Photochemical 1,3-stannyl rearrangement of allylic stannanes

Akio Takuwa,* Takashi Kanaue, Koichi Yamashita and Yutaka Nishigaichi

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Shimane University, Matsue 690, Japan



The photochemical 1,3-stannyl rearrangement of allylic stannanes has been investigated. The photorearrangement of (E)-cinnamyl(triphenyl)stannane is not observed in benzene under anaerobic conditions, while the photoinduced 1,3-stannyl migration takes place in the same solvent under aerobic conditions, or in the presence of organic halides or a radical-trapping agent to give a photoequilibrium mixture of the cinnamylstannane and its branched regioisomer, 1-phenylprop-2-enyl(triphenyl)stannane, with the latter predominating. Cinnamyl(trialkyl)stannanes and their homologues also afford the corresponding branched allylstannanes under similar photochemical conditions. These 1,3-stannyl migrations proceed intramolecularly *via* cinnamyl π - π * excitation in competition with homolytic (cinnamyl)C–Sn bond fission. In contrast, the 1,3-stannyl migration of crotyl- and prenyl-(tributyl)-stannanes is not efficient, but their triphenyl or dibutylphenyl derivatives undergo the 1,3-rearrangement *via* excitation of the phenyl group(s) on the tin atom to give a regioisomeric mixture of the starting linear tin compounds and the branched ones with the former predominating.

Introduction

It is well known that most allyl-metal reagents are subjected to more or less rapid 1,3-allylic rearrangement from 2 to thermodynamically more stable 1.¹ Among allyl-metal reagents the branched allylstannanes 2 (R = Me or Ph, $M = SnBu_3$) are relatively stable, but they have also been found to undergo some isomerization to the corresponding linear allylstannane 1 at a temperature above ~100 °C in nonpolar solvents or at an ambient temperature in polar solvents.^{2,3} In contrast, interestingly, it has been reported that allylic silanes⁴ and germanes⁵ reversely rearrange from species 1 to isomers 2 under photochemical



conditions. However, unambiguous evidence for such photochemical rearrangement of allylic stannanes from species 1 to isomers 2 has not been reported.⁶

Recently, we have communicated that cinnamylstannanes 1 (R = Ph, $M = SnBu_3$ or $SnPh_3$) isomerize photochemically to the branched regioisomers 2.⁷ In this paper, we describe in detail the photochemical 1,3-stannyl migration from carbon to carbon in allylstannanes. This novel photorearrangement is observed in a solvent containing organic halides or radical-trapping agents. Some mechanistic features of this photorearrangement are also discussed.

Results and discussion

Although the photochemical 1,3-allylic rearrangement $1 \longrightarrow 2$ of allylic silanes⁴ and germanes⁵ has been observed in a benzene or hexane solution, the photochemical 1,3-stannyl rearrangement of (*E*)-cinnamyl(triphenyl)stannane **3a** was not observed in these solvents. For example, when irradiation of compound **3a** was carried out in benzene under nitrogen with a 300-W high-pressure mercury arc lamp through saturated aq. CuSO₄ (>320 nm), no 1,3-rearrangement product, 1-phenylprop-2-enyl(triphenyl)stannane **4a**, not obtained but a small amount of hexaphenyldistannane and diphenylhexa-1,5-dienes were produced together with recovery of substrate **3a** (78%) (Scheme 1). The formation of hexaphenyldistannane and



Scheme 1 *Conditions:* i, *hv* (>320 nm), 1 h, C₆H₆, N₂; ii, *hv* (>320 nm), 1 h, C₆H₆, O₂; iii, *hv* (>320 nm), 1 h, CHCl₃, N₂

diphenylhexa-1,5-dienes as by-products clearly indicated that the homolytic (cinnamyl)C–Sn bond cleavage⁸ took place partially, because they are the self-coupling products of triphenyltin radical and cinnamyl radical, respectively.

On the other hand, irradiation of compound 3a in benzene under oxygen gave a mixture of regioisomers 3a and 4a (ratio of 1:9) in 50% yield. Thus, the photochemical 1,3-rearrangement from the cinnamylstannane 3a to the benzylstannane 4a could be observed in benzene under aerobic conditions. These results suggest that the homolytic (cinnamyl)C-Sn bond cleavage and the 1,3-stannyl migration process occur competitively (Scheme 2). Even though the branched allyltin 4a was formed under anaerobic conditions, it would be reconverted to the starting linear allyltin 3a by free-radical chain reaction involving an $S_{\rm H}2'$ process⁹ between compound 4a and the triphenyltin radical. Such an $S_{\rm H}2'$ reaction, however, would be inhibited under aerobic conditions because of the triphenyltin radical reacting rapidly with oxygen.¹⁰ These two competitive processes were also supported by the result from the photolysis in chloroform. Irradiation of compound 3a in chloroform under anaerobic conditions gave again a regioisomeric mixture of 3a and 4a (ratio of 1:13) in 55% yield together with triphenyltin

