Isotopic Tracer Studies of Propane Reactions on H–ZSM5 Zeolite

Joseph A. Biscardi and Enrique Iglesia*

Department of Chemical Engineering, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720 Received: June 4, 1998; In Final Form: August 27, 1998

Reactions of ¹³C-labeled alkanes show that chain growth and cyclization reactions on H–ZSM5 require the initial formation of the corresponding alkene and its extensive participation in rapid oligomerization/ β -scission reactions before cyclization occurs. The role of alkene intermediates was established by the initial formation of predominantly unlabeled products from mixtures of propene and propane-2-¹³C reactants. Aromatic products of propane-2-¹³C reactants on H–ZSM5 contain similar fractions of ¹³C-atoms and binomial isotopomer distributions. Sequential formation, rapid intramolecular isomerization, and β -scission reactions of long surface chains must occur during each aromatization turnover in order to form such binomial ¹³C isotopomer distributions.

1. Introduction

In contrast with gas-phase pyrolysis pathways, which lead to tars and coke, non-oxidative catalytic reactions of light alkanes on H–ZSM5 form C_6-C_9 aromatics. The restricted channel environment in ZSM5^{1,2} and the ability of these catalysts to maintain a high hydrogen surface pressure during alkane reactions^{3,4} inhibit carbon formation and lead to their unique stability at the high temperatures and low H₂ concentration required for aromatization of alkanes. Light alkane chain growth pathways on zeolitic acids involve dehydrogenation, dimerization, cyclization, and aromatization steps, which proceed in parallel with acid-catalyzed and thermal cracking steps.^{5–7}

Numerous studies have addressed the mechanism of chain growth and cyclization during light alkane reactions with primary emphasis on measuring the effect of catalyst composition on reaction rate and selectivity.^{5–12} Here, we report direct evidence for the mechanistic sequence and for reactive intermediates using ¹³C isotopic tracer and exchange methods.

2. Experimental Conditions

2.1. Catalyst Preparation. Na⁺ ions in Na–ZSM5 (Zeochem) were replaced with NH₄⁺ by contacting the zeolite with a solution of 0.67 M NH₄NO₃ (Fisher, Certified ACS, >98.0%) at 353 K for 10 h. Solids were separated from the solution by filtering. The exchange procedure was repeated three times using fresh NH₄NO₃ solutions in order to ensure complete exchange of Na cations (residual Na content < 0.07% by elemental analysis). The catalyst samples were then dried in flowing air at 383 K for 20 h and calcined in flowing air at 773 K for 20 h in order to decompose NH₄⁺ to H⁺, which resulted in the acidic form of the zeolite (H–ZSM5). The elemental composition of all samples was obtained by atomic emission spectroscopy (Galbraith Laboratories). The atomic Si/Al ratio was 14.5 \pm 0.9.

2.2. Catalytic Reaction Studies. Kinetic studies on H–ZSM5 catalysts were performed in a gradientless recirculating reactor operated in batch mode. Chemical compositions of reaction products were measured as a function of reaction time and

reactant conversion. The reaction mixture was circulated using a graphite gear micropump (250 cm³ min⁻¹, Cole-Parmer) that allowed differential reactor operation ($\leq 2\%$ reactant conversion per pass). All propane reactions were carried out at 773 K.

The chemical composition of reaction mixtures was obtained using capillary column gas chromatography with flame ionization detection (Hewlett-Packard 5890, HP-1 methyl silicone column, 50 m, 0.32 mm diameter, 1.05 μ m thickness) and electron-impact mass spectrometry (Hewlett-Packard 5972 mass selective detector). ¹³C isotopomers were calculated from fragmentation patterns using mathematical models that correct for the mass spectral fragmentation and natural isotopic impurities.¹³

Propane (Matheson, chemical purity > 99.5%), propene (Matheson, chemical purity > 99.0%), ethene (Matheson, chemical purity > 99.0%), and propane- $2^{-13}C$ (Cambridge Isotopes, chemical purity > 98.0%, isotopic purity > 99.0%) were used as reactants without further purification. Helium (Linde, chemical purity > 99.995%) and hydrogen (Matheson, chemical purity > 99.5%) were purified by passage through oxygen and molecular sieve traps (13X and 5A) at room temperature. Helium was used as an inert diluent in most of the catalytic studies.

