Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 8992-9008

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

Structural effects in pyrazolidinone-mediated organocatalytic Diels–Alder reactions

Eoin Gould^a, Tomas Lebl^a, Alexandra M.Z. Slawin^a, Mark Reid^b, Andrew D. Smith^{a,*}

^a EaStCHEM, School of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, North Haugh, St Andrews, Fife, KY16 9ST, UK^b MSD, Newhouse, Motherwell, ML1 5SH, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 June 2010 Received in revised form 17 August 2010 Accepted 6 September 2010 Available online 21 September 2010

ABSTRACT

A range of pyrazolidin-3-ones have been prepared and their activity as catalysts for iminium-ion promoted Diels—Alder reactions evaluated. Systematic variation of the C(5)- and N(2)-substituents indicates that the incorporation of an electron withdrawing substitutent at N(2) and either a Ph or CF₃ substitution at C(5) results in optimal catalytic activity. The diastereoisomeric resolution of a model C(5)-Ph substituted pyrazolidinone and its ability to impart modest levels of asymmetric induction in the organocatalytic Diels—Alder reaction is also demonstrated.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Within the last decade, the use of chiral secondary amines to act as catalysts for a plethora of iminium-ion catalysed transformations of α,β -unsaturated aldehydes has been developed,¹ inspired by the initial pioneering work of MacMillan and co-workers who used imidazolidinone **1** to promote the asymmetric Diels–Alder reaction of an enal and a diene (Fig. 1).² Since this landmark publication, a range of alternative catalyst architectures have been applied in iminium-ion promoted reactions, with other imidazolidinones, such as 2^3 or diarylprolinols, such as 3^4 , often used to perform a variety of asymmetric transformations with high enantioselectivity.⁵ In the specific area of iminium-ion promoted Diels-Alder reactions, a number of catalysts with markedly different structures have been applied,⁶ with pyrrolidines $\mathbf{4}^7$ and $\mathbf{5}^8$ representative. A five-membered ring is not a prerequisite for catalytic activity, however, as exemplified by aziridine 6^9 and the acyclic catalysts 7^{10} and 8.11

While extensive progress has been made in the development of highly stereoselective iminium-ion promoted reactions, relatively limited studies concerning a detailed mechanistic understanding of these processes, and the structural effects that lead to high catalytic efficiency, have been reported. Seminal work by Tomkinson and coworkers has investigated extensively the effect of structural changes to simple secondary hydrazides upon their catalytic activity in the iminium-ion promoted Diels–Alder reaction.¹² Notably, in a series of acyclic hydrazides, the introduction of an electron-withdrawing *N*-methyl carbamate adjacent to the reactive nitrogen





Fig. 1. Structural diversity in iminium-ion organocatalysts.





^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: ads10@st-andrews.ac.uk (A.D. Smith).

such as **13** offer high levels of asymmetric induction in the Diels–Alder reaction (up to 94% ee),¹⁴ while Lee and co-workers have introduced the related sulfonyl hydrazide **14** as asymmetric catalysts of this reaction.¹⁵ Notably, recent kinetic studies by Tomkinson and co-workers have determined that the rate-determining step of the Diels–Alder reaction catalysed by pyrrolidine **12**, incorporating an electron withdrawing CF_3 group at C(2), was the C–C bond-forming step, rather than iminium-ion formation.¹⁶



Fig. 2. Catalyst architectures explored by Tomkinson and related catalysts by Ogilvie and Lee.

Building upon these studies, and our interest in the development of catalytically efficient organocatalytic transformations,¹⁷ we wished to prepare a series of structurally related pyrazolidin-3-ones and systematically evaluate substituent effects upon their catalytic efficiency in the iminium-ion catalysed Diels–Alder reaction.¹⁸ In this manuscript we delineate a simple and flexible route to pyrazolidin-3-ones, that allows the incorporation of a range of C(5)alkyl or aryl substituents, and N(2)-alkyl, N(2)-acyl or N(2)-carboxyl substituents. The effect of changing these substituents upon the catalytic efficiency of iminium-ion catalysed reactions is tested in the Diels–Alder reaction of cyclopentadiene with a range of enals, before a simple resolution protocol is used to prepare an enantiomerically pure pyrazolidinone for probing asymmetric induction in this reaction manifold (Fig. 3).



2. Results and discussion

2.1. Pyrazolidin-3-one synthesis

Initial studies focused upon the preparation of a range of *N*-benzyl substituted pyrazolidin-3-ones bearing C(5)-methyl, *iso*-propyl,

phenyl or trifluoromethyl substituents, respectively, in order to probe the effect of the C(5)-substituent upon catalytic efficiency and diastereoselectivity. The desired pyrazolidinones **31–34** were readily prepared by addition of hydrazine to the corresponding α , β -unsaturated ester,¹⁹ regioselective *N*-Boc protection,²⁰ N-benzylation and *N*-Boc deprotection (Scheme 1). The structures of **21**, **27** and **34** were unambiguously confirmed by X-ray analysis, consistent with the expected regioselectivity of these transformations (Figs. 4–6).



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (i) hydrazine hydrate, EtOH, reflux; (ii) di-*tert* butyl dicarbonate, Na₂CO₃, dioxane/H₂O, rt; (iii) benzyl bromide, DMF, K₂CO₃, rt; (iv) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, rt. * α , β -Unsaturated ester **16** was prepared directly from *iso*-butyralde-hyde and ethyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate²¹ and was not isolated due to its volatility. Yield refers to the two-step procedure.



Fig. 4. Molecular representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 21.



Fig. 5. Molecular representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 27.



Fig. 6. Molecular representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 34. The unit cell contains two molecules of 34 but for clarity only one is shown.

In order to probe structural effects upon the catalytic activity of pyrazolidin-3-ones, a series of C(5)methyl-substituted catalysts were prepared that differed in either skeletal complexity or N(2) substitution. N(2)-Methyl **36** was readily prepared by N-methylation and *N*-Boc deprotection from **23**, while *gem*-dimethyl

substituted **38** was also prepared in an unoptimised procedure by bis-alkylation of **27** and *N*-Boc deprotection (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) MeI, DMF, K_2CO_3 , rt; (ii) TFA, CH_2CI_2 , rt; (iii) 5.0 equiv KHMDS, -78 °C, THF, then 10 equiv MeI, -78 °C to rt, then 5.0 equiv KHMDS, -78 °C, THF, then 10 equiv MeI, -78 °C to rt.

To further probe the effect of N(2)-substitution upon catalytic activity, the incorporation of electron withdrawing substituents, such as sulfonyl, acyl or carboxyl groups was desired. Following the method of Corey and co-workers,²² N-triflation of **23** in the presence of triethylamine was attempted, but exclusive O-, rather than N-triflation to generate **39** was observed (Scheme 3). N-Tosylation of **23** was also attempted but only O-tosylation to give **40** was observed, irrespective of the choice of base (triethylamine, sodium hydride, *n*-butyllithium or potassium carbonate). The structure of O-tosyl **40** was unambiguously proven by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 7).



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (i) NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂, then triflic anhydride, -78 °C to rt (52%), (ii) NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂, then tosyl chloride, -78 °C to rt (46%).



Fig. 7. Molecular representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 40.

The incorporation of acyl or carboxyl electron withdrawing groups at N(2) was next investigated. Treatment of 23 with NEt₃ or NaH followed by benzoyl chloride gave selective O-functionalisation to give 41. However, following the method of Vorozhtsov and coworkers,²³ refluxing O-benzoyl **41** in toluene resulted in rearrangement to give the desired *N*-benzoyl pyrazolidinone **43** in good yield. While treatment of 23 with NEt₃ and benzyl chloroformate again gave selective O-functionalisation to give **42**, treatment with NaH and benzyl chloroformate gave N-benzyloxycarbonylpyrazolidinone 44 directly. Compounds 43 and 44 were then readily deprotected to give the desired pyrazolidinones 45 and 46, respectively (Scheme 4). The structure of 43 was unambiguously proven by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 8).



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (i) NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂, then benzoyl chloride or benzyl chloroformate, -78 °C to rt; (ii) toluene, reflux; (iii) NaH, CH₂Cl₂, then benzyl chloroformate, -78 °C to rt; (iv) TFA, CH2Cl2, rt.



Fig. 8. Molecular representation of the X-ray crystal structure of 43.

Given the problems with selective N-functionalisation of N-Boc pyrazolidinone 23, a simple method for determining if functionalisation at either N- or O- had occurred was devised using ¹⁵N NMR.^{24,25} For selected compounds the values of ¹⁵N chemical shifts were obtained experimentally by ¹H,¹⁵N HMBC and also calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G* level of theory (Table 1). In the case of N functionalised compounds (25, 27, 43 and 44), the N(2) atom has three substituents and shows sp³ character, while in O-functionalised compounds (40 and 42), the N(2) atom has two substituents and shows sp² character. This change results in O-functionalised compounds showing a significant downfield shift of the corresponding ¹⁵N resonance relative to N-substitution by around 140 ppm, allowing the N(2) chemical shift to be used as a simple diagnostic tool. In contrast, there is no change in the hybridization of N(1) in all compounds and therefore its ¹⁵N chemical shift variation is only marginal (127-156 ppm). The calculated values are slightly overestimated with an average deviation of 11.7 ppm but, nonetheless, there is good agreement between the experimental and theoretical data.

Table 1



2.2. Pyrazolidin-3-one catalyst testing

With a range of pyrazolidinones prepared, their efficiency in catalysis was tested using the Diels-Alder reaction of cyclopentadiene and cinnamaldehyde. Using C(5)-Me N-benzyl catalyst **31** and monitoring the reaction by ¹H NMR spectroscopy, modest levels of catalytic activity were observed using triflic acid as the

co-catalyst in H₂O (20% conversion in 24 h). Changing the reaction solvent to methanol and using either triflic acid or HCl as co-catalyst gave good conversion (>90%) although with disappointing turnover, requiring 4-5 days for high conversion. Triflic acid was selected for further studies as it gave a marginally improved rate relative to HCl.²⁶ Subsequent pyrazolidinone evaluation showed that the introduction of the C(4)-gem-dimethyl group within the catalyst architecture gave a significant increase in the rate of product formation, although with little diastereoselectivity (38, $t^{1/2}=5$ h) (Scheme 5). Within a series of *N*-benzyl substituted catalysts, the optimal rate was observed with either a C(5)-Ph or C(5)-CF₃ unit (**33** and **34**, $t^{1/2}=5-6$ h), consistent with the observations of Tomkinson regarding the incorporation of electron withdrawing substituents within iminium-ion catalysts leading to optimal reaction rates. Interestingly, a reversal in the diastereoselectivity of this process was observed using the C(5)-CF₃ substituted **34**, with a preference for the endo diastereoisomer observed. Subsequent studies probed the effect of altering the N(2)-substituent upon catalyst efficiency, with the incorporation of electron-withdrawing N-benzoyl or N-carboxybenzyl substituents leading to good catalytic efficiency (**45** and **46**, $t^{1/2}=2$ h).



Scheme 5. Initial catalyst screening.

In an attempt to further optimise catalyst efficiencies for this model reaction, the incorporation of a C(5)-Ph or C(5)-CF₃ group, in combination with an electron-withdrawing N(2)-carboxybenzyl substituent, was evaluated. Following the methodology developed previously, **51** and **52** were prepared using standard methods from **25** and **26** (Scheme 6).²⁷

Pyrazolidinones **51** and **52** were subsequently evaluated as catalysts for the Diels–Alder reaction, with **51** giving the best catalytic efficiency observed within this series of catalysts ($t^{1/2} \sim 1$ h, Scheme 7).



Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: (i) NaH, CH_2Cl_2 , then benzyl chloroformate, -78 °C to rt; (ii) toluene, reflux; (iii) TFA, CH_2Cl_2 , rt.



Scheme 7. Optimised catalyst screening.

These reactions indicate that the incorporation of an electronwithdrawing N(2)-carboxybenzyl group and either a C(5)-phenyl or CF₃ unit within the pyrazolidinone template led to increased catalytic activity. The generality of the use of pyrazolidinone **51** in the organocatalytic Diels—Alder reaction was next evaluated, giving good reactivity for a series of enals with cyclopentadiene, furnishing the desired products in good isolated yields (Table 2, entries 1–4). Catalysis using pyrazolidinone **34** was also further evaluated, giving preferential *endo*-selectivity in each case (Table 2, entries 5–6). The attempted use of alternative dienes with both **51** and **34** under a range of conditions led to no product conversion.^{2,14,28}



Diels-Alder reactions promoted by pyrazolidinones 51 and 34



Entry	R	Catalyst	Time (h)	Yield (%)	exo:endo
1	Ph	51	7	89	62:38
2	$4-NO_2C_6H_4$	51	5	73	65:35
3	n-Pr	51	4	75	58:42
4 ^a	CO ₂ Et	51	24	80	50:50
5	Ph	34	48	50	22:78
6	<i>n</i> -Pr	34	6	67	36:64

^a Addition of 5% water.

2.3. Proof of concept: pyrazolidinone resolution and testing in enantioselective catalysis

Having demonstrated that pyrazolidinone **51** shows good catalytic activity as an organocatalyst, its preparation in enantiomerically pure form was attempted in order to evaluate its ability to promote an enantioselective Diels—Alder reaction. Treatment of pyrazolidinone **21** with O-methyl mandelic acid under peptide coupling conditions gave the chromatographically separable diastereoisomeric N(2)-acyl pyrazolidinone derivatives **59** and **60**, with N(2)-functionalisation of both diastereoisomers confirmed by ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 8).²⁹ Acid hydrolysis of **59** gave (R)-**21** in >95% ee, as shown by derivatisation to **61** and HPLC analysis.³⁰ Enantiomerically pure (R)-**21** was subsequently transformed to (R)-**51** in modest yield over four steps.



Scheme 8. Reagents and conditions: (i) EDCI·HCl, HOBt, (*R*)-O-methyl mandelic acid, DMF; (ii) 5 M HCl (aq), reflux; (iii) benzaldehyde, EtOH, rt, then NaBH₄. (iv) di-*tert* butyl dicarbonate, Na₂CO₃, dioxane/H₂O, rt; (v) NaH, CH₂Cl₂, then benzyl chloroformate, -78 °C to rt; (vi) toluene, reflux; (vii) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, rt.

Enantiomerically pure pyrazolidinone (R)-**51** was then evaluated as an asymmetric catalyst for the Diels–Alder reaction of cinnamaldehyde and cyclopentadiene under standard conditions, giving a 66:34 ratio of *exo:endo* diastereoisomers, and with modest enantioselectivities of 48% and 28%, respectively (Scheme 9).^{14,31}



Scheme 9. Asymmetric evaluation of pyrazolidinone (R)-51.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have outlined some structural factors that effect the catalytic activity of pyrazolidinones in the iminium-ion promoted organocatalytic Diels—Alder reaction, with the incorporation of an additional electron withdrawing substituent at N(2) and Ph substitution at C(5) resulting in optimal catalytic activity. The diastereoisomeric resolution of a model pyrazolidinone and its ability to impart modest levels of asymmetric induction in the organocatalytic Diels—Alder reaction was also evaluated. Current studies are focused upon developing alternative applications of enantiomerically pure pyrazolidinones and their derivatives in asymmetric catalysis.

4. Experimental

4.1. General

All reactions involving moisture sensitive reagents were performed under an atmosphere of argon or nitrogen using standard vacuum line techniques and with freshly distilled solvents. All glassware was flame dried and allowed to cool under vacuum. All dried and purified solvents were obtained from a solvent purification system (MBraun, SPS-800) except for dry *N*,*N*'-dimethylformamide (DMF), which was purchased directly from Aldrich. Petrol refers to the fraction of petroleum ether boiling between 40 °C and 60 °C, dioxane refers to 1,4-dioxane, pH 7 phosphate buffer refers to a solution of sodium dihydrogen phosphate and disodium hydrogen orthophosphate and brine refers to a saturated aqueous solution of sodium chloride. Cyclopentadiene was obtained by cracking of the dimer at 170 °C, after drying over MgSO₄ and stored in the freezer. Catalytic runs for which isolated yields were obtained were carried out with aldehydes purified according to the guidelines of Armarego and Chai.³² All other reagents were used directly as supplied without further purification.

Flash column chromatography was carried out according to the method of Still³³ with silica gel 60 (0.043–0.060 mm) (Merck) in the solvent system stated. Analytical thin layer chromatography was performed on commercially available pre-coated aluminium-backed plates (Merck silica Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄). TLCs were visualised either by UV fluorescence (254 nm), or by staining with basic KMnO₄ solution.

Melting points were recorded on an Electrothermal apparatus and are uncorrected. Microanalyses were carried out on a Carlo Erba CHNS analyser. Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer Spectrum GX FT-IR Spectrometer and analysed either as thin films between NaCl plates (thin film) or KBr discs (KBr disc) as stated. Absorption maxima (ν_{max}) are quoted in wavenumbers (cm⁻¹) and only structurally significant peaks are quoted.

¹⁹F, ¹H and ¹³C nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were acquired on either a Bruker Avance 300 (282 MHz ¹⁹F, 300 MHz ¹H, 75 MHz ¹³C), a Bruker Avance 400 (375 MHz ¹⁹F, 400 MHz ¹H, 100 MHz ¹³C) or a Bruker Avance 500 (500 MHz ¹H, 125 MHz ¹³C) spectrometer in the deuterated solvent stated. ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded with proton decoupling. ¹⁵N NMR spectra were acquired indirectly by ¹H, ¹⁵N-HMBC experiments on a Bruker Avance 500 equipped by a 5 mm inverse tuneable double resonance probe. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) and referenced to residual solvent peaks. Coupling constants, *J*, are quoted in hertz. The abbreviations s, d, dd, dt, td, q, quin, dsept and m denote singlet, doublet, doublet of doublets, doublet of triplets, triplet of doublets, quartet, quintet, doublet of septets and multiplet, respectively. The abbreviation Ar is used to denote aromatic.

Mass spectrometric (m/z) data was acquired by electrospray ionisation (ESI) or chemical ionisation (CI), either at the University of St Andrews Mass Spectrometry facility or at the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, Swansea. At the University of St Andrews, low and high resolution ESI MS was carried out on a Micromass LCT spectrometer. At the EPSRC National Mass Spectrometry Service Centre, CIMS was carried out on a Micromass Quattro II spectrometer. High resolution ESI was carried out on a Finnigan MAT 900 XLT; a Thermofisher LTQ Orbitrap XL spectrometer was used to obtain high resolution ESI MS for accurate mass determination but also provided fragmentation data for the characterisation of samples. Values are quoted as a ratio of mass to charge in Daltons.

Temperatures of 0 °C were obtained using an ice/water bath and of -78 °C were obtained using a dry ice/acetone bath.

4.2. General procedure A: O-functionalisation of (*RS*)-*tert*butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 23 with triethylamine

To a solution of (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1carboxylate **23** (1 equiv) in dichloromethane (0.5 M) was added triethylamine (1.1 equiv). The resulting suspension was then cooled to 0 °C and the appropriate chloride or anhydride (1.05 equiv) added. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight. The reaction was guenched with water and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with 0.1 M aqueous hydrochloric acid, brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo.

4.3. General procedure B: N(2)-alkylation of Boc-protected pyrazolidin-3-one with sodium hydride

A solution of the appropriate Boc-protected pyrazolidin-3-one (1 equiv) in dichloromethane (0.5 M) was cooled to -78 °C and sodium hydride (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 1.1 equiv) added. The resulting suspension was stirred for 10 min before addition of the appropriate chloride or anhydride (1.05 equiv). The mixture was allowed to warm to rt overnight. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted three times with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo.

4.4. General procedure C: screening of catalysts

To a suspension of catalyst (20 mol %, 0.378 mmol) in methanol (2 mL) was added either concentrated hydrochloric acid solution (36% m/v, 3.60 µl, 0.378 mmol) or triflic acid (35.0 µl, 0.378 mmol). After 2 min of stirring, trans-cinnamaldehyde (0.240 mL, 1.89 mmol) was added, followed by a further 5 min of stirring. Cyclopentadiene (370 mg, 5.60 mmol) was then added and the resulting mixture left to stir at rt. The reaction was monitored by taking samples of the reaction mixture (0.05 mL), which were concentrated in vacuo then hydrolysed in a chloroform (1 mL), water (0.5 mL), trifluoroacetic acid (0.5 mL) mixture for 2 h. Saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (10 mL) was then added and the resulting biphasic mixture extracted with chloroform (2×10 mL). The combined organic layer were washed with brine (20 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. ¹H NMR of the crude reaction mixture was used to establish the conversion to the products and exo:endo ratios through the integration of aldehyde peaks at: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 9.93 (*exo*-47), 9.64 (trans-cinnamaldehyde) and 9.60 (endo-48), which were in accordance with previously reported literature values.¹²

4.5. General procedure D: screening of aldehyde substrates

To a suspension of catalyst 51 or 34 (20 mol %, 0.189 mmol) in methanol (1 mL) was added triflic acid (17.0 µl, 0.189 mmol). After 2 min of stirring, the appropriate aldehyde (0.950 mmol) was added, followed by a further 15 min of stirring. Cyclopentadiene (188 mg, 2.80 mmol) was then added and the resulting mixture left to stir at rt. Reaction was monitored by TLC. Upon completion, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo then hydrolysed in a chloroform (2 mL), water (1 mL), trifluoroacetic acid (1 mL) mixture for 2 h. Saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (20 mL) was then added and the resulting biphasic mixture extracted with chloroform $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layer were washed with brine (40 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo.

4.6. Experimental data

4.6.1. (RS)-tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 27.



To a solution of hydrazine hydrate (9.02 mL, 0.180 mol) in absolute ethanol (200 mL) was added ethyl crotonate 15 (21.0 mL, 0.169 mol) by dropwise addition. The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at rt and then at reflux for 4 h before concentration in vacuo to give crude (RS)-5-methylpyrazolidin-3-one 19 (18.1 g) as a viscous yellow oil with spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature.¹⁹ Product was used without further purification.

 $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.78–3.66 (1H, app douin, 18.7, 6.7, C(5)H). 2.48 (1H, dd, ABX system, JAB 16.1, JAX 7.1, C(4)HAHB), 2.12 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{BA} 16.1, J_{BX} 8.7, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.22 (3H, d, J 6.3, C(5) HCH_3).

