

Article

C-Au covalently bonded molecular junctions using non-protected alkynyl anchoring groups

Ignacio Jose Olavarria-Contreras, Mickael L Perrin, Zhi Chen, Svetlana Klyatskaya, Mario Ruben, and Herre S. J. van der Zant

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b03383 • Publication Date (Web): 07 Jun 2016 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on June 8, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

C-Au covalently bonded molecular junctions using non-protected alkynyl anchoring groups

Ignacio José Olavarria-Contreras,[†] Mickael L. Perrin,[†] Zhi Chen,[‡] Svetlana

Klyatskaya,[‡] Mario Ruben,^{‡,¶} and Herre S.J. van der Zant^{*,†}

Kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, Institute of Nanotechnology (INT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), and IPCMS-CNRS, Université de

Strasbourg

E-mail: H.S.J.vanderZant@tudelft.nl

Abstract

We report on an approach to realize carbon-gold (C-Au) bonded molecular junctions without the need of an additive to deprotect the alkynyl carbon as endstanding anchor group. Using the mechanically controlled break junction (MCBJ) technique we determine the most probable conductance value of a family of alkynyl terminated oligophenylenes (OPA(n)) connected to gold electrodes through such a akynyl moiety in ambient conditions. The molecules bind to the gold leads through a *sp*-hybridized carbon atom at each side. Comparing our results with other families of molecules that present organometallic C-Au bonds, we conclude that the conductance of molecules

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed

 $^{^{\}dagger}\mathrm{Kavli}$ Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology, Lorentzweg 1, Delft 2628 CJ, The Netherlands

[‡]Institute of Nanotechnology (INT), Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), 76344 Eggenstein-Leopoldshafen, Germany

[¶]IPCMS-CNRS, Université de Strasbourg, 23 rue de Loess, 67034 Strasbourg, France

contacted via an sp-hybridized carbon atom is lower than the ones using sp^3 hybridization due to strong differences in the coupling of the conducting orbitals with the gold leads.

Introduction

Recent developments in break-junction measuring techniques¹⁻⁴ have allowed researchers to investigate charge transport through individual molecules. Strategies for contacting molecules to metallic electrodes usually rely on the use of anchoring groups such as thiols,⁵ cyano,⁶ pyridines⁷ or amines⁸⁻¹⁰ and systematic comparisons have been carried out.¹¹⁻¹⁴ Recently, new methods have been developed to contact molecules based on a direct carbon-gold bond.¹⁵⁻²² These methods employ protection groups at the extremities of the molecule that, by applying different external stimuli, are removed in the solvent leaving the endstanding carbon-atoms free to coordinate directly to the gold atoms of the electrodes. It has been shown that direct a carbon-gold bond can give rise to a high molecular conductance.¹⁷

Molecules composed of a series of phenyl rings, such as oligphenylenes (OP), oligophenylethylenes (OPE) or oligophenylvinylenes (OPV) are interesting systems because they posses delocalized orbitals allowing for efficient charge transport. Several research groups have reported on transport through single-molecule junctions with a direct C-Au bond using these conjugated molecular backbones.^{16–21} W. Chen *et. al.*¹⁷ have studied a family of highly conductive OP(n=1-4) molecules which form a covalent C-Au bond by cleaving SnMe₃ protecting groups, exposing a methylene (sp^3 -hybridized carbon). The same research group also reported on the conductance of a para-phenyl (OP1) molecule connected by a sp^2 -hybridized carbon to the gold using the same SnMe₃ leaving groups.¹⁶ Hong *et. al.*¹⁸ performed measurements on a trimethylsilyl oligophenylethylene (TMS-OPEn, n=1,2,3) family of molecules. Using tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) as a de-protecting agent the TMS end group was cleaved from the oligophenylethylene backbone leaving a *sp*-hybridized carbon free to form a Au-C σ -bond. Despite the advantages of the C-Au bonding, there are also drawbacks to the reported approaches, including the rapid formation of dimers, the need of a de-protecting agent and/or rather toxic precursors as leaving groups.

