

A Cyclopropenethione-Phosphine Ligation for Rapid Biomolecule Labeling

R. David Row[†] and Jennifer A. Prescher^{*,†,‡,§}

[†]Departments of Chemistry, [‡]Molecular Biology & Biochemistry, and [§]Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, Irvine, California 92697, United States

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Cyclopropenethiones are reported as new bioorthogonal reagents. These motifs react readily with substituted phosphines to provide thiocarbonyl adducts. In some cases, the ligations are >300-fold faster than analogous reactions with bioorthogonal cyclopropenones. Dialkyl cyclopropenethiones are also stable in aqueous buffers and can be used for biomolecule labeling in vitro and in cell lysate. The



rapid reactivity and biocompatibility of cyclopropenethiones suggest that they will be useful probes for cellular studies.

B ioorthogonal chemistries have enabled a broad range of applications in living organisms,^{1,2} including biomolecule imaging,³ metabolic profiling,^{4–6} and targeted drug delivery.^{7,8} Despite their ubiquity in numerous fields, these reactions are not without limitation. Only a handful of bioorthogonal reagents are reliable in the most demanding environments, including inside cells. Additionally, many bioorthogonal probes cross-react with one another, precluding dual imaging and other multicomponent studies.⁹ These and other applications demand new reagents and new reactions.

Our laboratory has focused on expanding the scope of bioorthogonal chemistry by developing probes that are small, stable, and tunable.¹⁰ We recently reported one such class of reagents, cyclopropenones.^{11,12} These motifs are stable in biological media and react robustly with ortho-substituted phosphines. The ligation involves initial formation of a reactive ketene-ylide, followed by intramolecular trapping (Figure 1A). The resulting products are stable in cellular environments. The unique mechanism of the cyclopropenone-phosphine ligation renders it compatible with many classic bioorthogonal reagents.¹² Cyclopropenones are also small and innocuous to cellular enzymes and metabolic pathways.¹² Dialkyl-substituted scaffolds, in particular, are well suited for time intensive studies. We used these probes in long-term cultures for sitespecific protein modification.¹² The most stable cyclopropenones, though, required lengthy reaction times with bioorthogonal phosphines. Faster rates could be achieved with monosubstituted scaffolds, but these probes were more susceptible to side reactions with biological nucleophiles.

We hypothesized that cyclopropenone heteroanalogs could strike the right balance between kinetic stability and rapid reactivity. We were particularly drawn to cyclopropenethione (CpS) scaffolds. CpS differs from cyclopropenone (CpO) by only a single atom and would thus likely be small enough to avoid perturbing target biomolecules or pathways. CpS is also known to participate in a variety of transformations, including photocleavage reactions^{13,14} and ring-opening cycloaddi-



Figure 1. Bioorthogonal ligations of cyclopropenones and cyclopropenethiones. (A) Cyclopropenones (CpO, X = O) react with phosphines to form ketene-ylides. These intermediates can be trapped with pendant nucleophiles to afford stable adducts. Cyclopropenethiones (CpS, X = S) were hypothesized to react similarly with phosphine probes. (B) CpS scaffolds harbor lower LUMO energies than analogous CpO probes. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed with Spartan, using the B3LYP level of theory and basis set 6-31G*.

tions.^{15,16} Previous work by Yoneda and Matsumura further revealed that triarylphosphines can react with diphenyl CpS via nucleophilic addition to the alkene.^{17,18} Based on this precedent, we surmised that CpS derivatives could react with substituted phosphines (similar to CpO probes), ultimately providing thiocarbonyl products (Figure 1A). Thiocarbonyls are widely used in fluorescence quenching and other

Received: July 21, 2018

biophysical experiments with proteins,^{19,20} suggesting that they too are stable and biocompatible.^{21,22} Thioamides, in particular, are known to exhibit improved proteolytic stabilities and can increase peptide half-lives in biological media.²³

To evaluate the proposed phosphine ligation, we first examined the frontier molecular orbitals of CpS derivatives. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations revealed that the LUMO values for CpS scaffolds were consistently lower than those for analogous CpO molecules (Figure 1B). The reduced LUMO values suggested the potential for increased reactivity with phosphines. Whether or not CpS molecules would also be susceptible to rapid reactions with thiols or other biological nucleophiles remained to be determined.