To a solution of crude (RS)-tert-butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 19 (18.1 g, 0.180 mol) in water (100 mL) were added sodium carbonate (19.1 g 0.180 mol) and dioxane (50 mL). A solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (39.3 g, 0.240 mol) in dioxane (50 mL) was then added and the mixture stirred at rt for 1 h. The resulting suspension was filtered and the precipitate washed with chloroform. The combined organic extracts were then concentrated in vacuo to approximately 30 mL volume and partitioned between water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (100 mL). The organic extracts were washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give crude (RS)-tertbutyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 23 (33.9 g) as a yellow solid with spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature.²⁰ Product was used without further purification.

 $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.50 (1H, br s, N(2)H), 4.38 (1H, dqd, J 9.6, 6.5, 3.3, C(5)H), 2.92 (1H, dd, ABX system, JAB 17.0, JAX 9.6, C(4) H_AH_B), 2.14 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{BA} 17.0, JBX 3.3, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.43 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) and 1.29 (3H, d, / 6.5, C(5)HCH₃).

A solution of crude (RS)-5-methylpyrazolidin-3-one 46 (33.9 g, 170 mmol) in DMF (125 mL) was treated with benzyl bromide (20.2 mL, 170 mmol) and potassium carbonate (23.9 g, 173 mmol) and the suspension stirred at rt for 2.5 h. The reaction mixture was partitioned between diethyl ether (150 mL) and water (250 mL) and the resultant aqueous layer washed with further diethyl ether $(2 \times 150 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water (200 mL), brine (200 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography, eluting with 25% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless solid (19.9 g, 41%).

*v*_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3028 (Ar–H), 2976 (C–H), 2931 (C–H), 2871 (N-C-H), 1706 (C=O), 1690 (C=O), 1557 (Ar C=C) and 1534 (Ar C=C); mp 90–94 °C; δ_N (CDCl₃) 156 (*N*(2)CH₂Ph), 136 (*N*(1) Boc); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.35–7.29 (5H, m, ArH), 5.29 (1H, d, AB system, JAB 14.2, CHAHBPh), 4.42 (1H, app quin, J 7.2, C(5)H), 4.39 (1H, d, AB system, J_{BA} 14.2, CH_AH_BPh), 2.95 (1H, dd, J 16.3, 8.2, C(4) H_AH_B), 1.96 (1H, d, J 16.3, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.56 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) and 0.65 (3H, d, J 6.7, C(5)HCH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.5 (C(3)O), 156.1 (C (0)0), 135.6 (CAripso), 129.7 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 128.1 (CAr), 82.6 (C (CH₃)₃), 53.7 (C(5)H), 48.6 (CH₂Ph), 38.1 (C(4)H₂), 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃) and 19.7 (C(5)HCH₃); *m*/*z* (CI) 291.3 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₆H₂₃N₂O₃ requires 291.1703, found 291.1705 (+0.7 ppm).

4.6.2. (RS)-2-Benzyl-5-methylpyrazolidin-3-one 31.







triethylamine (2.40 mL, 17.2 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at rt for a further 15 min before being partitioned between water (40 mL) and dichloromethane (40 mL). The resultant aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (40 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 5% methanol in ethyl acetate to give the title compound as a clear yellow oil (1.42 g, 76%).

 v_{max} (film) cm⁻¹ 3202 (N−H), 3063 (Ar−H), 3031 (Ar−H), 2970 (C−H), 2925 (C−H), 2868 (N−C−H), 1678 (C=O), 1605 (Ar C=C) and 1583 (N−H bend); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.40−7.30 (5H, m, ArH), 4.70 (1H, d, AB system, J_{AB} 14.6, CH_AH_BPh), 4.55 (1H, d, AB system, J_{BA} 14.6, CH_AH_BPh), 4.10 (1H, br s, N(1)H), 3.69 (1H, app dquin, J 8.3, 6.7, C(5)H), 2.71 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{AB} 16.2, J_{AX} 7.1, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.29 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{BA} 16.2, J_{BX} 8.3, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.25 (3H, d, J 6.4, C(5)HCH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 175.7 (C(3)O), 139.2 (CAr_{ipso}), 132.1 (CAr), 131.6 (CAr), 131.1 (CAr), 54.8 (C(5)H), 51.4 (CH₂Ph), 43.8 (C(4)H₂) and 22.1 (C(5)HCH₃); m/z (CI) 191.2 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₁H₁₅N₂O requires 191.1179, found 191.1177 (−0.8 ppm).

4.6.3. (RS) tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-3-oxo-5-phenylpyrazolidine-1-car-boxylate **29**.



To a solution of hydrazine hydrate (1.45 mL, 29.8 mmol) in absolute ethanol (25 mL) was added ethyl cinnamate **17** (5.00 mL, 29.8 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred at reflux overnight. The suspension was then concentrated in vacuo and redissolved in toluene (25 mL) and stirred at reflux for 3 h. The resulting solution was concentrated in vacuo to give crude (*RS*)-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3-one **21** (3.62 g) as a brown solid. Product was used without further purification. An analytical sample was taken and purified by recrystallisation in hot toluene to give the title compound as an off-white solid.

 $ν_{max}$ (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3234 (N–H), 3191 (N–H), 3051 (Ar–H), 3019 (C–H), 1715 (C=O), 1664 (N–H bend) and 1599 (Ar C=C); mp 95–97 °C; $δ_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.44–7.32 (5H, m, ArH), 4.84 (1H, app t, *J* 8.5, C(5)*H*), 2.85 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 16.2, *J*_{AX} 7.6, C(4) *H*_AH_B) and 2.76 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 16.2, *J*_{BX} 9.4, C(4)H_AH_B); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 176.7 (C(3)O), 138.4 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.1 (CAr), 128.6 (CAr), 126.7 (CAr), 62.0 (C(5)H) and 38.3 (C(4)H₂); *m/z* (ES) 185.0 (100, M+Na⁺), 163.2 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₉H₁₁N₂O requires 163.0866, found 163.0867 (+0.6 ppm).

To a solution of crude (RS)-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3-one 21 (3.62 g, 22.3 mmol) in water (30 mL) were added sodium carbonate (2.37 g 22.3 mmol) and dioxane (10 mL). A solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (4.90 g, 22.4 mmol) in dioxane (10 mL) was then added and the mixture stirred at rt for 3 h. The resulting suspension was filtered and the precipitate washed with chloroform. The combined organic extracts were then concentrated in vacuo to approximately 30 mL volume and partitioned between water (100 mL) and dichloromethane (75 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with further dichloromethane (2×75 mL) and then the combined organic extracts washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give crude (RS)-tert-butyl 5-phenyl-3oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 25 (4.95 g) as a yellow oil. Product was used without further purification. An analytical sample was taken and purified by column chromatography, eluting with 50% dichloromethane in petrol, then 5% methanol in dichloromethane to give the title compound as a colourless solid.

 $ν_{max}$ (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3334 (N–H), 3016 (Ar–H), 2965 (C–H), 1707 (C=O) and 1681 (C=O); mp 134–137 °C; $δ_N$ (CDCl₃) 147 (*N*(1) Boc), 127 (*N*(2)H); δ_H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.36–7.27 (5H, m, ArH), 5.33 (1H, dd, J 10.4. 3.9, C(5)H), 3.30 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{AB} 17.3, J_{AX} 10.4, C(4) H_A H_B), 2.63 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{BA} 17.3, J_{BX} 3.9, C(4) H_AH_B) and 1.36 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 169.6 (C(3)O), 153.7 (C(O)O), 141.2 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.3 (CAr), 128.5 (CAr), 126.1 (CAr), 83.2 (C(CH₃)₃), 59.9 (C(5)H), 40.0 (C(4)H₂) and 28.5 (C(CH₃)₃); m/z(Cl) 224.2 (100, M–C(CH₃)₃+NH₄⁺), 263.3 (20, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₄H₁₉N₂O₃ requires 263.1390, found 263.1392 (+0.7 ppm).

A solution of crude (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 5-phenyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **25** (4.95 g, 18.9 mmol) in DMF (25 mL) was treated with benzyl bromide (2.25 mL, 18.9 mmol) and potassium carbonate (2.61 g, 18.9 mmol) and the suspension stirred at rt for 90 min. The reaction mixture was partitioned between diethyl ether (50 mL) and water (50 mL) and the resultant aqueous layer washed with further diethyl ether (2×50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (60 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 20% ethyl acetate in petrol. Chromatography was then repeated, this time eluting with 15–20% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a yellow oil (2.63 g, 25%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3064 (Ar–H), 3032 (Ar–H), 2978 (C–H), 2933 (C–H), 2873 (N–C–H), 1750–1658 (2×C=O), 1604 (Ar C=C) and 1587 (Ar C=C); $δ_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.28–7.24 (1H, m, ArH), 7.21–7.12 (5H, m, ArH), 7.04 (2H, t, *J* 7.5, ArH), 6.70 (2H, d, *J* 7.5, ArH), 5.45 (1H, app d, *J* 9.3, C(5)H), 5.31 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 14.2, CH_AH_BPh), 4.59 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 14.2, CH_AH_BPh), 3.30 (1H, dd, *J* 16.8, 9.5, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.66 (1H, d, *J* 16.8, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.56 (9H, s, C (CH₃)₃); $δ_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.5 (C(3)O), 156.7 (C(O)O), 139.5 (CAr_{ipso}), 135.7 (CAr_{ipso}), 130.1 (CAr), 128.8 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 127.8 (CAr), 126.1 (CAr), 83.4 (C(CH₃)₃); *m*/*z* (Cl) 353.3 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₁H₂₅N₂O₃ requires 353.1860, found 353.1863 (+0.8 ppm).

4.6.4. (RS)-2-Benzyl-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3-one 33.



To a solution of (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 2-benzyl-5-phenyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **29** (2.28 g, 6.48 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (5.00 mL, 64.8 mmol) and the resulting mixture stirred at rt overnight. The excess acid was then quenched with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (150 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (2×100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound as an off-white solid on standing (1.59 g, 97%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3214 (N–H), 3062 (Ar–H), 3031 (Ar–H), 2920 (C–H), 1683 (C=O), 1604 (Ar C=C) and 1560 (N–H bend); mp 60–62 °C; $δ_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.26–7.18 (5H, m, ArH), 4.62 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 14.6, CH_AH_BPh), 4.58 (1H, app t, *J* 8.4, C(5)H), 4.51 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 14.6, CH_AH_BPh), 2.87 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 16.3, *J*_{AX} 7.8, C(4)H_AH_B) and 2.71 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 16.3, *J*_{BX} 8.9, C(4)H_AH_B); $δ_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.4 (C(3)O), 135.8 (CAr_{ipso}), 135.7 (CAr_{ipso}), 128.87 (CAr), 128.85 (CAr), 128.5 (CAr), 128.3 (CAr), 128.0 (CAr), 126.5 (CAr), 58.2 (C(5)H), 48.1 (CH₂Ph) and 39.3 (C(4) H₂); *m/z* (Cl) 253.2 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₆H₁₇N₂O requires 253.1335, found 253.1333 (–0.9 ppm).

4.6.5. (RS)-5-iso-Propylpyrazolidin-3-one 20.



To a solution of *iso*-butyrlaldehyde (2.17 mL, 24.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (100 mL), cooled to 0 °C, was added ethyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetate (10.0 g, 29.0 mmol) and the resulting clear yellow solution stirred at rt overnight before being concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was then passed through a plug of silica gel, eluting with 3% ethyl acetate in petrol. The resulting crude residue was then dissolved in absolute ethanol (15 mL), hydrazine hydrate (1.17 mL, 24.0 mmol) was added and the solution stirred for 1 h at rt and then at reflux for 4 h before concentration in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography, eluting with 5% methanol in dichloromethane, then flushed with 20% methanol in dichloromethane to give the product as a clear yellow oil (2.68 g, 87%).