Table 1Thermal reactions of compounds 3a and 4a in the presence ofAIBN^a

Run	Allyltin	Conditions	Ratio of 3a : 4a ^b	Total yield (%)
1	3a	$\begin{array}{l} AIBN-C_6H_6-N_2\\ AIBN-C_6H_6-N_2\\ AIBN-C_6H_6-O_2\\ AIBN-CHCl_3-N_2 \end{array}$	100:0	96
2	4a		100:0	97
3	4a		7:93	91
4	4a		1:99	73

^{*a*} The reactions were carried out under reflux for 6 h in the presence of 10 mol% AIBN under the conditions described in the Table. ^{*b*} Ratios were determined by ¹H NMR spectra.



chloride (30%), where the triphenyltin radical could abstract a chlorine atom ${}^{8c-e}$ from the solvent (Scheme 2).[†]

The $S_{\rm H}2'$ processes were confirmed by the following experiments under free-radical conditions and the results are summarized in Table 1. The linear allyltin 3a was recovered intact after being refluxed in benzene containing a catalytic amount of 2,2'-azoisobutyronitrile (AIBN) under nitrogen, whereas the branched allyltin 4a isomerized completely to compound 3a under the same conditions. However, the allyltin 4a was recovered without isomerization to the cinnamyltin 3a when refluxed with AIBN in benzene solution under oxygen or in chloroform solution (runs 3 and 4). Since it is known that tributyltin radical is generated by heating of allyl(tributyl)-stannane in the presence of AIBN,^{8d} the results of the present radical reactions clearly indicate that triphenyltin radical converts benzyl isomer 4a into cinnamyl isomer 3a but it is trapped efficiently with oxygen¹⁰ or with chloroform^{8c-e} before selectively attacking the sterically less crowded terminal olefinic carbon in compound 4a rather than the sterically more congested internal one in isomer 3a. This is a reason why photochemical 1,3-rearrangement of compound 3a was not observed in benzene or hexane under anaerobic conditions, but was observed under aerobic conditions or in chloroform solution.

Thus, in order to observe directly the photochemical 1,3rearrangement of compound **3a** it was found necessary to suppress the $S_{\rm H}2'$ chain reaction between the 1,3-stannyl-migrated product **4a** and the triphenyltin radical formed by homolytic cleavage of (cinnamyl)C–Sn bond. Therefore, we examined the photolysis of compound **3a** in benzene solution containing various organic halides, from which the halogen atom is known to be readily transferred to trialkyltin radical.^{8c-e,11} The 1,3rearrangement was again observed under the conditions shown in Table 2. The ratio of products **3a** and **4a** obtained varied from 1:0 to 1:19 depending on the organic halides used as an additive. The amount of **4a** increased with increasing reactivity of organic halides towards triphenyltin radical; the reactivity increases in the order primary < secondary < tertiary < allyl for different alkyl groups in the alkyl halides, and the ease of abstraction of halogens increases in the order Cl < Br.^{8d,11c,d,12} Unexpectedly, iodoform was not an effective organic halide for the photorearrangement probably due to its absorption of the light (>320 nm; data not shown). Thus, the 4a: 3a ratios were very high in benzene solution containing CCl₄, CHCl₃, CH₂Br₂, CH₂=CHCH₂Br or PrⁱBr. Similar ratios were obtained when the branched allyltin 4a was subjected to photolysis in chloroform or in benzene containing CH₂Br₂ (runs 14,15). Thus, the photoequilibrium should be attained between compound 3a and 4a under these conditions, in which the sterically more crowded compound 4a was the major product. The 1,3-rearrangement was also observed when compound 3a was irradiated in benzene containing radical scavengers such as 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-N-oxyl (TEMPO) or galvinoxyl (runs 11,12).

In addition, we further confirmed the photochemical (cinnamyl)C–Sn bond fission in competition with 1,3-stannyl migration by a crossover experiment between compound **3a** and allyltributylstannane **5** (Scheme 3). When an equimolar mixture



Scheme 3 Conditions: i, hv (>320 nm), 1 h, C₆H₆, N₂; ii, hv (>320 nm), 1 h, CHCl₃, N₂

of allylstannanes **3a** and **5** in benzene was irradiated (>320 nm) for 1 h under nitrogen, cinnamyl(tributyl)stannane **3c** (15%) and allyltriphenylstannane **6** (22%) were obtained together with starting tin reagents, **3a** (76%) and **5** (48%). Since compound **5** does not absorb light of longer wavelength than 280 nm, (cinnamyl)C–Sn bond fission of compound **3a** occurred selectively under these conditions to produce the triphenyltin radical, which attacks the terminal olefinic carbon in compound **5** to give the cross-coupling product **6** and tributyltin radical *via* $S_{\rm H}2'$ reaction [equation (1)]. The resulting tributyltin radical reacts with photorearranged product **4a** from substrate **3a** to afford another cross-coupling product **3c** [equation (2)]. On



the other hand, the cross-coupling reaction was negligible when the photolysis was carried out in chloroform, and 1,3rearrangement product 4a (3a:4a = 6:94) was again observed without any contamination of the cross-coupling products (Scheme 3).

