ON THE QUESTION OF REVERSIBILITY IN THE INTRAMOLECULAR ADDITION OF RADICALS TO ALDEHYDES TO FORM CYCLOALKANOLS

Bert Fraser-Reid*, Gregory D. Vite, Bik-Wah Anissa Yeung and Ray Tsang

Department of Chemistry Paul M. Gross Chemical Laboratory Duke University Durham, North Carolina 27706, USA

Experiments with two pairs of epimeric alcohols establish conclusively that the intramolecular addition of a primary radical to an aldehyde to give a cycloalkanoxyl radical is not a reversible process.

Recent publications from this laboratory have shown that radical cyclization of aldehydes is frequently a highly efficient reaction which can, in some circumstances, compete with ring closure of a 5-hexenyl radical.^{1,2,3} Thus, for a system such as 1, a cyclohexanol (3, n = 6) was formed predominantly (and often exclusively) even when a methylcyclopentane (5, m = 5) was a plausible alternative.³ However, when n = m = 5, the cyclopentanol, 3, and

<u>Scheme 1</u>



<u>Scheme 2</u>



(b)



(i) PCC. (ii) L-Selectride. (iii) Ac_20/HNO_3 ⁵. (iv) Bu_3SnH ⁷

methylcyclopentane, 5, were both formed in appreciable amounts.³ We are attempting to explore the generality and synthetic utility of the ring closure 1 ---> 3, and it seemed prudent to examine the question of reversibility of cycloalkanol formation in view of the excellent precedents from Nickon's seminal study on α -caryophyllene alcohol,⁴ and Binkley's studies on furanose sugar derivatives.⁵ Thus these precedents show that β -scission of intermediate alkoxyl radical, 2, provides a ready means for equilibrating the epimeric forms of the cycloalkanol, 3.4,5

The question of isomerization/equilibration of the alcohols was particularly pertinent in our studies since the equatorial cyclohexanol, 7, and the diquinane, 8, were the only products isolated from the cyclization of 6 (Scheme 2a) the latter being generated from the corresponding iodide.¹ Conceivably, the axial counterpart, 9a, could have been formed in the reaction, but was isomerized to 7 by the radical intermediates 11, 6, and 12.

Compound 9a was therefore prepared from 7 by pyridinium chlorochromate oxidation, followed by reduction with \underline{L} -selectride. Both alcohols were converted into the nitrate esters, 10 and 9b, respectively, according to Binkley's procedure,⁵ and were treated with tri-*n*-butyltin hydride to generate the alkoxy radicals according to our recently developed procedure.⁷ Compound 9b led exclusively to the furan 13,⁶ thereby establishing conclusively that the axial alkoxy radical, 11, is not formed during the cyclization of 6. With nitrate 10, the alcohol, 7, was regenerated exclusively, thereby establishing conclusively that the intermediate alkoxy radical 12 does not revert to 6, since this would have produced approximately 18% of the diquinane, 8.

<u>Scheme 3</u>



If the vinyl group of 11 had not been present to trap the alkoxy radical, would axial ---> equatorial equilibration of the alkoxyl radical have occurred? This question was examined by using the methoxy analogs 14 and 15.³ Thus, the nitrate esters, 14b and 15b, were found to regenerate the corresponding alcohols, 14a and 15a, respectively, exclusively.

The results in Scheme 2 establish that the cyclizations are irreversible and that under our experimental conditions, the equatorial alcohols (e.g., 7 and 14a) are formed under kinetic, rather than thermodynamic control.

However, this favorable stereoselectivity appears to be substrate specific. Thus, compound 16 gave only the equatorial alcohol 17, comparable to 7 and 14. However, the regioisomer, 18, gave a 2:1 mixture of axial and equatorial forms of 19, as did compound 20, which gave the mixture of 21.3

Therefore, these results show that the cyclohexanols 7 and $14a^3$ were formed irreversibly, and that in these and comparable systems in our recent report,³ cyclohexanol formation is faster than 5-hexenyl radical ring closure.

Acknowledgements We are grateful to the National Institutes of Health (GM 37380) and the National Science Foundation (CHE 8703913) for financial support and we thank Mme Katherine Tachdjian for her help.

References

- 1. Tsang, R.; Fraser-Reid, B., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2116.
- 2. Tsang, R.; Fraser-Reid, B., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 8102.
- Tsang, R.; Dickson, Jr., J. K.; Pak, H.; Walton, R.; Fraser-Reid, B., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 3484.
- Nickon, A.; Iwadare, T.; McGuire, F. J.; Mahajan, J. R.; Narang, S. A.; Umezawa, B., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 1688; Nickon, A.; Ferguson, R; Bosch, A.; Iwadare, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 4518.
- Binkley, R. W.; Koholic, D. J., J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 2047; Binkley, R. W.; Koholic, D. J., J. Carbohyd. Chem., 1984, 3, 85.
- 6. The configuration of the methyl group in 13 was assigned on the basis of strong nOe effects (~10%) in the protons shown, when the CH3 group was irradiated.
- 7. Vite, G.D.; Fraser-Reid, B. Synthetic Communications, in press.

(Received in USA 9 December 1987)