 ν_{max} (film) cm⁻¹ 3401 (N–H), 3214 (N–H), 2961 (C–H), 2931 (C–H), 2874 (N–C–H) and 1683 (C=O); δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 3.33 (1H, app dt, *J* 9.4, 7.7, C(5)*H*), 2.43 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 16.4, *J*_{AX} 7.4, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.23 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 16.4, *J*_{BX} 9.4, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.23 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 16.4, *J*_{BX} 9.4, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 1.67 (1H, dsept, *J* 7.9, 6.5C(5)HC*H*). 0.94 (3H, d, *J* 6.5 CH (CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B) and 0.89 (3H, d, *J* 6.5, CH(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 177.6 (C(3)O), 65.6 (C(5)H), 36.6 (C(4)H₂), 32.5 (C(5)HCH), 19.7 (CH(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B) and 19.6 (C(4)(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B); *m/z* (Cl) 129.1 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (Cl) C₆H₁₃N₂O requires 129.1028, found 129.1032 (+3.2 ppm).

4.6.6. (RS)-tert-Butyl 5-iso-propyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 24.



To a solution of (RS)-5-iso-propylpyrazolidin-3-one 20 (578 mg, 4.51 mmol) in water (6 mL) were added sodium carbonate (503 mg, 4.75 mmol) and dioxane (3 mL). A solution of di-tert-butyl dicarbonate (1.02 g, 4.67 mmol) in dioxane (3 mL) was then added and the mixture stirred at rt overnight. TLC analysis showed incomplete reaction. Hence, more sodium carbonate (240 mg, 2.26 mmol) and ditert-butyl dicarbonate (490 mg, 2.24 mmol) in dioxane (1 mL) were added and the mixture stirred for a further 3 h. The resulting suspension was then filtered and the precipitate washed with chloroform. The combined organic extracts were then concentrated in vacuo to approximately 5 mL volume and partitioned between water (40 mL) and dichloromethane (40 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with further dichloromethane (240 mL) and then the combined organic extracts washed with brine (200 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography, eluting with 2% methanol in dichloromethane to give the product as an off-white solid (530 mg, 51%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3171 (N–H), 3072 (N–H), 2970 (C–H), 2933 (C–H), 2877 (N–C–H), 1698 (C=O) and 1676 (C=O); mp 80–81 °C; $δ_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.13 (1H, ddd, J 9.8, 6.4, 2.5, C(5)H), 2.80 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.3, *J*_{AX} 9.8, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.23 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.3, *J*_{BX} 2.5, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.84 (1H, app oct, *J* 6.6, C(5)HCH). 1.43 (9H, s,

C(CH₃)₃) and 0.88 (6H, d, J 6.8, CH(CH₃)₂); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.7 (C(3)O), 154.7 (C(O)O), 82.6 (C(CH₃)₃), 62.1 (C(5)H), 33.6 (C(4)H₂), 32.3 (C(5)HCH), 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 17.9 (CH(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B) and 17.7 (C(4) (CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B); *m/z* (Cl) 190.3 (100, M–C(CH₃)₃+NH₄⁺), 229.3 (35, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₁H₂₁N₂O₃ requires 229.1547, found 229.1547.

4.6.7. (RS)-tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-5-iso-propyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-car-boxylate 28.



A solution of crude (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 5-*iso*-propyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **24** (498 mg, 2.18 mmol) in DMF (2 mL) was treated with benzyl bromide (0.260 mL, 2.18 mmol) and potassium carbonate (301 mg, 2.18 mmol) and the suspension stirred at rt for 60 min. The reaction mixture was partitioned between diethyl ether (20 mL) and water (20 mL) and the resultant aqueous layer washed with further diethyl ether (2×20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (30 mL), brine (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 20% ethyl acetate in petrol. Chromatography was then repeated, this time eluting with 15–25% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a crystalline yellow solid (281 mg, 41%).

 $ν_{max}$ (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3067 (Ar−H), 3035 (Ar−H), 2971 (C−H), 2928 (C−H), 2862 (N−C−H), 1744−1661 (2×C=O), 1602 (Ar C=C) and 1586 (Ar C=C); mp 53−56 °C; $δ_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.23 (5H, br s, ArH), 5.21 (1H, d, AB system, $J_{\rm AB}$ 14.0, $CH_{\rm A}H_{\rm B}$ Ph), 4.39 (1H, d, AB system, $J_{\rm BA}$ 14.0, $CH_{\rm A}H_{\rm B}$ Ph), 3.76 (1H, app t, J 9.3, C(5)H), 2.78 (1H, dd, $J_{\rm AB}$ 16.6, 8.7, C(4) $H_{\rm A}H_{\rm B}$), 2.14 (1H, d, J 16.6, C(4) $H_{\rm A}H_{\rm B}$), 1.45 (9H, s, C(CH_{3})₃), 0.88 (1H, dsept, J 10.2, 6.6, C(5)HCH), 0.61 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH (CH_{3})_A(CH₃)_B) and 0.24 (3H, d, J 6.6, CH(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B); $δ_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.9 (C(3)O), 156.6 (C(O)O), 135.7 (Ar_{ipso}), 129.7 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 128.2 (CAr), 82.4 (C(CH₃)₃), 64.1 (C(5)H), 48.6 (CH₂Ph), 34.9 (C (4)H₂), 30.8 (C(5)HCH), 28.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 18.5 (CH(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B) and 18.3 (C(4)(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B); m/z (Cl) 219.3 (100, M-CO₂C(CH₃)₃+NH⁴₄), 319.2 (60, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₈H₂₇N₂O₃ requires 319.2016, found 319.2019 (−0.8 ppm).

4.6.8. (RS)-2-Benzyl-5-iso-propylpyrazolidin-3-one 32.



To a solution of (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 2-benzyl-5-*iso*-propyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **28** (390 mg, 1.67 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.500 mL, 6.47 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred overnight at rt. TLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed incomplete reaction. Hence, further trifluoroacetic acid (0.200 mL, 1.62 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 2 h before being concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (50 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (50 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (2×50 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 50% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a clear yellow oil, which solidified on standing (179 mg, 67%).

 ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3178 (N–H), 3061 (Ar–H), 3035 (Ar–H), 2961 (C–H), 2954 (C–H), 2880 (N-C–H), 1661 (C=O), 1602 (Ar C=C) and 1560 (N–H bend); mp 53–54 °C; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.37–7.27 (5H, m, ArH), 4.64 (1H, d, AB system, J_{AB} 14.5, CH_AH_BPh), 4.52 (1H, d, AB system, J_{BA} 14.5, CH_AH_BPh), 4.07 (1H, br s, N(1)H), 3.22 (1H, app q, J 8.1, C(5)H), 2.61 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{AB} 16.4, J_{AX} 7.7, C(4) H_AH_B), 2.34 (1H, d, ABX system, J_{BA} 16.4, J_{BX} 8.7, C(4) H_AH_B), 2.34 (1H, d, ABX system, J_{BA} 16.4, J_{BX} 8.7, C(4) H_AH_B), 1.61 (1H, dsept, J 7.8, 6.7, C(5)HCH), 0.91 (3H, d, J 6.7, CH (CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B) and 0.89 (3H, d, J 6.7, CH(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.1 (C(3)O), 136.1 (Ar_{ipso}), 128.9 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 127.9 (CAr), 61.3 (C(5)H), 48.1 (CH₂Ph), 37.0 (C(4)H₂), 32.2 (C(5)HCH) and 19.2 (CH(CH₃)₂); *m/z* (CI) 219.3 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (EI) C₁₃H₁₈N₂O requires 218.1414, found 218.1413 (–0.3 ppm).

4.6.9. (RS)-tert-Butyl 3-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **26**.



To a solution of hydrazine hydrate (1.60 mL, 32.7 mmol) in absolute ethanol (50 mL) was added ethyl 4,4,4-trifluorocrotonate **18** (4.40 mL, 29.3 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred at reflux for 3 h before concentration in vacuo to give crude (*RS*)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidin-3-one **22** (3.35 g) as a yellow solid. Product was used without further purification. An analytical sample was taken and purified by recrystallisation in hot toluene to give the title compound as colourless plates.

 $ν_{\text{max}}$ (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3248 (N–H), 3190 (N–H), 1694 (C=O) and 1648 (N–H bend); mp 130–132 °C; $δ_{\text{F}}$ (375 MHz, CD₃OD) –81.0 (3F, d, *J* 8.2, *CF*₃); δ_{H} (300 MHz, CD₃OD) 4.18 (1H, dqd, *J* 10.3, 8.2, 2.6, C(5) H), 3.02 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.4, *J*_{AX} 10.3, C(4)*H*_AH_B) and 2.42 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.4, *J*_{BX} 2.6, C(4)H_AH_B); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CD₃OD) 174.2 (*C*(3)O), 125.2 (q, *J* 279, CF₃), 56.2 (q, *J* 31.4, C(5)H) and 31.0 (q, *J* 1.5, *C*(4)H₂); *m/z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₄H₉F₃N₃O requires 172.0692, found 172.0688 (–2.6 ppm).

To a solution of crude (*RS*)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidin-3-one **22** (2.62 g, 17.0 mmol) in water (20 mL) were added sodium carbonate (1.80 g, 17.0 mmol) and dioxane (10 mL). A solution of di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate (3.70 g, 17.0 mmol) in dioxane (10 mL) was then added and the mixture stirred at rt overnight. The resulting suspension was filtered and the precipitate washed with ethyl acetate. The combined organic extracts were then concentrated in vacuo to a small volume at which point the title compound began to precipitate. This solid was collected by filtration and washed with water. The combined filtrate was cooled to 0 °C overnight to yield a second crop of the title compound, which was collected by filtration and washed with further cold water. The combined solids were dried in a desiccator to give the title compound as a colourless solid (2.64 g, 35%).

 ν_{max} (film) cm⁻¹ 3343 (N–H), 3010 (N–H), 2986 (C–H), 1717 (C=O) and 1697 (C=O); mp 141–144 °C; δ_{F} (375 MHz, CDCl₃) –79.3 (3F, d, *J* 6.7, CF₃); δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.89 (1H, dqd, *J* 10.6, 6.7, 2.3, C (5)H), 3.08 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.8, *J*_{AX} 10.6, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.64 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.8, *J*_{BX} 2.3, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.52 (9H, s, C (CH₃)₃); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 168.7 (C(3)O), 154.0 (C(O)O), 124.0 (q, *J* 281, CF₃), 84.7 C(CH₃)₃, 56.7 (q, *J* 34.0, C(5)H), 30.8 (C(4)H₂) and 28.1 (C(CH₃)₃); *m/z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₉H₁₄F₃N₂O₃ requires 255.0951, found 255.0954 (+1.2 ppm).

4.6.10. (RS)-2-Benzyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidin-3-one 34.



A solution of (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 3-oxo-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **26** (1.00 g, 3.94 mmol) in DMF (8 mL) was treated with benzyl bromide (0.50 mL, 3.95 mmol) and potassium carbonate (544 mg, 3.95 mmol) and the suspension stirred at rt for 2 days. The reaction mixture was partitioned between diethyl ether (100 mL) and water (100 mL) and the resultant aqueous layer washed with further diethyl ether (2×100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give crude (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 2-benzyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **30** (1.27 g) as a clear yellow oil. Product was used without further purification. An analytical sample was taken and purified by column chromatography, eluting with 15% ethyl acetate in petrol, to give the title compound as a clear oil, which became a colourless solid on standing.

 ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3064 (Ar−H), 3014 (Ar−H), 2985 (C−H), 1730 (C=O) and 1700 (C=O); mp 58–59 °C; $\delta_{\rm F}$ (282 MHz, CDCl₃) -79.0 (3F, d, *J* 7.3, CF₃); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.32–7.27 (5H, m, ArH), 5.19 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 14.3, CH_AH_BPh), 4.77 (1H, dqd, *J* 10.1, 7.3, 1.6, C(5)H), 4.58 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 14.3, CH_AH_BPh), 3.06 (1H, dd, *J* 17.5, 10.1, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.50 (1H, d, *J* 17.5, 1.6, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.53 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 168.5 (*C*(3)O), 155.5 (*C*(O)O), 134.4 (CAr_{*i*pso}), 129.8 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 128.3 (CAr), 123.3 (q, *J* 281, CF₃), 84.6 (*C*(CH₃)₃), 56.9 (q, *J* 33.8, C(5)H), 50.0 (CH₂Ph), 30.8 (*C*(4)H₂) and 28.2 (*C*(CH₃)₃); *m*/*z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₆H₂₀F₃N₂O₃ requires 345.1421, found 345.1425 (+1.3 ppm).

Crude (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 2-benzyl-5-(trifluoromethyl)-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **30** (1.04 g, 3.02 mmol) was stirred at rt overnight in a 1:2 mixture of trifluoroacetic acid/dichloromethane (15 mL) before being concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (100 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (2×100 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (250 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was then purified by recrystallisation from diethyl ether and the minimum quantity of dichloromethane to give the title compound as a colourless solid (484 mg, 50%).

C₁₁H₁₁F₃N₂O requires C, 54.1; H 4.5; N, 11.5%; found C 53.9; H, 4.3; N, 11.6%; ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3219 (N–H), 3068 (Ar–H), 3029 (Ar–H), 2951 (C–H), 2916 (C–H), 1700 (C=O) and 1667 (C=O); mp 85–86 °C; δ_F (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –79.1 (3F, d, *J* 7.2, CF₃); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.38–7.28 (5H, m, ArH), 4.92 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 14.6, CH_AH_BPh), 4.69 (1H, s, N(1)H), 4.25 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 14.6, CH_AH_BPh), 3.93 (1H, dqd, *J* 10.0, 7.2, 3.3, C(5)H), 2.96 (1H, dd, *J* 17.4, 10.0, C(4)H_AH_B) and 2.66 (1H, d, *J* 17.4, 3.3, C(4)H_AH_B); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 168.3 (C(3)O), 135.1 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.0 (CAr), 128.6 (CAr), 128.3 (CAr), 124.7 (q, *J* 279, CF₃), 54.0 (q, *J* 32.3, C(5)H), 48.5 (CH₂Ph) and 31.9 (C(4)H₂); *m/z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₁H₁₂F₃N₂O requires 245.0896, found 245.0890 (+0.7 ppm).

4.6.11. (RS)-tert-Butyl 2,5-dimethyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **35**.



A solution of crude (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **23** (2.92 g, 13.6 mmol) in DMF (30 mL) was treated with methyl iodide (2.80 mL, 45.0 mmol) and potassium carbonate (2.49 g, 18.0 mmol) and the suspension stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was partitioned between diethyl ether (60 mL) and water (60 mL) and the resultant aqueous layer washed with further diethyl ether (60 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (120 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 25% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless oil with spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature (1.60 g, 53%).²⁰

 $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.53 (1H, app quin, *J* 7.0, C(5)*H*), 3.20 (3H, s, N(2)CH₃), 2.91 (1H, dd, *J* 16.3, 8.3, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.00 (1H, d, *J* 16.3, C(4) H_AH_B), 1.48 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) and 1.22 (3H, d, *J* 6.8, C(5)HCH₃).

4.6.12. (RS)-2,5-Dimethylpyrazolidin-3-one 36.



To a solution of (RS)-tert-butyl 2,5-dimethyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 35 (1.59 g, 7.42 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (1.80 mL, 23.3 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred overnight at rt. TLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed incomplete reaction. Hence, further trifluoroacetic acid (0.580 mL, 7.51 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred overnight before concentration in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (100 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (100 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound as a colourless oil (145 mg). The aqueous layer was treated with triethylamine then extracted with further dichloromethane (100 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give further material (177 mg). The aqueous layer was then concentrated in vacuo and partitioned between 1 M sodium hydroxide solution (50 mL) and dichloromethane (80 mL). The organic layer was dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give further material (151 mg) (473 mg in total, 55%).

 ν_{max} (film) cm⁻¹ 3196 (N–H), 2969 (C–H), 2927 (C–H), 1667 (C=O), 1605 and 1597 (N–H bend); δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.12 (1H, br s, N(1)*H*), 3.66 (1H, app dquin, *J* 8.3, 6.7, C(5)*H*), 3.01 (3H, s, N(2) CH₃), 2.59 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 16.1, *J*_{AX} 7.2, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.20 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 16.1, *J*_{BX} 8.3, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.24 (3H, d, *J* 6.4, C(5)HCH₃); δ_{C} (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.6 (C(3)O), 51.4 (C(5)H), 40.4 (C(4)H₂), 31.4 (N(2)CH₃) and 19.1 (C(5)HCH₃); *m/z* (Cl) 115.2 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₅H₁₁N₂O requires 115.0866, found 115.0865 (-0.4 ppm).

4.6.13. (RS)-tert-Butyl 2-benzyl-4,4,5-trimethyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **37**.



To a solution of (RS)-tert-butyl 2-benzyl-5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 27 (268 mg, 0.923 mmol) in THF (5 mL), cooled to -78 °C, was added KHMDS (0.46 M in toluene, 10.0 mL, 4.60 mmol) and the red solution stirred for 70 min before treatment with methyl iodide (0.570 mL, 9.23 mmol). The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 90 min. then at rt for 60 min. The reaction was then quenched with pH 7 phosphate buffer solution (20 mL), the resulting biphasic solution extracted with diethyl ether (3×15 mL) and the combined organic extracts washed with brine (30 mL) and dried (MgSO₄) before being filtered through a plug of silica gel and concentrated in vacuo. ¹H NMR showed a mixture of starting material 27, monomethylated material and product 37 in the ratio 27:44:29 and so the crude material was redissolved in THF (5 mL), cooled to -78 °C and treated, as before, with identical amounts of KHMDS and methyl iodide. The mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 60 min before being guenched and following of the work-up procedure previously described. The crude material was purified by column chromatography, eluting with 10% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the product as a colourless oil (75 mg, 26%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3065 (Ar−H), 3032 (Ar−H), 2978 (C−H), 2934 (C−H), 2871 (N−C−H), 1747−1674 (2×C=O) and 1605 (Ar C=C); $δ_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.24−7.20 (5H, m, ArH), 5.15 (1H, d, AB system, $J_{\rm AB}$ 14.2, $CH_{A}H_{\rm B}$ Ph), 4.31 (1H, d, AB system, $J_{\rm BA}$ 14.2, $CH_{A}H_{\rm B}$ Ph), 3.99 (1H, q, *J* 6.9, C(5)*H*), 1.48 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃), 1.09 (3H, s, C(4)(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B), 0.92 (3H, s, C(4)(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B) and 0.42 (3H, d, *J* 6.9, C(5)HCH₃); $δ_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 176.6 (*C*(3)O), 157.3 (*C*(O) O), 136.2 (*C*Ar_{ipso}), 130.2 (*C*Ar), 128.8 (*C*Ar), 128.5 (*C*Ar), 82.7 (C (CH₃)₃), 65.3 (*C*(5)HCH₃), 18.2 (C(4)(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B) and 15.8 (C(4) (CH₃)_A)(CH₃)_B); *m*/*z* (Cl) 219.2 (100, M−Boc+2H⁺), 319.3 (60, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₈H₂₇N₂O₃ requires 319.2016, found 319.2015 (+0.2 ppm).

4.6.14. (RS)-2-Benzyl-4,4,5-trimethylpyrazolidin-3-one 38.



To a solution of (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 2-benzyl-4,4,5-trimethyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **37** (142 mg, 0.446 mmol) in dichloromethane (2 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.2 mL, 2.69 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred overnight at rt. TLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed incomplete reaction. Hence, further trifluoroacetic acid (0.2 mL, 2.69 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 2 h before being concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (20 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (20 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (2×20 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound as a colourless oil (94 mg, 96%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3202 (N–H), 3064 (Ar–H), 3032 (Ar–H), 2969 (C–H), 2930 (C–H), 2873 (N–C–H), 1678 (C=O), 1606 (Ar C=C) and 1587 (N–H bend); $δ_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.37–7.24 (5H, m, ArH), 4.82 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 14.7, CH_AH_BPh), 4.34 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 14.7, CH_AH_BPh), 3.76 (1H, br s, N(1)H), 3.24 (1H, q, *J* 6.6, C(4)HCH₃), 1.15 (3H, s, C(4)(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B), 1.06 (3H, d, *J* 6.6, C (5)HCH₃) and 0.95 (3H, s, C(4)(CH₃)_A(CH₃)_B); $δ_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 177.7 (C(3)O), 136.2 (CAr_{*i*pso}), 128.9 (CAr), 128.2 (CAr), 127.9 (CAr), 61.4 (C(5)H), 48.1 (CH₂Ph), 43.5 (C(4)(CH₃)₂), 21.7 (C(4) $(CH_3)_A(CH_3)_B),\,16.4~(C(4)(CH_3)_A(CH_3)_B)$ and 12.0 (C(5)HCH_3); m/z (ESI⁺) 241.1 (100, M+Na⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C_{13}H_{18}N_2ONa requires 241.1317, found 241.1317.

4.6.15. (RS)-tert-Butyl 3-(trifluoromethylsulfonyloxy)-5-methyl-4,5dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboxylate **39**.



To a solution of (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1carboxylate **23** (250 mg, 1.25 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was added triethylamine (0.700 mL, 5.00 mmol). The resulting suspension was then cooled to -78 °C and triflic anhydride (0.410 mL, 2.50 mmol) added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 2 h and 1:1 water/methanol added (4 mL). The reaction mixture was warmed to rt, maintained for 30 min, then diluted with diethyl ether (30 mL). The organic layer was washed with 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 10% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless oil, which solidified on standing (176 mg, 42%).

 ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 2990 (C–H), 2932 (C–H), 2873 (N–C–H), 1713 (C=O), 1635 (C=N) and 1572 (Ar C=C); mp 41–43 °C; δ_{H} (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 4.61 (1H, app dquin, *J* 11.3. 6.3, C(5)*H*), 3.38 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.8, *J*_{AX} 11.3, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.70 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.8, *J*_{BX} 5.3, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.52 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) and 1.42 (3H, d, *J* 6.3, C(5)HCH₃); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 153.2 (C(0)O), 151.6 (C (4)N), 118.5 (q, *J*=321, CF₃), 82.4 (C(CH₃)₃), 56.9 (C(5)H), 38.7 (C(4) H₂), 28.4 (C(CH₃)₃) and 20.8 (C(5)HCH₃); *m/z* (Cl) 132.3 (100, SO₂CF⁺₃), 350.3 (20, M+NH⁺₄); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₀H₁₉F₃N₃O₅S requires 350.0992, found 350.0991 (–0.3 ppm).