[†] Cinnamyl radical was also scavenged by dimerization or coupling with chloromethyl radicals to afford small amounts of diphenyl-1,5hexadienes, 4,4-dichloro-1-phenylbut-1-ene and 3-trichloromethyl-1phenylprop-1-ene.

Table 2 Photochemical isomerization of [(E)-3-arylbut-2-enyl]tin compounds 3a-d and 4a^a

Run	Allyltin	Solvent	Additive	Ratio of $3:4^b$	$E: Z$ ratio of 3^{b}	Total yield (%)
1	3a	Benzene	CH ₂ Br ₂	6:94	40:60	54
2	3a	Benzene	CH_2Cl_2	96:4	82:18	71
3	3a	Benzene	CHCl ₃	14:86	47:53	47
4	3a	Benzene	CCl ₄	5:95	20:80	24
5	3a	Benzene	Bu"Br	13:87	75:25	59
6	3a	Benzene	Pr ⁱ Br	6:94	27:73	55
7	3a	Benzene	Bu ⁿ Cl	99:1	93:7	80
8	3a	Benzene	Bu ^t Cl ^c	95:5	86:14	73
9	3a	Benzene	Allyl bromide	8:92	67:33	57
10	3a	Benzene	Allyl chloride	78:22	81:9	65
11	3a	Benzene	TEMPO ^d	6:94	42:58	64
12	3a	Benzene	Galvinoxyl ^e	64:36	98:2	84
13	3a	Chloroform		7:93	53:47	55
14	4a	Chloroform		10:90	29:71	42
15	4a	Benzene	CH ₂ Br ₂	7:93	38:62	52
16	3b	[² H]Chloroform		1:99		53
17	3c	Benzene	CH_2Br_2	3:97	50:50	58
18	3c	Benzene	$TEMPO^{d}$	7:93	30:70	68
19	3d ^e	Benzene	CH ₂ Br ₂	12:88	38:62	76

^{*a*} Irradiation was carried out in a solvent containing 3 equivalents of an additive under nitrogen with a 300-W high-pressure mercury lamp (>320 nm) for 1 h. ^{*b*} Ratios were determined by ¹H NMR spectra. ^{*c*} Butyl chloride (10 equiv.) was added. ^{*d*} TEMPO (1.0 equiv.) was added. ^{*e*} Galvinoxyl (0.2 equiv.) was added. ^{*e*} Irradiation was carried out with >400 nm light for 40 min.



Fig. 1 Photoisomerization of (E)-3a to 4a in a nitrogen purged benzene solution in the presence of dibromethane (3 equiv.) under irradiation with >320 nm light. Ratio of 4a:3a (\bullet); Z:E ratio of recovered 3a (\blacktriangle); total yield of 3a and 4a (\bigcirc).

Next, attention was focused on the E:Z ratio of compound **3a**. While starting compound **3a** was the *E*-form, the recovered **3a** was a mixture of E/Z-isomers (Table 2). For example, the E:Z ratio of recovered substrate **3a** was 1:1.5 after irradiation of a benzene solution of (E)-**3a** in the presence of dibromomethane (Table 2, run 1). Then the variation of both the E:Z ratio of compound **3a** and the ratio of products **3a**:**4a** was followed as a function of irradiation time under the same conditions. The results are shown in Fig. 1, which also includes the total yield of compounds **3a** and **4a**.

Equilibrium of the 1,3-rearrangement between 3a and 4a was accomplished after irradiation for 50 min (ratio of compound 3a:4a:1:19). Interestingly, the Z-isomer was little observed in recovered compound 3a until equilibrium was attained, but the amount of Z-isomer increased dramatically soon after that and (Z)-3a became the main geometrical isomer with further continuous irradiation. This phenomenon implies that the photoexcited isomer (E)-3a rearranges more rapidly to the regioisomer 4a than to the geometrical isomer (Z)-3a. Since compound (Z)-3a could be formed alternatively by the reverse photochemical 1,3-stannyl migration ($4a \longrightarrow 3a$) (Table 2, runs 14, 15), the proportion of (Z)-3a increases steeply around the equilibrium state, in which isomer 4a becomes the main regioisomer. The total yields of isomers 3a and 4a decreased

gradually with irradiation time due to C-Sn bond fission of both compounds **3a** and **4a** occurring in parallel with the 1,3stannyl migration. The photochemical 1,3-stannyl rearrangement was also tested by using allyltin compounds bearing substituents on the tin center or on the allylic framework (Scheme 4). When all the phenyl groups on the tin in compound **3a** were replaced by methyl (in **3b**) or butyl groups (in **3c**), the 1,3-stannyl migration also proceeded under the same photochemical conditions used for compound **3a**, to give a regioisomeric mixture of products **3** and **4** in which compound **4b** or **4c** was obtained as the major regioisomer, respectively (runs 16–18 in Table 2; Scheme 4). Thus, the substituents (R) on