We synthesized a panel of CpS compounds to examine their suitability as bioorthogonal reagents. A symmetric dialkyl CpS (3a) was included for ease of product characterization. We also prepared scaffolds bearing aryl substituents (3b-c) and water-solubilizing groups (3d). All of the desired cyclopropene-thiones were prepared via the corresponding cyclopropenoes (2a-d, Scheme 1). Alkynes 1a-d were first treated with





difluorocarbene to generate 3,3-difluorocyclopropenes.²⁴ These intermediates were then hydrolyzed to provide 2a-d. The desired cyclopropenethiones were ultimately obtained by treating 2a-d with Lawesson's reagent. We also attempted to prepare monosubstituted CpS probes. However, these scaffolds were not stable to concentration (data not shown), and were likely subject to intermolecular attack.

With the CpS scaffolds in hand, we first evaluated their stabilities in aqueous solution. Compounds 3b-d were incubated in *d*-PBS (pH 7.4) and monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figures S1–S3). Probes 3b and 3d were stable for >1 week at 37 °C. The diaryl scaffold 3c degraded, resulting in an insoluble and unidentified precipitate. CpS 3c may be susceptible to dimerization or intermolecular reactivity over prolonged time periods.^{13,25} The stabilities of 3b and 3d toward biological nucleophiles were further examined. The molecules were incubated with L-glutathione (GSH) in *d*-PBS (pH 7.4) and monitored via ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Figures 2 and S4–S5). No reactivity was observed over 24 h at 37 °C, indicating that both scaffolds were stable to thiols.

Encouraged by the biocompatibility of dialkyl CpS scaffolds, we investigated their reactivities with a panel of phosphines. Symmetric analog **3a** was initially used to determine the structure of the ligated adduct. When **3a** was incubated with phosphine **4**, thionoester **S5** was formed in high yield (Scheme **S1**). The expected thionoester was also observed upon treating **3d** with phosphine **4**. Importantly, this latter reaction proceeded ~300-fold faster than the analogous CpOphosphine ligation (Scheme 2 and Figure S6). Such rapid reactions are desirable for live cell and tissue studies, where only small amounts of reagents are typically tolerated. By



Figure 2. Cyclopropenethiones are stable to L-glutathione (GSH) at physiological pH. Cyclopropenethione 3d (5 mM) was incubated with GSH (5 mM) in *d*-PBS (pH 7.4) and monitored by ¹H NMR spectroscopy.





contrast, CpS **3d** reacted sluggishly with thiol-substituted phosphine **5**. The reduced rate may be attributed to increased steric congestion at the reactive center or decreased phosphine nucleophilicity.^{12,26} Dithioester products were also initially detected in the reaction of **3d** with **5** (via NMR spectroscopy), but complex mixtures ultimately formed. It is likely that the dithioesters reacted further with CpS itself.

To form more stable thioamides, we evaluated CpS reactivity with amine-substituted phosphine 6. This probe did not react with 3d, though, even at elevated concentrations. Similarly poor reactivity was observed with the analogous CpO probe.¹² Rapid CpO-phosphine ligations (in organic solvents) require hydrogen-bond activation (Figure S8). Thus, we hypothesized that stronger hydrogen-bond donors could boost reactivity with CpS probes. Indeed, when phosphine 7 (bearing a nitro group *para* to the amine) was incubated with 3d, ligation products were observed within a few hours. The lowered pK_a of the pendant amine promotes reactivity, despite deactivating the phosphine via inductive effects. More nucleophilic alkylphosphine probes also enabled efficient production of thioamides and thionoesters (Table 1 and Figure S9). Surprisingly, 3d reacted slower with phosphine 8 than phosphine 4. The opposite trend was observed with CpO analogs.¹² It is possible that the CpS ligation is more dependent on initial hydrogen-bond activation. These data further imply that CpO and CpS, despite their structural similarity, might be useful probes for orthogonal labeling applications.

