## Metal-containing Liquid Crystals: Calamitic Metallomesogens Containing Two Dicarbonyl-rhodium or -iridium Moieties Bound to a Single Salen-type Ligand

Philippe Berdagué, \*,b Jacques Courtieu a and Peter M. Maitlis\* b

a Institut de Chimie Moléculaire d'Orsay, Université de Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

Department of Chemistry, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK S3 7HF

Mesomorphic Schiff base (salen type) ligands  $H_2L_a-H_2L_c$  [ $H_2L_x=\{C_{10}H_{21}C_6H_3(0)CH=N\}_2R_x$ ;  $R_a=CH_2CH_2$ ,  $R_b=1,4-C_6H_4$ ,  $R_c=1,4-C_6H_{10}$ ] complex two square planar *cis*-M(CO)<sub>2</sub> moieties (M = Rh, Ir); the complexes [{M(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub> $L_c$ ] show smectic A behaviour.

Many metal-containing liquid crystals (metallomesogens) have been made, often by complexing metals with mesomorphic (liquid crystalline) organic ligands. Quite a number are dinuclear, of the type [LM( $\mu$ -X) $_n$ ML], where two metal-organic ligand halves are joined by X bridges (L = organic ligand, M = metal, X = halide, carboxylate, etc.); related polymeric species are also known. However, so far as we are aware, there have been no reports of calamitic (rod-like) mesomorphic compounds in which a single organic ligand binds two metals. We here describe two examples.

Tetradentate salen type ligands such as  $\hat{H}_2L_a$  [salen = bis(salicylidene)ethylenediamine], which contain  $N_2\hat{O}_2$  ligand sets, have been widely used to bind a single metal, and some calamitic (smectic A or C) complexes have been made with  $Cu^{II}$ ,  $Ni^{II}$  and  $VO^{2+}$ . The ligands themselves showed mesomorphism when they were substituted by long-chain alkyl or alkyloxy substituents in the 4-position (*para* to the CH=NR). However, in the metal complexes examined, where the ligand was bound tetradentate, the substituent had to be in position 5 (*para* to the hydroxy group) for mesomorphic behaviour to be evident.

While modelling the relationship between mesogenic behaviour and molecular shape using computer graphics, we observed that such salen type ligands exhibited a rod-like shape when they were stretched into a form where the two HO···CH=NR functions were trans to each other, and that in this conformer two separate transoid bidentate  $N_1O_1$  sites became available which could bind the cis-positions of two square planar metals (Fig. 1). Some (non-mesomorphic) dimetal complexes of the type  $[M_2(\text{salen})L_n]$  (M = Rh, Ir) are known,<sup>3</sup> and we have therefore synthesised a series of related complexes bearing 4-n-alkyloxy substituents on the salen type ligands to determine if such compounds show mesomorphism.

The ligands  $H_2L_a$ – $H_2L_c$  [ $H_2L_x$  = { $C_{10}H_{21}C_6H_3(O)$ - $CH=N}_2R_x$ ;  $R_a$  =  $CH_2CH_2$ ,  $R_b$  = 1,4- $C_6H_4$ ,  $R_c$  = trans-1,4- $C_6H_{10}$ ] were synthesised (60% overall yield) by adaptation of established routes from 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde<sup>4</sup> as shown in Scheme 1, and characterised by microanalysis and NMR spectroscopy.† All three were mesomorphic; as already reported,<sup>4</sup>  $H_2L_a$  showed a  $S_C$  phase. We found that  $H_2L_b$  and  $H_2L_c$  showed substantial smectic A phases, and  $H_2L_c$  also showed a short range (7 °C)  $S_B$  phase (Table 1).

The mesogenic stability of the ligand increases when the centre of the molecule becomes more rigid, presumably enforcing a more rod-like shape; thus the mesophase ranges are much greater (145 and 122 °C, respectively) for  $H_2L_b$  and  $H_2L_c$ , which have a 1,4-phenylene or a trans-cyclohexane-1,4-diyl group linking the two Schiff bases, than for  $H_2L_a$  (22 °C) where they are linked by the more flexible  $-CH_2CH_2-$ . The melting and clearing points of  $H_2L_c$ , bearing the trans-cyclohexane-1,4-diyl, are somewhat lower than those of the



Fig. 1 Chem 3D view of H<sub>2</sub>L<sub>a</sub> salen(bis-bidentate)

1,4-phenylene,  $H_2L_b$ , probably because the latter has a more rigid centre.

