The 'valence tautomers' of *o*-iodosobenzoic acid: the case of 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic acid

Robert A. Moss,*† Saketh Vijayaraghavan and T. J. Emge

Department of Chemistry, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903, USA

Contrary to a previous report, only the closed (iodoxolone) form of 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic acid (3a) can be isolated; the previously assigned open (iodoso) form (3b) is actually 4-pentanoyl-2-iodobenzoic acid.

o-Iodosobenzoic acid (IBA, **1**) has long been known¹ to exist in the cyclic 1-hydroxy-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1*H*)-one form (**2**).^{2,3} X-Ray crystal structure⁴ and theoretical studies⁵ agree that the cyclic form is the better representation, although the internal I–O bond is longer than a 'normal' single I–O bond, indicating significant 'open' character.^{4b,c,5}

A valence tautomeric representation of IBA $(1 \rightleftharpoons 2)$ suggests that both 1 and 2 can exist as separate entities. Such

representations have often appeared,⁶ even if they were not intended to imply the simultaneous presence of interconverting open ('iodoso') and closed (iodoxolone) forms. Thus, in 1990, Panetta *et al.* reported the *separate isolation* of the iodoxolone and iodoso valence tautomers of 4-propyl- as well as 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic acids.⁷ These extraordinary results have been reiterated in a recent authoritative review,^{3b} so that it becomes imperative to verify them, particularly because of the importance of IBA and its analogues as decontamination agents for toxic phosphonates and phosphates.^{4b,c,5-8}

We have now reinvestigated the case of the 4-pentyl 'tautomers' (3a and 3b), and report here that the previously described compounds were misassigned; in fact, only a single 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic acid can be isolated, and it is best represented as the 'closed' iodoxolone compound, 3a.

$$C_5H_{11}$$
 3a OH C_5H_{11} 3b

The origin of the misassignments in ref. 7 lies in the synthetic sequence, which we summarize in Scheme 1. 4-Pentylbenzyl alcohol (4) was first regiospecifically iodinated to 5.7.9

In the following and key step, iodo alcohol **5** was oxidized to 4-pentyl-2-iodobenzoic acid (**6**) using phase transfer catalysis in a KMnO₄-water-benzene system. This reaction led not only to the desired **6**, in 63% yield, but also to a second, chromatographically-separated product, **X** (25%, mp 115–116°C), assigned as 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic acid in its cyclic (iodoxolone) form, **3a**. A separate H_2O_2/Ac_2O oxidation for **6** afforded 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic acid **Y** (mp 188.5–189.5°C) assigned as the open (iodoso) valence tautomer, **3b**.

The assignments⁷ of **X** and **Y** rest on acceptable elemental analyses for C₁₂H₁₅IO₃, suggestive of isomerism, as well as IR

$$C_5H_{11}$$
 C_5H_{11}
 C_7
 C_7

Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, BuLi, Me₂NCH₂CH₂NMe₂; ii, I₂; iii, KMnO₄–H₂O, C₆H₆, cat. Bu₄P+Cl $^-$; iv, 30% H₂O₂, Ac₂O, 40 °C, 20 h

carbonyl bands for **X** at 1710 cm⁻¹ and **Y** at 1650 cm⁻¹. The higher frequency C=O band of **X** was considered indicative of a 'lactone' structure as in **3a**. However, it is known² that the carbonyl band of IBA, in its iodoxolone form, is at 1633 cm⁻¹ (Nujol), so that the reported IR band of **X** at 1710 cm⁻¹ is inconsistent with structure **3a**; it is **Y** (1650 cm⁻¹) that is more likely to merit this assignment.

We repeated the synthetic sequence of Scheme 1.7 In particular, the permanganate oxidation of **5**, after chromatography of the product on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc–HOAc, 79:20:1 to 28:70:2) afforded **6** (48%, mp 67.5–68.5 °C, lit.⁷ 68.0–69.0 °C) and **X** (10%, mp 115–116 °C, lit.⁷ 115–116 °C).