The reactivity and stability profiles of CpS probes suggested that dialkyl variants would be suitable for biomolecule labeling. To assess the efficiency of the CpS-phosphine ligation in this context, we performed reactions on a model protein. Hen egg-

Table 1. Cyclopropenethione Reactivity with Phosphine Probes



^{*a*}Products were not stable to isolation conditions. ^{*b*}Multiple addition products were observed (see Figure S7).

white lysozyme was nonspecifically functionalized with dialkyl CpS motifs. Mass spectrometry revealed 1–5 CpS units were appended to the protein surface (Figures 3 and S10). The derivatized protein (Lys-CpS) was then treated with phosphine-biotin probe 9. This reagent was previously used to tag CpO-protein conjugates.¹² Based on reactivity data from above , we anticipated that 9 could also efficiently ligate CpS-modified proteins to provide stable thioamide products. Indeed, rapid and quantitative conversion to the expected adducts was observed (Figures 3B and S11). The resulting conjugates were stable for >1 week at 37 °C (Figure S12). Additionally, minimal phosphine oxidation was observed upon product formation, consistent with previous reports on CpO reactivity.^{11,12}

Reactions with CpS scaffolds were also faster than those carried out with analogous cyclopropenones. Lysozyme samples functionalized with CpO or CpS (Lys-CpO or Lys-CpS, respectively) were prepared as above. Mass spectrometry confirmed that both conjugates were modified to a similar extent (Figure S10). The proteins were then treated with phosphine 9 and analyzed via Western blot. As shown in Figure 3C, shorter reaction times and lower phosphine concentrations were required to achieve robust labeling with Lys-CpS. Adducts were observed within minutes, and reactions were complete by 30 min. By contrast, reactions with Lys-CpO required \sim 1 h to observe initial covalent adducts.

We further examined the CpS-phosphine ligation in a more complex setting. Probes compatible with cells and intracellular environments are often in high demand.^{1,27} To mimic the cellular milieu, **Lys-CpS** was incubated with freshly prepared cell lysate (Figure 3D). The samples were then treated with phosphine 9 and analyzed via Western blot as described above.



Figure 3. Cyclopropenethione conjugates are readily ligated *in vitro* and in cell lysate. (A) Lys-CpS samples were treated with a phosphine-biotin probe. (B) Lys-CpS (20 μ M, 1–5 modifications) was incubated with phosphine-biotin probe 9 (500 μ M), and the reaction was monitored by ESI mass spectrometry. Deconvoluted mass spectra are shown. Full conversion to the expected products (Lys-Phos, 1–5 modifications) was observed. (C) Lys-CpS and Lys-CpO (20 μ M) were reacted with phosphine 9 (50 μ M) for 0–60 min at 37 °C. Covalent adducts were detected via Western blot with streptavidin staining (top). Coomassie staining was used to assess protein loading (bottom). (D) Lys-CpS (20 μ M) was incubated with 9 (1 mM) in bacterial lysate for 1 h at 37 °C. Covalent adducts were detected via Western blot with streptavidin staining (top). Coomassie staining was used to assess protein loading (bottom). *Protein impurities present in commercial lysozyme stock.

Rapid and selective labeling was observed after just 1 h. Larger concentrations of 9 were required to achieve robust ligation, likely due to competing phosphine oxidation pathways. The lack of background reactivity observed, though, demonstrates

that CpS-phosphine ligations proceed with high selectivity even in complex lysate.

In summary, we found that substituted cyclopropenethiones are viable bioorthogonal reagents. These scaffolds are stable in aqueous buffers and in the presence of biological nucleophiles. Cyclopropenethiones differ from cyclopropenones by a single atom, but react ~300-fold faster with some phosphines. Biocompatible probes with improved reaction rates are welcome additions to the bioorthogonal toolkit. CpSphosphine ligations also proceed readily in biological buffers and cell lysate. Future experiments will examine compatibilities with live cells. Cyclopropenethiones are subject to react with strong electrophiles and may require further tuning for successful application in some environments. Cyclopropenethiones also exhibit distinct reactivity profiles compared to other bioorthogonal reagents, including cyclopropenones, suggesting opportunities for multicomponent studies. An expanded scope of bioorthogonal reactivity will enable new pursuits in a variety of settings.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b02296.

Experimental details, spectroscopic data for new compounds, and additional images (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: jpresche@uci.edu.

