
- [15] For reviews, see: a) M. Bossart, A. Studer, *Tetrahedron* 2001, *57*, 9649-9667; b) I. Allart-Simon, S. Gerard, J. Sapi, *Molecules* 2016, *21*, 878; c) W. Li, W. Xu, J. Xie, S. Yu, C. Zhu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2018, *47*, 654-667; d) Z. M. Chen, X. M. Zhang, Y. Q. Tu, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *44*, 5220-5245; e) F. Chen, T. Wang, N. Jiao, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, *114*, 8613-8661; f) X. Wu, C. Zhu, *Chin. J. Chem.* 2019, *37*, 171-182; For research, see: g) X. Wu, M. Wang, L. Huan, D. Wang, J. Wang, C. Zhu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 1640-1644; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 1656-1660; h) T. Zhou, F. X. Luo, M. Y. Yang, Z. J. Shi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 14586-14589; i) W. Shu, A. Genoux, Z. Li, C. Nevado, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2017, *56*, 10521-10524; *Angew. Chem.* 2017, *129*, 10657-10660; j) N. Wang, Q. S. Gu, Z. L. Li, Z. Li, Y. L. Guo, Z. Guo, X. Y. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 14225-14229; *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 14421-14425.
- [16] Only some early research on 3,3,3-triphenylpropionic acid mentioned this process, the mechanism of which is ambiguous: a) J. W. Wilt, D. D. Oathoudt, J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 218-224; b) W. H. Starnes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3708-3709.
- [17] a) A. Nakajima, E. Matsuda, Y. Masuda, H. Sameshima, T. Ikenoue, *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 2012, 403, 1961-1970; b) A. Nakajima, T. Yamaguchi, T. Yamashita, K. Kawai, Y. Miyake, K. Kanaori, K. Tajima, *Appl Magn Reson*, 2015, 46, 1013-1022.
- [18] P. Bilski, K. Reszka, M. Bilska, C. F. Chignell, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1330-1338.
- [19] L. Xue, W. Su, Z. Lin, *Dalton Trans.*, **2011**, *40*, 11926–11936.
- [20] Y. Zhu, X. Wen, S. Song, N. Jiao, ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6465-6472.
- [21] M. Liu, Z. Zhang, H. Liu, Z. Xie, Q. Mei, B. Han, Sci. Adv. 2018, 4, eaas9319.
- [22] a) N. J. Venditto, D. A. Nicewicz, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 4817–4822; b) J.
 B. McManus, D. A. Nicewicz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 2880-2883;
 c) N. E. S. Tay, D. A. Nicewicz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 16100-16104.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

Selective Radical Transformation

Y. Zhu, Z. Zhang, R. Jin, J. Liu, G. Liu, B. Han, N. Jiao*

Page – Page

DMSO Enabled Selective Radical O-H Activation of 1,3(4)-Diols



New application of common chemical DMSO: selective alkoxyl radical initiation
 Ag-catalyzed alkoxyl radical process for distal (hetero)aryl migration from C to O atom

This work discloses a new radical strategy for selective activation of one hydroxyl in diols, and thereby achieves the novel alkoxyl radical induced distal (hetero)aryl migration reactions through C-C cleavage.