Here, we report on the measurement of the low-bias conductance on a family of oligophenylene ethylene OPA(n) molecules, displayed in Figure 1a, in which the alkynyl end-group $(R-C \equiv C-H)$ acts as anchoring group. These molecules directly form a C-Au bond to the leads by the in-situ de-protonation of the acidic C-H proton (pKa ~ 26) of the alkynyl groups.²³⁻²⁵ This approach avoids the need of de-protecting agents or toxic leaving groups. Moreover, the molecules do not exhibit bulky protective groups. Measurements are performed using the mechanically controlled break junction (MCBJ) technique in ambient conditions and show the formation of molecular junctions even after several hours after deposition; the conductance values are of the same order of magnitude as similar molecules with sp- or sp^2 -hybridized Au-C bonds while being an order of magnitude lower than those using sp^3 Au-C hybridization.¹⁶

Results and discussion

Figure 2 displays the conductance vs. electrode displacement histograms of three oligo pyhenylene ethynylene molecules: a) OPA2, b) OPA3 and c) OPA4. In each of these diagrams we identify two characteristics. First, an exponential conductance decay is present between 0 and 0.5 nm covering the conductance range from about $10^{-4}G_0$ to the noise level (marked with thin black lines); these breaking traces are attributed to empty junctions. The color scale indicates that in most of the cases no molecule has been trapped between the electrodes. The second characteristic is a region where the conductance decreases slower with stretching. Examples of individual traces in this regime are displayed in the insets of Figure 2. OPA3 (Figure 2b) exhibits this region between displacements ranging from 0 to 1 nm with a conductance around $10^{-3}G_0$. For OPA4 (Figure 2c) the same behavior is observed between 0 and 1.5 nm with a conductance around $10^{-4}G_0$. OPA2 needs a closer look,

because it presents two of such regions; the first one, around $10^{-3}G_0$, is characterized by displacements shorter than 0.6 nm and a second high-count region is present near $10^{-5}G_0$ for traces between 0.5 and 2.5 nm. An interesting feature is that most of the traces show slanted plateaus rather than flat ones as is usually seen in molecular wires.

We have also performed the same experiment on a phenyl ethylene (OPA1) molecule but we did not observe clear molecular signatures in that case; see the molecular structure and histograms in Figure S3 in the SI. In reference [18] a similar single phenyl ring with an unprotected alkynyl anchoring group was measured: Junction formation was not observed there either while the same backbone with a TMS protecting group showed molecular features.

To determine the conductance value of the OPA(n) molecules we construct one-dimensional conductance histograms without any data selection (Figure 3a). By fitting a log-normal distribution to the conductance regions with molecular features (dotted lines in Figure 3a), we extract the most probable molecular conductance value for the three molecules; for each molecule two samples were measured. The values of the obtained conductance are summarized in Table 1 and the average values are plotted with blue diamonds as a function of molecular length in Figure 3b. For OPA2, two values of conductance are listed; one at high conductance and one at low conductance, corresponding to the two features appearing in the two-dimensional histogram. We attribute the highest one to the molecular conductance of the molecule itself, whereas the lower value with plateau lengths exceeding the length of the molecule can be associated to the presence of dimers of the molecule 18,19 or to $\pi-\pi$ stacking of two molecules each attached to one electrode.²⁶ For this molecule, we have analyzed the time evolution of the conductance vs. displacement curves in time to investigate whether the dimer formation occurs more frequently as times progresses. We have not found a clear indication for such a trend (see Figure S4): after four hours of measurements high and low-conductance traces are still present.

A striking characteristic of Figure 3b is the non-exponential decay of the conductance with molecular length (open blue diamonds) for the OPA(n=2,3,4) series. The fact that

the conductance of OPA2 has approximately the same value as OPA3 is an unexpected result, especially because measurements on the same molecular backbone (oligophenylene) have shown an exponential decay for different anchoring groups and measurement techniques,^{17,27–29} with $\beta \approx 0.45$ Å⁻¹. An interesting observation is that the de-protonated OPA1 has the same structure as the de-protected TMS-OPE1 in reference [18]. If we take into account this conductance value an exponential decay among OPE1, OPA3 and OPA4 (black dashed line in Figure 3b) is found.