the tin have no influence on the photorearrangement. In addition, [3-(pyren-1-yl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane 3d having an a-pyrenyl group in the allylic moiety also gave a regioisomeric mixture of products 3d and 4d in the ratio 1:7 even under irradiation with light of longer wavelength than 400 nm (run 19 in Table 2). These results revealed clearly that the present photochemical 1,3-rearrangement of cinnamyl(trialkyl)stannanes should involve direct photoexcitation of the cinnamyl π system. This was further supported by an inspection of electronic absorption spectra of these allylic stannanes. (E)-Cinnamyl(triphenyl)stannane 3a and (E)-cinnamyl(tributyl)stannane 3c absorb light at nearly the same wavelength $[\lambda_{max}]$ (log ε) for **3a**: 276 nm (4.38); for **3c**: 273 nm (4.36)], whereas compound **3d** absorbs light of longer wavelength $[\lambda_{max} = 366]$ nm (log $\varepsilon = 4.54$)] than do analogues **3a** and **3c**. Therefore, these absorption maxima are not due to a triphenyltin or tributyltin moiety but are due to allylic moieties in these allylstannanes.

Intramolecularity of the present photochemical 1,3-stannyl migration was examined by a crossover experiment (Scheme 5). When an equimolar mixture of cinnamyl(methyl)diphenyl-stannane 7 and [3-(p-tolyl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane 8 in chloroform was photolysed for 1 h, intramolecular rearrangement products, 1-phenylprop-2-enyl(methyl)diphenylstannane 9 (38%) and [1-(p-tolyl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane 10 (46%)

Table 3 Photoisomerization of crotyl- and prenyl-tins 11

R	un	Allyltin	Irradn (λ /nm)	Solvent (additive)	Irradn time (<i>t</i> /h)	Ratio of 11 : 12 ^{<i>a</i>}	Total yield (%) ^b
1	l	11a	280	CHCl ₃	0.5	100:0	70
2	2	11b	280	CHCl	0.5	92:8	53
3	3	11b	280	CHCl ₃	1	92:8	30
4	1	11b	254	CHCl ₃	1	88:12	49
4	5	11e	280	$C_6H_6(CH_2Br_2)^c$	0.5	84:16	72
(5	11e	280	$C_6H_6(CH_2Br_2)^c$	1	78:22	44
	7	11e	280	$C_6H_6(CH_2Br_2)^c$	1.5	77:23	32
8	3	11c	280	C_6H_6 (TEMPO) ^d	3	100:0	65
ç)	11d	280	C_6H_6 (TEMPO) ^d	3	94:6	54
10)	11e	280	C_6H_6 (TEMPO) ^d	3	72:28	48

^{*a*} Ratios were determined by ¹H NMR spectra. ^{*b*} Yields were determined by ¹H NMR spectra using *p*-cyanobenzaldehyde as internal standard. ^{*c*} Dibromomethane (3 equiv.) was added. ^{*d*} TEMPO (0.2 equiv.) was added.



Scheme 5 Conditions: hv (>320 nm), 1 h, CHCl₃, N₂

were obtained together with the recovery of reactants 7 (2.4%) and 8 (4%). No crossover products were detected in the reaction mixture. Thus, the photochemical 1,3-stannyl migration of cinnamylstannanes involves photoexcitation of the cinnamyl π system and proceeds intramolecularly *via* an orbital symmetry allowed concerted [1,3]-sigmatropic mechanism⁵ accompanying a side reaction of (cinnamyl)C–Sn bond fission. In contrast to the reported thermal rearrangement,² the present photorearrangement proceeds towards the opposite direction, giving sterically more crowded allylstannanes as the major product at the photostationary state.

Photoisomerization of but-2-enylstannane (crotylstannane) and 3-methylbut-2-enylstannane (prenylstannane) was also examined (Scheme 6), and the results are summarized in Table



3. Crotyl(tributyl)stannane **11a** and prenyl(tributyl)stannane **11c** were inert under irradiation with the light through a Pyrex

11c were inert under irradiation with the light through a Pyrex filter (>280 nm), whereas the corresponding (triphenyl)-stannanes, 11b and 11e, did undergo 1,3-stannyl rearrangement under the same conditions to give a mixture of compounds 11b and 12b or 11e and 12e, respectively, in which the starting linear tin compound (11b or 11e) was the major regioisomer.