Our sample of X displayed the same mp, an experimentally comparable elemental analysis, and a similar IR C=O band (1707 cm⁻¹) relative to those reported⁷ for '**3a**'. Nevertheless, several observations indicated that X was not 3a. (1) The NMR spectrum of X_{+}^{+} revealed only four sets of alkyl protons rather than the anticipated five. (2) The pentyl benzylic resonance of 5 (a triplet at δ 2.55) was missing in **X**, while a more deshielded triplet appeared at δ 3.1. (3) The IR spectrum (KBr) of X revealed two intense C=O absorptions at 1707 and 1686 cm⁻¹. The Supplementary Material for ref. 7 reports this band at 1685 cm⁻¹; it can be assigned to an aromatic CO₂H. The former band was assigned to the carbonyl of 3a, but the 'lactone' carbonyl group of (e.g.) 2 is known to absorb at 1633 cm⁻¹ (Nujol).² (4) Compound X was kinetically inactive toward p-nitrophenyl diphenyl phosphate (PNPDPP) in aqueous micellar cetyltrimethylammonium chloride (CTACl) at pH 8 (see Table 1), whereas authentic benziodoxolones (e.g. 2) rapidly cleave PNPDPP under these conditions. 8a,b (5) Additionally, \mathbf{X} did not oxidize iodide to iodine, a common property of iodosobenzoates.8b

Accordingly, an X-ray crystal structure determination was carried out for X, \S revealing it to be not an iodoso compound at all, but 4-pentanoyl-2-iodobenzoic acid (7) (Fig. 1). Clearly, the KMnO₄ oxidation of **5** to **6** must have been accompanied by overoxidation¹² at the benzylic position of the pentyl chain, affording (both) ketone **7** (and iodoterphthalic acid). Structure **7** immediately accounts for the spectral characteristics of **X** itemized above in points (1)–(3), \P and, of course, **7** should also be inactive in the hydrolysis of PNPDPP or the oxidation of iodide [points (4) and (5)].

Compound **Y**, which we obtained from the peroxide oxidation of **6** (Scheme 1) had a mp identical to the compound previously obtained,⁷ and is actually 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic

Fig. 1 ORTEP diagram of X (4-pentanoyl-2-iodobenzoic acid, 7)

acid, best represented as 3a (not 3b7). Thus, Y (3a) gave both an appropriate elemental analysis [C, 43.1; H, 4.53; I, 38.0%) and NMR spectrum. The IR (KBr) spectrum of 3a displayed its C=O band at 1602 cm⁻¹, considerably lower than the reported⁷ 1650 cm⁻¹. However, benziodoxolone carbonyl bands are very sensitive to conditions of their determination; the C=O absorption of 2 has been variously reported at 1633,2 1612,6b and 1605^{6b} cm^{−1}. Additionally, **3a** showed the expected² (I)OH absorptions at 2928 and 2444 cm⁻¹. A standard iodometric titration¹³ of **3a** gave 93% of I=O oxidative activity

Most importantly, 3a was very reactive toward PNPDPP. Its kinetic properties were assessed from a rate constant-[surfactant] profile for the cleavage of PNPDPP in micellar CTACl;8b conditions and results appear in Table 1. Not only is Y (3a) highly reactive toward PNPDPP, where \mathbf{X} (7) is inactive (entry 2), but 3a affords an acceleration of 1460 relative to micellar CTACl alone (entry 1), 4.6 times greater than the acceleration provided by the parent IBA (2) (entry 3). This reactivity advantage is an expected consequence of the hydrophobic pentyl group of 3a, which affords better binding of 3a to the micellar phase in which the phosphorolytic reaction oc-

Table 1 Rate constants for the cleavage of PNPDPPa

I	Entry	Catalyst	$k_{\psi}/10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$	$k_{\rm rel}$
	[None ^b	2.05^{c}	1.00
2	2	X (7)	2.00	0.98
3	3	2	640^{d}	312
4	1	$\mathbf{Y}(3\mathbf{a})^e$	3000f	1460
4	5 g	2	18.3	8.9
(5 g	Y (3a)	63.3	30.9

