Letter

Effect of weak hydrogen bonding and included solvent on the crystal structure of the square-planar complex trans-Pt{PPh₂(C₁₆H₁₅)}₂Cl₂

Priya Suman,^a Philip W. Dyer,^b Paul J. Dyson,^{*,a} Stuart L. James^a and Jonathan W. Steed^c

^{*a*} Centre for Chemical Synthesis, Department of Chemistry, Imperial College of Science, Technology and Medicine, South Kensington, London, UK SW7 2AY

b Department of Chamister The University of Leisester University Boald

^b Department of Chemistry, The University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, UK LE1 7RH

^c Department of Chemistry, King's College London, Strand, London, UK WC2R 2LS

Crystallisation of the square-planar complex *trans*-Pt{PPh₂($C_{16}H_{15}$)}₂Cl₂ from dichloromethane-diethyl ether (1:1) affords two different solvates; *trans*-Pt{PPh₂($C_{16}H_{15}$)}₂Cl₂ · CH₂Cl₂ 1 and *trans*-Pt{PPh₂($C_{16}H_{15}$)}₂Cl₂ · Et₂O 2; the CH₂Cl₂ forms H-bonding interactions with the complex whereas the Et₂O participates only in weak van der Waals interactions; these differences arise from the different hydrogenbonding characteristics of each solvent.

Scrutinising apparently weak intra- and inter-molecular interactions in solid-state structures can yield valuable information concerning the gross molecular and crystal structures and often provides an insight into unexpected structural motifs.¹ Ultimately, such studies may provide the experimentalist with adequate knowledge to design and engineer crystals with specific physical properties.² After the general steric demands imposed by molecules, one of the most important factors dictating structural motifs are hydrogen-bonding interactions.³ Some hydrogen bonds are relatively strong and many examples of this type are encountered in biological systems.⁴ Other hydrogen-bonding interactions are much weaker but they can still have a marked influence on structure.⁵ The presence of solvent molecules in crystals is clearly important in defining the precise structure but we are only aware of one deliberate study where a neutral inorganic complex has been crystallised with different solvent molecules giving rise to very different packing motifs.⁶ In this letter we report the molecular and of the inorganic complex transcrystal structures $Pt{PPh_2(C_{16}H_{15})}_2Cl_2$ containing different solvents. The unique aspect of this investigation is that the gross packing motifs of the two solvates is very similar, but subtle differences in the actual structures of the complexes are observed.

Reaction of two equivalents of diphenyl[2.2]paracyclophanylphosphine, rac-PPh₂(C₁₆H₁₅),[†] with cis-Pt(NC₅H₅)₂Cl₂ in dichloromethane at room temperature for 24 h affords trans-Pt{PPh₂(C₁₆H₁₅)}₂Cl₂ · CH₂Cl₂ 1 in ca. 85% yield after recrystallisation from dichloromethanediethyl ether (1 : 1).[‡] Crystals containing each solvate, CH₂Cl₂ (1) and Et₂O (2) were obtained and both have been analysed by single crystal X-ray diffraction.

Both solvates contain two independent molecules of trans-Pt{PPh₂(C₁₆H₁₅)}₂Cl₂, each molecule having essentially the same conformation, and a representative structure (from 2) is shown in Fig. 1. The similarity between the structures may be illustrated by a comparison of the key parameters in Table 1. The most notable features of trans-Pt{PPh₂(C₁₆H₁₅)}₂Cl₂ are firstly that it is a meso complex with one R and one S ligand. Secondly, the [2.2]paracyclophane substituents orientate into positions such that an outer-ring proton forms a long-range interaction with axial sites on the Pt centre to give a pseudooctahedral geometry [the distance C-H···Pt 2.78-2.90 Å] shown by the dotted lines in Fig. 1. Although this interaction is weak it is clearly very important in square-planar complexes carrying this phosphine ligand since it is also present in other examples,¹⁰ some yet to be published.

Other *intra*- and *inter*-molecular interactions are also present and these are shown in Fig. 2 and 3 for solvates 1 and

^{*} E-mail: p.dyson@ic.ac.uk

[†] rac-PPh₂(C₁₆H₁₅) is prepared from the dropwise addition of PPh₂Cl (0.77 g, 3.48 mmol) to LiC₁₆H₁₅ (0.74 g, 3.48 mmol) in diethyl ether (40 ml) at 0 °C with PPh₂Cl (0.77 g, 3.48 mmol) over 10 min followed by warming to room temperature for a further 3 h. The compound is isolated in *ca*. 60% yield after recrystallisation from hot ethanol.