In addition, the photoisomerization of prenyl(dibutyl)phenylstannane **11d** was also observed although photoequilibrium again lay close to the starting prenylstannanes (run 9). Thus, in contrast to cinnamylstannanes, photoisomerization of crotyland prenyl-stannanes depended on the substituent at the tin atom, *i.e.* the 1,3-stannyl migration could be observed when the stannanes had phenyl group(s) at the tin centre. These results suggest that the photochemical 1,3-stannyl migration in crotyland prenyl-stannanes involves not direct photoexcitation of the allylic π system but should involve an aromatic π - π^* excitation of phenyl substituents at the tin atom as reported in photochemical 1,3-silyl migration of allylic silanes with aromatic substituents at the silicon centre.⁴ Further work should be required to solve the origin of the different mechanism between cinnamylstannanes and crotyl- or prenyl-stannanes.

Experimental

Mps were determined on a Yanaco melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL JNM GX270 FT spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard: coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hz. The IR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 260-50 instrument. The UV spectra were measured on a JASCO UVICEC-510 or on a Shimadzu UV3100 spectrometer. Preparative TLC (silica gel 60 PF₂₅₄) was used after being dried in an air oven at 120 °C for 2 h. Flash chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (E. Merck). All solvents and organic halides were distilled and dried before use by standard procedures.

Materials

(*E*)-Cinnamyl(triphenyl)stannane **3a**,¹³ (*E*)-cinnamyl(trimethyl)stannane **3b**,¹⁴ (*E*)-cinnamyl(tributyl)stannane **3c**,¹⁵ allyl-(tributyl)stannane **5**,¹⁵ but-2-enyl(tributyl)stannane **11a**,¹⁶ but-2-enyl(triphenyl)stannane **11b**¹⁶ and 3-methylbut-2-enyl-(tributyl)stannane **11c**¹⁵ were prepared using previously reported methods.

(*E*)-[3-(Pyren-1-yl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane 3d. Butyllithium (14.8 ml of a 1.61 mol dm⁻³ solution in hexane, 24 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 1-bromopyrene (5.62 g, 20 mmol) in diethyl ether (80 ml)–benzene (20 ml) at room temperature under nitrogen. After stirring of the mixture for 30 min, 3-bromopropene (3.15 g, 26 mmol) was added to the solution and the mixture was stirred for 20 min before being quenched by the addition of saturated aq. NH₄Cl in an icebath. The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (50 ml × 3), and the organic layer was washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel, using hexane as eluent, gave 3-(pyren-1-yl)propene (3.2 g, 66%) as a pale yellow oil.

Butyllithium (6.2 ml, 10 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of the foregoing 3-(pyren-1-yl)propene (2.42 g, 10 mmol) in THF (20 ml) at -70 °C under nitrogen, followed by a solution of triphenyltin chloride (3.85 g, 10 mmol) in THF (10 ml) at -30 °C. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, was stirred for 1.5 h at that temperature, and was quenched by aq. KF (10%). The resulting precipitate was filtered off and the filtrate was extracted with diethyl ether. The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a crude yellowish crystalline product. Recrystallization of the crude product from benzene–hexane and then from chloroform–diethyl

ether gave the *title compound* **3d** (0.9 g, 28%) as yellow crystals, mp 143 °C (Found: C, 75.55; H, 4.43. $C_{37}H_{28}$ Sn requires C, 75.16; H, 4.77%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.81 (2H, d, *J* 8.6), 6.69 (1H, dt, *J* 15.4 and 8.6) and 7.29–8.11 (25H, m, one olefinic and ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 18.5, 123.5, 123.7, 124.6, 124.9, 125.0, 125.8, 126.6, 126.9, 127.3, 127.5, 128.3, 128.7, 129.2, 129.9, 131.1, 131.6, 132.2, 133.1, 136.9, 137.2, 137.4 and 138.3; $\lambda_{\rm max}$ CHCl₃/nm 288 (log ε 3.70) and 366 (3.83).

(*E*)-Cinnamyl(methyl)diphenylstannane 7. This tin reagent was prepared by the coupling reaction of cinnamylmagnesium chloride with methyldiphenyltin iodide ¹⁷ following a previously described method.¹³ The crude tin reagent thus obtained was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with hexane as eluent: *oil* (Found: C, 65.08; H, 5.66. C₂₂H₂₂Sn requires C, 65.23; H, 5.47%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.52 (3H, s), 2.37 (2H, d, J 8.3, CH₂), 6.28 (1H, d, J 15.6), 6.43 (1H, dt, J 15.9 and 8.3) and 7.10–7.58 (15H, m); $v_{\rm max}/{\rm cm}^{-1}$ 3060, 3020, 1635, 1600, 1435, 1085, 958, 750, 730 and 700.

(*E*)-[3-(*p*-Tolyl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane 8. This *tin* reagent was prepared by the coupling reaction of 3-(*p*-tolyl)-prop-2-enylmagnesium chloride with triphenyltin chloride following a previously described method.¹³ mp 86–87 °C (from methanol) (Found: C, 69.87; H, 5.51. C₂₈H₂₆Sn requires C, 69.89; H, 5.45%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.30 (3H, s), 2.60 (2H, d, *J* 8.1, CH₂), 6.30 (1H, d, *J* 15.6), 6.42 (1H, dt, *J* 15, and 8.1), 7.02–7.10 (4H, m) and 7.25–7.63 (15H, m).

