Synthesis and Structure of Pd and Pt Complexes with Doubly Stabilized Phosphorus Ylides

Marta Carbó,^[a] Nérida Marín,^[a] Rafael Navarro,^[a] Tatiana Soler,^[b] and Esteban P. Urriolabeitia^{*[a]}

Keywords: Ylides / Metallacycles / Palladium / Platinum / Coordination modes

The doubly stabilized P-ylide ligands $Ph_3P=C(CO_2Me)-C(=S)N(H)Ph$ (L1), $Ph_3P=C(CN)C(=S)N(H)Ph$ (L2), and $Ph_3P=C(CN)-[(E)-C(CO_2Me)=CH(CO_2Me)]$ (L3) have been prepared and fully characterized. The X-ray structures of L1 and L2 are reported. The reactivity of L1, L2, and L3 towards cationic Pd^{II} and Pt^{II} precursors with two vacant coordination sites has been studied. Adducts of general formula [M(C^X)-

(**L***n*)]ClO₄, [M(C^XX)(**L***n*)₂]ClO₄, and [M(μ -**L***n*)(C^XX)]₂(ClO₄)₂ (C^XX = ancillary ligands) were obtained. The ylides **L***n* coordinate to the metal center through their heteroatoms (O, N, S), while the C bonding of **L***n* has not been observed in any of the cases studied.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2006)

Introduction

We have recently shown that the α -stabilized P-ylides $Ph_3P=C(H)R$ [R = CN, C(O)R'; R' = Me, Ph, OMe, NMe₂] behave as versatile ligands towards Pd^{II} and Pt^{II} precursors, and several coordination modes of these ylides have been characterized. Thus, the ylide can coordinate to the metal center through the C_{α} atom, the carbonyl oxygen, or the N atom of the cyano group. We have even characterized situations in which the same ylide shows a combination of bonding modes.^[1] An interesting fact is that, in spite of the presence of several potential donor atoms on the same vlide, they always behave as ambidentate ligands.^[1] that is, using only one donor atom each time. Further replacement of the ylidic H atom by other functional groups increases the functionality of the ylides and should expand their bonding capabilities. In this context, we have now focused our attention on doubly stabilized P-ylides. The introduction of an additional stabilizing functional group can be achieved through several simple synthetic procedures: acylation,^[2] reactivity towards alkynes or other unsaturated compounds,^[3] reactivity of iminophosphoranes with alkynes,^[4] retro-Wittig reactions,^[5] and other less common processes.^[6]

While the chemistry of P-ylide compounds with two stabilizing groups, and their organic applications, has been the

E-Mail: esteban@unizar.es

subject of intensive research, their coordination chemistry and bonding properties towards transition metals are scarcely represented.^[7] Representative examples are the reaction of Hg^{II} halides HgX_2 (X = Cl, Br, I) or different U^{VI} salts with Ph₃P=C[C(O)Me][C(O)Ph] (ABPPY), which results in the formation of mono- and dinuclear complexes with the O(acetyl)-bonded ABPPY vlide.^[7a,7d] It is remarkable that the reactivity of the same HgX₂ precursors towards $Ph_3P=C(H)C(O)Ph$ (BPPY) results in C-bonded mono- and polynuclear complexes.^[8] On the other hand, the reaction of $[PtCl_2(NCC_6F_5)_2]$ with $Ph_3P=C(H)CO_2Me$ gives the imine-ylide complex $[PtCl_2{NH=C(C_6F_5) C(=PPh_3)C(O)Me_{2}]$,^[7b] in which the generated doubly stabilized ylide remains bonded to the Pt center through the imine N atom. As can be observed, all complexes with doubly stabilized ylides share a common feature, namely their bonding to the metal through the heteroatoms. Aiming to expand the knowledge on the bonding properties of doubly stabilized P-ylides, we have studied the reactivity of $Ph_3P=C(CO_2Me)C(=S)N(H)Ph]$ (L1),^[3a] $Ph_3P=C(CN)C$ -(=S)N(H)Ph (L2),^[3a] and Ph₃P=C(CN)-[(E)-C(CO₂-Me)=CH(CO₂Me)] (L3)^[3b] towards orthometalated bis-solvato derivatives of Pd^{II} and Pt^{II}. We have found different stoichiometries and different bonding modes for each ylide (monodentate, didentate, chelating, bridging), and we have also found that in none of the cases studied does the ylide bond to the metal center through the ylidic C_{α} atom.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Reactivity of L1

The ylide $Ph_3P=C(CO_2Me)C(=S)N(H)Ph$ (L1) was prepared following reported methods^[3a] by treatment of

 [[]a] Departamento de Compuestos Organometálicos, Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza-C.S.I.C, Plaza de San Francisco s/n, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain Fax: +34-976-761187

 [[]b] Servicio Técnicos de Investigación, Facultad de Ciencias Fase II, 03690 San Vicente de Raspeig, Alicante, Spain

 $Ph_3P=C(H)CO_2Me^{[9b]}$ with phenyl isothiocyanate (PhNCS). Since in the original work this compound was poorly characterized, and also for comparative purposes, a complete characterization of L1 was performed. The IR spectrum of L1 shows an intense absorption at 1629 cm^{-1} , attributed to the C=O stretch, and two very intense absorptions at 1526 and 1107 cm⁻¹, assigned to the stretch of the C=N and C=S bonds of the thioamide group, respectively.^[10] The N-H stretch was not observed in the IR spectrum. The ¹H NMR spectrum of L1 shows a very broad singlet at $\delta = 12.25$ ppm due to the NH proton. This strongly deshielded position can be easily explained by taking into account the formation of an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the NH group and the carbonyl oxygen, as depicted in Figure 1. The formation of this hydrogen bond could also account for the lack of observation of the v_{NH} band in the IR spectrum, which is shifted to lower energies with respect to its expected position (about 3400 cm⁻¹)^[10] and is probably hidden under the polyethylene absorptions (2800–3000 cm⁻¹). A similar intramolecular H bond has been found in the related N-ylide $H_5C_5NC[C(O)Ph]C(S)N(H)Ph.^{[11]}$ The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum of L1 shows the presence of a single signal at δ = 11.33 ppm, and the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR spectrum also shows a good agreement with the proposed structure. Key features are the signal due to the P=C carbon at δ = 71.48 ppm (d, ${}^{1}J_{\rm PC}$ = 143.1 Hz), and that due to the C=S carbon at δ = 189.01 ppm (d, J = 16.6 Hz).^[12] These observations agree with the structures depicted in Figure 1 for L1. The X-ray structure of L1 has also been determined.

A molecular drawing of L1 is shown in Figure 2, the most relevant parameters concerning data collection and structure solution and refinement are given in the Experimental Section, and selected bond lengths and angles are collected in Table 1. The molecular skeleton of L1 is very similar to that reported previously for the closely related ylide $H_5C_5NC[C(O)Ph]C(S)N(H)Ph.^{[11]}$ Key features are the cisoid arrangement of the P=C and C=S bonds, also observed in other thiocarbonyl stabilized ylides,^[13] and the

transoid arrangement of the P=C and C=O bonds, as usually observed in ester-stabilized ylides.^[2f,14] The atoms P(1), C(19), C(20), O(1), C(22), S(1), and N(1) are nearly coplanar, since the maximum deviation of the best least-squares plane is 0.13 Å (C20). The $P=C_{\alpha}$ bond length is elongated [P(1)–C(19) 1.751(2) Å] and both C_{α} –C bond lengths are identical [C(19)-C(22) 1.436(3), C(19)-C(20) 1.437(3) Å]. These values are shorter than the standard value for a $C(sp^2)-C(sp^2)$ single bond (1.478 Å),^[15] but are longer than those found in free^[2f,16] or *O*-bonded^[9a,17] ylides [range: 1.366–1.394 Å] containing a single functional group. The C(22)-S(1) bond length [1.690(2) Å] is identical to that found in related ylides [1.693(2) Å],^[11] and is slightly longer than usual values for C=S double bonds [1.671 Å].^[15] All these facts also show an extensive delocalization of the ylidic charge density through the chain of atoms O(1)-C(20)-C(19)-C(22)-S(1), probably due to the simultaneous presence of two delocalizing groups. In good agreement with the NMR spectroscopic data, an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the NH proton and the carbonyl oxygen can be established and characterized with the following param-



Figure 2. Thermal ellipsoid plot of L1. Non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the $50\,\%$ probability level.



Figure 1. Resonance forms for ligand L1.

eters: H(1A)····O(1) 1.939(3) and N(1)···O(1) 2.642(3) Å; N(1)–H(1A)···O(1) 138.14(15)°. The intramolecular P(1)– S(1) distance is 3.034 Å, which is shorter than those found in other ylides^[13] and is much shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.60 Å).^[18] The P(1)–C(19)–C(22)–S(1) torsion angle is -8.9° and the P(1)–C(19)–C(22) bond angle is 115.19(15)°, a value smaller than expected for an sp²hybridised carbon.

Table 1. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for L1.

P(1)–C(19)	1.751(2)	P(1)-C(13)	1.801(2)
P(1)-C(1)	1.805(2)	P(1) - C(7)	1.817(2)
C(19)–C(22)	1.436(3)	C(19)–C(20)	1.437(3)
C(20)–O(1)	1.216(3)	C(20)–O(2)	1.334(3)
O(2)–C(21)	1.438(3)	C(22)-N(1)	1.340(3)
C(22)-S(1)	1.690(2)	N(1)-C(23)	1.420(3)
O(1)–C(20)–O(2)	120.4(2)	O(1)-C(20)-C(19)	126.2(2)
O(2)–C(20)–C(19)	113.3(2)	C(20)-O(2)-C(21)	118.6(2)
N(1)-C(22)-C(19)	117.89(19)	N(1)-C(22)-S(1)	122.22(17)
C(19)-C(22)-S(1)	119.85(17)	C(22)-N(1)-C(23)	127.9(2)

The resonance forms shown in Figure 1 suggest that there are at least four potential donor atoms for L1 (two O atoms, one S, and the C_{α}). We thus explored the reactivity of L1 towards the bis-solvate complexes [Pd(C^X)(thf)2]-ClO₄ (see Scheme 1), prepared as usual by reaction of the corresponding dinuclear chlorido-bridged derivatives with AgClO₄ (1:2 molar ratio) in thf. Treatment of freshly prepared solutions of $[M(C^X)(thf)_2]ClO_4$ with L1 (1:1 molar ratio) in thf at 25 °C resulted in the formation of the cationic derivatives $[Pd(C^X)(L1)]ClO_4$ (1-5), which show elemental analyses and mass spectra (FAB+) in good agreement with the proposed stoichiometry. The IR spectra of 1–5 show several remarkable features: (i) a notable decrease in the position of the v_{CO} absorption with respect to free L1; (ii) a shift of the v_{CN} absorption to high energies with respect to free L1, while the v_{CS} band is found shifted to lower energies; and (iii) a weak band due to the NH group. All these facts suggest strongly that ligand L1 is bonded to the Pd atom through the S atom of the thioamide unit and through the carbonyl oxygen of the resonance-stabilized CO_2Me group, and that the ylidic C_a atom is not involved in bonding with the metal atom. This bonding mode also implies that the NH···O hydrogen bond is broken, and hence explains the increase in the NH stretch, since the ylide must rotate around the C_a –C(S) bond to adopt the final bonding mode.