[‡] trans-Pt{PPh₂(C₁₆H₁₅)}₂Cl₂. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) phenyl rings: δ 7.92 (m, 4H), 7.64 (m, 6H); paracyclophane: 6.84–6.41 (m, 7H, aromatic protons), 3.48–2.87 (m, 8H, methylene protons). ³¹P-{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ 19.56 ($J_{Pt-P} = 2574$ Hz). Mass spectrum (FAB⁺): m/z 1051 (M⁺).

^{||} Structural details for 1: $C_{58}H_{54}Cl_6P_2Pt$, M 1220.74 g mol⁻¹, triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$, a = 12.2171(6), $\bar{b} = 13.0694(8)$, c = 16.6592(8)Å, $\alpha = 79.094(2)$, $\beta = 82.943(2)$, $\gamma = 80.139(2)^\circ$. U = 2562.1(2) Å³, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, $\mu = 31.53$ cm⁻¹, T = 123 K, reflections measured: 21873, Z = 2, Z = 2 $Z = 2, \ \mu = 51.55 \text{ em}^2$, I = 125 k, reflections measured. 21637, unique data: 9334 ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.043$), parameters: 608, $R_1 [F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ 0.0494, wR_2 (all data) 0.1390. 2: $C_{60}H_{60}Cl_2OP_2Pt$, M 1125.01 g mol⁻¹, triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}, \ a = 10.9266(7), \ b = 13.3688(9),$ c = 17.8209(13) Å, $\alpha = 100.957(2), \ \beta = 98.816(2), \ \gamma = 95.460(2)^\circ$. U = 2504.7(3) Å³, $Z = 2, \ \mu = 30.13 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \ T = 100 \text{ K}, \text{ reflections}$ measured: 15464, unique data: 9167 ($R_{int} = 0.059$), parameters: 599, $R_1 [F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$ 0.0472, wR_2 (all data) 0.1294. Crystals were mounted using silicon grease on the end of a glass fibre and cooled on the diffractometer using an Oxford Cryostream. All crystallographic measurements were carried out with a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation using ϕ rotations with 2° frames and a detector-to-crystal distance of 25 mm. Unit cell determination and integration was carried out by the program DENZO-SMN.7 Data sets were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for the effects of absorption using the program Scalepack.⁷ Structures were solved using the direct methods option of SHELXS-97⁸ and developed using conventional alternating cycles of least squares refinement and difference Fourier synthesis (SHELXL-97⁷) with the aid of RES2INS.⁹ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, whilst hydrogen atoms were fixed in idealized positions and allowed to ride. Hydrogen atom thermal parameters were tied to those of the atom to which they were attached. CCDC reference number 440/068



Fig. 1 The structure of one of the two independent trans-Pt{PPh_2(C_{16}H_{15})}_2Cl_2 molecules from solvate 2

2, respectively. In the former structure there is no direct interaction between the two complexes although they are connected indirectly via the dichloromethane solvate. Indeed, the most dominant feature after the $Pt \cdot \cdot H$ interaction appears to be weak hydrogen bonding with the chlorides attached to the metal centres since these interactions are always present in square-planar complexes carrying diphenyl[2.2]paracyclophanylphosphine. The Pt(1)Cl₂ fragment interacts with the dichloromethane solvate (see Fig. 2) [Cl(1)···H(1s2) 2.60 Å] and the Pt(2)Cl₂ fragment interacts intra-molecularly with protons on the CH₂ linkers in the [2.2]paracyclophanyl group $[C1(2) \cdots H(35a) 2.77 \text{ Å}]$. The Cl atoms of the dichloromethane also form a hydrogen bond with a proton on the [2.2]paracyclophane ring on the other molecule $[Cl(3s) \cdots H(41) 2.82 \text{ Å}]$ thereby indirectly connecting the two platinum complexes. In contrast, 2, which contains diethyl ether solvate, does not show any interactions between the solvent and complexes, presumably because of the lower acidity of the C-H protons of diethyl ether relative to dichloromethane. Despite this, it can be seen from Fig. 3 that a related scenario exists for the $PtCl_2$ fragments with $Pt(1)Cl_2$ involved in intra-molecular interactions, albeit with phenyl ring protons [Cl(1)···H(24) 2.80 Å]. In Pt(2)Cl₂ these interactions are inter-molecular in nature. Two pseudo-geminal protons from the face-to-face rings of the [2.2]paracyclophanyl unit [Cl(2)···H(5) 2.91 and Cl(2)···H(10) 2.87 Å] are