3-Methylbut-2-enyl(dibutyl)phenylstannane 11d. This tin reagent was prepared by the coupling reaction of 3-methylbut-2-enylmagnesium chloride with dibutylphenyltin iodide¹⁷ following a previously described method.¹⁵ The crude tin reagent thus obtained was purified by column chromatography on alumina with hexane as eluent: *oil* (Found: C, 59.82; H, 8.86. C₁₉H₃₂Sn requires C, 60.19; H, 8.51%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.66 (6H, t, J 7.1), 1.04–1.09 (4H, m), 1.25–1.39 (4H, m), 1.48–1.60 (4H, m), 1.54 (3H, s), 1.67 (3H, s), 1.88 (2H, d, J 8.8), 5.34 (1H, t, J 8.8) and 7.30–7.47 (5H, m); $\nu_{\rm max}/\rm cm^{-1}$ 3060, 2960, 2925, 2850, 1460, 1430, 1375, 1075, 725 and 700.

3-Methylbut-2-enyl(triphenyl)stannane 11e. This *tin reagent* was prepared by the reaction of triphenyltin-lithium with 3-methylbut-2-enyl bromide following a previously described method:¹⁵ mp 61–63 °C (from methanol) (Found: C, 65.69; H, 5.55. C₂₃H₂₄Sn requires C, 65.91; H, 5.77%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.43 (3H, s), 1.64 (3H, s), 2.35 (2H, d, *J* 8.8), 5.46 (1H, br t, *J* 8.8) and 7.34–7.62 (15H, m).

General procedure for irradiation

Irradiation was carried out with a 300-W high-pressure Hg arc lamp through a saturated aq. CuSO₄ filter about 1 cm in thickness (>320 nm), or through a Pyrex filter (>280 nm), or through a saturated solution of CuSO₄ and NaNO₂ in aq. NH₄OH ~1 cm in thickness (>400 nm).¹⁸ Irradiation with light of 254 nm was carried out with a 60-W low-pressure Hg arc lamp in a quartz vessel. An allyltin compound in benzene or in benzene containing 2,6-di-tert-butyl-α-(3,5-di-tert-butyl-4-oxocyclohexa-2,5-dien-1-ylidine)-p-tolyloxyl (galvinoxy) or TEMPO was purged with nitrogen and irradiated for 1 h. After evaporation off of the solvent, the residue was analysed by means of ¹H NMR spectroscopy, from which the product ratio was determined by integration of signal intensities. The products were isolated by preparative TLC (PLC) developing with 9:1 hexane-dichloromethane.

Irradiation of an allyltin compound in chloroform or in benzene solution containing organic halides was carried out under similar conditions to those described above, but the work-up procedure was different from that above. After irradiation, the reaction mixture was washed with saturated aq. NaHCO₃, and the organic phase was dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation off of the solvent, the residue was analysed by means of ¹H NMR spectroscopy, from which the product ratio was determined by integration of signal intensities. In some cases, the yield of products was also determined by integration of their signal intensities using *p*-cyanobenzaldehyde as internal standard. The product was isolated by PLC, developing with 9:1 hexane–dichloromethane. The results are given in Tables 2 and 3, and in Fig. 1.

Typical irradiation procedure for (E)-cinnamyl(triphenyl)stannane 3a in benzene under anaerobic or aerobic conditions

A nitrogen-purged solution of compound **3a** (46.7 mg, 0.1 mmol) in benzene (5 ml) was irradiated through saturated aq. CuSO₄ with a high-pressure Hg lamp for 1 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and ¹H NMR measurement of the residue showed no formation of a 1,3-stannyl migration product. The residue was chromatographed on PLC, developing with 9:1 hexane–dichloromethane. The $R_{\rm f}$ 0.18 band contained 4 mg of hexaphenyldistannane: mp 230–232 °C (lit.,¹⁹ 229–232 °C). The $R_{\rm f}$ 0.57 band contained 36.4 mg (78%) of compound **3a** (E/Z = 94:6). The $R_{\rm f}$ 0.77 band contained 5 mg of (E)-1,4-diphenylhexa-1,5-diene^{3b} contaminated by 1,6-diphenylhexa-1,5-diene (ratio 92:8): $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.64 (2H, dd, *J* 7.3 and 7, 3-H₂), 3.43 (1H, dt, *J* 7, 3, 4-H), 5.07 (2H, m, 6-H₂), 5.96–6.06 (1H, m, 5-H), 6.12 (1H, dt, *J* 7, 2-H), 6.39 (1H, d, *J* 15, 1-H) and 7.06–7.43 (10H, m, ArH); $v_{\rm max}$ (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3080, 3000, 2920, 1638, 1600, 1495, 1452, 1075, 1030, 990, 970 and 920.