To put our results in a broader context we compare our data with other molecules that also form a direct Au-C bonds to the leads. The conductance vs. length dependence of these molecular families is displayed in Figure 3b as well. We observe that the conductance of molecular chains which are connected via sp^3 -hybridized carbon atoms is higher than the corresponding chain connected by sp-hybridized carbon atoms. For the single benzene ring case this observation was already discussed in reference [17] when comparing sp^3 - and sp^2 hybridization.

We have also performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations to compute the molecular geometries and electronic structures for sp- and sp^3 hybridization of the anchoring carbon atoms, corresponding to the OPA(n) and SnMe-OP structure inside the junction, respectively. These calculations were combined with the non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF) formalism to obtain the transmissions, were self-energies corrected using DFT+ Σ ,⁸ of the OP(n=1-4) molecules. Figure 4 (a,b) shows the iso-surface of the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) for OP3 with an sp- and sp^3 -hybridized anchoring carbon, respectively. The lower panels display the same orbitals in the region where the molecule contacts the gold. We note two important differences. First, the amplitude of the wave functions around the linking gold is smaller in the case of sp and second, the symmetry of the atomic orbital of the gold atom that is involved in the wave function, is different in the two cases. In the case of sp hybridization the main contribution of the gold to the wave function comes from the 5d orbital while in the case of the sp^3 -hybridized carbon, the main contribution

Focusing on the transmission function of OP3 as displayed in Figure 4c, one notes that in the case of sp^3 (green drawn line) the shape of the resonance peaks is broader, indicating a higher coupling between the electrodes and the molecule, in agreement with the wavefunction overlap discussion earlier. The calculations further support our findings that the conductance of molecules with the same number of benzene rings differs greatly depending on the hybridization of the end-standing carbon atoms: sp^3 -hybridization gives a higher conductance, mainly because the favorable coupling between the gold electrodes and the molecule.

We also analyzed the dependence of the conductance on the molecule length and found that the transmission function decays exponentially with molecular length for the sp-hybridized OPA(n) series as can be seen in Figure S7. The odd behavior of the OPA2 conductance, therefore, may be associated with degrees of freedom not captured in the calculation. For example, a non-exponential decay of conductance has also been observed for oligo-thiophene molecules,^{30,31} and in that case it was attributed to the ability of a 4-thiophene to rotate toward a more conjugated configuration compare to the 3-tiophene that cannot rotate. Another explanation may originate from the binding configuration itself. In the literature it has been proposed that alkynyl groups in contact with gold may form a rather "undetermined" binding configuration displaying a more sp^2 like character;^{23,32–34}the smaller the molecule, the more likely this is expected to occur.³⁵ This suggestion is in line with the results displayed in Fig.3 which show that a line parallel to the black and red drawn lines through the sp^2 -hybridized OP1 and diazonium terminated OP2 data points (open square and the green x respectively) interestingly is close to the OPA2 conductance value from our study.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that it is possible to contact single molecules through a covalent σ -C-Au bond formation directly from de-protonating alkynyl anchoring groups. This method avoids the need of cleavage off bulky protecting groups and the use of deprotection by an external agent. In our approach, the molecules connect to the gold leads

through a *sp*-hybridized carbon atoms in a way that it mainly couples to the 5*d* orbital of the gold. The involvement of the 5*d* orbital leads to a lower electronic coupling than for sp^3 -hybridized carbon which involves the 6*s* orbital of gold.

Methods

Synthesis

The molecules OPA2 and OPA3 were synthesized following reported procedures.^{36,37} For the synthesis of OPA4 a novel procedure was developed. The detailed description and characterization of OPA4 can be found in the SI.

