Reactions involving Deamination of Isoindole Adducts with Acetylenic Dienophiles

By L. J. Kricka and J. M. Vernon*

(Department of Chemistry, The University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD)

Summary The formation of deaminated products is described from anomalous reactions of some isoindoles and 1,4-dihydro-1,4-iminonaphthalene derivatives with benzyne and with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate.

The recent report¹ of the stepwise addition of dimethyl acetylene dicarboxylate (DMAC) to 1,3,4,7-tetramethyliso-indole (1a) giving 1:1 and 2:1 adducts, (2a) and (3a), excited our interest in view of its relation to our work on isoindoles and to earlier studies of the 2:1 adduct from the acetylenic ester and N-methylpyrrole.² We have independently prepared and characterised† analogous 1:1 adducts (2b—d) and 2:1 adducts (3e and 3f) from DMAC and the corresponding isoindoles (1b—f), although we were unable to isolate the intermediates (2e and 2f). We report a different type of reaction of the 1:1 adducts in which the group NR² is lost from the molecule.

Compound (2b) with the acetylenic ester at 110—120° failed to give the expected product (3b), but instead the

naphthalenedicarboxylic ester (4a) (33%), the structure of which was confirmed by degradation to 1,4,5,8-tetramethylnaphthalene (4b). This unexpected deamination is induced by DMAC, as the adduct (2b) is unchanged when heated alone at 110° or even briefly at 300°. The adducts (2c and d) were recovered in high yield after treatment with DMAC in similar conditions, and formation of the adducts (3c and 3d) and the naphthalene derivative (4a) could not be detected. However, the tetrachlorobenzyne-N-methylpyrrole adduct (5a)³ with DMAC in refluxing chloroform afforded analogously 1,2,3,4-tetrachloronaphthalene (27%).

$$(4) a; R = CO_2Me b; R = H$$

$$(5) a; R = CI b; R = H$$

Several other 1,4-dihydro-1,4-iminonaphthalenes (2; H instead of CO_2Me) are aromatised spontaneously to α - or β -naphthylamine derivatives during their formation from benzyne and pyrroles,4 but it is unlikely that (4a) arises from (2b) via a naphthylamine intermediate. A closer analogy is the unexplained formation of a small amount of naphthalene together with 1:1 and 2:1 adducts from benzyne and N-methylpyrrole,5 where deamination of the 1:1 adduct (5b) is possibly brought about by further attack of benzyne. We therefore investigated reactions of some related adducts with benzyne.

† Structures are assigned to all new compounds in accord with analytical and appropriate spectroscopic evidence.

Benzyne adducts (6a-c) have been obtained from a number of isoindoles, 6,7 but with o-bromofluorobenzene and magnesium in refluxing THF the isoindole (1c) gave none of the analogous adduct (6e). Instead a hydrocarbon product, C₂₄H₂₂, identified as the tetramethyltriptycene (7) was obtained. N-Benzylcarbazole was found among other products of the reaction, and some unchanged isoindole (1c) was autoxidised during work-up forming N-benzyl-3,6-dimethylphthalimide,8 the structure of which was confirmed by independent synthesis. N-Methylcarbazole was similarly produced in the reaction of benzyne with compound (5a).

Compound (7) presumably arises by addition of benzyne to 1,4,9,10-tetramethylanthracene, formed by deamination of an intermediate adduct (6e). Analogously, Wittig et al.6 isolated anthracene in small yield from the reaction of N-phenylisoindole or the N-methylisoindole adduct (6a) with benzyne. Also the formation of 9,10-diphenylanthracene from adduct (6d) at 215° has been reported,7 but in none of these cases has the fate of the extruded fragment NR² been established. The formation of carbazole derivatives in our reactions of (1c) and (5a) with benzyne suggests a mechanism in which the attack of benzyne accomplishes the deamination of the imino-bridged systems (5) and (6).

(6) a;
$$R^1 = R^3 = H$$
, $R^2 = Me$
b; $R^1 = R^3 = H$, $R^2 = Ph$
c; $R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = R^3 = H$
d; $R^1 = Ph$, $R^2 = CO_2Et$, $R^3 = H$
e; $R^1 = R^3 = Me$, $R^2 = PhCH_2$

We acknowledge a S.R.C. studentship (to L.J.K.).

(Received, May 27th, 1971; Com. 860.)

- ¹ C. O. Bender, R. Bonnett, and R. G. Smith, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1970, 1251.
- ² R. M. Acheson, A. R. Hands, and J. M. Vernon, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1961, 164; R. M. Acheson and J. M. Vernon, J. Chem. Soc., 1962, 1148.

 - ³ G. W. Gribble, N. R. Easton, and J. T. Eaton, Tetrahedron Letters, 1970, 1075.
 ⁴ G. Wittig and B. Reichel, Chem. Ber., 1963, 96, 2851; E. Wolthius, D. V. Jagt, S. Mels, and A. DeBoer, J. Org. Chem., 1965, 30, 190.
 - ⁵ G. Wittig and W. Behnisch, Chem. Ber., 1958, 91, 2358.
 - ⁶ G. Wittig, E. Knauss, and K. Niethammer, Annalen, 1960, 630, 10.
 ⁷ J. C. Emmett and W. Lwowski, Tetrahedron, 1966, 22, 1011.

 - ⁸L. J. Kricka and J. M. Vernon, J. Chem. Soc. (C), in the press.