The NMR spectra of 1-5 give additional structural information. The ¹H NMR spectra show that the molecular plane behaves as a symmetry plane, excluding the C coordination of L1, and also show a broad signal ($\delta = 7.20$ – 7.50 ppm) due to the NH proton. This shift can be explained by the cleavage of the H bond after coordination of L1. The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra of 1–5 show a doublet at δ = 72 ppm (${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 104 Hz) due to the ylidic C atom, and the ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR spectra show the presence of a peak at δ = 19–20 ppm. All these data confirm the absence of an interaction between the C_{α} atom and the Pd center,^[9a] and therefore the S,O bonding mode of L1. This should, in principle, give two geometric isomers but, according to the NMR spectroscopic data, only one of them is obtained. A tentative structure, depicted in Scheme 1, can be proposed taking into account the hard/soft nature of the donor atoms bonded directly to the Pd atom and the antisymbiotic effect^[19] shown by the Pd center. This reasoning has been successfully applied in the coordination chemistry of α-stabilized ylides.^[1a] In agreement with this, it is sensible to suppose that *trans* to the aryl C atom (soft donor) the oxygen atom of L1 (hard donor) would be more stabilized than the S atom (soft donor).[20]

The S,O-chelating bonding mode can be transformed into an S-monodentate mode by simple addition of L ligands. Thus, complex 4 reacts with PPh₃ (1:1 molar ratio) in CDCl₃ to give 6 (see Scheme 2). The NMR spectroscopic data of 6 show that the intramolecular H bond has been restored, since the signal attributed to the NH proton ap-



Scheme 1.



Scheme 2.

pears at $\delta = 11.61$ ppm, a position close to that found in free L1 ($\delta = 12.25$ ppm). A plausible structure for **6** is shown in Scheme 2, taking into account the known reluctance of PPh₃ ligands to coordinate *trans* to metalated C_{aryl} atoms.

Synthesis and Reactivity of L2

The exchange of the CO₂Me group for the CN group promotes notable differences of reactivity. The ylide $Ph_3P=C(CN)C(=S)N(H)Ph(L2)$ was prepared following reported methods^[3a] by reaction of Ph₃P=C(H)CN^[3d] with PhNCS. The IR spectrum of L2 shows intense absorptions at 3262 (NH), 2159 (CN), and 1524 and 1108 cm⁻¹ (thioamide).^[21] The shifts of the CN^[22] and thioamide bands suggest an extensive delocalization of the charge density, and this fact agrees with the presence of the resonance forms shown in Figure 3. The ¹H NMR spectrum of L2 shows a singlet at δ = 8.49 ppm due to the NH proton. This signal is shifted upfield when compared with L1 meaning, probably, that a similar hydrogen bond is not present in solution. The ylidic C_a atom appears in the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum as a doublet at $\delta = 49.62 \text{ ppm} (^{1}J_{P,C} = 162 \text{ Hz}),$ while the CS atom (δ = 189.68 ppm) appears at higher field

than expected (usually about $\delta = 205$ ppm).^[12,21] The X-ray structure of L2 has also been determined.

The molecular skeleton of L2 (Figure 4 and Table 2) is very similar to that reported for L1, and the geometrical parameters of the P-C_a-C(S)-N-C unit are nearly the same as those found in L1. For instance, the P–C_{α} [1.7401(17) Å], $C_{\alpha}-C_{\beta}(S)$ [1.432(2) Å], and $C_{\beta}-S$ [1.6831(17) Å] bond lengths are identical, within experimental error, to the corresponding values found in L1 [1.751(2), 1.436(3), and 1.690(2) Å, respectively], and similar conclusions can be derived from a comparison of the bond angles. Thus, ligand L2 also shows an extensive delocalization of the charge density, as can be deduced from the spectroscopic data. The C(1)-N(1) bond length [1.152(2) Å] is slightly longer than those reported for typical C=N bonds (1.136 Å),^[15] but is similar to those found in other cyano-stabilized ylides which contain additional stabilizing groups.^[22] This elongation can be related to the presence of an intermolecular hydrogen bond between the N(2)-H(2) dipole of one molecule and the N(1)-C(1) group of another molecule. As a result of this, L2 forms dimers in the crystal. This H bond is characterized by the following parameters: $N(1) \cdots N(2)$ N(1)····H(2) 2.251(3) Å; N(1)····H(2)-N(2)3.009(3), $152.23(2)^{\circ}$. The intramolecular P(1)–S(1) distance is 3.090 Å, slightly longer than that found in L1, but is shorter



Figure 3. Resonance forms for ligand L2.

than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3.60 Å),^[18] while the torsion angle P(1)–C(2)–C(3)–S(1) is –10.29°.



Figure 4. Thermal ellipsoid plot of L2. Non-hydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 2. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for L2.

P(1)-C(2)	1.7401(17)	P(1)-C(10)	1.7945(18)
P(1)-C(16)	1.8036(17)	P(1)-C(22)	1.8047(17)
C(1) - N(1)	1.152(2)	C(1) - C(2)	1.409(2)
C(2) - C(3)	1.432(2)	S(1) - C(3)	1.6831(17)
C(3)–N(2)	1.356(2)	N(2)-C(4)	1.421(2)
N(1)-C(1)-C(2)	176.82(18)	C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	121.22(15)
C(1)-C(2)-P(1)	119.83(12)	C(3)-C(2)-P(1)	118.16(12)
N(2)-C(3)-C(2)	117.30(15)	N(2)-C(3)-S(1)	123.35(13)
C(2)-C(3)-S(1)	119.34(13)	C(3)-N(2)-C(4)	126.39(15)
C(3)–N(2)–H(2)	117.3(14)	C(4)-N(2)-H(2)	114.1(14)

The reaction of $[M(C^X)(thf)_2]ClO_4$ with L2 (1:1 molar ratio, thf, room temp.) gives complexes of stoichiometry $[M(C^X)(L2)](ClO_4)$ (7–12, Scheme 3). Their mass spectra (FAB+) show intense peaks due to a mononuclear stoichiometry, and those of 10 and 12 show additional peaks at 1968 and 1480 amu, respectively, with the correct isotopic distribution for an $[M_2(C^X)_2(L2)_2(ClO_4)]^+$ stoichiometry, and suggesting that 7–12 are dinuclear in nature. The IR

spectra of 7–12 show the v_{CN} stretch in the 2195–2206 cm⁻¹ region, and the v_{CS} stretch in the 1027–1064 cm⁻¹ range. With these data, two structures can be envisaged: a mononuclear one with L2 as a C_{α} ,S-chelate,^[23] and a binuclear compound with the ylide acting as an N,S- or C_{α} ,S-bridging ligand. In this case, chelation through the N-cyano and S atoms is discarded because of the constraint imposed by the nitrile group. The measurement of $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ in solution could be a convenient tool to determine the nuclearity of complexes 7-12, through the determination of the slope of the Onsager equation.^[24] However, 7-12 are extremely insoluble in the usual noncoordinating solvents and they only show a moderate solubility in strongly coordinating solvents such as dmso or acetonitrile. This means that in solution the solvent could be incorporated into the coordination sphere of the metal, with concomitant changes of nuclearity or bonding modes of the ylide. The IR spectrum of 11 in MeCN shows the absorption of the v_{CS} stretch at 1102 cm⁻¹, virtually at the same position as in free L2 (1108 cm^{-1}), while the v_{CN} stretch appears at 2181 cm⁻¹ and two new bands at 2293 and 2248 cm⁻¹ are also seen. These facts suggest that MeCN is bonded to the Pd atom replacing the S atom, which is no longer bonded, and that the environment of the C(H)-CN group is the same in solution and in the solid state.

The NMR spectra of 7–12 provide additional valuable information. Key features of the NMR spectra are: (i) the behavior of the molecular plane as a symmetry plane; (ii) the low-field shift of $\delta(P)$ in the ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum with respect to L2; (iii) the similarity of $\delta(C_{\alpha})$ and ¹J_{P,C} values in 7–12 and in free L2.^[23] According to our previous experience,^[23] all these observations exclude the C coordination of the ylide and strongly suggest the N-cyano bonding of L2 to the Pd atom. In conclusion, we propose a dinuclear structure with an N,S-bridging ylide ligand for complexes 7–12, as depicted in Scheme 3. Finally, and as explained for L1, the absence of symmetry in L2 should also result in two geometric isomers after coordination. For similar reasons to those reported for complexes 1–5, and also



Scheme 3.

due to the previously described coordinating behavior of cyano ylides,^[23] we propose the bonding of the N atom of the ylide *trans* to the orthometalated C atom.

Synthesis and Reactivity of L3

The ylide $Ph_3P=C(CN)C(CO_2Me)=C(H)CO_2Me$ (L3) was prepared following the procedure reported by Trippett et al.^[3b] by reaction of Ph₃P=C(H)CN^[3d] with DMAD (dimethylacetylenedicarboxylate) in refluxing MeOH, as a yellow solid stable to the air and to moisture. The yield for L3 has been improved with respect to methods reported previously (65% of analytic and spectroscopically pure product vs. 43%). In addition, L3 has been fully characterized spectroscopically because very few details were given in the original report.^[3b] The IR spectrum of L3 shows absorptions of all expected functional groups, at 2169 (v_{CN}), 1744, 1689 (v_{COO}), and 1531 (v_{CC}) cm⁻¹. The NMR parameters of L3 follow a close relationship with those reported for L1 and L2. For instance, the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum shows the signal due to C_{α} at $\delta = 32.27$ ppm as a doublet $({}^{1}J_{\rm PC} = 130 \text{ Hz})$ that is strongly downfield shifted with respect to the starting ylide. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows the expected signals, although the (E)- or (Z)-configuration of the C=C double bond is not defined. A 1D-NOESY experiment performed for L3 shows a small, but detectable, NOE in the signals of the OMe groups when the signal due to the =CH proton (δ = 4.81 ppm) is irradiated, which clearly agrees with an (E) configuration.