Table 1A comparison of key bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for the
four molecules trans-Pt{PPh2($C_{16}H_{15}$)}2Cl2

$\begin{array}{l} 1 \\ Pt(1)-Cl(1) \\ Pt(1)-P(1) \\ Pt(1)\cdots H(10) \\ Cl(1)-Pt(1)-P(1) \end{array}$	2.3150(13)	Pt(2)-Cl(2)	2.3189(13)
	2.3355(14)	Pt(2)-P(2)	2.3386(15)
	2.84	$Pt(2)\cdots H(38)$	2.79
	87.99(5)	Cl(2)-Pt(2)-P(2)'	88.27(5)
2 Pt(1)-Cl(1) Pt(1)-P(1) Pt(1) \cdots H(14) Cl(1)-Pt(1)-P(1)	2.3131(16) 2.3319(16) 2.78 86.55(6)	Pt(2)-Cl(2) Pt(2)-P(2) $Pt(2)\cdots H(42)$ Cl(2)-Pt(2)-P(2)	2.3104(16) 2.3303(16) 2.90 87.33(6)



Fig. 2 The structure of 1 showing intra- and inter-molecular contacts

involved in this *inter*-molecular hydrogen bond. It is likely that the different types of weak interactions involving the two Cl ions give rise to the subtle differences in the actual structures of the four platinum complexes.

The presence of two independent molecules in squareplanar MP_2Cl_2 (M = Pd or Pt; P = phosphine) complexes is very rare and only three examples were found in the Cambridge Crystallographic Database.¹¹ This would suggest that the steric demands of this unusual asymmetric phosphine are responsible and appears to be a common trend for other palladium–diphenyl[2.2]paracyclophanylphosphine complexes. We are currently preparing related phosphines in which the [2.2]paracyclohanyl substituent is replaced by groups of similar size but with very different electronic properties such as metallocenes in an attempt to establish the extent to which electronic factors play a role in the crystal architecture.



Fig. 3 The structure of 2 showing intra- and inter-molecular contacts

Acknowledgements

We thank the Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship (P.J.D.) and the EPSRC (P.S.) for financial support. We also thank Johnson Matthey PLC for the loan of platinum chlorides. The EPSRC and King's College London are also thanked for the provision of the X-ray diffractometer and the Nuffield Foundation for the provision of computing equipment.

References

- 1 J. W. Steed, H. Hassaballa and P. C. Junk, Chem. Commun., 1998, 577.
- 2 (a) M. J. Zaworotko, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1994, 23, 283; (b) D. Braga, F. Grepioni and G. R. Desiraju, Chem. Rev., 1998, 98, 1375.
- 3 A. D. Burrows, C. W. Chan, M. M. Chowdhry, J. E. McGrady and D. M. P. Mingos, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1996, 329.

- 4 D. H. Williams and M. S. Westwell, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1998, 27, 57.
- 5 (a) G. R. Desiraju, Acc. Chem. Res., 1996, 29, 441; (b) T. Steiner, Cryst. Rev., 1996, 6, 1.
- 6 M. T. Ashby, Inorg. Chem., 1995, 34, 5429.
- 7 Z. Otwinowski and W. Minor, in *Methods in Enzymology*, ed. C. W. Carter and R. M. Sweet, Academic Press, London, 1996.
- 8 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELX-97, University of Göttingen, Göttingen, 1997.
- 9 L. J. Barbour, RES2INS, University of Missouri-Columbia, 1995–1998.
- 10 P. W. Dyer, P. J. Dyson, S. L. James, P. Suman, J. E. Davies and C. M. Martin, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1375.
- A. Tumas, J. C. Huang, P. E. Fanwick and C. P. Kubiak, Organometallics, 1992, 11, 2944; (b) C. M. DiMeglio, K. J. Ahmed, L. A. Luck, E. E. Weltin, A. L. Rheingold and C. H. Bushweller, J. Phys. Chem., 1992, 96, 8765.

Received 24th July, 1998; Letter 8/07483F