The dimetal complexes  $[\{Rh(CO)_2\}_2L_a]$  and  $[\{Rh(CO)_2\}_2L_b]$  [where the linking groups  $R_x = (CH_2)_2$ , and p- $C_6H_4$ ] were not mesomorphic but melted at high temperatures with decomposition (300 and 284 °C, respectively). However,  $[\{Rh(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$ , where  $R_x$  was derived from *trans*-1,4-diaminocyclohexane, was liquid crystalline and showed a  $S_A$  phase over short (4 °C) range. We also made the iridium analogue,  $[\{Ir(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$  to see if the effect of replacing rhodium by the heavier iridium was to increase the transition temperature because of the larger molar mass, or whether the consequent increase in polarizability would improve the

CHO 
$$C_{10}H_{21}O$$
 CHO  $C_{10}H_{21}O$  CHO  $C_{10}H_{21}O$   $C_{10}H_{21}O$ 

Scheme 1 The synthesis of the ligands  $H_2L_a$ ,  $H_2L_b$  and  $H_2L_c$  and of the complexes [{M(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>L<sub>c</sub>], (M = Rh, Ir). i,  $C_{10}H_{21}Br/polyethylene-glycol-dioxane; KHCO<sub>3</sub>; ii, <math>H_2N-R-NH_2/EtOH$  (x=a,  $R=-CH_2CH_2-$ ; x=b,  $R=1.4-C_6H_4$ ; x=c,  $R=1.4-C_6H_{10}$ )

$$C_{10}H_{21}O$$
 $CH$ 
 $N$ 
 $CH$ 
 $CH$ 
 $OC_{10}H_{21}$ 

**Table 1** Transition temperatures (°C) and transition enthalpies [kJ mol $^{-1}$ ] of the ligands  $H_2L_a-H_2L_c$ , and of the complexes  $[\{Rh(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$  and  $[\{Ir(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$ 

Ligand/ Complex	Phase transition temperatures (°C) and transition enthalpies [kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ]						
$H_2L_a$		84 [34]	Sc	106 [16]	I		_
$H_2L_b$	K	115 [42]	SA	260[9]	Ī		
$H_2L_c$	K	92 [38]	$S_{B}$	99 [1]	$S_A$	221 [9]	l
$[\{Rh(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$	K	$141[32^a]$	$S_A$	145 [40]	1		
$[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{CO})_2\}_2\operatorname{L}_c]$	K	120 [0.3]	K'	142 [16]	$S_A$	169 [4.5]	I

<sup>a</sup> Approximate; overlapping peaks make the individual  $\Delta H$ 's difficult to estimate.

$$C_{10}H_{21}O$$
 $C_{10}H_{21}O$ 
 $C_{10}H_{21}O$ 

mesophase behaviour.  $[\{Ir(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$  was also mesomorphic; after a crystal phase change, it melted at a very similar temperature to  $[\{Rh(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$ , but showed a much larger  $S_A$  phase range (27 °C). These complexes may be compared with the known mononuclear mesomorphic rhodium and iridium compounds; again the melting points are similar but the iridium complexes are somewhat more stable.<sup>5</sup>

The bis(dicarbonyl)rhodium complex  $[\{Rh(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$  was prepared from  $H_2L_c$  by reaction with  $[Rh_2(CO)_4Cl_2]$  in methanol–THF in the presence of triethylamine (70% yield). The iridium complex  $[\{Ir(CO)_2\}_2L_c]$  was prepared in two steps (30% overall yield) by reacting  $H_2L_c$  first with the cycloocta-1,5-diene (cod) complex  $[Ir_2(cod)_2Cl_2]$  to give the bis(cyclooctadiene)iridium complex  $[\{Ir(cod)\}_2L_c]$ ; the cyclooctadiene was then replaced by reaction with CO (1 atm, 20 °C). Both the dirhodium and the diiridium complexes were characterised by microanalysis and spectroscopy.†

Thus we conclude that relatively simple ligands can give calamitic metallomesogens containing two metal centres, and that the heavier metal iridium shows a longer mesophase range than rhodium. We are exploring molecular modifications which will allow the complexation of other metals and should also bring down the melting temperatures substantially.<sup>6</sup>

We thank the CNRS, the Royal Society and the Human Capital and Mobility Programme of the European Union (Grant ERB4050PL922775) for support, Mr S. Thorpe for DSC measurements and Dr A. R. Tajbakhsh for assistance with phase identifications.