Propane conversion turnover rates are reported as the molar rate of propane conversion per g-atom Al in the catalyst charge. Batch reactor data are shown as product site yields (moles of product g-atom Al) or reactant turnovers (moles of C_3H_8 converted per g-atom Al) as a function of contact time. The slopes of these plots give propane conversion turnover rates or product site-time yields. Hydrocarbon selectivities are reported on a carbon basis as the percentage of the converted propane that appears as each reaction product. Hydrogen selectivities are reported as the percentage of the hydrogen atoms in the converted propane that appear within a given hydrocarbon product or as H₂.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Catalytic Reactions of Propane on H–ZSM5. On H–ZSM5, the initial products of propane conversion at 773 K are primarily propene, H_2 , and equimolar amounts of ethene and methane. Small amounts of ethane and aromatics are also

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: 510-642-4778. E-mail: iglesia@ cchem.berkeley.edu.



Figure 1. Ethene and propene selectivities during propane conversion on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 26.6 kPa C₃H₈, 74.7 kPa He).

 TABLE 1: Propane Product Distribution on H–ZSM5 (773

 K, 26.6 KPa C₃H₈, 74.7 KPa He, 24.9% Propane

 Conversion, 5.4 ks Contact Time)

	carbon selectivity (%)	hydrogen selectivity (%)
methane	22.4	33.6
ethane	11.8	13.3
ethene	16.8	12.6
propene	15.8	11.8
$C_4 - C_6$	20.4	19.2
C_6^+ aromatics	12.8	5.5
benzene	3.4	1.3
toluene	6.5	2.8
C_8^+	2.9	1.4
hydrogen (H ₂)		4.0

formed initially (<5%). The initial rate of methane formation $(7.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1})$ is faster than the initial rate of propene formation $(3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1})$. Ethene and propene are formed with high selectivity at low conversions and decrease with increasing conversion as they are converted to more stable products (e.g. aromatics, alkanes) (Figure 1). Ethene selectivity decreases faster than propene selectivity with increasing conversion. This may suggest that ethene converts to stable products faster than propene on H-ZSM5. This is not supported, however, by the individual turnover rates using strictly ethene or propene feeds. On H-ZSM5, propene conversion turnover rates $(15.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1})$ are faster than ethene conversion turnover rates $(2.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1})$. The ethene selectivity decreases faster because, at longer contact times, acid-catalyzed alkene oligomerization/ β -scission reactions control propane conversion selectivity. These oligomerization/ β -scission reactions are constrained by the zeolite pore structure and by the thermodynamics governing these alkene reactions. On H-ZSM5, Tabak et al.¹⁴ have shown that alkenes first polymerize on Bronsted acid sites to form dimers and trimers, followed by isomerization, β -scission, and further oligomerization. Thus, these alkene oligomerization/cracking reactions on Bronsted acid sites lead to a more rapid decrease in ethene selectivity during propane conversion because the formation of ethene, unlike propene, requires unstable primary carbocations.

At low conversions, aromatic products, consisting of a mixture of benzene, toluene, xylenes, and a low concentration of larger aromatics, form slowly. The predominant aromatic product of propane is not benzene, the expected product of *c* simple dimerization steps, but toluene. Aromatic concentrations increase with conversion because aromatics are secondary products of propane. At higher conversions, reactions of propane on H–ZSM5 lead to aromatics, alkenes, and alkanes with a broad molecular weight distribution (Table 1).

The ratio of 1-butene and isobutene to *cis*-2-butene and *trans*-2-butene on H–ZSM5 instantaneously reaches its equilibrium



Figure 2. Light alkane selectivities during propane conversion on H-ZSM5 (773 K, 26.6 kPa C_3H_8 , 74.7 kPa He).