The ligand L3 is multidentate, as is evident from the resonance forms shown in Figure 5. Plausible donor atoms are the C_a atom, the cyano N atom, the carbonyl oxygens, and even the C=C double bond. The reactivity of L3 towards bis-solvate derivatives of Pd^{II} and Pt^{II} has been studied. The reaction of $[M(C^X)(thf)_2]ClO_4$ with L3 (1:1 molar ratio, thf, room temp.) gives solids of stoichiometry $[M(C^X)(L3)](ClO_4)$ (13–18), as derived from their elemental analyses (Scheme 4). The mass spectra (FAB+) of 13–18

show the presence of peaks due to the stoichiometry $[M(C^X)(L3)]^+$, with the correct isotopic distribution. We have not observed peaks due to species of higher nuclearity, as observed for L2. The use of the ESI-MS as an identification tool of the nuclearity of the species in solution is a well established fact.^[25] In the case of complexes 13–18, the analysis of their NCMe solutions only showed, once again, peaks due to the $[M(C^X)(L3)]^+$ stoichiometry. This fact suggests that these complexes are mononuclear in nature. Additional proof can be derived from molar conductivity measurements^[24] and from NMR diffusion experiments.^[26]



Figure 5. Resonance forms for ligand L3.

Since these complexes are adequately soluble in the usual organic solvents, the value of the molar conductivity can be determined. For complex **13**, the values determined for $\Lambda_{\rm M}$ are 114.0 (acetone, $c = 2 \times 10^{-4}$ M) and $81.7 \ \Omega^{-1} {\rm cm}^2 {\rm mol}^{-1}$ (MeNO₂, $c = 1 \times 10^{-3}$ M). These values are typical of 1:1 electrolytes^[3b] and mean that **13–18** could be mononuclear with **L3** acting as a chelating ligand. The IR spectra of **13–18** show two relevant features: (i) an increase of the v_{CN} stretch; and (ii) a slight decrease of one of the v_{CO} stretches,



Scheme 4.

both with respect to L3. As we have seen, the increase of the v_{CN} stretch could be due either to the C(ylide) or the N(cyano) bonding to the metal, while the decrease of the v_{CO} indicates the coordination of the oxygen of one carbonyl group. Interestingly, the IR spectra of 13-18 in solution are virtually the same as in the solid state, thereby suggesting that the bonding mode of the ylide remains the same in solution. The NMR spectra of 13-18, measured in nonbonding solvents (CDCl₃) and compared with L3, show similar features to those described for L1 and L2. The small variations of $\delta(P)$, $\delta(C_{\alpha})$, and ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ mean that the P=C moiety in coordinated L3 is not involved in bonding with the Pd atom. According to the IR and NMR spectroscopic data, the ylide L3 coordinates to the metal center in 13-18 through the N atom of the cyano group and through one oxygen atom of one carbonyl group. The mass spectra and the conductivity measurements suggest, in addition, that the complexes are mononuclear and that L3 acts as a chelating ligand. The pulsed gradient spin-echo (PGSE) NMR diffusion methods (DOSY) have proved to be a valuable tool for the determination of relative molecular sizes in solution.^[26] We have compared the diffusion coefficients of one representative of 13-18 with those obtained for other mononuclear complexes with the same ligands. We have shown that complexes 1-5 behave as mononuclear in solution. The determination of the value of D for 3 [8-mg as ancillary ligand, see Equation (1)] gives a value of $5.93 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ (2 mM, CDCl₃, 300 K, $\delta = 1.7 \text{ ms}$, $\Delta =$ 100 ms). This value fits very well with that determined for complex 16 (8-mq as ancillary ligand, D = $5.92 \times 10^{-10} \text{ m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$) under the same experimental conditions $(2 \text{ mM}, \text{CDCl}_3, 300 \text{ K}, \delta = 1.8 \text{ ms}, \Delta = 100 \text{ ms})$. Thus, it is sensible to suppose that 13-18 are also mononuclear (Scheme 4), even taking into account the geometric constraint imposed by the cyano group. Two factors could contribute to the stabilization of the chelating mode of L3. The first one could be the formation of a highly flexible eightmembered ring, and the second one is the possible bent coordination of the CN group, as has been shown previously.^[27]

The behavior of the cyclometalated complex $[Pt{o-CH_2C_6H_4P(o-tol)_2}(S)_2]ClO_4$ (S = solvent) towards L3 is

slightly different. In this case, when the reaction is carried out in a 1:1 molar ratio, a low yield of **19** is obtained together with some decomposition to Pt^0 . The yield of **19** improves up to 80% when the reaction is performed with a 1:2 (Pt/L3) molar ratio (see Scheme 5). Even when a substoichiometrict amount of L3 is employed, complex **19** is the only species isolated. The characterization of **19** is straightforward. The ¹H NMR spectrum shows the incorporation of two L3 ligands per cyclometalated unit since only one peak is observed for the Me of the tolyl groups while the peaks due to the ligand appear duplicated. The ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectrum shows the presence of three singlets with relative intensities 1:1:1 at δ = 19.97 (L3), 19.69 (L3), and 18.09 ppm.

The reluctance of C_{α} to bond to the metal center is a recurrent fact throughout the bonding modes of L1–L3. A plausible explanation for this lack of reactivity could be centered on the fact that the charge density of C_{α} is delocalized throughout the molecular skeleton, including the two stabilizing groups. This should lead to a decrease of the formal charge of C_{α} , which becomes a poor donor and which cannot compete against the heteroatoms present in the same molecule (N, S, O, ...).

Conclusions

The ylides L1, L2, and L3, all of which contain two stabilizing groups at the ylidic C_{α} atom, have been prepared and characterized. The X-ray crystal structures of L1 and L2 have been determined; they show extensive delocalization of the ylidic charge density. Ligands L1–L3 coordinate to Pd^{II} and Pt^{II} solvates in a variety of forms. Ligand L1 bonds as a chelate through the S atom and the resonancestabilized C=O group; ligand L2 coordinates as a bridging ligand through the S atom and the cyano N atom; and ligand L3 can coordinate as a chelate, through the cyano N atom and one oxygen of a C=O group, or as a monodentate ligand through the cyano N atom. In spite of the presumed nucleophilic properties of C_{α} , this atom does not coordinate to the metal center in any of the cases studied due to delocalization of its charge density.



Scheme 5.

Experimental Section

CAUTION: Perchlorate salts of metal complexes with organic ligands are potentially explosive. Only small amounts of these materials should be prepared and they should be handled with great caution. See *J. Chem. Educ.* **1973**, *50*, A335–A337.

General Methods: Solvents were dried and distilled under argon using standard procedures before use. Elemental analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 2400-B microanalyser. IR spectra (4000–400 cm⁻¹) were recorded with a Perkin–Elmer Spectrum One IR spectrophotometer from nujol mulls between polyethylene sheets. ¹H (300.13, 400.13 MHz), ¹³C{¹H} (75.47, 100.61 MHz), and ³¹P{¹H} (121.49, 161.97 MHz) NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃, CD₂Cl₂, or [D₆]DMSO solutions at 25 °C (other temperatures are specified) with Bruker ARX300 and Avance400 spectrometers (δ in ppm, J in Hz). The ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were referenced using the solvent signal as internal standard whereas ³¹P{¹H} NMR spectra were externally referenced to H_3PO_4 (85%). The ¹H SELNO-1D and SELRO-1D NMR experiments were performed with optimized mixing times (D8, P15) depending on the irradiated signal. ESI/APCI mass spectra were recorded using an Esquire 3000 ion-trap mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) equipped with a standard ESI/APCI source. Samples were introduced by direct infusion with a syringe pump. Nitrogen served both as the nebulizer gas and the dry gas. Helium served as cooling gas for the ion trap and collision gas for MSⁿ experiments. Other mass spectra (positive ion FAB) were recorded from CH₂Cl₂ solutions on a V.G. Autospec spectrometer. The starting materials Ph₃P=C(H)CO₂Me,^[9b] Ph₃P=C(H)CN,^[3d] [Pd(µ-Cl) $(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-C^2,N)_2$,^[28] [Pd(μ -Cl){SC₆H₄C(H)MeNMe₂- $\begin{array}{c} C^2, N \}_{2,} ^{[28]} & [Pd(\mu-Cl)(NC_{13}H_8-C^{10},N)]_2, ^{[29]} & [Pd(\mu-Cl)(CH_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[30]} & [Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4-2-NC_5H_4-C^2,N)]_{131} & [M(\mu-Cl)(o-Cl)(o-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[31]} & [M(\mu-Cl)(o-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4-2-NC_5H_4-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-Cl)(O-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4-2-NC_5H_4-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-Cl)(O-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-CL)(D-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-CL)(D-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-CL)(D-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-CL)(D-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2, ^{[32]} & [Pd(\mu-CL)(D-Ch_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_$ $CH_2C_6H_4)P(o-tol)_2$ (M = Pd,^[32] Pt^[33]), and [Pd(µ-Br)(η³- $C_{3}H_{5}]_{2}$ ^[34] were prepared following reported methods. Other reagents such as DMAD (MeO₂C-C=C-CO₂Me) or PhN=C=S were purchased from commercial sources (Aldrich) and used without further purification.

Ph₃P=C(CO₂Me)C(=S)N(H)Ph (L1): The ylide L1 was prepared following the method described in the literature by Bestmann and Pfohl^[3a] by reaction of Ph₃P=C(H)CO₂Me with PhNCS in toluene (66% yield). The characterization of L1 is reported here since no data were given in the original paper. $C_{28}H_{24}NO_2PS$ (469.54): calcd. C 71.62, H 5.15, N 2.98, S 6.83; found C 71.45, H 5.11, N 2.84, S 6.77. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 469 (18) [M⁺]. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1107$ (v_{CS}) , 1526 (v_{CN}) , 1584 (Ph), 1629 (v_{CO}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 3.00$ (s, 3 H, OMe), 7.03 (tt, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.2$, ${}^{4}J_{H,H} = 0.9$, 1 H, H_p NPh), 7.24 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.2, 2$ H, H_m, NPh), 7.32–7.51 (m, 9 H, PPh₃), 7.69 (dd, 2 H, H_o, NPh), 7.73–7.82 (m, 6 H, H_o, PPh₃), 12.25 (s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 49.67 (OMe), 71.47 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 143.1, P=C), 123.71 (C_{meta}, NPh), 124.31 (C_{para}, NPh), 128.20 (Cortho, NPh), 128.36 (d, ³J_{P,C} = 12.7, Cmeta, PPh₃), 128.50 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 97, C_{ipso}, PPh₃), 131.12 (d, ${}^{4}J_{P,C}$ = 2.6, C_{para}, PPh₃), 132.94 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 9.3, C_{ortho}, PPh₃), 140.38 (C_{ipso}, NPh), 168.93 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 16.4, C=O), 189.01 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 16.6, C=S) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 11.33 ppm.