A benzene solution of compound **3a** was purged with oxygen for 15 min and irradiated under the above conditions. The reaction mixture was washed with saturated aq. NaHCO₃, and the organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄). After evaporation of the mixture, the product was isolated by TLC, developing with hexane–dichloromethane (9:1) to give a regioisomeric mixture of compounds **3a** and **4a** (ratio **3a**: **4a** = 11:89) in 51% yield.

Spectroscopic and physical properties of rearranged allylic stannanes 4 and 12

Spectroscopic properties of 1-phenylprop-2-enyl(tributyl)stannane **4c**, but-3-en-2-yl(tributyl)stannane **12a**, and 2-methylbut-3-en-2-yl(tributyl)stannane **12c** agreed with the data reported in ref. 2.

1-Phenylprop-2-enyl(triphenyl)stannane 4a. Mp 104 °C (from methanol) (Found: C, 69.02; H, 5.19. $C_{27}H_{24}$ Sn requires C, 69.42; H, 5.18%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.16 (1H, d, J 9.5, 1-H), 4.81–5.10 (2H, m, 3-H₂), 6.44 (1H, dt, J 17 and 10, 2-H), 7.02–7.20 (5H, m, ArH) and 7.25–7.50 (15H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 42.9, 111.6, 124.8, 127.2, 128.4, 128.6, 129.0, 137.4, 138.1, 138.8, 142.2.

1-Phenylprop-2-enyl(trimethyl)stannane 4b. Oil; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.06 (9H, s, SnMe₃), 3.48 (1H, d, *J* 10.3, 1-H), 4.79–4.94 (2H, m, 3-H₂), 6.33 (1H, dt, *J* 16.8 and 10.1, 2-H) and 7.03–7.41 (5H, m, ArH).

[1-(Pyren-1-yl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane 4d. This compound isomerized to compound 3d with partial decomposition on TLC. It was isolated as follows: After irradiation of compound 3d in benzene containing 3 equivalent of dibromomethane with light of wavelength >400 nm for 40 min, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Diethyl ether was added to the residue and the resulting ethereal solution was washed with aq. KF (10%), dried over Na₂SO₄ Evaporation of the solution gave an oil, which was triturated with chloroformhexane and left overnight in a refrigerator (~ -20 °C) to give compound 4d as crystals: mp 116-118 °C (Found: C, 74.97; H, 4.52. C₃₇H₂₈Sn requires C, 75.16; H, 4.77%); δ_H 4.96–5.12 (2H, m, 3-H₂), 5.24 (1H, d, J 8.5, 1-H), 6.62-6.80 (1H, d, 2-H), 7.18-7.45 (15H, m, Ph₃Sn) and 7.85–8.25 (9H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.6, 112.3, 123.2, 124.5, 124.7, 125.1, 126.0, 126.3, 126.9, 127.4, 127.6, 128.1, 128.4, 128.7, 128.8, 129.0, 130.9, 131.6, 136.5, 136.8, 137.2, 137.5, 138.3 and 139.9; v_{max} (CHCl₃)/cm⁻¹ 3060, 3050, 2920, 1620, 1600, 1585, 1480, 1430, 1075, 1020, 1000, 900 and 854.

But-3-en-2-yl(triphenyl)stannane 12b. Mp 74–75 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.52 (3H, d, *J* 7.3, CH₃), 2.88 (1H, dq, *J* 7.2 and 7.1, 2-H), 4.84 (1H, d, *J* 10, 4-H), 4.89 (1H, d, *J* 17.1, 4-H), 6.28 (1H, ddd, *J* 17.1, 10 and 7.1, 3-H) and 7.36–7.62 (15H, m, ArH); $\nu_{\rm max}(\rm KBr)/\rm cm^{-1}$

2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl(dibutyl)phenylstannane 12d. *Oil* (Found: C, 59.82; H, 8.86. $C_{19}H_{32}Sn$ requires C, 60.19; H, 8.51%); $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85–1.80 (24H, m, Me₂ + Bu₂), 4.62 (1H, dd, *J* 17.3 and 1.5, 4-H), 4.73 (1H, dd, *J* 10.5 and 1.5, 4-H), 6.07 (1H, dd, *J* 17.3 and 10.5, 3-H) and 7.28–7.57 (5H, m, ArH).

2-Methylbut-3-en-2-yl(triphenyl)stannane 12e. Mp 67–69 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.49 (6H, s, Me₂), 4.75–4.87 (2 H, m, 4-H₂), 6.26 (1H, dd, *J* 17.2 and 10.6, 3-H), 7.35–7.56 (15H, m, ArH); $\nu_{\rm max}$ /cm⁻¹ 3045, 3015, 2930, 2850, 1620, 1475, 1424, 1115, 1072, 995, 890, 723 and 700.

