COMMUNICATIC

The use of π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes in the synthesis of the resorcylic macrolides α - and β -zearalenol

Steven V. Ley * and Svenja Burckhardt

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Rd, Cambridge, UK CB2 1EW

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 24th July 2000, Accepted 15th August 2000 Published on the Web 29th August 2000

A highly stereoselective synthesis of α - and β -zearalenol 1 and 2 is accomplished utilising π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes 5 and 6 to establish the 1,5-stereochemical relationship of oxygen functionalities present in the natural products.

The 14-membered resorcylic macrolides α - and β -zearalenol 1 and 2 are estrogenic mycotoxins produced by certain species



of the fungus *Fusarium*.¹ Their hormonal activity is linked to the close spatial similarity to 17β -estradiol,² with the α -isomer **1** being three to four times as active as the β -isomer **2**.³ While several total syntheses of the parent compound zearalenone were accomplished over the last 30 years,⁴ to our knowledge no independent synthesis of **1** or **2** has been reported so far. Here we report the first enantioselective preparation of **1** and **2** employing π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes as key intermediates.

We have previously shown that organoaluminium reagents possessing active β -hydrogens, like tripropyl- and triisobutylaluminium, reduce carbonyl groups appended to the allyl ligand of π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes with excellent diastereoselectivity.⁵ Also, we recently reported that sodium triacetoxyborohydride efficiently decomplexes π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes bearing a hydroxy group in the side-chain to afford stereodefined 1,5-diols.⁶ By exploiting this methodology in this work, we show that π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes can be used to set up the relative oxygen atom stereochemistry present in the natural products.

The route to α - and β -zearalenol **1** and **2** relied upon the formation of the π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone intermediates **5** and **6**, respectively, whose preparation is delineated in Scheme 1. Reduction of the ester **3**⁷ using lithium aluminium hydride followed by Swern oxidation and Horner–Wadsworth– Emmons homologation with the phosphonate **18**,† prepared according to the methodology of Grieco,⁸ gave the corresponding (*E*)-enone in 83% yield over three steps. Deprotection of the acetonide under acidic conditions and transformation of the liberated diol to the cyclic sulfite using thionyl chloride⁹ afforded the compound **4** in 82% overall yield. Treatment of **4** with diironnonacarbonyl in benzene under sonication conditions¹⁰ provided the two diastereoisomeric π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes, *endo*-**5** and *exo*-**6**, in 70% combined yield and in a ratio of *ca.* 1:1. Separation of the two isomers **5** and **6** was readily achieved by flash column chromatography.

With these key intermediates in hand we were able to proceed to the target molecules α - and β -zearalenol 1 and 2 (Scheme 1). For example, for α -zearalenol 1, reduction of the side-chain ketone in the endo complex 5 was achieved in 94% yield using tripropylaluminium⁵ to give 7, as the sole product as determined by 600 MHz ¹H NMR analysis. Treatment of 7 with sodium triacetoxyborohydride in tetrahydrofuran⁶ resulted in a highly stereoselective decomplexation to afford, after TBDMSprotection and hydrogenation, the alcohol 8. Swern oxidation of 8 provided the corresponding aldehyde which in turn was transformed into the vinylstannane 9 by applying the procedure developed by Hodgson¹¹ utilising chromium(II) chloride and Bu₃SnCHI₂ in N,N-dimethylformamide. Stille coupling of the stannane 9 with the known aromatic iodide 10^{4f} using Farina's catalyst 12 provided the coupled product 11 in 82% yield. Treatment of 11 with HF-pyridine followed by hydrolysis of the methyl ester functionality using aqueous potassium hydroxide in ethane-1,2-diol at 120 °C provided the seco acid 12 in 83% yield over two steps.

Cyclisation of **12** using Mukaiyama's protocol¹³ afforded the desired MEM-protected α -zearalenol in 64% yield. Final deprotection of the MEM-ethers with aqueous hydrochloric acid in tetrahydrofuran at 40 °C provided α -zearalenol **1** in 93% yield and with a de of 94% as determined by 600 MHz ¹H NMR analysis { $[a]_D^{32}$ -93.6 (*c* 0.55 in acetone) [optical rotation obtained on an authentic sample $\ddagger [a]_D^{32}$ -97.3 (*c* 0.55 in acetone)]}.

Application of the same sequence of reactions to the *exo* complex **6** afforded the diastereoisomeric β -zearalenol **2** in similar overall yield *via* the intermediates **13** to **17**, as shown in Scheme 1 {de >95% as determined by 600 MHz ¹H NMR analysis; $[a]_{D}^{32}$ -12.5 (*c* 1.00 in acetone) [optical rotation obtained on an authentic sample $\ddagger [a]_{D}^{32}$ -12.9 (*c* 1.00 in acetone)]}.

These highly stereoselective syntheses of α - and β -zearalenol **1** and **2** clearly demonstrate the utility of carbonyl substituted π -allyltricarbonyliron lactone complexes in organic synthesis. Using the *endo* complex **5** as well as the *exo* complex **6** we were able to set up the required 1,5-stereochemical relationship of oxygen functionalities present in the natural products.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Stiftung Stipendien-Fonds des Verbandes der Chemischen Industrie (to S. B.) and the Novartis Research Fellowship (to S. V. L.) for generously funding this work.