Synthesis of 1: AgClO₄ (0.045 g, 0.217 mmol) was added to a suspension of $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-C^2,N)]_2$ (0.060 g, 0.108 mmol) in dry thf (20 mL) under an Ar atmosphere. The resulting suspension was stirred for 20 min at room temperature with exclusion of light, and then filtered through a Celite pad in order to remove the AgCl. The ylide L1 (0.102 g, 0.217 mmol) was added to this freshly prepared solution of the solvate derivative. The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min and then the solvent evapo-

rated to dryness. Treatment of the residue with Et₂O (25 mL) and vigorous stirring gave 1 as a yellow solid, which was filtered, washed with additional Et₂O (10 mL), and air dried. Yield: 0.060 g (34.1%). Complex 1 was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O to give yellow crystals of 1.0.5CH2Cl2, which were used for analytic and spectroscopic purposes. The amount of CH₂Cl₂ was determined by integration of the signal in the ¹H NMR spectrum. C37H36ClN2O6PPdS 0.5CH2Cl2 (852.06): calcd. C 52.86, H 4.38, N 3.29, S 3.76; found C 52.93, H 4.31, N 3.12, S 3.83. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 709 (15) [M – ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1066$ (v_{CS}), 1575 (v_{CN}), 1596 (v_{CO}) , 3260 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 2.81$ (s, 6 H, NMe₂), 3.08 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.03 (s, 2 H, CH₂N), 6.58–6.61 (m, 2 H, H_o, NPh), 6.77–6.82 (m, 2 H, C₆H₄), 6.98–7.00 (m, 2 H, C₆H₄), 7.21 (m, 3 H, H_m, H_p, NPh), 7.27 (br. s, 1 H, NH), 7.67-7.96 (m, 15 H, PPh₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 51.16 (NMe₂), 52.80 (OMe), 71.53 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 103.6, P=C), 71.81 (CH₂N), 122.42 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C} = 91.3$, C_{ipso} , PPh₃), 123.17, 125.33, 126.00, 133.49, 137.83, 142.57 (C₆H₄), 126.06 (C_{meta}), 128.20 (C_{para}), 129.40 (C_{ortho}), 148.65 (C_{ipso}) (NPh), 130.69 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.5$, C_{ortho}), 133.67 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 9.9$, C_{meta}), 134.71 (C_{para}) (PPh₃), 172.49 (d, ² $J_{P,C}$ = 10.2, C=O), 186.70 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC} = 4.1$, CS) ppm. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 19.29$ ppm.

Synthesis of 2: Complex 2 was obtained following the same synthetic procedure as reported for 1. $[Pd(\mu-Cl){(S)-C_6H_4CH(Me)}]$ NMe_2-C^2, N]₂ (0.060 g, 0.103 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.043 g, 0.207 mmol) and L1 (0.097 g, 0.207 mmol) in thf to give 2 as an orange solid. Yield: 0.113 g (66.3%). Complex 2 was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O to give orange crystals of 2.0.5CH₂Cl₂, which were used for analytic and spectroscopic purposes. The amount of CH₂Cl₂ was determined by integration of the signal in the ¹H NMR spectrum. $C_{38}H_{38}ClN_2O_6PPdS \cdot 0.5CH_2Cl_2$ (866.09): calcd. C 53.39, H 4.54, N 3.23, S 3.70; found C 53.28, H 4.34, N 3.39, S 3.88. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 723 (30) [M - ClO₄⁺]. IR: \tilde{v} = 1080 (v_{CS}), 1575 (v_{CN}), 1600 (v_{CO}), 3220 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.63$ (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.3$, 3 H, Me), 2.63 (s, 3 H, NMe₂), 2.87 (s, 3 H, NMe2), 3.08 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.03 (q, 1 H, CH), 6.59 (m, 2 H, H_o, NPh), 6.83 (m, 2 H, C₆H₄), 6.92–7.03 (m, 2 H, C₆H₄), 7.22 (m, 3 H, H_m, H_p, NPh), 7.25 (br. s, 1 H, NH), 7.65–7.96 (m, 15 H, PPh₃) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 19.25 ppm.

Synthesis of 3: Complex 3 was obtained following the same synthetic procedure as reported for 1. $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(CH_2NC_9H_6-C^8,N)]_2$ (0.061 g, 0.107 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.044 g, 0.044 g)0.212 mmol) and L1 (0.101 g, 0.215 mmol) in thf to give 3 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.122 g (69.5%). C₃₈H₃₂ClN₂O₆PPdS (817.57): calcd. C 55.83, H 3.94, N 3.42, S 3.92; found C 56.26, H 3.74, N 3.14, S 4.10. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 717 (27) [M - ClO₄]⁺. IR: \tilde{v} = 1065 (v_{CS}), 1564 (v_{CN}), 1599 (v_{CO}), 3216 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD_2Cl_2) : $\delta = 3.21$ (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.36 (s, 2 H, CH₂Pd), 6.57 (br., 2 H, H_o, NPh), 7.21 (br. s, 3 H, NH, NC₉H₆), 7.51-7.60 [m, 4 H, H_m, H_p (NPh), NC₉H₆], 7.66–7.80 [m, 10 H, H_m, H_p (PPh₃) + NC₉H₆], 7.90–7.97 (m, 6 H, H_a, PPh₃), 8.41 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.1, 1$ H, NC_9H_6), 8.66 (br. s, 1 H, NC_9H_6) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 21.60 \text{ (PdCH}_2), 52.69 \text{ (OMe)}, 70.63 \text{ (d, } {}^1J_{PC} = 106.1, P=C),$ 125.08 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC} = 93$, C_{ipso} , PPh₃), 121.98, 124.56, 126.87, 128.25, 137.92, 138.88, 147.42, 149.08, 152.21 (NC9H6), 126.21 (Cmeta), 128.93 (C_{para}), 129.42 (C_{ortho}), 148.99 (C_{ipso}) (NPh), 130.65 (d, ²J_{P,C} = 11.1, C_{ortho}), 133.60 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C}$ = 9.5, C_{meta}), 134.59 (C_{para}) (PPh₃) ppm; the CO and CS signals were not observed. ³¹P{¹H} NMR $(CD_2Cl_2): \delta = 19.69 \text{ ppm}.$

Synthesis of 4: Complex 4 was obtained following the same synthetic procedure as reported for 1. $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4-2-NC_5H_4-C^2,N)]_2$ (0.062 g, 0.105 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.044 g, 0.212 mmol) and L1 (0.101 g, 0.215 mmol) to give 4 as a yellow

solid. Yield: 0.088 g (50.3%). C₃₉H₃₂ClN₂O₆PPdS (829.58): calcd. C 56.47, H 3.89, N 3.38, S 3.86; found C 56.46, H 3.76, N 3.33, S 4.21. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 729 (30) [M - ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1080$ (v_{CS}) , 1578 (v_{CN}) , 1600 (v_{CO}) , 3259 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD_2Cl_2) : δ = 3.21 (s, 3 H, OMe), 6.64 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 5.7, ${}^{4}J_{H,H}$ = 1.6, 2 H, H_o , NPh), 7.02 (td, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.5$, ${}^{4}J_{H,H} = 1.2$, 1 H, Phpy), 7.04 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.14 (td, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6.6$, ${}^{4}J_{H,H} = 1.8$, 1 H, Phpy), 7.24–7.27 [m, 4 H, H_m + H_p (NPh) + Phpy], 7.32 (td, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.6, ${}^{4}J_{H,H}$ = 0.9, 1 H, Phpy), 7.55 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.5, 1 H, Phpy), 7.68–7.99 (m, 17 H, PPh₃ + Phpy), 8.45 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 5.0, 1$ H, Phpy) ppm. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 53.09 (OMe), 71.67 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 102.8, P=C), 119.59, 123.32, 124.74, 125.77, 130.06, 134.20, 137.82, 140.10, 146.47, 147.07, 164.27 (Phpy), 122.18 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC} = 91$, C_{ipso} , PPh₃), 126.16 (Cmeta), 128.44 (Cpara), 129.50 (Cortho), 149.73 (Cipso) (NPh), 130.77 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.4$, C_{ortho}), 133.68 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 9.7$, C_{meta}), 134.78 (C_{para}) (PPh₃), 186.47 (d, ²J_{PC} = 4.4, C=S) ppm; the CO signal was not observed. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 19.27 ppm.

Reaction of 4 with PPh₃: PPh₃ was added in small portions to a suspension of **4** in CD₂Cl₂ (0.6 mL) in an NMR tube. NMR spectra were measured each time, until complete transformation of **4**. At this point, complete dissolution had occurred. The amount of PPh₃ required matched a 1:1 molar ratio (**4**:PPh₃), and gave complex **6** as a single isomer in 100% spectroscopic yield. Selected NMR spectroscopic data of **6**: ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 3.00$ (s, 3 H, OMe), 6.31 (t, ³J_{H,H} = 6.9, 1 H, Phpy), 6.46–6.59 (m, 6 H, NPh + Phpy), 6.91 (t, ³J_{H,H} = 7.2, 1 H, Phpy), 7.24–7.74 (m, 33 H, PPh₃ + Phpy), 7.89 (br. s, 1 H, Phpy), 7.96 (td, ³J_{H,H} = 7.5, ⁴J_{H,H} = 1.5, 1 H, Phpy), 11.61 (s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): $\delta = 17.65$ (P=C), 38.09 ppm (Pd–PPh₃).

Synthesis of 5: Complex 5 was obtained following the same synthetic procedure as reported for 1. $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(bhq-C,N)]_2$ (bhq = $NC_{13}H_8$; 0.066 g, 0.102 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.042 g, 0.205 mmol) and L1 (0.096 g, 0.205 mmol) to give 5 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.115 g (65.7%). Complex 5 was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O to give crystals of 5.0.5CH₂Cl₂ (determined by NMR), which were used for analytic and spectroscopic purposes. C₄₁H₃₂ClN₂O₆PPdS·0.5CH₂Cl₂ (896.07): calcd. C 55.62, H 3.71, N 3.13, S 3.58; found C 55.36, H 3.88, N 2.87, S 3.74. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 753 (10) [M - ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1060$ (v_{CS}), 1586 (br., v_{CN}) + v_{CO} , 3225 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 3.28 (s, 3 H, OMe), 6.68 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 5.7$, ${}^{4}J_{H,H} = 1.8$, 2 H, H_o, NPh), 7.19 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.2, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ NC}_{13}\text{H}_{8}$), 7.28 (m, 3 H, H_m+H_p, NPh), 7.34 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 7.5, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ NC}_{13}\text{H}_{8}$, 7.38 (s, 1 H, NH), 7.63 (m, 2 H, $NC_{13}H_8$, 7.67–7.73 [m, 7 H, H_m (PPh₃) + $NC_{13}H_8$], 7.76–7.82 [m, 4 H, H_p (PPh₃) + NC₁₃H₈], 7.90–7.98 (m, 6 H, H_o, PPh₃), 8.42 (dd, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 8.1, {}^{4}J_{H,H} = 1.2, 1 H, H_{4}, NC_{13}H_{8}), 8.66 (dd, {}^{3}J_{H,H} = 5.1,$ 1 H, H₂, NC₁₃H₈) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 53.12 (OMe), 72.07 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 103.0, P=C), 122.31 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 91.2, C_{ipso}, PPh₃), 122.40, 122.46, 124.01, 124.06, 127.49, 128.96, 131.22, 134.44, 137.89, 138.31, 141.93, 146.27, 153.71 (NC₁₃H₈), 126.09 (C_{meta}), 128.30 (C_{para}), 129.45 (C_{ortho}), 147.33 (C_{ipso}) (NPh), 130.71 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 12.5$, C_{ortho}), 133.74 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 9.4$, C_{meta}), 134.69 (C_{para}) (PPh₃), 172.82 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 10.0, C=O), 186.38 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 4.7, C=S) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂): δ = 19.62 ppm.