Conductance Measurements

Single-molecule conductance measurements were performed in a mechanically controllable break junction (MCBJ) set up.³⁸ The devices consist of a phosphorus bronze flexible substrate coated with a polyimide insulation layer, on top of which a lithographically defined gold wire with a constriction is patterned. The narrower part of the wire is suspended by reactive ion etching of the polyimide. The substrate is then clamped at both ends and bent by the action of a pushing rod beneath the center of the substrate (Figure 2b) until the gold wire breaks leaving two atomically sharp electrodes separated by a nano-scale gap. The electrodes are fused and broken thousands of times at a rate of 10 nm/s. During this process, the conductance (G = I/V) is recorded using a logarithmic amplifier with a bias voltage of 0.1 V. During each breaking process the conductance is recorded as a function of the electrode displacement. Before the gold wire breaks, the conductance of the junction drops in a stepwise manner due to atomic rearrangements in the contact. When the metallic contact breaks, the conductance sharply drops below 1 G₀, where G₀ is the conductance quantum ($G_0 = 2e^2/h$ with h the Planck's constant and e the charge of an electron). This sharp decrease in conductance is used to set the zero displacement of each trace. In the case no molecule bridges the gap, the breaking traces show a featureless exponential conductance decrease, indicative of single-barrier tunneling. If a molecule contacts both electrodes, the conductance no longer follows this behavior. Instead, a slower conductance decay with plateau-like features is observed.

We present our data in the form of two-dimensional conductance versus displacement histograms built from 2000 consecutive traces. To check the presence of spurious contaminants in the MCBJ devices, 1000 traces are first recorded on the bare Au devices before exposing them to the solvent with the molecules. Only if a clean junction was formed as indicated by clear single-barrier tunneling traces (see SI Figure S3), the molecules are deposited by pipetting a 2 μ L drop of solution onto the sample. Subsequently, the solvent (dichloromethane) is let to evaporate. The molecules in this study consist of a n-phenylene backbone (n=2,3,4) with alkynyl moieties at both sides (see Figure 1a). On each junction calibration of the electrode displacement is done using the length of single-gold atom chains obtained from the 1000 traces on bare junctions before molecular deposition.^{38,39}

Theoretical calculations

The electronic ground-state properties were calculated using density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the ADF package,^{40,41} using the GGA-PBE functional,⁴² and the triple- ζ plus polarization (TZP) Slater-type basis set. All electrons were considered, expect for the gold atoms were the frozen core approximation was used. The zero-th order regular approximation (ZORA) to the Dirac equation was used to account for relativistic effects due to the presence of the Au electrodes. Each molecule was connected to two pyramidal gold electrodes consisting of 30 atoms each. The geometries were converged to energy changes of less than 10^{-3} hartree, energy gradients of less than 10^{-3} hartree/Å maximum and $6.7 \cdot 10^{-4}$ hartree/Å RMS. We calculated the transmission through the molecular junction using the non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF) formalism by connecting the outer gold layer to wide-band limit electrodes,⁴³ with a coupling strength of 1 eV. To correct for electron

correlation errors upon addition/removal of a charge on the molecule, the DFT + Σ method was used,⁸ implemented as follows. First, a correction to the ionisation potential and electron affinity is calculated based on the anion and cation charge states of the molecule in gas phase. As gas phase geometry, the geometry of the molecule in the junction was chosen with the apex gold atoms attached. Second, image-charge effects were calculated for the different charge states (also in gas phase). This was done using the Hirschfeld charge distribution between two parallel plates, similar to a classic image charge model.^{44,45} The image planes were set at a distance of 1 Å outside the innermost gold atoms.^{8,46} Both contribution were combined to a scissor operator value for occupied and unoccupied levels, and used to correct the transmission.

Acknowledgement

We acknowledge support from the Dutch organization for Fundamental Research (FOM), OCW/NWO, an ERC advanced grant (Mols@Mols) and the China scholarship council (CSC). We also acknowledge the Karlsruhe Nano Micro Facility (KNMF, www.kit.edu/knmf) of the Forschungszentrum Karlsruhe for provision of access to instruments at their laboratories.