SCHEME 1: Bimolecular Mechanism Involving Hydride Transfer to Adsorbed Carbenium Ions



SCHEME 2: Monomolecular Mechanism Involving Alkane Dehydrogenation and Then Adsorption of Alkenes on Bronsted Acid Sites



value (1.44).¹⁵ This indicates that double bond, cis/trans, and skeletal isomerizations occur readily and become quasiequilibrated steps on H–ZSM5 even at low conversions. Dihydrogen (H₂) selectivity remains low and independent of conversion on H–ZSM5 (4.0–6.0%), while light alkane (C₂ and C₄) selectivities increase with increasing propane conversion (Figure 2). Most of the adsorbed hydrogen species formed in dehydrogenation steps appear to transfer to carbonaceous surface species and form C₂ or C₄ alkanes, rather than recombining and desorbing into the gas phase as H₂. The C₂ and C₄ alkane selectivities increase with increasing conversion because of the higher abundance of hydrogen atoms on the surface as the rate of formation of aromatics increases with increasing contact time.

3.2. Mechanism of Propane Activation Reactions on Bronsted Acid Sites. It has been suggested that carbocations are the reactive surface intermediates in alkane reactions catalyzed by Bronsted acids.^{16,17} However, these surface intermediates may not be true carbocation species, but instead alkoxide species that retain cationic character only in activated complexes during chemical reactions.^{18–22}

Mechanistic proposals for light alkane reactions on acid catalysts include hydride transfer from the alkane to an adsorbed carbenium ion (Scheme 1),^{23–26} or protonation of alkenes, formed in preceding dehydrogenation steps to form a carbenium ion (Scheme 2),^{27–28} or direct interaction of the alkane with a proton to form a carbonium ion, followed by H₂ desorption to form a carbenium ion (Scheme 3).²⁶

The relative contributions of these mechanistic routes in the initial activation of propane on H–ZSM5 can be measured using reaction mixtures of propane and propene. Propane- $2^{-I_3}C$ ($^{12}CH_3-^{13}CH_2-^{12}CH_3$) was used as a reactant to distinguish between the propane fed initially and the propane formed from propene hydrogenation. The propane conversion rates were calculated from those products containing ^{13}C , which form only



Figure 3. Propane-2-¹³C turnovers versus contact time during propane conversion on H–ZSM5 with and without unlabeled propene (773 K, 6.67 kPa C_3H_8 -2-¹³C, 0–1.07 kPa C_3H_6 , balance He).

SCHEME 3: Monomolecular Mechanism Involving Direct Protonation of the Reacting Alkane



from the propane- $2^{-13}C$ in the feed. This propane/propene reaction mixture allows the measurements of true propane conversion rates during propene addition without contributions by the products of propene reactions. Bimolecular reaction pathways (Scheme 1) would lead to higher propane- $2^{-13}C$ activation turnover rates when propene is added to the propane- $2^{-13}C$ reactants; monomolecular propane activation pathways (Schemes 2 and 3) would be unaffected by the presence of propene.

Turnover rates for propane-2-¹³C conversion on H–ZSM5 did not increase when unlabeled propene was added (Figure 3), suggesting that, at propane partial pressures, propane forms reactive intermediates via monomolecular routes. The propane-2-¹³C turnover rate on H–ZSM5 is $6.1 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with pure propane and only $5.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ when unlabeled propene is present. These data rule out the possibility that trace amounts of alkenes could significantly influence the rate of propane conversion by providing C₃⁺ carbocations that activate propane in hydrogen-transfer bimolecular reactions.

The remaining question is how monomolecular pathways occur: by direct protonation of a propane molecule to form carbonium ions $(C_3H_9^+)$, which then decompose to $C_3H_7^+$ and H_2 (or $C_2H_5^+$ and CH_4)²⁶ or by independent dehydrogenation of alkanes on redox sites followed by gas-phase transfer of alkenes to protonic sites where they undergo conventional acid catalysis.^{27,28} Our studies support the suggestion of propane activation by a monomolecular route, but provide no direct evidence for distinguishing among these mechanisms on H–ZSM5.

3.3. Isotopic Tracer Studies of the Initial Formation of **Propene Reactive Intermediates.** The initial rate of propane dehydrogenation and the reactive nature of the propene formed were probed by measuring the chemical and isotopic composition of reaction products formed from reactions of unlabeled propene and propane-2- ^{13}C . The ^{13}C content in reaction products (extrapolated to zero conversion or contact time) provides a direct measure of the fraction of the products that formed directly from propane without requiring propene intermediates. Isotopic contents in products below that in C₃H₈-2- ^{13}C (0.33) would require contributions from unlabeled propene.