4.6.16. (RS)-tert-Butyl 5-methyl-3-(tosyloxy)-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboxylate **40**.



(*RS*)-*tert*-Butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **23** (0.991 g, 4.95 mmol) triethylamine (0.800 mL, 5.74 mmol) and tosyl chloride (1.00 g, 5.25 mmol) were combined according to general procedure A. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 15% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless oil, which solidified on standing (810 mg, 46%).

 $ν_{max}$ (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 2987 (C–H), 2934 (C–H), 1698 (C=O), 1645 (C=N), 1596 (Ar C=C) and 1586 (Ar C=C); mp 84–86 °C; δ_N (CDCl₃) 295 (*N*(2)COS(O)₂), 156 (*N*(1)Boc); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.94 (2H, d, *J* 8.4, ArH), 7.36 (2H, d, *J* 8.4, ArH), 4.46 (1H, app dquin, *J* 11.0. 6.1, C(5)H), 3.26 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.8, *J*_{AX} 11.0, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.61 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.8, *J*_{BX} 5.3, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.49 (9H, s, C (CH₃)₃) and 1.34 (3H, d, *J* 6.3, C(5)HCH₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 155.0 (C (0)O), 151.9 (C(4)N), 146.3 (CAr_{ipso}), 132.3(CAr_{para}), 129.9 (CAr), 129.3 (CAr), 81.3 (C(CH₃)₃), 55.5 (C(5)H), 39.0 (C(4)H₂), 28.4 (C (CH₃)₃), 21.9 (CAr_{para}CH₃) and 20.7 (C(5)HCH₃); *m*/z (ESI⁺) 372.2 (100, M+NH₄⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₀H₁₉F₃N₃O₅S requires 372.1588, found 372.1593 (+1.4 ppm).

4.6.17. (*RS*)-tert-Butyl 3-(benzoyloxy)-5-methyl-4,5-dihydro-1Hpyrazole-1-carboxylate **41**.



(*RS*)-*tert*-Butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **23** (250 mg, 1.25 mmol) triethylamine (0.200 mL, 1.38 mmol) and benzoyl chloride (0.200 mL, 1.73 mmol) were combined according to general procedure A to give crude product (568 mg). Attempted purification of this material by column chromatography led to decomposition to unidentified side-products. Hence, characterisation was carried out on the crude mixture.

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3065 (Ar–H), 2979 (C–H), 2932 (C–H), 2862 (N–C–H), 1750 (C=O), 1642 (C=O) and 1601 (C=N); $δ_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 8.11–8.07 (2H, m, ArH), 7.56–7.45 (3H, m, ArH), 4.59 (1H, app dquin, *J* 11.0. 6.0, C(5)H), 3.50 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.6, *J*_{AX} 11.0, C (4)H_AH_B), 2.83 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.6, *J*_{BX} 4.9, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.54 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) and 1.45 (3H, d, *J* 6.3, C(5)HCH₃); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 163.2 (C(O)Ph), 157.3 (C(4)N), 134.6 (CArr_{ipso}), 130.6 (CAr), 130.5 (CAr), 128.8 (CAr), 81.5 (C(CH₃)₃), 55.8 (C(5)H), 38.7 (C(4)H₂), 28.4 (C (CH₃)₃) and 20.6 (C(5)HCH₃); *m/z* (ESI⁺) 327.1 (100, M+Na⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₆H₂₀N₂NaO₄ requires 327.1321, found 327.1323 (+0.6 ppm).

4.6.18. (RS)-tert-Butyl 3-(benzyloxycarbonyloxy)-5-methyl-4,5-dihydro-1H-pyrazole-1-carboxylate **42**.



(*RS*)-*tert*-Butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **23** (1.00 g, 5.00 mmol) triethylamine (0.800 mL, 5.74 mmol) and benzyl chloroformate (0.75 mL, 5.25 mmol) were combined according to general procedure A to give crude product (1.77 g). Attempted purification of this material by column chromatography led to decomposition and return of starting compound **23**. Hence, characterisation was carried out on the crude mixture.

 ν_{max} (film) cm⁻¹ 3066 (Ar–H), 3035 (Ar–H), 2978 (C–H), 2932 (C–H), 2863 (N–C–H), 1751 (C=O), 1725 (C=O), 1648 (C=N) and 1587 (Ar C=C); δ_{N} (CDCl₃) 296 (*N*(2)COC(O)), 155 (*N*(1)Boc); δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.40–7.33 (5H, m, ArH), 5.23 (2H, s, CH₂Ph), 4.54 (1H, app dquin, *J* 11.1. 6.1, C(5)*H*), 3.37 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.7, *J*_{AX} 11.1, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.68 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.7, *J*_{BX} 5.0, C(4) H_AH_B), 1.52 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) and 1.39 (3H, d, *J* 6.3, C(5)HCH₃); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 156.3 (C(4)N), 151.2 (OC(O)O), 134.1 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.2 (CAr), 128.9 (CAr), 128.7 (CAr), 81.6 (C(CH₃)₃), 71.2 (CH₂Ph), 56.0 (*C*(5)H), 38.1 (*C*(4)H₂), 28.5 (C(CH₃)₃) and 20.7 (C(5)HCH₃); *m*/z (ESI⁺) 357.0 (100, M+Na⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₇H₂₂N₂NaO₅ requires 357.1426, found 357.1419 (–2.1 ppm).

4.6.19. (RS)-tert-Butyl 2-benzoyl-5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **43**.



(*RS*)-*tert*-Butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **23** (988 mg, 4.93 mmol), sodium hydride (225 mg, 5.63 mmol) and benzoyl chloride (0.60 mL, 5.24 mmol) were combined according to general procedure B. The resulting material was dissolved in toluene (15 mL) and refluxed for 5 h. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 25% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless solid (685 mg, 45%).

 $ν_{max}$ (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 2984 (C–H), 2932 (C–H), 2863 (N–C–H), 1759 (C=O), 1727 (C=O), 1699 (C=O) and 1596 (Ar C=C); mp 129–132 °C; $δ_N$ (CDCl₃) 184 (N(2)C(O)), 136 (N(1)Boc); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.81 (2H, dd, *J* 8.4, 1.3, ArH_{ortho}), 7.57 (1H, app tt, *J* 7.9, 1.3, ArH_{para}), 7.48–7.40 (2H, m, ArH_{meta}), 4.78 (1H, app quin, *J* 7.1, C(5)H), 3.14 (1H, dd, *J* 16.9, 8.3, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.28 (1H, d, *J* 16.9, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.40 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃) and 1.39 (3H, d, *J* 6.4, C(5)HCH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.8 (C(3)O), 166.6 (C(O)Ph), 154.5 (C(O)O), 133.2 (CAr_{ipso}), 133.1 (CAr), 130.0 (CAr), 128.2 (CAr), 83.5 (C(CH₃)₃), 52.7 (C(5)H), 39.9 (C(4)H₂), 28.2 (C(CH₃)₃) and 20.4 (C(5)HCH₃); *m/z* (ESI⁺) 139.2 (100, H₂NC(O)Ph+NH[±]₄), 305.2 (15, M+H⁺), 322.3 (20, M+NH[±]₄); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₆H₂₄N₃O₄ requires 322.1761, found 322.1761.

4.6.20. (RS)-2-Benzoyl-5-methylpyrazolidin-3-one 45.



To a solution of (*RS*)-*tert*-butyl 2-benzoyl-5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **43** (457 mg, 1.50 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.600 mL, 8.10 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred overnight at rt before being concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (40 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (40 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (2×40 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give the title compound as a clear yellow oil (263 mg, 86%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3224 (N–H), 3061 (Ar–H), 3029 (Ar–H), 2974 (C–H), 2931 (C–H), 2873 (N–C–H), 1757 (C=O), 1672 (C=O), 1631 (N–H bend), 1600 (Ar C=C) and 1579 (Ar C=C); $δ_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.65 (2H, dd, *J* 8.3, 1.3, ArH_{ortho}), 7.54 (1H, app tt, *J* 7.5, 1.3, ArH_{para}), 7.45–7.39 (2H, m, ArH_{meta}), 5.19 (1H, d, *J* 8.3, N(1)H), 3.84 (1H, app tquin, *J* 9.1, 6.4C(5)H), 2.85 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.1, *J*_{AX} 6.8, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.50 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.1, *J*_{BX} 9.5, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.40 (3H, d, *J* 6.3, C(5)HCH₃); $δ_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 171.9 (C(3)O), 166.7 (C(O)Ph), 133.1 (CAr_{ipso}), 132.4 (CAr), 129.4 (CAr), 128.0 (CAr), 50.2 (C(5)H), 42.1 (C(4)H₂) and 18.8 (C(5)HCH₃); *m/z* (Cl) 205.1 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (Cl) C₁₁H₁₃N₂O₂ requires 205.0977, found 205.0978 (+0.5 ppm).

4.6.21. (RS)-1-Benzyl 2-tert-butyl 3-methyl-5-oxopyrazolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate 44.



(*RS*)-*tert*-Butyl 5-methyl-3-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **23** (1.01 g, 5.04 mmol), sodium hydride (220 mg, 5.50 mmol) and benzyl chloroformate (0.75 mL, 5.25 mmol) were combined according to general procedure B. The crude material was then

purified by column chromatography, eluting with 25% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless oil (684 mg, 41%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3066 (Ar–H), 3034 (Ar–H), 2979 (C–H), 2933 (C–H), 2874 (N–C–H), 1799 (C=O), 1751 (C=O), 1728 (C=O) and 1587 (Ar C=C); $δ_N$ (CDCl₃) 166 (*N*(2)CO₂Bn), 137 (*N*(1)Boc); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.45–7.28 (5H, m, ArH), 5.36 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 12.3, *CH*_AH_BPh), 5.26 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.3, *CH*_AH_BPh), 5.26 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.3, *CH*_AH_BPh), 5.26 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.3, *CH*_AH_BPh), 4.42 (1H, app quin, *J* 7.0, C(5)*H*), 2.97 (1H, dd, *J* 17.1, 8.0, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 1.96 (1H, d, *J* 17.1, C(4)H_AH_B), 1.38 (9H, s, C(*CH*₃)₃) and 1.31 (3H, d, *J* 6.8, C (5)HCH₃); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 169.9 (C(3)O), 155.8 (N(1)C(O)O), 149.7 (N(2)C(O)O), 135.0 (CAr_{ipso}), 128.7 (CAr), 128.6 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 83.6 (C(CH₃)₃), 68.9 (CH₂Ph), 53.6 (C(5)H), 39.6 (C(4)H₂), 28.0 (C(CH₃)₃) and 19.9 (C(5)HCH₃); *m/z* (Cl) 235.1 (100, M–Boc+2H⁺), 352.2 (96, M+NH[±]₄); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₇H₂₆N₃O₅ requires 352.1867, found 352.1872 (+1.4 ppm).

4.6.22. (RS)-Benzyl 3-methyl-5-oxopyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 46.