References

- (1) Moth-Poulsen, K.; Bjørnholm, T. Nature nanotechnology 2009, 4, 551–556.
- (2) Nitzan, A.; Ratner, M. A. Science 2003, 300, 1384–1389.
- (3) Aradhya, S. V.; Venkataraman, L. Nature nanotechnology 2013, 8, 399–410.
- (4) Schwarz, F.; Lörtscher, E. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 2014, 26, 474201.
- (5) Huang, Z.; Chen, F.; Bennett, P. A.; Tao, N. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2007, 129, 13225–13231.

- (6) Kiguchi, M.; Miura, S.; Hara, K.; Sawamura, M.; Murakoshi, K. Applied Physics Letters 2006, 89, 213104.
- (7) Kamenetska, M.; Quek, S. Y.; Whalley, A. C.; Steigerwald, M. L.; Choi, H. J.; Louie, S. G.; Nuckolls, C.; Hybertsen, M. S.; Neaton, J. B.; Venkataraman, L. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 6817–6821.
- (8) Quek, S. Y.; Venkataraman, L.; Choi, H. J.; Louie, S. G.; Hybertsen, M. S.; Neaton, J. B. Nano Letters 2007, 7, 3477–3482.
- (9) Li, Z.; Kosov, D. S. *Physical Review B* **2007**, *76*, 035415.
- (10) Hybertsen, M. S.; Venkataraman, L.; Klare, J. E.; Whalley, A. C.; Steigerwald, M. L.;
 Nuckolls, C. Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 2008, 20, 374115.
- (11) Chen, F.; Li, X.; Hihath, J.; Huang, Z.; Tao, N. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2006, 128, 15874–15881.
- (12) Kristensen, I. S.; Mowbray, D. J.; Thygesen, K. S.; Jacobsen, K. W. Journal of physics. Condensed matter 2008, 20, 374101.
- (13) Zotti, L. A.; Kirchner, T.; Cuevas, J. C.; Pauly, F.; Huhn, T.; Scheer, E.; Erbe, A.
 Small 2010, 6, 1529–1535.
- (14) Frisenda, R.; Tarkuç, S.; Galán, E.; Perrin, M. L.; Eelkema, R.; Grozema, F. C.; van der Zant, H. S. J. Beilstein Journal of Nanotechnology 2015, 6, 1558–1567.
- (15) David Millar ; Latha Venkataraman; ; Linda H. Doerrer . The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2007, 111, 17635–17639.
- (16) Cheng, Z.-L.; Skouta, R.; Vlázquez, H.; Widawsky, J. R.; Schneebeli, S.; Chen, W.;
 Hybertsen, M. S.; Breslow, R.; Venkataraman, L. Nature nanotechnology 2011, 6, 353–357.

- (17) Chen, W.; Widawsky, J. R.; Vazquez, H.; Schneebeli, S. T.; Hybertsen, M. S.; Breslow, R.; Venkataraman, L. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2011, 133, 17160– 17163.
- (18) Hong, W.; Li, H.; Liu, S. X.; Fu, Y.; Li, J.; Kaliginedi, V.; Decurtins, S.; Wandlowski, T. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2012, 134, 19425–19431.
- (19) Widawsky, J. R.; Chen, W.; Vázquez, H.; Kim, T.; Breslow, R.; Hybertsen, M. S.;
 Venkataraman, L. Nano Letters 2013, 13, 2889–2894.
- (20) Hines, T.; Díez-Pérez, I.; Nakamura, H.; Shimazaki, T.; Asai, Y.; Tao, N. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2013, 135, 3319–3322.
- (21) Pla-Vilanova, P.; Aragonès, A. C.; Ciampi, S.; Sanz, F.; Darwish, N.; Diez-Perez, I. Nanotechnology 2015, 26, 381001.
- (22) Ricci, A. M.; Calvo, E. J.; Martin, S.; Nichols, R. J. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 2494–2495.
- (23) Maity, P.; Takano, S.; Yamazoe, S.; Wakabayashi, T.; Tsukuda, T. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2013, 135, 9450–9457.
- (24) Zhang, Y.-Q.; Björk, J.; Weber, P.; Hellwig, R.; Diller, K.; Papageorgiou, A. C.;
 Oh, S. C.; Fischer, S.; Allegretti, F.; Klyatskaya, S.; Ruben, M.; Barth, J. V.; Klappenberger, F. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C* 2015, 119, 9669–9679.
- (25) Kepčija, N.; Zhang, Y. Q.; Kleinschrodt, M.; Björk, J.; Klyatskaya, S.; Klappenberger, F.; Ruben, M.; Barth, J. V. Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2013, 117, 3987–3995.
- (26) Martín, S.; Grace, I.; Bryce, M. R.; Wang, C.; Jitchati, R.; Batsanov, A. S.; Higgins, S. J.; Lambert, C. J.; Nichols, R. J. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2010, 132, 9157–9164.