3028 J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 3028–3030



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i. LiAlH₄, Et₂O, 0 °C, 2 h; ii. (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, DCM, -78 °C, 3 h; iii. (EtO)₂P(O)CH₂CO(CH₂)₄OBn 18,† NaH, THF, -78 °C, 1 h, 83% (over 3 steps); iv. AcOH–H₂O (1:1), 40 °C, 24 h, 92%; v. SOCl₂, Et₃N, Et₂O, 0 °C, 30 min, 89%; vi. Fe₂(CO)₉, benzene, sonication, 30 °C, 3 h, 35% **5**, 35% **6**; vii. AlPr^a₃, DCM, 0 °C, 94% (80%); § viii. NaBH(OAc)₃, THF, 3 d, 75% (83%); ix. TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF, 0 °C, 30 min, then rt 24 h, 87% (85%); x. Pd/C (10%), H₂, EtOAc, 30 min, 94% (93%); xi. (COCl)₂, DMSO, Et₃N, DCM, -78 °C, 3 h, 86% (80%); xiii. Bu₃SnCHI₂, CrCl₂, DMF, 0 °C, 67% (69%); xiii. methyl 4,6-bis[(2-methoxyethoxy)methoxy]-2-iodobenzoate 10, Pd₂(dba)₃, P(2-furyl)₃, toluene, 100 °C, 4 h, 82% (85%); xiv. HF ·pyridine, THF, 12 h, 95% (93%); xv. 10 M aqueous KOH, ethane-1,2-diol, 120 °C, 4 h, 87% (91%); xvi. syringe pump addition of a solution of the seco acid and Et₃N in MeCN over 10 h to 1-methyl-2-chloropyridinium iodide, MeCN, reflux, 64% (62%); xvii. 1.5 M aqueous HCl, THF, 40 °C, 93% (93%).

Notes and references

 \dagger Compound 18 was prepared by alkylation of the dianion of diethyl (2-oxopropyl)phosphonate (NaH, BuLi, 0 °C) with benzyl 3-bromo-propyl ether (82%).

 \ddagger Authentic samples of $\alpha\text{-}$ and $\beta\text{-}zearalenol$ were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

§ Yields given refer to the synthesis of α -zearalenol 1, while those given in parentheses correspond to the synthesis of β -zearalenol 2.

- 1 For a review see: V. Betina, in *Mycotoxins: Chemical, Biological and Environmental Aspects*, ed. V. Betina, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1989, p. 271.
- 2 W. T. Shier, Rev. Med. Vet. (Toulouse), 1998, 149, 599.
- 3 W. M. Hagler, C. J. Mirocha, S. V. Pathre and J. C. Behrens, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1979, **37**, 849.
- 4 For selected racemic syntheses of zearalenone see: (a) D. Taub, N. N. Girotra, R. D. Hoffsommer, C. H. Kuo, H. L. Slates, S. Weber and N. L. Wendler, *Tetrahedron*, 1968, 24, 2443; (b) E. J. Corey and K. C. Nicolaou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1974, 96, 5614; (c) S. Masamune, S. Kamata and W. Schilling, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 3515; (d) T. Takahashi, H. Ikeda and J. Tsuji, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1981, 22, 1363 and references therein; for chiral syntheses of naturally occurring (S)-zearalenone see: (e) G. Solladié, M. Carmen Maestro, A. Rubio, C. Pedregal, M. Carmen Carreño and J. L.

Garcia Ruano, J. Org. Chem., 1991, **56**, 2317; (f) A. Kalivretenos, J. K. Stille and L. S. Hegedus, J. Org. Chem., 1991, **56**, 2883; (g) E. Keinan, S. C. Sinah and A. Sinah-Bagchi, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1991, 3333; (h) S. A. Hitchcock and G. Pattenden, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1992, 1323; (i) Z. Q. Wang and S. K. Tian, Chin. Chem. Lett., 1997, **8**, 591; (j) K. C. Nicolaou, N. Wissinger, J. Pastor and F. Murphy, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1998, **37**, 2534.

- 5 S. V. Ley, G. Meek, K.-H. Metten and C. Piqué, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 1931; S. V. Ley, L. R. Cox, G. Meek, K.-H. Metten, C. Piqué and J. M. Worrall, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1997, 3299.
- 6 S. V. Ley, S. Burckhardt, L. R. Cox and J. M. Worrall, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 229; S. V. Ley, S. Burckhardt, L. R. Cox and J. M. Worrall, *J. Chem. Soc.*, *Perkin Trans.* 1, 2000, 211.
- 7 S. Servi, J. Org. Chem., 1985, 50, 5865.
- 8 P. A. Grieco and C. S. Pogonowski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 3071.
- 9 Y. Gao and K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 7538.
- 10 M. Caruso, J. G. Knight and S. V. Ley, Synlett, 1990, 224.
- 11 D. M. Hodgson, A. M. Foley and P. J. Lovell, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 6419.
- 12 V. Farina and B. Krishnan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 9585.
- 13 T. Mukaiyama, M. Usui and K. Saigo, Chem. Lett., 1976, 49.