To a solution of (*RS*)-1-benzyl 2-*tert*-butyl 3-methyl-5-oxopyrazolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate **44** (560 mg, 1.67 mmol) in dichloromethane (3 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (0.600 mL, 8.09 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred overnight at rt. TLC analysis of the crude reaction mixture showed incomplete reaction. Hence, further trifluoroacetic acid (0.120 mL, 1.62 mmol) was added and the mixture stirred for 4 h before being concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (30 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (30 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (2×30 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (90 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with ethyl acetate to give the title compound as a colourless oil (304 mg, 78%).

 ν_{max} (film) cm⁻¹ 3234 (N−H), 2972 (C−H), 2927 (C−H), 1783 (C=O), 1729 (C=O), 1638 (N−H bend) and 1583 (Ar C=C); δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.47−7.42 (2H, m, Ar*H*), 7.40−7.31 (3H, m, Ar*H*), 5.34 (1H, d, AB system, J_{AB} 12.3, CH_AH_B Ph), 5.27 (1H, d, AB system, J_{BA} 12.3, CH_AH_B Ph), 4.56 (1H, d, J 9.9, N(1)*H*), 3.71 (1H, app tquin, J 9.7, 6.5, C(5)*H*), 2.75 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{AB} 16.9, J_{AX} 6.7, C(4)*H*_AH_B), 2.37 (1H, dd, ABX system, J_{BA} 16.9, J_{BX} 9.7, C(4)*H*_A*H*_B) and 1.31 (3H, d, J 6.4, C(5)HCH₃); δ_C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 172.1 (C(3)O), 150.0 (N(2)C(O) O), 135.1 (CAr_{ipso}), 128.7 (CAr), 128.6 (CAr), 128.5 (CAr), 68.6 (CH₂Ph), 50.9 (C(5)H), 41.9 (C(4)H₂) and 18.4 (C(5)HCH₃); m/z (Cl) 257.0 (100, M+H⁺); HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₂H₁₄N₂NaO₃ requires 257.0902, found 257.0910 (+3.2 ppm).

4.6.23. (RS)-1-Benzyl 2-tert-butyl 5-oxo-3-phenylpyrazolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate **49**.



(*RS*)-1-Benzyl 2-*tert*-butyl 5-oxo-3-phenylpyrazolidine-1,2dicarboxylate **25** (3.15 g, 12.1 mmol), sodium hydride (530 mg,

13.3 mmol) and benzyl chloroformate (1.81 mL, 12.7 mmol) were combined according to general procedure B. The resulting material was taken up in toluene (40 mL) and refluxed for 4 h before concentration in vacuo. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 15 then 25% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a clear yellow oil, which became a colourless solid on trituration with petrol (1.83 g, 38%).

 $ν_{max}$ (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3064 (Ar−H), 3025 (Ar−H), 2982 (C−H), 1795 (C=O), 1733 (2×C=O) and 1560 (Ar C=C); mp 112−114 °C; $δ_N$ (CDCl₃) 169 (*N*(2)CO₂Bn), 133 (*N*(1)Boc); $δ_H$ (500 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.44−7.28 (10H, m, ArH), 5.70 (1H, d, *J* 8.6, C(5)H), 5.36 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 12.3, *CH*_AH_BPh), 5.30 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.3, *CH*_AH_BPh), 3.35 (1H, dd, *J* 17.2, 8.6, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.79 (1H, d, *J* 17.2, C(4) H_AH_B) and 1.43 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); $δ_C$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 169.2 (*C*(3)O), 155.8 (*N*(1)*C*(O)O), 149.2 (*N*(2)*C*(O)O), 138.4 (CAr_{ipso}), 134.9 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.1 (CAr), 128.7 (CAr), 128.6 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 128.2 (CAr), 125.6 (CAr), 84.1 (*C*(CH₃)₃), 69.0 (*C*H₂Ph), 59.7 (*C*(5)H), 40.1 (*C*(4)H₂) and 28.0 (*C*(CH₃)₃); *m*/*z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₂₂H₂₄N₂NaO₅ requires 419.1577, found 419.1585 (+1.8 ppm).

4.6.24. (RS)-Benzyl 5-oxo-3-phenylpyrazolidine-1-carboxylate 51.



To a solution of (*RS*)-1-benzyl-2-*tert*-butyl 5-oxo-3-phenylpyrazolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate **49** (1.60 g, 4.04 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) was added trifluoroacetic acid (1.50 mL, 19.7 mmol) and the resulting solution stirred overnight at rt before being concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (60 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (60 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (2×60 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (100 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by recrystallisation from petrol and the minimum quantity of dichloromethane to give the title compound as colourless needles (816 mg, 68%).

C₁₇H₁₆N₂O₃ requires C, 68.9; H 5.4; N, 9.5%; found C 68.6; H, 5.2; N, 9.5%; ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3226 (N−H), 3058 (C−H), 3030 (C−H), 1739 (C=O), 1653 (N−H bend); mp 101−102 °C; δ_N (CDCl₃) 167 (N (2)CO₂Bn), 103 (N(1)H); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.49−7.43 (2H, m, ArH), 7.41−7.31 (8H, m, ArH), 5.37 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 12.2, CH_AH_BPh), 5.31 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.2, CH_AH_BPh), 5.31 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.2, CH_AH_BPh), 4.94 (1H, br s, N(1)H), 4.75 (1H, app t, *J* 8.2C(5)H), 3.05 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.0, *J*_{AX} 7.3, C(4)H_AH_B) and 2.93 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.0, *J*_{BX} 9.8, C(4)H_AH_B); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.7 (C(3)O), 149.8 (N(2)C(O)O), 137.3 (CAr_{ipso}), 135.1 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.2 (CAr), 128.9 (CAr), 128.8 (CAr), 128.7 (CAr), 128.6 (CAr), 126.7 (CAr), 68.7 (CH₂Ph), 57.9 (C(5)H) and 41.0 (C(4)H₂); *m*/*z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₇H₁₇N₂O₃ requires 297.1234, found 297.1237 (+1.1 ppm).

4.6.25. (RS)-Benzyl 5-oxo-3-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidine-1-carboxylate **52**.



(*RS*)-1-Benzyl 2-*tert*-butyl 5-oxo-3-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate **26** (619 mg, 2.43 mmol), sodium hydride (107 mg, 2.68 mmol) and benzyl chloroformate (0.400 mL, 2.55 mmol) were combined according to general procedure B with a modified work-up. In this case, the reaction was quenched with water (2 mL) and concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was taken up in toluene (20 mL) and refluxed for 3 h before being cooled, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give crude product (930 mg) as a yellow oil. Product was used without further purification. An analytical sample was taken and purified by column chromatography, eluting with 10% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless solid.

 ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3028 (C–H), 2984 (C–H), 1790 (C=O) and 1748 (C=O); mp 65–67 °C; δ_{F} (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –78.8 (3F, d, *J* 7.2, CF₃); δ_{H} (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.43–7.32 (5H, m, ArH), 5.35 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 12.3, CH_AH_BPh), 5.30 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.3, CH_AH_BPh), 4.42 (1H, dqd, *J* 9.5, 7.2, 1.3, C(5)H), 3.14 (1H, dd, *J* 18.2, 9.5, C(4)H_AH_B), 2.77 (1H, d, *J* 18.2, 1.3, C(4)H_AH_B) and 1.38 (9H, s, C(CH₃)₃); δ_{C} (100 MHz, CDCl₃) 167.1 (C(3)O), 154.3 (N(1)C (O)O), 149.9 (N(2)C(O)O), 134.7 (CAr_{ipso}), 128.8 (CAr), 128.7 (CAr), 128.5 (CAr), 123.8 (q, *J* 281, CF₃), 85.4 (C(CH₃)₃), 69.4 (CH₂Ph), 56.2 (q, *J* 33.9, C(5)H), 32.2 (C(4)H₂) and 27.9 (C(CH₃)₃); *m/z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₇H₂₃F₃N₃O₅ requires 406.1584, found 406.1588 (+0.9 ppm).

A solution of crude (*RS*)-1-benzyl-2-*tert*-butyl 5-oxo-3-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate **50** (890 mg, 2.29 mmol) was stirred in a 1:2 mixture of trifluoroacetic acid/ dichloromethane (15 mL) overnight at rt before being concentrated in vacuo. The resulting material was partitioned between dichloromethane (100 mL) and saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (100 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with dichloromethane (2×100 mL) and the combined organic layers washed with brine (150 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by recrystallisation from diethyl ether and the minimum quantity of dichloromethane to give the title compound as colourless needles (281 mg, 42%).

 $ν_{max}$ (film) cm⁻¹ 3261 (N–H), 3014 (Ar–H), 2935 (C–H), 1760 (C=O) and 1714 (C=O); mp 90–92 °C; $δ_F$ (282 MHz, CDCl₃) –78.5 (3F, d, *J* 7.6, CF₃); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.44–7.33 (5H, m, ArH), 5.34 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 12.3, CH_AH_BPh), 5.32 (1H, d, *J* 7.9, N(1)H), 5.30 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.3, CH_AH_BPh), 4.13–3.98 (1H, m, C(5)H), 3.07 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 18.1, *J*_{AX} 9.6, C(4)H_AH_B) and 2.84 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 18.1, *J*_{BX} 4.1, C(4)H_AH_B); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 168.3 (C(3)O), 149.5 (N(2)C(O)O), 135.9 (CAr_{ipso}), 128.8 (CAr), 128.4 (CAr), 124.1 (q, *J* 280, CF₃), 68.9 (CH₂Ph), 53.4 (q, *J* 32.6, C(5)H) and 33.1 (C (4)H₂); *m/z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₂H₁₅F₃N₃O₃ requires 306.1090, found 306.1066 (+2.0 ppm).

4.6.26. exo-3-Phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde **47** and endo-3-phenylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde **48**.



trans-Cinnamaldehyde (0.120 mL, 0.950 mmol) and catalyst **51** (56 mg, 0.190 mmol) were combined according to general procedure D. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 5% diethyl ether in petrol to yield the title compounds as a 62:38 mixture of diastereomers, with spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature (168 mg, 89%).¹²

Compound exo-**47**: δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.93 (1H, d, *J* 2.1, CHO), 7.34–7.13 (5H, m, ArH), 6.34 (1H, dd, *J* 5.8, 3.6, CH_A=CH_B), 6.08 (1H, dd, *J* 5.8, 3.3, CH_A=CH_B), 3.73 (1H, dd, *J* 5.2, 3.4, CHPh), 3.24–3.21

(2H, m, CHCH₂), 2.60 (1H, app dt, *J* 5.2, 2.1, CHCHO) and 1.62–1.53 (2H, m, CH₂).

Compound endo-**48**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.60 (1H, d, *J* 2.2, *CHO*), 7.34–7.13 (5H, m, ArH), 6.42 (1H, dd, *J* 5.7, 3.2, *CH*_A=CH_B), 6.18 (1H, dd, *J* 5.7, 2.8, CH_A=CH_B), 3.36–3.32 (1H, m, *CHC*H₂), 3.14–3.12 (1H, m, *CHC*H₂), 3.09 (1H, dd, *J* 4.8, 1.5, *CHP*h), 2.98 (1H, ddd, *J* 4.8, 3.4, 2.2, *CHC*HO), 1.84–1.79 (1H, m, *CH*_AH_B) and 1.65–1.63 (1H, m, CH_AH_B).

4.6.27. exo-3-(4-Nitrophenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde **53** and endo-3-(4-nitrophenyl)bicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2carboxaldehyde **54**.