Figure 4. ¹³C content in propane, propene, benzene, toluene, and xylenes on H–ZSM5 using propene/propane- $2^{-13}C$ mixtures (773 K, 5.6 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-13}C$, 1.1 kPa C₃H₆, 94.6 kPa He).



Figure 5. Isotopomer distribution of benzene at different propane conversions (773 K, 5.6 kPa C_3H_8 -2-¹³C, 1.1 kPa C_3H_6 , 94.6 kPa He).

TABLE 2: Isotopomer Distribution of Benzene on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 5.6 KPa C_3H_8 -2-¹³C, 1.1 KPa C_3H_6 , 94.6 KPa He, at 1.6% Propane Conversion)

no. of ¹³ C in benzene	mole fraction	pathway to benzene
0	0.739	2 unlabeled propenes
1	0.237	1 unlabeled propene $+$ 1 labeled propane
2	0.024	2 labeled propanes

The initial benzene, toluene, and xylene products on H-ZSM5 are predominantly unlabeled, consistent with their direct formation from the unlabeled propene in the feed (Figure 4). The isotopic content in these products increases in parallel with that in propene, because they are formed from increasingly ¹³Cenriched propene. The ¹³C content in toluene and benzene is slightly lower than in propene because of the fast initial formation of unlabeled larger alkenes, which are diluted slowly with time as the products of the enriched propane reactant begin to contribute to the observed products. The ¹³C fraction is similar in all aromatic products, suggesting that they all form from a common pool of reactive intermediates derived from similar precursors. Additionally, the fraction of ¹³C within each of these aromatic products (e.g. benzene) follows a binomial distribution as the reaction proceeds (Figure 5), which indicates that C-C bonds are broken and re-formed many times in each precursor species in the time required for the formation of an aromatic molecule. The ¹³C distribution in the initial benzene product is shown in Table 2.

At higher conversions (and contact times) the ¹³C fractions in ethene and butene products are very similar to those in propene (Figure 6). However, the initial ¹³C content in ethene is higher than in propene, suggesting that some of the ethene forms initially via direct cracking of labeled propane. The initial ¹³C fraction in ethene suggests that 38% of the ethene forms



Figure 6. ¹³C content in reaction products of propene/propane- $2^{-l^3}C$ mixtures on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 5.6 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-l^3}C$, 1.1 kPa C₃H₆, 94.6 kPa He).

TABLE 3: ¹³C Distribution in Ethene on H–ZSM5 at 1.6% Propane Conversion (773 K, 5.6 KPa C_3H_8 -2-¹³C, 1.1 KPa C_3H_6 , 94.6 KPa He)

no. of ¹³ C in ethene	mole fraction	percent from origin molecule
0	0.81	61.6% from unlabeled propene
1	0.19	38.4% from labeled propane
2	0.00	

from the direct cracking of labeled propane (Table 3). The initial higher ¹³C content in butene than in propene shows that butene is formed from both ethene dimerization and from propene via chain growth and subsequent cracking, hence a ¹³C content between both ¹³C contents in ethene and propene.

From the initial unlabeled products and the dilution of these products as the reaction proceeds, reactions of propane- $2^{-13}C$ and propene indicate that propene is a reactive intermediate during propane conversion on H–ZSM5. These data give evidence for initial propane dehydrogenation and propane cracking but provide limited information about the chain growth of these alkene intermediates.

3.4. Formation and Scission of Carbon–Carbon Bonds. Reactions of positionally labeled alkanes can be used to study the mechanism of cyclization reactions.^{29–31} Specifically, the analysis of the content and location of ¹³C in the products of propane-2-¹³C reactions can establish the reaction pathways required for the conversion of propene intermediates to stable aromatic products. For example, a simple cyclization of two propene-2-¹³C molecules formed from propane-2-¹³C would lead to benzene-1,4-¹³C in the absence of intramolecular scrambling. In contrast, the formation and expected intramolecular isomerization of large chains as adsorbed alkoxide or cationic intermediates would lead to products with a random number and location of ¹³C-atoms.