Ph₃P=C(CN)C(S)N(H)Ph (L2): PhN=C=S (0.543 mL, 0.614 g, 4.55 mmol) was added to a suspension of Ph₃P=C(H)CN (1.370 g, 4.55 mmol) in freshly distilled toluene (50 mL). The resulting mixture was refluxed for 1 h and then stirred for 72 h at 25 °C to give a yellow suspension. This suspension was evaporated to dryness and the residue treated with Et₂O (50 mL) to give **L2** as a white solid. Yield: 1.122 g (56.5%). C₂₇H₂₁N₂PS (436.52): calcd. C 74.29, H 4.85, N 6.41, S 7.34; found C 74.78, H 4.84, N 7.11, S 7.63. MS

 $(FAB+): m/z ~(\%) ~437 ~(100) ~[M + H]^+. IR: ~~ \tilde{v} = 1108 ~(v_{CS}), 1524 ~(v_{C=N}), 2159 ~(v_{CN}), 3262 ~(v_{NH}) ~cm^{-1}. ^{1}H ~NMR ~(CDCl_3): ~\delta = 7.09 ~(t, 1 H, H_{para}, Ph), 7.28 ~(t, ^3J_{HmHp} = ^3J_{HmHo} = 7.5, 2 H, H_{meta}, Ph), 7.41–7.52 ~[m, 8 H, H_{meta}(PPh_3) + H_{ortho}(Ph)], 7.56–7.60 ~(m, 3 H, H_{para}, PPh_3), 7.71–7.80 ~(m, 6 H, H_{ortho}, PPh_3), 8.49 ~(br. s, 1 H, NH) ~pm. ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR ~(CD_2Cl_2): ~\delta = 49.62 ~(d, ^{1}J_{P,C} = 162, P=C), 120.99 ~(d, ^{1}J_{P,C} = 20.7, C_{ipso} ~PPh_3), 124.02 ~(C_{meta}, Ph), 125.16 ~(C_{para}, Ph), 125.29 ~(CN), 128.47 ~(C_{ortho}, Ph), 128.96 ~(d, ^{3}J_{P,C} = 13, C_{meta}, PPh_3), 132.65 ~(d, ^{4}J_{P,C} = 2.8, C_{para}, PPh_3), 133.75 ~(d, ^{2}J_{P,C} = 9.8, C_{ortho}, PPh_3), 139.47 ~(d, ^{4}J_{P,C} = 1.5, C_{ipso}, Ph), 189.68 ~(d, ^{2}J_{P,C} = 15.4, C=S) ~ppm. ~^{31}P{^{1}H} ~NMR ~(CDCl_3): ~\delta = 14.80 ~(s, C=PPh_3) ~ppm. ~$

Synthesis of 7: AgClO₄ (0.053 g, 0.257 mmol) was added to a suspension of $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-C^2,N)]_2$ (0.071 g. 0.128 mmol) in 20 mL of freshly distilled thf under argon. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature with exclusion of light for 30 min, then filtered through a Celite pad. This freshly prepared solution was treated with a stoichiometric amount of L2 (0.112 g, 0.257 mmol) giving, after a few seconds, a deep yellow precipitate of 7. This suspension was stirred at room temperature for an additional 20 min, then the yellow solid was filtered, washed with thf (5 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL), dried by suction, and subidentified as 7. Yield: sequently 0.141 g (70.5%). C₃₆H₃₃ClN₃O₄PPdS (776.57): calcd. C 55.68, H 4.28, N 5.41, S 4.13; found C 55.81, H 4.50, N 5.11, S 4.03. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 676 (100) $[M/2 - ClO_4]^+$. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1060 (v_{CS})$, 1558 $(v_{C=N})$, 2196 (v_{CN}) , 3203 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 2.24 (s, 6 H, NMe₂), 3.53 (s, 2 H, CH₂N), 6.26 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 7.5, 1$ H, C₆H₄), 6.64 (m, 1 H, C₆H₄), 6.76 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.6, 1 H, C₆H₄), 6.84 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.9, 1 H, C₆H₄), 7.13–7.19 (m, 3 H, H_o+H_p, Ph), 7.28 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.2, 2 H, H_m, Ph), 7.73–7.93 (m, 15 H, PPh₃), 10.33 (s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 20.22$ (s, C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 8: Complex **8** was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for **7**. Thus, $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(bhq-C,N)]_2$ (bhq = C₁₃H₈N, 0.078 g, 0.122 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.050 g, 0.244 mmol) and **L2** (0.110 g, 0.244 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give **8** as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.110 g (55%). C₄₀H₂₉ClN₃O₄PPdS (820.58): calcd. C 58.55, H 3.56, N 5.12, S 3.91; found C 58.85, H 3.41, N 4.76, S 3.82. MS (FAB+): *m/z* (%) 720 (27) [M/2 - ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1056 (v_{CS})$, 1568 ($v_{C=N}$), 2195 (v_{CN}), 3188 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 6.66 (d, {}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9, 1 H, bhq)$, 6.79 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9, 1 H, bhq)$, 7.00–7.24 (m, 5 H, Ph), 7.57 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6, 1 H, bhq)$, 7.65–7.69 (m, 2 H, bhq), 7.76–8.01 (m, 16 H, PPh₃ + 1 H bhq), 8.54 (br. d, {}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9, 2 H, bhq), 10.58 (br. s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR ([D₆]DMSO), $\delta = 21.08 (s, C=PPh_3)$ ppm.

Synthesis of 9: Complex 9 was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for 7. Thus, $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(NC_5H_5-2-$ C₆H₄-C,N)]₂ (0.080 g, 0.134 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.056 g, 0.269 mmol) and L2 (0.117 g, 0.269 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give 9 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.140 g (70%). C₃₈H₂₉ClN₃O₄PPdS (796.56): calcd. C 57.30, H 3.67, N 5.27, S 4.02; found C 57.09, H 3.20, N 5.08, S 3.65. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 696 (100) $[M/2 - ClO_4]^+$. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1059 (v_{CS})$, 1538 $(v_{C=N})$, 2197 (v_{CN}) , 3196 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 6.48 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 6, 1 H, Phpy), 6.84 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6, 1 H, Phpy), 6.88 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6, 1 H, Phpy), 7.00 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9$, 1 H, Phpy), 7.15 (m, 4 H, H_o+H_m, Ph), 7.33 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6$, 1 H, H_p, Ph), 7.50 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 9$, 1 H, Phpy), 8.08-7.56 (m, 17 H, PPh₃ + Phpy), 8.19 (s, 1 H, Phpy), 10.53 (s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 50.80 (d, ¹J_{P,C} = 137.7, P=C), 117.65 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 14.7, CN), 119.03 (NPh, C_{meta}), 121.60 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 93.9, C_{ipso}, PPh₃), 122.75, 124.14, 124.48, 125.65,

133.36, 136.46, 140.05, 145.39, 147.35, 149.56, 163.04 (Phpy), 125.37 (NPh, C_{ortho}), 128.37 (C_{para}, PPh₃), 129.23 (NPh, C_{para}), 129.69 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC} = 12.7$, C_{meta}, PPh₃), 134.05 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC} = 10.0$, C_{ortho}, PPh₃), 139.23 (C_{ipso}, NPh), 183.27 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC} = 14.5$, C=S) ppm. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 20.92$ (C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 10: Complex **10** was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for **7**. Thus, [Pt(μ-Cl)[*o*-CH₂C₆H₄P(*o*-MeC₆H₄)₂]]₂ (0.103 g, 0.096 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.040 g, 0.193 mmol) and **L2** (0.084 g, 0.193 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give **10** as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.0461 g (23%). C₄₈H₄₁ClN₂O₄P₂PtS (1034.42): calcd. C 55.73, H 4.00, N 2.71, S 3.10; found C 55.47, H 3.71, N 2.94, S 2.61. MS (FAB+): *m/z* (%) 934 (37) [M/2 - ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1027$ (v_{CS}), 1530 (v_{C=N}), 2199 (v_{CN}), 3180 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 2.11$ (s, 6 H, Me), 2.78 (s, 2 H, PtCH₂), 7.95–6.87 (m, 32 H, PPh₃ + C₆H₄), 10.90 (br. s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 20.25$ (C=PPh₃), 32.09 (¹*J*_{PtP} = 3843, C^P–Pt) ppm.