- (27) Wold, D. J.; Haag, R.; Rampi, M. A.; Frisbie, C. D. Journal of Physical Chemistry B
 2002, 106, 2813–2816.
- (28) Querebillo, C. J.; Terfort, A.; Allara, D. L.; Zharnikov, M. Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2013, 117, 25556–25561.
- (29) Adams, D. M.; B., Louis; Chidsey, C. E. D.; Creager, S.; Creutz, C.; Kagan, C. R. and Kamat, P. V.; Lieberman, M. and Lindsay, S.; Marcus, R. A.; Metzger, R. M.; Michel-Beyerle, M. E.; Miller, J. R.; Newton, M. D.; Rolison, D. R.; Sankey, O.; Schanze, K. S.; Yardley, J.; Zhu, X. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 2003, 107, 6668–6697.
- (30) Capozzi, B.; Dell, E. J.; Berkelbach, T. C.; Reichman, D. R.; Venkataraman, L.; Campos, L. M. Journal of the American Chemical Society 2014, 136, 10486–10492.
- (31) Xu, B. Q.; Li, X. L.; Xiao, X. Y.; Sakaguchi, H.; Tao, N. J. Nano Letters 2005, 5, 1491–1495.
- (32) Ford, M. J.; Hoft, R. C.; ; McDonagh, A. The Journal of Physical Chemistry B 2005, 109, 20387–20392, PMID: 16853638.
- (33) Gao, H.-Y.; Franke, J.-H.; Wagner, H.; Zhong, D.; Held, P.-A.; Studer, A.; Fuchs, H.
 The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 2013, 117, 18595–18602.
- (34) Andrew M. McDonagh,; Hadi M. Zareie,; Michael J. Ford,; Christopher S. Barton,;
 Milena Ginic-Markovic; ; Matisons, J. G. Journal of the American Chemical Society
 2007, 129, 3533–3538, PMID: 17328545.
- (35) Bowers, C. M.; Rappoport, D.; Baghbanzadeh, M.; Simeone, F. C.; Liao, K.-C.; Semenov, S. N.; Åżaba, T.; Cyganik, P.; Aspuru-Guzik, A.; Whitesides, G. M. *The Journal* of Physical Chemistry C 2016, 120, 11331–11337.