^a For background, see ref. 8(b). Conditions for entries 1–4: [CTAC1] = 1.0 $\times 10^{-3}$ M, [PNPDPP] = 1.0×10^{-5} M, [catalyst] = 1.0×10^{-4} M, pH 8, 0.02 м phosphate buffer, $\mu = 0.08$ (NaCl), 25 °C. Rate constants were determined by monitoring the time dependent absorbance of the released p-nitrophenylate ion at 400 nm. ^b CTACl alone. ^c Given as 1.8×10^{-4} s⁻¹ in ref. 6(a). d Ref. 8(b). e [PNPDPP] = 3.0×10^{-5} M, [Y] = 3.0×10^{-4} M. f Stopped-flow determination. g Microemulsion conditions: 8% (w/w) CTABr, 8% N-methylpyrrolidinone, 4% toluene, 80% 0.03 M aqueous $Na_2B_4O_7\cdot 10H_2O$ buffer, pH 9.4, 25 °C; [PNPDPP] = 3 × 10⁻⁵ M, [catalyst] $= 3 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M}.$

Although we could not obtain crystals of 3a suitable for X-ray analysis, its closed, 'lactone' structure follows from the IR spectrum,² and from its kinetic properties toward PNPDPP (which link 3a to other phosphorolytically reactive iodosobenzoates for which the closed structure has been established).4-6,8 'iodoso' such True compounds, m-iodosobenzoic acid, show little esterolytic reactivity.8a Additionally, we determined the p K_a of 3a as 6.8 from a pH–rate constant profile 4c,5,8b for the cleavage of PNPDPP by **3a** in 0.02 м micellar CTACl and 0.02 м phosphate buffer over the pH range 5.35–7.68. A p K_a ~7 is appropriate for an o-iodosobenzoate in the iodoxolone form.^{2,8}

Finally, 3a was reported to be 477 times less reactive than itself **IBA** toward PNPDPP in CTABra N-methylpyrollidinone-toluene-aqueous borate microemulsion, a phenomenon attributed to incorporation of the more

hydrophobic catalyst into the oily interior of the microemulsion.7 However, we find 3a to be quite reactive toward PNPDPP under these conditions (Table 1, entry 6); indeed, it is actually ~3.5 times more reactive than IBA (entry 5), paralleling the results in micellar CTACl (see above, and entries 3 and 4). Note (Table 1) that both IBA and 3a are less reactive toward PNPDPP in the microemulsion than in micellar CTACl, an expected consequence of lessened mutual catalyst/substrate concentration in the microemulsion.14

In conclusion, only one 4-pentyl-2-iodosobenzoic acid can be isolated, and it is best represented as iodoxolone 3a. A similar situation is likely to hold for 4-propyl-2-iodosobenzoic acid⁷ as well.

We are grateful to the US Army Research Office for financial support.

Notes and References

† E-mail: moss@rutchem.rutgers.edu

 $\delta_{\rm H}[({\rm CD_3})_2{\rm CO}, 200~{\rm MHz}] 0.92~({\rm t}, J~8, 3{\rm H}), 1.4~({\rm sext.}, J~8, 2{\rm H}), 1.7~({\rm pent.},$ J 8, 2H), 3.1 (t, J 8, 2H), 7.9 (d, J 8, 1H), 8.1 (AB dd, J 8, 1.6, 1H), 8.5 (d, J 1.6, 1H).

§ Crystal data for 7: $C_{12}H_{13}O_3I$, M=332.12, colorless rods, 0.06×0.54 \times 0.60 mm, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 4.2397(11), b =29.477(5), c = 10.222(2) Å, $\beta = 101.92(2)^{\circ} U = 1249.9(5) \text{ Å}^3$, Z = 4, D_c = 1.765 g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K α) = 25.52 cm⁻¹, F(000) = 648. The 2340 total data were collected at 20 °C using graphite monochromatized Mo-Ka radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$), and converged at $R_1 = 0.0507$, $wR_2 = 0.0889$ for all 2157 unique data. CCDC 182/910.