Synthesis of 11: Complex **11** was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for **7**. Thus, $[Pd(\mu-Br)(\eta^3-C_3H_5)]_2$ (0.0664 g, 0.146 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.061 g, 0.292 mmol) and **L2** (0.127 g, 0.292 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give **11** as a green-yellow solid. Yield: 0.159 g (79.4%). $C_{30}H_{26}ClN_2O_4PPdS$ (683.44): calcd. C 52.72, H 3.83, N 4.10, S 4.69; found C 51.95, H 4.15, N 3.72, S 4.53. MS (FAB+): *m/z* (%) 583 (47) [M/2 - ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{\nu} = 1027$ (ν_{CS}), 1530 ($\nu_{C=N}$), 2181 (ν_{CN}), 3240 (ν_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 2.98$ (br. s, 2 H, CH₂), 4.17 (br. s, 2 H, CH₂), 5.37 (m, 1 H, CH), 6.91 (m, 2 H, Ph), 7.12–7.18 (m, 3 H, Ph), 7.39–7.87 (m, 15 H, PPh₃), 10.70 (br. s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 20.13$ (s, C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 12: Complex 12 was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for 7. Thus, [Pd(µ-Cl)- $\{(S)-C_6H_4CH(Me)NMe_2-C^2,N\}_2$ (0.0731 g, 0.126 mmol) was treated with $AgClO_4$ (0.052 g, 0.252 mmol) and L2 (0.110 g, 0.252 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give 12 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.120 g (60%). C₃₇H₃₅ClN₃O₄PPdS (790.59): calcd. C 56.21, H 4.46, N 5.31, S 4.05; found C 56.28, H 4.15, N 4.86, S 4.81. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 690 (40) $[M/2 - ClO_4]^+$. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1064$ (v_{CS}), 1542 $(v_{C=N})$, 2206 (v_{CN}) , 3232 (v_{NH}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta =$ 1.18 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H} = 6$, 3 H, Me), 2.09 (s, 3 H, NMe₂), 2.34 (s, 3 H, NMe₂), 3.72 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6, 1 H, CH), 6.30 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.9, 1 H, C_6H_4), 6.66 (m, 2 H, C_6H_4), 6.85 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.5, 1 H, C_6H_4), 7.13– 7.36 (m, 5 H, Ph), 7.73-7.92 (m, 15 H, PPh₃), 10.23 (br. s, 1 H, NH) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR ([D₆]DMSO): δ = 15.13 (Me), 43.91 (NMe₂), 48.63 (NMe₂), 51.29 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C} = 134.7$, P=C), 71.92 (CH), 118.05 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 15.9, CN), 121.55 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 24.5, C_{ipso}, PPh₃), 122.50 (s, C_{para}, NPh), 124.02, 125.46, 128.98, 135.06, 142.98, 152.54 (C₆H₄), 124.95 (C_{meta}, NPh), 128.48 (C_{ortho}, NPh), 129.68 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 12.7$, C_{meta} , PPh₃), 133.97 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 10.2$, C_{ortho} , PPh₃), 133.98 (C_{para}, PPh₃) 139.44 (C_{ipso}, NPh), 183.71 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 13.7$, C–S) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR ([D₆]DMSO): $\delta = 20.40$ (s, C=PPh₃) ppm.

Ph₃P=C(CN)-[(*E***)-C(CO₂Me)=CH(CO₂Me)] (L3): A suspension of Ph₃P=C(H)CN (1.000 g, 3.32 mmol) and DMAD (MeO₂C– C=C–CO₂Me) (0.41 mL, 0.472 g, 3.32 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was refluxed for 20 min under argon. Once cooled, the reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 18 h to give a yellow suspension. The precipitated solid of L3 was filtered, washed with MeOH (10 mL) and Et₂O (20 mL), and dried by suction. Yield: 0.951 g (64.6%). IR: \tilde{v} = 1531 (v_{CC}), 1689 (v_{COO}), 1744 (v_{COO}), 2169 (v_{CN}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): \delta = 3.46 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.54 (br. s, 3 H, OMe), 4.81 (s, 1 H, =CH), 7.51–7.70 (m,** 15 H, PPh₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 32.27 (d, ¹*J*_{P,C} = 129.9, P=C), 49.36 (OMe), 51.01 (OMe), 97.74 (d, ³*J*_{P,C} = 6.6, =CH), 120.26 (d, ¹*J*_{P,C} = 91.8, C_{*ipso*} PPh₃), 120.44 (d, ²*J*_{P,C} = 15.4, CN), 128.04 (d, ³*J*_{P,C} = 12.8, C_{*meta*}, PPh₃), 132.37 (d, ⁴*J*_{P,C} = 2.8, C_{*paras*}, PPh₃), 132.58 (d, ²*J*_{P,C} = 10.3, C_{*ortho*}, PPh₃), 150.90 (d, ²*J*_{P,C} = 8.8, =C), 165.15 (COO), 167.35 (COO) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 19.95 ppm.

Synthesis of 13: AgClO₄ (0.0935 g, 0.451 mmol) was added to a suspension of $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(C_6H_4CH_2NMe_2-C^2,N)]_2$ (0.124 g, 0.225 mmol) in thf (20 mL) under Ar. The resultant mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 min with exclusion of light, then filtered through a Celite pad. The freshly prepared solution of the bis(solvate) was treated with L3 (0.200 g, 0.451 mmol) to give a vellow solution, which was stirred for an additional 30 min. The yellow solution was then evaporated to dryness and the yellow residue was treated with Et₂O (30 mL) and stirred continuously to give 13 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.316 g (89.5%). C₃₅H₃₄ClN₂O₈PPd (783.49): calcd. C 53.65, H 4.37, N 3.57; found C 53.50, H 4.59, N 3.24. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 683 (100) [M - ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1557$ (v_{CC}) , 1698 (v_{COO}) , 1732 (v_{COO}) , 2192 (v_{CN}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR $(CDCl_3, 213 \text{ K}): \delta = 2.26 \text{ (s, 3 H, NMe}_2), 2.70 \text{ (s, 3 H, NMe}_2), 3.60$ (br., 2 H, CH₂N), 3.63 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.76 (br. s, 3 H, OMe), 4.81 (s, 1 H, =CH), 6.38 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.2, 1 H, C₆H₄), 6.68 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.8, 1 H, C₆H₄), 6.80 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.2, 1 H, C₆H₄), 6.91 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.2, 1 H, C₆H₄), 7.59–7.77 (m, 15 H, PPh₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 33.66 (d, ¹J_{PC} = 127.8, P=C), 51.13 (OMe), 52.88 (OMe), 52.20 (NMe₂), 72.93 (CH₂N), 102.62 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 5.1$, =CH), 120.54 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C} = 92$, C_{ipso} , PPh₃), 121.88, 125.19, 130.17, 134.62, 150.12 (C₆H₄, one of the quaternary C atoms was not observed), 125.79 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 15.37, CN), 129.96 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC}$ = 12.7, C_{meta}, PPh₃), 133.96 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 10.4$, C_{oho}, PPh₃), 134.44 (s, C_{para}, PPh₃), 149.86 $(d, {}^{2}J_{PC} = 7.4, =C), 165.90 (COO), 168.20 (d, {}^{3}J_{PC} = 11.1, COO)$ ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 20.18 (C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 14: Complex 14 was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for 13. Thus, [Pd(µ-Cl)(bhq- $(C,N)_2$ (bhq = C₁₃H₈N, 0.144 g, 0.225 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.0932 g, 0.45 mmol) and L3 (0.200 g, 0.45 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give 14 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.167 g (45%). C₃₉H₃₀ClN₂O₈PPd (827.50): calcd. C 56.61, H 3.65, N 3.38; found C 56.26, H 3.98, N 2.96. MS (ESI, positive ions): m/z = 726.9 [M – ClO_4]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1557 (v_{CC}), 1698 (v_{COO}), 1733 (v_{COO}), 2212 (v_{CN})$ cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 3.51 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.71 (br. s, 3 H, OMe), 4.85 (s, 1 H, =CH), 6.94 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.3, 1 H, bhq), 7.25 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 7.8, 1 \text{ H}, \text{ bhq}), 7.47 \text{ (m, 1 H, bhq)}, 7.53 \text{ (d, } {}^{3}J_{\text{H,H}} = 8.1, 1 \text{ H})$ H, bhq), 7.57–7.82 [m, 17 H, PPh₃ + 2 H(bhq)], 8.23 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.8, 1 H, bhq), 8.74 (br. s, 1 H, bhq) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 34.84$ (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC} = 127.7$, P=C), 51.20 (OMe), 53.22 (OMe), 102.81 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC} = 4.6$, =CH), 120.37 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC} = 91.8$, C_{ipso} , PPh₃), 121.93, 123.48, 126.69, 128.35, 128.63, 129.54, 131.85, 133.43, 137.69, 140.29, 143.19, 148.97, 153.55 (bhq), 126.49 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 15.37, CN), 130.08 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C}$ = 13.0, C_{meta}, PPh₃), 134.05 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 10.4, C_{ortho} , PPh₃), 134.47 (s, C_{para} , PPh₃), 149.86 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 7.4, =C), 165.68 (COO), 168.68 (COO) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.28$ (C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 15: Complex 15 was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for 13. Thus, $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(NC_5H_5-2-C_6H_4-C,N)]_2$ (0.074 g, 0.124 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.052 g, 0.25 mmol) and L3 (0.110 g, 0.25 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give 15 as a pale-yellow solid. Yield: 0.190 g (95%). $C_{37}H_{30}ClN_2O_8PPd$ (803.48): calcd. C 55.31, H 3.76, N 3.49; found C 55.26, H 3.98, N 3.17. MS (ESI, positive ions): m/z 702.9 [M – ClO_4]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1558$ (v_{CC}), 1698 (v_{COO}), 1732 (v_{COO}), 2192 (v_{CN})