Photochemical crossover reaction between cinnamyl(methyldiphenyl)stannane 7 and [3-(*p*-tolyl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane 8

An equimolar mixture of compounds 7 (20.3 mg, 0.05 mmol) and 8 (24 mg, 0.05 mmol) in a chloroform solution was photolysed with >320 nm light under nitrogen for 1 h. The reaction mixture was washed with saturated aq. NaHCO₃, and the organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation of the mixture, careful chromatographic separation of the residue on TLC developing with hexane gave a mixture of compound 7 (E: Z = 33:67) and 1-phenylprop-2-enyl(methyl)diphenylstannane 9 (ratio 7:9 = 6:94) and a mixture of compounds 8 (E: Z = 29:71) and [1-(p-tolyl)prop-2-enyl]triphenylstannane10 (ratio 8:10 = 8:92) in total yields of 40 and 50%, respectively. ¹H NMR data for compound 9: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.43 (3H, s, SnMe), 3.91 (1H, d, J 9.8, 1-H), 4.82-5.05 (2H, m, 3-H₂), 6.38 (1H, dt, J 16.8 and 10, 2-H) and 7.03-7.53 (15H, m, ArH); for compound **10**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 2.28 (3H, s, *p*-Me), 4.13 (1H, d, *J* 9.5, 1-H), 4.83–5.05 (2H, m, 3-H₂), 6.35-6.49 (1 H, m, 2-H) and 7.01-7.68 (19H, m, ArH).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 09640636) from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan. We thank Ms M. Egawa of our department technical office for performing the microanalysis of some new compounds.

References

 (a) K. W. Wilson, J. D. Roberts and W. G. Young, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1950, 72, 218; (b) D. A. Hutchison, K. R. Beck, R. A. Benkeser and J. B. Grutzner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 7075.

- 2 (a) V. J. Jephcote and E. J. Thomas, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1991, 429; (b) I. Fleming and M. Rowley, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1987, 2259.
- 3 (a) J. A. Verdone, J. A. Mangravite, N. M. Scarpa and H. G. Kuivila, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 843; (b) B. M. Trost and E. Keinan, Tetrahedron Lett., 1980, 21, 2595.
- 4 M. Kira, T. Taki and H. Sakurai, J. Org. Chem., 1989, 54, 5647.
- 5 M. Kobayashi and M. Kobayashi, Chem. Lett., 1986, 385.
- 6 Y. Watanabe, T. Yoneda, T. Okumura, Y. Ueno and T. Toru, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1993, **66**, 3030.
- 7 A. Takuwa, T. Kanaue, Y. Nishigaichi and H. Iwamoto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 575.
- 8 (a) N. Soundararajan and M. S. Platz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 2813; (b) P. J. Barker, A. G. Davies and M.-W. Tse, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 2, 1980, 946; (c) P. J. Barker, A. G. Davies, J. A.-A. Hawari and M.-W. Tse, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 2, 1980, 1488; (d) T. Migita, K. Nagai and M. Kosugi, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1983, 56, 2480; (e) K. Mizuno, M. Ikeda, S. Toda and Y. Otsuji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, 110, 1288.
- 9 A. G. Davies, in *Chemistry of Tin*, ed. P. G. Harrison, Blackie, New York, 1989, p. 294.
- 10 B. Malliard, K. U. Ingold and J. C. Scaiano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 5095.
- 11 (a) H. G. Kuivila, L. W. Menapace and C. R. Warner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 3584; (b) H. G. Kuivila, Acc. Chem. Res., 1968, 1, 299;
 (c) B. Mahiou and G. J. Gleicher, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 4466; (d)
 D. J. Carlsson and K. U. Ingold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 7047;
 (e) K. W. Krosley, G. J. Gleicher and G. E. Clapp, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 840; (f) M. Kosugi, K. Kurino, K. Takayama and T. Migita, J. Organomet. Chem., 1973, 56, C11; (g) J. Orignon and M. Pereyre, J. Organomet. Chem., 1973, 61, C33.
- 12 (a) J. Cooper, A. Hudson and R. A. Jackson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1973, 1056; (b) L. W. Menapace and H. G. Kuivila, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 3047.
- 13 Y. Tanigawa, I. Moritani and S. Yoshida, J. Organomet. Chem., 1971, 28, 73.
- 14 Y. Naruta, H. Uno and K. Maruyama, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi, 1981, 835 (Chem. Abstr., 1981, 95, 114972k).
- 15 Y. Naruta, Y. Nishigaichi and K. Maruyama, Org. Synth., 1993, 71, 118.
- 16 E. A. Abel and R. J. Rowley, J. Organomet. Chem., 1975, 84, 199.
- 17 (a) M. Lequan, F. Meganem and Y. Besace, J. Organomet. Chem., 1977, 131, 231; (b) F. B. Kipping, J. Chem. Soc., 1928, 2365.
- 18 J. Mattay, J. Gersdorf and K. Buchkremer, *Chem. Ber.*, 1987, **120**, 307.
- 19 D. Blake, G. E. Coates and J. M. Tate, J. Chem. Soc., 1961, 618.

Paper 7/07609F Received 21st October 1997 Accepted 29th January 1998