Disappointingly, all information about the location and content of ¹³C-atoms in the propane-2-¹³C is lost during an aromatization turnover on H-ZSM5. Even at low conversion, all aromatic products of propane-2-13C reactions on H-ZSM5 show a binomial distribution of ¹³C-atoms, instead of the single isotopomer expected from propene dimerization and hexene cyclization (Figures 7-9). This binomial isotopomer distribution suggests that propane aromatization on H-ZSM5 involves rapid chain lengthening and shortening reactions and intramolecular methyl shifts, which break and re-form every C-C bond many times in the time required for an aromatic turnover. Such a turnover requires the formation of C_6 or larger molecules, a process that involves oligomerization reactions, but also a significant number of β -scission and methyl shift events. These oligometization, β -scission and isometization reactions quickly establish an alkene mixture that is independent of the size of



Figure 7. ¹³C distribution in benzene formed from propane- $2^{-13}C$ on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-13}C$, 94.6 kPa He).



Figure 8. ¹³C distribution in toluene formed from propane-2-¹³C on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C_3H_8 -2-¹³C, 94.6 kPa He).



Figure 9. ¹³C distribution in xylenes formed from propane- $2^{-13}C$ on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-13}C$, 94.6 kPa He).

the alkene reactants.^{32,33} Both chain length and methyl group position appear to reach equilibrium values. The broad carbon number distribution within aromatic products of propane reactions on H–ZSM5 (Table 1) is also consistent with extensive C–C bond formation and cleavage during aromatization reactions. These fast reactions lead to similar average ¹³C content and isotopomer distributions in all aromatic products, suggesting that these aromatic products form from a common pool of gasphase and adsorbed alkenes.

The 2-butene product shows a binomial distribution of 13 C on H–ZSM5 (Figure 10). This distribution suggests that even at very low propane conversion (<6%) most of the carbons in 2-butene have undergone extensive C–C bond breaking and making during oligomerization/cracking cycles, thus



Figure 10. ¹³C distribution in 2-butene formed from propane- $2^{-13}C$ on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-13}C$, 94.6 kPa He).



Number of ¹³C in ethene

Figure 11. ¹³C distribution in ethene formed from propane- $2^{-l_3}C$ on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-l_3}C$, 94.6 kPa He, at 5.9, 11.7, and 35.7% propane conversion).



Figure 12. ¹³C distribution in ethane formed from propane- $2^{-l^3}C$ on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-l^3}C$, 94.6 kPa He, at 5.9, 11.7, and 35.7% propane conversion).

producing a nearly binomial 2-butene $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ isotopomer distribution.

At low conversions, ethene and ethane ¹³C distributions are not binomial and contain mostly one ¹³C (Figures 11 and 12). Initially, ethene is formed primarily from the direct cracking of propane-2-¹³C and not from oligomerization/cracking cycles, which would lead to a binomial ¹³C distribution in ethene. However, as conversion increases, ethene approaches a binomial ¹³C distribution, indicating that ethene is mostly formed from alkene cycles that break and re-form C–C bonds. Reactions of propane-2-¹³C/propene mixtures, described in section 3.3, suggested that only a fraction of the initial ethene product came from direct cracking of propane-2-¹³C; this discrepancy arose because, with the addition of propene, a higher abundance of



Figure 13. ¹³C distribution in propene formed from propane- $2^{-l_3}C$ on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C₃H₈- $2^{-l_3}C$, 94.6 kPa He, at 5.9, 11.7, and 35.7% propane conversion).



Figure 14. ¹³C distribution in unreacted propane-2-¹³C on H–ZSM5 (773 K, 6.7 kPa C_3H_8 -2-¹³C, 94.6 kPa He, at 5.9, 11.7, and 35.7% propane conversion).

larger alkenes (from propene) are present at similar propane conversions. These large alkenes crack to dilute the ¹³C-ethene derived from propane-2-¹³C cracking, thus lowering the percentage of ethene formed from direct propane cracking at similar propane conversion. The ethene ¹³C enrichment from Figure 9, extrapolated to zero contact time, agrees with the fraction of ¹³C in ethene derived from pure propane-2-¹³C feeds.

Similar to the ethene distribution, ethane initially contains mostly one ¹³C at low conversions (Figure 12). As conversion increases, the ethane isotopic distribution closely resembles that of ethene, suggesting that during propane conversion on H–ZSM5, ethane is formed by direct hydrogenation of ethene using H-atoms formed in C–H bond activation steps.