cm^{-1.} ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 3.49 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.63 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.84 (s, 1 H, =CH), 6.63 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.8, 1 H, Phpy), 6.87 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.2, 1 H, Phpy), 7.05 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.2, 1 H, Phpy), 7.13 (t, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 6.6, 1 H, Phpy), 7.29 (d, ${}^{3}J_{H,H}$ = 7.5, 1 H, Phpy), 7.56 – 7.78 [m, 17 H, PPh₃ + 2 H (Phpy)], 7.85 (m, 1 H, Phpy), 7.56 – 7.78 [m, 17 H, PPh₃ + 2 H (Phpy)], 7.85 (m, 1 H, Phpy) ppm. ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 34.79 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 126.8, P=C), 51.18 (OMe), 52.85 (OMe), 102.77 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC}$ = 4.9, =CH), 118.97, 123.10, 123.71, 125.68, 129.48, 133.63, 140.04, 149.93, 145.12, 149.77, 150.06 (Phpy), 120.33 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 92, C_{ipsor} PPh₃), 126.25 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 15.8, CN), 130.07 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC}$ = 13.0, C_{metas} PPh₃), 133.92 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 10.3, C_{ortho} , PPh₃), 134.72 (s, C_{para} , PPh₃), 149.72 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 7.4, =C), 165.89 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 5.1, COO), 168.38 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC}$ = 11.6, COO) ppm. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 20.24 (C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 16: Complex 16 was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for 13. Thus, $[Pd(\mu -$ Cl)(NC9H6CH2-C,N)]2 (0.0718 g, 0.126 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.0524 g, 0.253 mmol) and L3 (0.112 g, 0.253 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give 16 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.189 g (94%). C₃₆H₃₀ClN₂O₈PPd (791.47): calcd. C 54.63, H 3.82, N 3.53; found C 54.37, H 3.92, N 3.18. MS (ESI, positive ions): m/z = 690.9 [M – ClO_4]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1557 (v_{CC}), 1698 (v_{COO}), 1731 (v_{COO}), 2200 (v_{CN})$ cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 3.46 (br. s, 2 H, CH₂Pd), 3.49 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.69 (br. s, 3 H, OMe), 4.81 (s, 1 H, =CH), 7.40 (m, 2 H, 8-mq), 7.66 (m, 17 H, PPh3 + 8-mq), 8.22 (br. s, 2 H, 8-mq) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 25.58 (PdCH₂), 34.50 (d, ¹J_{PC}) = 127.5, P=C), 51.16 (OMe), 52.97 (OMe), 102.41 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 2.9$, =CH), 120.41 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 91.9, C_{*ipso*}, PPh₃), 121.87, 124.30, 126.60, 128.20, 128.47, 129.31, 138.50, 150.51, 150.27 (8-mq), 130.05 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 12.9, C_{meta}, PPh_{3}$, 133.97 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 10.3, C_{ortho}, PPh_{3}$), 134.89 (C_{para}, PPh₃), 145.99 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 4.8, =C), 166.01 (COO), 168.60 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C}$ = 10.4, COO) ppm. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 20.12 (C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 17: Complex 17 was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for 13. Thus, $[Pd(\mu-Br)(\eta^3-$ C₃H₅)]₂ (0.066 g, 0.145 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.060 g, 0.290 mmol) and L3 (0.120 g, 0.29 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give 17 as an orange solid. Yield: 0.154 g (77%). C₂₉H₂₇ClNO₈PPd (690.36): calcd. C 50.45, H 3.94, N 2.03; found C 50.23, H 3.91, N 1.98. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 590 (47) [M - ClO₄]⁺. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1557$ (v_{CC}), 1695 (v_{COO}), 1732 (v_{COO}), 2192 (v_{CN}) cm^{-1}. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 2.22 (br., 2 H, CH₂, allyl), 2.73 (d, ³*J*_{H,H} = 10.5, 2 H, CH₂, allyl), 3.48 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.71 (br. s, 3 H, OMe), 4.76 (s, 1 H, =CH), 5.33 (q, 1 H, CH, allyl), 7.50 (m, 15 H, PPh₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 33.99 (d, ¹J_{P,C} = 127.2, P=C), 51.14 (OMe), 52.74 (OMe), 62.89 (CH₂ allyl), 102.33 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC} = 4.6$, =CH), 115.67 (CH allyl), 120.40 (d, ¹J_{P,C} = 92, C_{ipso}, PPh₃), 127.63 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 12.4, CN), 130.20 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C}$ = 12.9, C_{meta}, PPh₃), 133.90 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C} = 10.4$, C_{ortho}, PPh₃), 134.35 (d, ${}^{4}J_{P,C} = 5.5$, C_{para}, PPh₃), 150.00 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC} = 7.6$, =C), 165.92 (COO), 168.21 (d, ${}^{3}J_{PC} = 11.3$, COO) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 20.27$ (C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 18: Complex **18** was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for **13.** Thus, $[Pd(\mu-Cl){(S)-C_6H_4CH(Me)NMe_2-C^2,N}]_2$ (0.0725 g, 0.125 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.052 g, 0.250 mmol) and **L3** (0.111 g, 0.25 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give **18** as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.1964 g (98.2%). C₃₆H₃₆ClN₂O₈PPd (797.52): calcd. C 54.22, H 4.55, N 3.51; found C 54.20, H 4.47, N 3.30. MS (ESI, positive ions): *m*/*z* = 696.9 [M – ClO₄]⁺. IR: \tilde{v} = 1558 (v_{CC}), 1698 (v_{COO}), 1732 (v_{COO}), 2199 (v_{CN}) cm^{-1.} ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 1.45 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 6.0, 3 H, CMe), 2.52 (s, 3 H, NMe₂), 2.70 (s, 3 H, NMe₂), 3.48 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.67–3.74 (br. s, 4 H, OMe+CH), 4.81 (s, 1 H, =CH), 6.37 (d, ³J_{H,H} = 7.8, 1 H, C₆H₄), 6.67–6.73 (m, 2 H, C₆H₄), 6.92 (t, ³J_{H,H} = 7.8, 1 H,

C₆H₄), 7.24–7.75 (m, 15 H, PPh₃) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 18.91 (Me), 34.45 (d, ¹J_{P,C} = 127.3, P=C), 46.15 (NMe₂), 51.16 (OMe), 51.49 (NMe₂), 53.16 (OMe), 74.85 (CHN), 102.70 (d, ⁴J_{P,C} = 4.9, =CH), 119.85 (d, ¹J_{P,C} = 91.9, C_{ipso}, PPh₃), 122.15, 122.92, 125.10, 125.34, 152.21 (C₆H₄; C₂ was not observed), 125.95 (d, ²J_{P,C} = 16.2, CN), 130.03 (d, ³J_{P,C} = 12.9, C_{meta}, PPh₃), 133.99 (d, ²J_{P,C} = 10.4, C_{ortho}, PPh₃), 134.42 (d, ⁴J_{P,C} = 2.3, C_{para}, PPh₃), 149.78 (d, ²J_{P,C} = 7.6, =C), 165.82 (CO₂), 168.04 (d, ³J_{P,C} = 10.8, CO₂) ppm. ³¹P{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 20.17 (s, C=PPh₃) ppm.

Synthesis of 19: Complex 19 was prepared following a synthetic procedure similar to that reported for 13. Thus, [Pt(u-Cl){o- $CH_2C_6H_4P(o-MeC_6H_4)_2]_2$ (0.103 g, 0.096 mmol) was treated with AgClO₄ (0.040 g, 0.192 mmol) and L3 (0.170 g, 0.384 mmol), in thf (20 mL), to give 19 as a yellow solid. Yield: 0.161 g (80.2%). $C_{71}H_{64}ClN_2O_{12}P_3Pt$ (1460.76): calcd. C 58.38, H 4.42, N 1.92; found C 59.05, H 4.29, N 1.65. MS (FAB+): m/z (%) 941 (100) $[M - ylide - ClO_4]^+$. IR: $\tilde{v} = 1564 (v_{CC}), 1699 (v_{COO}), 1733 (v_{COO}),$ 2198 (v_{CN}) cm⁻¹. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 2.26 (s, 6 H, Me), 3.19 (s, 3 H, OMe), 3.48 (s, 2 H, PtCH₂), 3.50 (s, 6 H, 2 OMe), 3.69 (s, 3 H, OMe), 4.80 (s, 1 H, =CH), 4.85 (s, 1 H, =CH), 6.85-7.76 (m, 42 H, PPh₃ + C₆H₄) ppm. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 12.19 (PtCH₂), 23.08 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 7.0$, Me), 33.94 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C} = 127.7$, P=C), 34.31 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 128.3, P=C), 51.10 (OMe), 51.20 (OMe), 52.21 (OMe), 52.78 (OMe), 102.44 (d, ${}^{4}J_{PC} = 6.5$, =CH), 103.25 (d, ${}^{4}J_{PC}$ = 5.2, =CH), 120.29 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 92.1, C_{ipso}, PPh₃), 120.62 (d, ${}^{1}J_{P,C}$ = 92.1, C_{ipso} , PPh₃), 124.04 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 15.9, CN), 125.07 (d, ${}^{2}J_{P,C}$ = 16.2, CN), 126.04 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 10.8, C₆H₄), 129.77 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C}$ = 12.9, C_{meta} , PPh₃), 130.13 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C}$ = 12.9, C_{meta} , PPh₃), 131.30 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 8.9, C_6H_4), 131.71 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 11.6, C_6H_4), 133.33 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 5.0, C_6H_4), 133.34 (d, $J_{P,C}$ = 25.5, C_6H_4), 133.88 (d, ${}^2J_{P,C}$ = 10.4, C_{ortho} , PPh₃), 134.40 (d, ${}^{4}J_{P,C} = 2.6$, C_{para}, PPh₃), 134.74 (d, ${}^{4}J_{P,C} = 1.9$, C_{para} , PPh₃), 141.59 (d, $J_{P,C} = 10.7$, C_6H_4), 149.18 (d, ${}^2J_{P,C} = 30.9$, =C), 149.29 (d, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 30.3, =C), 157.26 (d, ${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 27.0, C₆H₄), 165.83 (COO), 165.99 (COO), 167.68 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C} = 13.9$, COO), 167.82 (d, ${}^{3}J_{P,C}$ = 15.9, COO) ppm. ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 18.09 (${}^{1}J_{Pt,P}$ = 4605, C^AP–Pt), 19.69 (C=PPh₃), 19.97 (C=PPh₃) ppm.

Crystallography. Data Collection: X-ray quality crystals were grown by slow vapor diffusion of Et₂O into a CH₂Cl₂ solution of the corresponding crude compound. A single crystal of dimensions $0.25 \times 0.18 \times 0.16$ mm³ (L1) or $0.30 \times 0.24 \times 0.06$ mm³ (L2) was mounted at the end of a quartz fiber in a random orientation and covered with epoxy. Data collection was performed on a Bruker Smart CCD diffractometer using graphite-monochromated Mo- K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). A hemisphere of data was collected in each case based on three ω -scan runs (starting $\omega = -30^{\circ}$) at values $\varphi = 0^{\circ}$, 90° and 180° with the detector at $2\theta = 30^{\circ}$. For each of these runs, frames (606, 435, and 230, respectively) were collected at 0.3° intervals and 10 s per frame. The diffraction frames were integrated using the program SAINT^[35] and the integrated intensities were corrected for absorption with SADABS.^[36]

Structure Solution and Refinement: The structures were solved and developed by Patterson and Fourier methods.^[37] All non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms were placed at idealized positions and treated as riding atoms. Each hydrogen atom was assigned an isotropic displacement parameter equal to 1.2-times the equivalent isotropic displacement parameter of its parent atom. The structures were refined to F_o^2 , and all reflections were used in the least-squares calculations.^[38]

Crystallographic Data for L1: $C_{28}H_{24}NO_2PS$, M = 469.51, T = 291(2) K, monoclinic C2/c, a = 26.710(3), b = 9.5585(1), c =

Crystallographic Data for L2: $C_{27}H_{21}N_2PS$, M = 436.49, T = 100(1) K, monoclinic P_{21}/c , a = 11.1040(2), b = 12.2318(2), c = 16.2397(2) Å, $\beta = 94.980(1)^\circ$, V = 2197.38(6) Å³, Z = 4, $D_{calcd.} = 1.319$ Mgm⁻³, $\mu = 0.238$ mm⁻¹, a total of 37050 reflections were collected, of which 5033 [$R_{int} = 0.0546$] independent reflections were used in the refinement of 284 parameters and 0 restraints. The final *R* factors were $R_1 = 0.0433$, $wR_2 = 0.1048$ for $I > 2\sigma(I)$ and $R_1 = 0.0548$, $wR_2 = 0.1095$ for all reflections, Goof = 1.061.