ORCID

Jennifer A. Prescher: 0000-0002-9250-4702

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the U.S. National Institutes of Health (R01 GM126226 to J.A.P.) and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation (J.A.P.). R.D.R. was supported by an NSF Graduate Research Fellowship. We thank the Heyduk, Nowick, and Chamberlin laboratories for providing reagents and equipment. We also acknowledge Philip Dennison (UCI) for assistance with NMR experiments, Benjamin Katz (UCI) and Felix Grün (UCI) for assistance with mass spectrometry experiments, and members of the Prescher laboratory for manuscript edits and helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

(1) Patterson, D. M.; Nazarova, L. A.; Prescher, J. A. ACS Chem. Biol. 2014, 9, 592.

- (2) Lang, K.; Chin, J. W. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 4764.
- (3) Peng, T.; Hang, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 14423.

(4) Prescher, J. A.; Dube, D. H.; Bertozzi, C. R. Nature 2004, 430, 873.

(5) Alvarez-Castelao, B.; Schanzenbächer, C. T.; Hanus, C.; Glock, C.; tom Dieck, S.; Dörrbaum, A. R.; Bartnik, I.; Nassim-Assir, B.; Ciirdaeva, E.; Mueller, A.; Dieterich, D. C.; Tirrell, D. A.; Langer, J. D.; Schuman, E. M. Nat. Biotechnol. **201**7, 35, 1196.

- (6) Elliott, T. S.; Townsley, F. M.; Bianco, A.; Ernst, R. J.; Sachdeva, A.; Elsässer, S. J. E. A.; Davis, L.; Lang, K.; Pisa, R.; Greiss, S.; Lilley, K. S.; Chin, J. W. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *32*, 465.
- (7) Versteegen, R. M.; Rossin, R.; ten Hoeve, W.; Janssen, H. M.; Robillard, M. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2013**, *52*, 14112.
- (8) Mejia Oneto, J. M.; Khan, I.; Seebald, L.; Royzen, M. ACS Cent. Sci. 2016, 2, 476.
- (9) Patterson, D. M.; Prescher, J. A. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2015, 28, 141.
- (10) Row, R. D.; Prescher, J. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 1073.
- (11) Shih, H.-W.; Prescher, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 10036.
 (12) Row, R. D.; Shih, H.-W.; Alexander, A. T.; Mehl, R. A.;

Prescher, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 7370. (13) Singh, S.; Bhadbhade, M. M.; Venkatesan, K.; Ramamurthy, V. J. Org. Chem. 1982, 47, 3550.

- (14) Singh, S.; Ramamurthy, V. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 3732.
- (15) Niu, B.; Jiang, B.; Yu, L.-Z.; Shi, M. Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 1267.
- (16) Potts, K. T.; Baum, J. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1973, 0, 833.
- (17) Yoneda, S.; Ozaki, K.; Inoue, T.; Sugimoto, A.; Yanagi, K.; Minobe, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 5801.
- (18) Matsumura, N.; Tanaka, H.; Yagyu, Y.; Mizuno, K.; Inoue, H.; Takada, K.; Yasui, M.; Iwasaki, F. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 163.
- (19) Walters, C. R.; Szantai-Kis, D. M.; Zhang, Y.; Reinert, Z. E.; Horne, W. S.; Chenoweth, D. M.; Petersson, E. J. *Chem. Sci.* 2017, *8*, 2868.

(20) Choudhary, A.; Raines, R. T. *ChemBioChem* 2011, *12*, 1801.
(21) Batjargal, S.; Wang, Y. J.; Goldberg, J. M.; Wissner, R. F.;

- Petersson, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9172.
- (22) Wissner, R. F.; Batjargal, S.; Fadzen, C. M.; Petersson, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 6529.

(23) Chen, X.; Mietlicki-Baase, E. G.; Barrett, T. M.; McGrath, L. E.; Koch-Laskowski, K.; Ferrie, J. J.; Hayes, M. R.; Petersson, E. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 16688.

(24) Wang, F.; Luo, T.; Hu, J.; Wang, Y.; Krishnan, H. S.; Jog, P. V.; Ganesh, S. K.; Prakash, G. K. S.; Olah, G. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 7153.

(25) Schönberg, A.; Mamluk, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 12, 4993.
(26) Soellner, M. B.; Nilsson, B. L.; Raines, R. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2006, 128, 8820.

(27) Shih, H.-W.; Kamber, D. N.; Prescher, J. A. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2014, 21, 103.