The initial propene ¹³C distribution formed on \dot{H} –ZSM5 is also not binomially distributed and contains mostly one ¹³C from the direct dehydrogenation of propane-2-^{*13*}C (Figure 13). As conversion increases, propene begins to acquire a binomial ¹³C distribution and forms increasingly in alkene cycles. The propane ¹³C distribution does not change much during reaction, up to about 35% conversion (Figure 14). It slowly begins to acquire multiple ¹³C atoms, primarily via hydrogenation of ¹³C scrambled propene, but, even at 35% propane conversion, the propane still contains >87% of the singly-labeled isotopomer suggesting that propane dehydrogenation/hydrogenation steps are not equilibrated.

3.5. Mechanism of Propane Aromatization on H–ZSM5 Zeolites. Propane reaction pathways have been extensively discussed in the literature primarily on the basis of observed effects of catalyst composition on reaction rate and selectivity.^{5–12} We have obtained more direct evidence for the sequence of steps

SCHEME 4. Reaction Network for Propane Conversion on H-ZSM5



using isotopic tracer and exchange methods. These methods have established the nature of individual reaction steps in this reaction sequence.

The reaction network shown in Scheme 4 is consistent with our results and with previous studies of acid-catalyzed reactions.^{5,6} Propene, initially formed via a dehydrogenation of propane, and ethene, initially formed from propane cracking, undergo rapid acid-catalyzed chain growth reactions within zeolite channels by oligomerization or by addition to C_3^+ carbocations formed directly from propane. These surface species undergo rapid methyl shift isomerization reactions; as the chain lengthens, β -scission reactions become faster and ultimately establish a pool of carbocation species and alkene intermediates with a broad size distribution. These alkene intermediates continue to undergo hydrogenation-dehydrogenation reactions, commonly described as hydrogen transfer, in which light alkenes accept hydrogen to form alkanes. C_6^+ alkenes tend to cyclize and further dehydrogenate to kinetically stable and thermodynamically favored aromatic products. Cracking of larger chains also occurs with the concomitant removal of hydrogen by its addition to light alkene products of cracking reactions. Propane aromatization on H-ZSM5 occurs via a complex sequence of dehydrogenation, oligomerization, β -scission, and cyclization steps (Scheme 4).

4. Conclusions

The results from the isotopic ¹³C labeling studies provide additional evidence for the propane reaction pathways suggested by previous researchers.^{5–7} These isotopic results suggest that propane aromatization occurs on H-ZSM5 by a complex reaction sequence, with a first step consisting of propane dehydrogenation to propene or propane cracking to ethene and methane (confirmed by reactions of propene/propane-2- ^{13}C mixtures). Reactions of propane- $2^{-13}C$ show that chain growth on H-ZSM5 involves rapid alkene oligomerization/cracking cycles. Stable products, such as aromatics, alkanes, and H₂, exit this cycle via cyclization reactions and hydrogen transfer or desorption pathways (Scheme 4). These alkene reactions are necessary to explain the distribution of aromatic products. Products of propane-2-13C reactions on H-ZSM5 contain binomial distributions of ¹³C-atoms. Benzene products contain one to five ¹³C-atoms, instead of the two ¹³C-atoms expected from direct propene cyclodimerization. Reactions involve rapid oligomerization/cracking cycles of intermediate alkenes; such reactions break and re-form every C-C bond many times during one aromatization turnover. Rapid oligomerization/cracking cycles and intervening methyl shifts lead to the same ¹³C content and to binomial ¹³C distributions in all aromatic products.

Along with aromatics, a small amount of hydrogen (H_2) is also formed as a result of the recombinative desorption of hydrogen adsorbed on the zeolite surface. However, on H–ZSM5, the major hydrogen disposal pathway is by hydrogentransfer reactions, which lead to the use of carbonaceous intermediates as stoichiometric sinks for the removal of the hydrogen species formed in sequential dehydrogenation steps.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant CTS-96-13632) and by University of California startup funds. We acknowledge the technical assistance of Mr. Joseph E. Baumgartner (Exxon Research and Engineering Co.) in the design of the microreactor and in the development of protocols for chemical and isotopic analyses of reaction products.

References and Notes

(1) Chen, N. Y.; Garwood, W. E. J. Catal. 1978, 52, 453.