¶ Note too that the calculated elemental analysis of 7, $C_{12}H_{13}IO_3$ [C, 43.4; H, 3.94; I, 38.2%] is nearly within accepted limits of the calculated analysis for **3**, C₁₂H₁₅IO₃ [C, 43.1; H, 4.52; I, 38.0%].

 $\|\delta([^{2}\text{H}_{6}]\text{DMSO}, 200 \text{ MHz}) 0.83 \text{ (t, } J \text{ 8, 3H), } 1.3 \text{ (m, 2CH}_{2}, 4\text{H), } 1.62 \text{ (pent., }$ J 8, 2H), 2.75 (t, J 8, 2H), 7.5 (d, J 8, 1H), 7.6 (s, 1H), 7.9 (d, J 8, 2H), 7.95 (s, 1H, OH exchangeable with D2O).

- 1 V. Meyer and W. Wachter, Chem. Ber., 1892, 25, 2632; C. Wilgerodt, Die Organische Verbindungen mit Mehrwertigen Jod, Enke, Stuttgart, 1914, p. 134; D.E. Banks, Chem. Rev., 1966, 66, 243 (see p. 255)
- 2 G. P. Baker, F. G. Mann, N. Sheppard and A. J. Tetlow, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 3721.
- (a) G. F. Koser, in The Chemistry of Functional Groups, Suppl. D, ed. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley, New York, 1983, pp. 721f; (b) P. J. Stang and V. V. Zhdankin, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 1123; (c) A. Varvoglis, The Organic Chemistry of Polycoordinated Iodine, VCH, New York, 1992, p. 168f.
- 4 (a) E. Shefter and W. Wolf, J. Pharm. Sci., 1965, 54, 104; (b) A. R. Katritzky, G. P. Savage, G. J. Palenik, K. Qian, Z. Zhang and H. D. Durst, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1990, 1657; (c) R. A. Moss, K. Bracken and T. J. Emge, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 7739.
- 5 R. A. Moss, B. Wilk, K. Krogh-Jespersen, J. T. Blair and J. D. Westbrook, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 250; R. A. Moss, B. Wilk, K. Krogh-Jespersen and J. D. Westbrook, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111,
- 6 (a) R. A. Moss, S. Chatterjee and B. Wilk, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 4303; (b) A. R. Katritzky, G. P. Savage, J. K. Gallos, and H. D. Durst, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1990, 1515.
- 7 C. A. Panetta, S. M. Garlick, H. D. Durst, F. R. Longo and J. R. Ward, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 5202.
- 8 (a) R. A. Moss, K. Alwis and G. O. Bizzigotti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 681; (b) R. A. Moss, K. W. Alwis and J.-S. Shin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 2651; (c) R. A. Moss, K. Y. Kim and S. Swarup, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 788; (d) P. S. Hammond, J. S. Forster, C. N. Lieske and H. D. Durst, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 7860.
- 9 N. Meyer and D. Seebach, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1978, 17, 521.
- 10 A. W. Herriott and D. Picker, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 16, 1511.
- A. R. Katritzky, B. L. Duell, H. D. Durst and B. L. Knier, J. Org. Chem., 1988, **53**, 3972.
- 12 H-J. Schmidt and H.J. Schäfer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1979, 18,
- 13 H. J. Lucas and E. R. Kennedy, Org. Synth., 1955, Coll. Vol. 3, 482.
- 14 (a) R. A. Moss, R. Fujiyama, H. Zhang, Y.-C. Chung and K. McSorley, Langmuir, 1993, 9, 2902; (b) R. Mackay, F. R. Longo, B. L. Knier and H. D. Durst, J. Phys. Chem., 1987, 91, 861.

Received in Corvallis, OR, USA, 17th April 1998; 8/02902D