- (36) Takahashi, S.; Kuroyama, Y.; Sonogashira, K.; Hagihara, N. Synthesis 1980, 8, 627–630.
- (37) Koshevoy, I. O.; Lin, C.-L.; Karttunen, A. J.; Jänis, J.; Haukka, M.; Tunik, S. P.;
 Chou, P.-T.; Pakkanen, T. A. *Inorganic Chemistry* 2011, 50, 2395–2403.
- (38) Martin, C. A.; van Ruitenbeek, J. M.; van der Zant, H. S. J. Nanotechnology 2010, 21, 265201.
- (39) Martin, C. A.; Smit, R. H. M.; Egmond, R. V.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; van Ruitenbeek, J. M. Review of Scientific Instruments 2011, 82, 053907.
- (40) te Velde, G.; Bickelhaupt, F. M.; Baerends, E. J.; Fonseca Guerra, C.; van Gisbergen, S. J. A.; Snijders, J. G.; Ziegler, T.; Velde, G. T. E.; Guerra, C. F.; Gisbergen, S. J. a. V. a. N. Journal of Computational Chemistry 2001, 22, 931–967.
- (41) Fonseca Guerra, C.; Snijders, J. G.; Te Velde, G.; Baerends, E. J. Theoretical Chemistry Accounts: Theory, Computation, and Modeling (Theoretica Chimica Acta) 1998, 99, 391–403.
- (42) Perdew, J. P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Physical Review Letters 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
- (43) Verzijl, C. J. O.; Seldenthuis, J. S.; Thijssen, J. M. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2013, 138, 094102.
- (44) Neaton, J. B.; Hybertsen, M. S.; Louie, S. G. Physical Review Letters 2006, 97, 216405.
- (45) Verzijl, C. J. O; Celis Gil, J. A.; Perrin, M. L.; Dulić, D.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Thijssen, J. M. The Journal of Chemical Physics 2015, 143, 174106.
- (46) Perrin, M. L.; Verzijl, C. J. O.; Martin, C. A.; Shaikh, A. J.; Eelkema, R.; van Esch, J. H.; Ruitenbeek; J. M.; Thijssen, J. M.; van der Zant, H. S. J.; Dulić, D. Nature Nanotechnology 2013, 8, 282–287.



Figure 1: a) Chemical structure of the molecules studied in this work. Phenylene hydrogen atoms are omitted. b) Schematics of the mechanically controlled break junction (MCBJ) set up.



Figure 2: Two-dimensional conductance vs. electrode displacement histograms constructed from 2000 consecutive breaking traces measured at room temperature in air with a 0.1 V bias voltage applied and a ramp rate of 10 nm/s. Junctions exposed to a 28 μ M molecule solution in dichloromethane for **a**) OPA2, **b**) OPA3 and **c**) OPA4. Insets show examples of individual conductance traces with plateau-like features assigned to the presence of a molecule; traces are offset in the displacement direction.





Figure 3: a) One-dimensional conductance histograms using a logarithmically binning (solid line) for OPA2 (blue), OPA3 (red) and OPA4 (green). Dotted lines represent log-normal fittings around the regions of high counts in the histograms and are used to extract the most probable conductance value. b) Conductance as a function of molecular length for OPA(n) (blue) compared to other molecules in the literature that form direct C-Au bonds. Different families present different hybridization of the last carbon. Red open circles represent molecules with direct sp^3 C-Au bonding from reference [17]; the green square is a single benzene ring connected to gold through a sp^2 -hybridized carbon;¹⁶ the open blue circle corresponds to OPE1 connected to gold via a sp-hybridized carbon from the series in reference [18]; the green x is a diazonium terminated OP2 which is electrochemically deprotected and connected through an sp^2 -hybridized carbon that was studied in [20]. The black dashed line connects the OPA(n) series. Note, that the structure of OPE1 corresponds to the same structure as OPA1 in this study when contacted to the gold electrodes.





Figure 4: Diagram of the HOMO of a OP3 attached to gold atoms through **a**) sp- and **b**) sp^3 -hybridized carbon. Zoom ins (lower panels) show the same orbitals near the Au-C interface. **c**) Transmissions calculated using DFT+NEGF for the OP3 molecule with sp-(blue line) and sp^3 -hybridized (green line) linking to the gold.

Table 1: Most probable molecular conductance for the series of OPA(n) molecules extracted from the one-dimensional conductance histograms. The first column contains the values obtained with a concentration of 28 μ M and the values in the second column were obtained with a saturated concentration of molecules, meaning 4.95 mM for OPA2, 0.36 mM for OPA3 and 28 μ M for OPA4. The values plotted in Figure 3 are the average of the two measurements of each molecule. For OPA2, two values are listed, one at high conductance and one at low conductance (see text for a discussion on these values).

Molecule	Conductance (G_0)	
OPA2	$6.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$ $1.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-4} 7.8 \cdot 10^{-6}$
OPA3	$4.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$
OPA4	$5.7 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.9 \cdot 10^{-5}$

For Table of Contents Only



ACS Paragon Plus Environment