CCDC-612165 (for L1) and -612166 (for L2) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Acknowledgments

Funding by the Dirección General de Investigación Científica y Técnica (DGICYT) Spain (projects CTQ2005-01037 and CTQ2005-03141) is gratefully acknowledged. T.S. thanks the MECD (Spain) for a postdoctoral fellowship.

- a) R. Navarro, E. P. Urriolabeitia, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 4111 and references cited therein; b) A. W. Johnson, *Ylides and Imines of Phosphorus*, Wiley, Chichester, 1993; c)
 O. I. Kolodiazhnyi, *Phosphorus Ylides, Chemistry and Applica*tions in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1999.
- [2] For some representative examples, see: a) S. T. D. Gough, S. Trippett, J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 2333; S. T. D. Gough, S. Trippett, J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 2337; b) P. A. Chopard, R. J. G. Searle, F. H. Devitt, J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 1015; c) H. H. Wasserman, D. S. Ennis, C. A. Blum, V. M. Rotello, Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 6003; d) H. H. Wasserman, A. K. Petersen, J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 8972; e) R. A. Aitken, H. Hérion, C. E. R. Horsburg, N. Karodia, S. Seth, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1996, 485; f) R. A. Aitken, N. Karodia, P. Lightfoot, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2000, 333; g) M. K. Wong, C. W. Yu, W. H. Yuen, D. Yang, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 3606.
- [3] a) H. Bestmann, S. Pfohl, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1969, 8, 762; b) S. Trippett, J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 4733; c) P. J. Butterfield, J. C. Tebby, T. J. King, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1978, 1237; d) S. Trippett, D. M. Walker, J. Chem. Soc. 1959, 3874; e) Y. Ohshiro, Y. Mori, T. Minami, T. Agawa, J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 2076; f) Y. Ohshiro, Y. Mori, M. Komatsu, T. Agawa, J. Org. Chem. 1971, 36, 2029; g) J. B. Hendrickson, C. Hall, R. Rees, J. F. Templeton, J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 3312.
- [4] a) W. Lwowski, B. J. Walker, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1975, 1309; b) E. M. Briggs, G. W. Brown, W. T. Dawson, J. Jiricny, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1975, 641; c) T. C. W. Mak, J. Trotter, Acta Crystallogr. 1965, 18, 81; d) J. Barluenga, F. López, F. Palacios, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 1681; e) J. Barluenga, F. López, F. Palacios, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1986, 1574; f) J. Barluenga, F. López, F. Palacios, F. Sánchez-Ferrando, Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 381; g) J. Barluenga, F. López, F. Palacios, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1989, 2273; h) J. Barluenga, I. Merino, F. Palacios, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 5493; i) J. Barluenga, I. Merino, F. Palacios, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 341.
- [5] E. Ciganek, J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 1725.
- [6] H. Grützmacher, H. Pritzkow, Chem. Ber. 1989, 122, 1411.
- [7] a) P. Laavanya, U. Venkatasubramanian, K. Panchanatheswaran, J. A. Krause Bauer, *Chem. Commun.* 2001, 1660; b) J.

Vicente, M. T. Chicote, M. A. Beswick, M. C. Ramírez-de-Arellano, *Inorg. Chem.* 1996, 35, 6592; c) J. Vicente, M. T. Chicote, M. C. Lagunas, P. G. Jones, *Inorg. Chem.* 1995, 34, 5441;
d) E. C. Spencer, B. Kalyanasundari, M. B. Mariyatra, J. A. Howard, K. Panchanatheswaran, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2006, 359, 35.

- [8] a) M. Kalyanasundari, K. Panchanatheswaran, W. T. Robinson, H. Wen, J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 491, 103; b) B. Kalyanasundari, K. Panchanatheswaran, V. Parthasarathi, W. T. Robinson, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1999, 72, 33.
- [9] a) L. R. Falvello, S. Fernández, R. Navarro, I. Pascual, E. P. Urriolabeitia, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 763; b) O. Isler, H. Gutmann, M. Montavon, R. Ruegg, G. Ryser, P. Zeller, Helv. Chim. Acta 1957, 40, 1242.
- [10] E. Pretsch, P. Bühlmann, C. Affolter, A. Herrera, R. Martínez, Determinación Estructural de Compuestos Orgánicos, Springer-Verlag Ibérica, Barcelona, 2001.
- [11] V. N. Nesterov, V. E. Shklover, Y. T. Struchkov, Y. A. Sharanin, A. M. Shestopalov, A. S. Demerkov, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C* 1991, 47, 1927.
- [12] a) H. J. Bestmann, A. Pohlschmidt, K. Kumar, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 5955; b) L. Kniezo, P. Kristian, J. Imrich, *Tetrahedron* **1988**, *44*, 543.
- [13] a) G. Bombieri, E. Forsellini, U. Chiacchio, P. Fiandaca, G. Purrello, E. Foresti, R. Graziani, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1976, 1404; b) C. Puke, G. Erker, N. C. Aust, E.-U. Würthwein, R. Fröhlich, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 4863; c) L. Van Meervelt, G. S. Schuerman, V. S. Brovarets, N. I. Mishchenko, E. A. Romanenko, B. S. Drach, Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 1471; d) J. Hasek, K. Huml, H. Schenk, K. Goubitz, R. de Jong, D. Heijdenrijk, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1990, 46, 843.
- [14] A. Lledós, J. J. Carbó, E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Inorg. Chem.* 2001, 40, 4913.
- [15] F. H. Allen, O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, L. Brammer, A. G. Orpen, R. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1987, S1–S19.
- [16] a) M. Geoffroy, G. Rao, Z. Tancic, G. Bernardinelli, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2826; b) M. Shao, X. Jin, Y. Tang, Q. Huang, Y. Huang, Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 5343; c) M. Kalyanasundari, K. Panchanatheswaran, V. Parthasarathi, W. T. Robinson, H. Wen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1994, 50, 1738; d) P. D. Boyle, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C 1996, 52, 2859.
- [17] L. R. Falvello, S. Fernández, R. Navarro, E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Inorg. Chem.* 1996, 35, 3064.
- [18] A. Bondi, J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 441.
- [19] a) R. G. Pearson, *Inorg. Chem.* 1973, *12*, 712; b) J. Vicente, A. Arcas, D. Bautista, P. G. Jones, *Organometallics* 1997, *16*, 2127; c) C. Bartolomé, P. Espinet, L. Vicente, F. Villafañe, J. P. H. Charmant, A. G. Orpen, *Organometallics* 2002, *21*, 3536; d) J. Vicente, J. A. Abad, E. Martínez-Viviente, P. G. Jones, *Organometallics* 2002, *21*, 4454; e) J. Vicente, A. Arcas, D. Bautista, M. C. Ramírez de Arellano, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2002, *663*, 164; f) J. Vicente, J. A. Abad, A. D. Frankland, M. C. Ramírez de Arellano, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1999, *5*, 3066.
- [20] a) R. G. Pearson, J. Chem. Educ. 1968, 45, 581; b) G. Schwarzenbach, M. Shellenberg, Helv. Chim. Acta 1965, 48, 28; c) S. Ahrland, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1996, 154, 13; d) R. B. Martin, Inorg. Chim. Acta 2002, 339, 27.
- [21] E. Pretsch, J. Seibl, W. Simon, J. Clerc, *Tablas para la determinación estructural por métodos espectroscópicos*, Springer-Verlag Ibérica, Barcelona, **1998**, pp. I220 and C203.
- [22] a) L. Pandolfo, G. Facchin, R. Bertani, P. Ganis, G. Valle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1994, 33, 576; b) L. VanMeerrelt, R. N. Vydzhak, V. S. Brovarets, N. I. Mischenko, B. S. Drasch, Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 1889.
- [23] L. R. Falvello, S. Fernández, R. Navarro, E. P. Urriolabeitia, *Inorg. Chem.* 1997, 36, 1136.
- [24] a) G. Geary, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1971, 7, 81; b) R. D. Feltham, R. G. Hayter, J. Chem. Soc. 1964, 4587.

- [25] a) H. Schmitt, R. Lomoth, A. Magnuson, J. Park, J. Fryxelius, M. Kritikos, J. Mårtensson, L. Hammarström, L. Sun, B. Åkermark, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2002, *8*, 3757; b) B. F. G. Johnson, S. Hermans, T. Khimyak, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 1325; c) W. Henderson, B. K. Nicholson, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2004, 357, 2231; d) C. Decker, W. Henderson, B. K. Nicholson, *J. Or*ganomet. Chem. 2004, 689, 1691.
- [26] a) P. S. Pregosin, P. G. Anil Kumar, I. Fernández, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 2977; b) P. S. Pregosin, E. Martínez-Viviente, P. G. Anil Kumar, *Dalton Trans.* 2003, 4007.
- [27] R. J. Parker, K. D. Lu, S. R. Batten, B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray, L. Spiccia, J. D. Cashion, D. Rae, A. C. Willis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2002, 3723.
- [28] A. C. Cope, E. C. Friedrich, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1968, 90, 909.
- [29] a) A. Kasara, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1968, 41, 1272; b) B. N. Cockburn, D. V. Howe, T. Keating, B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 404.
- [30] a) A. J. Deeming, I. P. Rothwell, M. B. Hursthouse, L. New, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1978, 1490; b) J. Forniés, R. Navarro, V. Sicilia, Polyhedron 1988, 7, 2659; c) G. E. Hawell, R. V. Lawrence, M. J. Smas, J. Chem. Soc. C 1970, 912.
- [31] M. A. Gutiérrez, G. R. Newkome, J. Selbin, J. Organomet. Chem. 1980, 202, 341.

- [32] a) W. A. Herrmann, C. Brossman, K. Öfele, C. Reisinger, T. Priermeier, M. Beller, H. Fischer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1995, 34, 1844; b) L. R. Falvello, J. Forniés, A. Maín, R. Navarro, V. Sicilia, P. Villarroya, *Inorg. Chem.* 1997, 36, 6166.
- [33] J. Forniés, A. Maín, R. Navarro, V. Sicilia, P. Villarroya, Organometallics 1996, 15, 1826.
- [34] Y. Tatsuno, T. Yoshida, S. Otsuka, *Inorg. Synth.* 1990, 28, 342.
 [35] SAINT Version 5.0: Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Madison, WI.
- [36] G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS: Empirical absorption correction program, Göttingen University, 1996.
- [37] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-86, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A 1990, 46, 467.
- [38] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97: FORTRAN program for the refinement of crystal structures from diffraction data, Göttingen University, 1997. Molecular graphics were done using the commercial package SHELXTL-PLUS, Release 5.05/ V, Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, 1996.

Received: June 26, 2006 Published Online: September 22, 2006