Received, 28th February 1994; Com. 4/01185F

## **Footnote**

†  $H_2L_a$ : ¹H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.2 (s, 2 H), 7.1 (d, 2 H), 6.35 (m, 4 H), 3.95 (t, 4 H), 3.8 (s, 4 H) 1.2–1.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>s), 0.85 (t, 6 H).  $H_2L_b$ : ¹H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.55 (s, 2 H), 7.25 (d, 2 H), 7.2 (s, 4 H), 6.5 (m, 4 H), 4 (t, 4 H), 1.2–1.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>s), 0.9 (t, 6 H).  $H_2L_c$ : ¹H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.25 (s, 2 H), 7.1 (d, 2 H), 6.4 (m, 4 H), 3.95 (t, 4 H), 3.25 (m, 2 H), 1.2–1.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>s), 0.9 (t, 6 H). [{Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>L<sub>a</sub>]: microanalysis, found (calc.): C, 52.9 (53.6); H, 6.0 (6.1); N, 3.0 (3.1)%. IR  $\nu$ /cm<sup>-1</sup> (CO) (Nujol): 2077, 2000. ¹H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.75 (s, 2 H), 6.8 (d, 2 H), 6.3 (d, 2

H), 6.1 (dd, 2 H), 4.3 (s, 4 H), 3.85 (t, 4 H), 1.2–1.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>s), 0.8 (t, 6 H). [{Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>L<sub>b</sub>]: microanalysis, found (calc.): C, 55.7 (55.9); H, 5.5 (5.8); N, 2.7 (3.0)%. IR  $v/cm^{-1}$  (CO) (Nujol): 2083m, 2048m, 2048s. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.05 (d, 2 H), 7.25 (s, 4 H), 7.15 (d, 2 H), 6.55 (d, 2 H), 6.4 (dd, 2 H), 4 (t, 4 H), 1.2–1.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>s), 0.9 (t, 6 H): [{Rh(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>L<sub>c</sub>]: microanalysis, found (calc): C, 55.0 (55.6); H, 6.35(6.4); N, 2.8 (2.95)%. IR  $v/cm^{-1}$  (CO) (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 2080, 1997; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.1 (s, 2 H), 7.1 (d, 2 H), 6.5 (d, 2 H), 6.3 (dd, 2 H), 3.95 (t, 4 H), 2.4 (m, 4 H), 1.2–2 (CH<sub>2</sub>s), 0.9 (t, 6 H). [{Ir(CO)<sub>2</sub>}<sub>2</sub>L<sub>c</sub>]: microanalysis, found (calc.): C, 46.7 (46.5); H, 5.4 (5.9); N, 2.3 (2.5)%. IR  $v/cm^{-1}$  (CO) (CHCl<sub>3</sub>): 2067, 1981; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.15 (s, 2 H), 7.1 (d, 2 H), 6.5 (d, 2 H), 6.35 (dd, 2 H), 4.15 (m, 2 H), 3.9 (t, 4 H), 2.4 (m, 4 H), 1.2–2 (CH<sub>2</sub>s), 0.9 (t, 6 H).

## References

- Recent reviews include, S. A. Hudson and P. M. Maitlis, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 938; A.-M. Giroud-Godquin and P. M. Maitlis, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1991, 30, 375; P. Espinet, M. A. Esteruelas, L. A. Oro, J. L. Serrano and E. Sola, Coord. Chem. Rev., 1992, 117, 215; D. W. Bruce, in Inorganic Materials, ed. D. W. Bruce and D. O'Hare, Wiley, Chichester, 1992, p. 64.
- 2 R. Paschke, H. Zaschke, A. Madicke, J. R. Chipperfield, A. B. Blake, P. G. Nelson and G. W. Gray, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. Lett. Sect., 1988, 6, 81; T. D. Shaffer and K. A. Sheth, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1989, 172, 27; R. Paschke, D. Balkow, U. Baumeister, H. Hartung, J. R. Chipperfield, A. B. Blake, P. G. Nelson and G. W. Gray, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1990, 188, 105; A. Serrette, P. J. Carroll and T. M. Swager, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 14, 1887.
- 3 R. J. Cozens, K. S. Murray and B. O. West, J. Organomet. Chem., 1971, 27, 399; N. Platzer, N. Gosdoue and R. Bonnaire, J. Organomet. Chem., 1978, 160, 455.
- 4 P. Berdagué, F. Perez, J. Courtieu and J. P. Bayle, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1993, 130, 475; P. Cerrada, M. Marcos and J. L. Serrano, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., 1989, 170, 79; P. Berdagué, F. Perez and J. P. Bayle, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr., 1993, 130, 475.
- 5 D. W. Bruce, D. A. Dunmur, M. A. Esteruelas, S. E. Hunt, R. Le Lagadec, P. M. Maitlis, J. R. Marsden, E. Sola and J. M. Stacey, J. Mater. Chem., 1991, 1, 251; C. Bertram, D. W. Bruce, D. A. Dunmur, S. E. Hunt, P. M. Maitlis and M. McCann, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 69; M. A. Esteruelas, L. A. Oro, E. Sola, M. B. Ros and J. L. Serrano, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1989, 55; M. A. Esteruelas, L. A. Oro, E. Sola, M. B. Ros, M. Marcos and J. L. Serrano, J. Organomet. Chem., 1990, 387, 103.
- 6 J. P. Rourke, F. P. Fanizzi, D. W. Bruce, D. A. Dunmur and P. M. Maitlis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1992, 3009.