(2) Anderson, J. R.; Foger, K.; Mole, T.; Rajadhyaksha, R. A.; Sanders, J. V. J. Catal. 1979, 58, 114.

(3) Iglesia, E.; Baumgartner, J. E.; Price, G. L. J. Catal. 1992, 134, 549.

(4) Iglesia, E.; Baumgartner, J. E.; Meitzner, G. D. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Congress on Catalysis*; Guczi, L., Solymosi, F., Tetenyi, P., Eds.; Elsevier: Budapest, 1992.

(5) Guisnet, M.; Gnep, N. S.; Aittaleb, D.; Doyemet, J. Y. Appl. Catal. 1992, 87, 255.

- (6) Guisnet, M.; Gnep, N. S.; Alario, F. Appl. Catal. 1992, 89, 1.
- (7) Giannetto, G.; Monque, R.; Galiasso, R. Catal. Rev. 1994, 36, 271.
- (8) Kitagawa, H.; Sendoda, Y.; Ono, Y. J. Catal. 1986, 101, 12.

(9) Yashima, T.; Sasaki, T.; Takahashi, K.; Watanabe, S.; Namba, S. Sekiyu Gakkaishi 1988, 31, 154.

(10) Ono, Y.; Kanae, K. J. Chem. Soc.-Faraday Trans. 1991, 87, 669.
(11) Kwak, B. S.; Sachtler, W. M.; Haag, W. O. J. Catal. 1994, 149, 465.

(12) Engelen, C.; Wolthuizen, J. P.; van Hooff, J. H. Appl. Catal. 1985, 19, 153.

(13) Price, G. L.; Iglesia, E. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 1989, 28, 839.

(14) Tabak, S. A.; Krambeck, F. J.; Garwood, W. E. AIChe J. 1986, 32, 1526.

(15) Stull, D. R.; Westrum, E. F.; Sinke, G. C. *The Chemical Thermodynamics of Organic Compounds*; Robert E. Keieger Publishing Co.: Malabar, FL, 1987.

(16) Thomas, C. L. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1949, 41, 2564.

(17) Greensfelder, B. S.; Voge, H.; Good, G. M. Ind. Eng. Chem. 1949, 41, 2573.

(18) Kazansky, V. B.; Senchenya, I. N. J. Catal. 1989, 119, 108.

(19) Kazansky, V. B.; Senchenya, I. N. J. Mol. Catal. 1992, 74, 257.

(20) Kazansky, V. B.; Senchenya, I. N.; Frash, M.; van Santen, R. A.

Catal. Lett. 1994, 27, 345.

(21) Kazansky, V. B.; Frash, M. V.; van Santen, R. A. Catal. Lett. 1994, 28, 211.

- (22) Lercher, J. A.; van Santen, R. A.; Vinek, H. Catal. Lett. 1994, 27, 91.
- (23) Abbot, J.; Wojciechowski, B. W. Can. J. Chem. Eng. 1985, 63, 462.
- (24) Corma, A.; Planelles, J.; Sanchez-Marin, J.; Tomas, F. J. Catal. 1985, 93, 30.

(25) Gates, B. C.; Katzer, J. R.; Schuit, G. C. *Chemistry of Catalytic Processes*; McGraw-Hill: New York, 1979.

(26) Haag, W. O.; Dessau, R. In *Proceedings of the 8th International Congress on Catalysis*; Dechema: Berlin, 1984; Vol. 305.

- (27) Mills, G.; Heinemann, H.; Miliken, T.; Oblad, A. Ind. Eng. Chem. **1953**, 45, 134.
- (28) Sommer, J.; Habermacher, D.; Hachoumy, M.; Jost, R.; Reynaud, A. Appl. Catal. **1996**, *146*, 193.
- (29) Iglesia, E.; Baumgartner, J. E.; Price, G. L.; Robbins, J. L.; Rose,
 K. D. J. Catal. 1990, 125, 95.
 - (30) Davis, B. H.; Venuto, P. B. J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 337.
 - (31) Price, G. L.; Egedy, G. R. J. Catal. 1983, 84, 461.
- (32) Garwood, W. E. Prepr.-Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Pet. Chem. 1982, 27, 563.
 - (33) Garwood, W. E. ACS Symp. Ser. 1983, 218, 383.