4-Nitrocinnamaldehyde (168 mg, predominantly *trans*-, 0.950 mmol) and catalyst **51** (56 mg, 0.190 mmol) were combined according to general procedure D. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 10% diethyl ether in petrol to yield the product as a 65:35 mixture of diastereomers with spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature (169 mg, 73%).¹⁴

Compound exo-**53**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.92 (1H, d, J 1.7, CHO), 8.13–8.08 (2H, m, ArH), 7.32–7.27 (2H, m, ArH), 6.41 (1H, dd, J 5.7, 3.2, CH_A=CH_B), 6.05 (1H, dd, J 5.7, 2.8, CH_A=CH_B), 3.88 (1H, dd, J 5.0, 3.5, CHAr), 3.33 (1H, br s, CHCH₂), 3.25 (1H, br s, CHCH₂), 2.62 (1H, br d, J 5.0, CHCHO) and 1.62–1.60 (2H, m, CH₂).

Compound endo-**54**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.64 (1H, d, *J* 1.7, CHO), 8.19–8.14 (2H, m, ArH), 7.45–7.40 (2H, m, ArH), 6.44 (1H, dd, *J* 5.9, 3.6, CH_A=CH_B), 6.20 (1H, dd, *J* 5.7, 2.8, CH_A=CH_B), 3.43 (1H, br s, CHCH₂), 3.22–3.18 (2H, m, CHAr and CHCH₂), 2.95 (1H, ddd, *J* 5.0, 3.5, 1.7, CHCHO) and 1.78–1.68 (2H, m, CH₂).

4.6.28. exo-3-Propylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde **55** and endo-3-propylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde **56**.



trans-2-Hexen-1-al (0.110 mL, 0.950 mmol) and catalyst **51** (56 mg, 0.190 mmol) were combined according to general procedure D. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 2.5% ethyl acetate in petrol to yield the product as a 58:42 mixture of diastereomers with spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature (117 mg, 75%).^{2,34}

Compound exo-**55**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.78 (1H, d, *J* 2.8, CHO), 6.21 (1H, dd, *J* 5.7, 3.1, CH_A=CH_B), 6.13 (1H, dd, *J* 5.7, 2.9, CH_A=CH_B), 3.01 (1H, br s, CHCH₂), 2.87 (1H, br s CHCH₂), 2.28 (1H, tdd, *J* 7.6, 4.7, 3.1, CHCH₂CH₂), 1.76 (1H, ddd, *J* 4.7, 2.8, 1.7, CHCHO), 1.77–1.06 (6H, m, CHCH₂CH, CH₂CH₂) and 0.88 (3H, t, *J* 7.2, CH₃).

Compound endo-**56**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 9.37 (1H, d, J 3.4, CHO), 6.27 (1H, dd, J 5.7, 3.2, CH_A=CH_B), 6.06 (1H, dd, J 5.7, 2.8, CH_A=CH_B), 3.12 (1H, br s, CHCH₂), 2.66 (1H, br s, CHCH₂), 2.38 (1H, dt, J 4.4, 3.4, CHCHO), 1.72 (1H, m, CHCH₂CH₂), 1.77–1.06 (6H, m, CHCH₂CH, CH₂CH₂) and 0.88 (3H, t, J 7.2, CH₃).

4.6.29. exo-Ethyl 3-formylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxaldehyde **57** and endo-ethyl 3-formylbicyclo[2.2.1]hept-5-ene-2-carboxalde-hyde **58**.



Ethyl *trans*-4-oxo-2-butenoate (0.120 mL, 0.950 mmol) and catalyst **51** (56 mg, 0.190 mmol) were combined according to general procedure D. The crude material was then purified by column chromatography, eluting with 15% diethyl ether in petrol to yield the product as a 50:50 mixture of diastereomers with spectroscopic data in accordance with the literature (147 mg, 80%).^{10,35}

Compound exo-**57**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.84 (1H, d, J 0.9, CHO), 6.30 (1H, dd, J 5.6, 3.2, CH_A=CH_B), 6.13 (1H, dd, J 5.6, 2.8, CH_A=CH_B), 4.11 (2H, d, J 7.1, OCH₂), 3.42 (1H, dd, J 4.4, 3.7, CHCO₂), 3.29 (1H, br s, CHCH₂), 3.20 (1H, br s CHCH₂), 2.83–2.81 (1H, m, CHCHO), 1.47–1.42 (1H, m, CH_ACH_B), 1.36–1.11 (1H, m, CH_ACH_B) and 1.24 (3H, t, J 7.1, CH₃).

Compound endo-**58**: $\delta_{\rm H}$ 9.55 (1H, d, J 1.2, CHO), 6.26 (1H, dd, J 5.7, 3.3, CH_A=CH_B), 6.09 (1H, dd, J 5.7, 2.6, CH_A=CH_B), 4.16 (2H, q, J 7.1, OCH₂), 3.39–3.33 (2H, m, CHCO₂ and CHCH₂), 3.20 (1H, br s CHCH₂), 2.70 (1H, ddd, J 4.3, 1.2, 0.5, CHCHO), 1.69–1.65 (1H, m, CH_ACH_B), 1.53–1.48 (1H, m, CH_ACH_B) and 1.27 (3H, t, J 7.1, CH₃).

4.6.30. (*R*)-2-((*R*)-2-Methoxy-2-phenylacetyl)-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3-one **59** and (*S*)-2-((*R*)-2-Methoxy-2-phenylacetyl)-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3-one **60**.



N-(3-Dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (14.4 g, 75.3 mmol), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (10.2 g, 75.3 mmol) and (*R*)-O-methyl mandelic acid (12.5 g, 75.3 mmol) were combined in DMF (300 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 15 min. (RS)-5-Phenylpyrazolidin-3-one 21 (12.2 g, 75.3 mmol) was then added and the resultant solution stirred at rt overnight. The reaction mixture was then concentrated in vacuo and the resultant residue taken up in dichloromethane (500 mL) and washed with 0.1 M hydrochloric acid solution (2×500 mL), water (2×500 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography, eluting with 35% ethyl acetate in petrol to give first the title compound 60 as an amorphous yellow solid (1.17 g, 5%) and then title compound 59 as a cream solid (1.60 g, 7%). Mixed fractions (10.9 g) were also collected and subjected again to column chromatography, eluting with 25% ethyl acetate in petrol to give more compound 60 (830 mg, 4%), compound 59 (3.76 g, 16%) and 5.01 g of mixed fractions (12.4 g of compounds 59 and 60 over all fractions, 53% combined yield).

Compound **59** (lower spot): $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ –1.5 (*c* 1.0, dichloromethane); ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3231 (N–H), 3025 (Ar–H), 2985 (C–H), 2934 (C–H), 1744 (C=O) and 1701 (C=O); mp 140–144 °C; δ_N (CDCl₃) 190 (*N*(2)C(O)), 104 (*N*(1)H); δ_H (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.52 (2H, dd, *J* 6.6, 3.2, ArH), 7.38–7.34 (3H, m, ArH), 7.31–7.27 (3H, m, ArH), 7.23–7.21 (2H, m, ArH), 5.89 (1H, s, CHOCH₃), 4.71 (1H, dd, *J* 9.3, 7.5, C(5)H), 3.40 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.07 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.1, *J*_{AX} 7.5, C(4) *H*_AH_B) and 2.82 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.1, *J*_{BX} 9.3, C(4)H_AH_B); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 169.9 (N(2)C(0)), 167.0 (N(1)C(0)), 138.0 (CAr_{ipso}), 135.4 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.2 (CAr), 129.1 (CAr), 128.8 (CAr), 128.7 (CAr), 126.5 (CAr), 81.6 (CHOCH₃), 58.1 (C(5)H), 57.4 (CHOCH₃) and 41.9 (C (4)H₂); *m/z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₈H₁₉N₂O₃ requires 311.1390, found 311.1393 (+0.9 ppm).

Compound **60** (upper spot): $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -62.6 (*c* 0.5, dichloromethane); ν_{max} (KBr disc) cm⁻¹ 3246 (N–H), 3064 (Ar–H), 3025 (Ar–H), 2927 (C–H), 1750 (C=O) and 1701 (C=O); mp 43–46 °C; δ_N (CDCl₃) 192 (N(2)C(O)), 104 (N(1)H); δ_H (300 MHz, CDCl₃) 7.55–7.53 (2H, m, ArH), 7.41–7.33 (8H, m, ArH), 5.87 (1H, s, CHOCH₃), 4.61 (1H, app dt, *J* 10.0, 7.4, C(5)H), 3.40 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.00 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{AB} 17.1, *J*_{AX} 7.6, C(4)*H*_AH_B) and 2.93 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 17.1, *J*_{BX} 10.0, C(4)H_AH_B); δ_C (75 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.0 (N(2)C(O)), 167.2 (N(1)C(O)), 137.5 (CAr_{ipso}), 135.7 (CAr_{ipso}), 129.2 (CAr), 128.9 (CAr), 128.8 (CAr), 126.7 (CAr), 81.7 (CHOCH3), 57.7 (C(5)H), 57.4 (CHOCH₃) and 41.7 (C(4)H₂); *m/z* HRMS (ESI⁺) C₁₈H₁₉N₂O₃ requires 311.1390, found 311.1396 (+1.9 ppm).

4.6.31. (R)-5-Phenylpyrazolidin-3-one (R)-21.





(*S*)-1-((*R*)-2-Hydroxy-2-phenylacetyl)-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3one **59** (4.62 g, 14.9 mmol) was suspended in 6 M aqueous hydrochloric acid solution (40 mL) and heated to reflux for 30 min. The reaction mixture was basified with 2 M sodium hydroxide solution (150 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3×150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (300 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a small quantity of the title compound (191 mg). Hence, the aqueous phase was neutralised to pH 7 with 37% hydrochloric acid solution and again extracted with dichloromethane (3×150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (300 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give a further 1.20 g of the title compound as a colourless solid, with spectroscopic data identical to (*RS*)-**21** (1.39 g, 57%). [α]_D²⁰ –13.0 (*c* 1.0, methanol); mp 94–96 °C.

4.6.32. (S)-1-Benzyl-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3-one (S)-61.



To a solution of (*S*)-5-phenylpyrazolidin-3-one (*R*)-**21** (42 mg, 0.259 mmol) in ethanol (1 mL) was added benzaldehyde (0.1 mL, 1.03 mmol) and the resulting mixture left to stir at room temperature overnight. Sodium borohydride (49 mg, 1.30 mmol) was then added and the mixture stirred for a further hour. The reaction mixture was partitioned between water (5 mL), saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (5 mL) and dichloromethane (10 mL). The resulting aqueous layer was extracted with further dichloromethane (3×10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 mL), dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude material was purified by column chromatography, eluting with 40% ethyl acetate in petrol to give the title compound as a colourless solid with ¹H NMR in accordance with the literature^{30,36} (33 mg, 51%). The compound was determined to have an enantiomeric excess of 95% by HPLC (Daicel Chiralpak AD-H 0.46 cm×25 cm), hexane/*iso*-propanol=93:7, 1.0 mL/min, 220 nm, 18.8 min (minor). Absolute configuration determined by comparison of the optical rotation to literature value.³⁶

 $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +168 (*c* 0.9, dichloromethane), lit. $[\alpha]_{B}^{20}$ -163 ((*S*)-enantiomer, *c* 0.90, dichloromethane);³⁶ mp 106–109 °C; $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDC1₃) 7.48 (1H, br s, N(2)*H*), 7.40–7.37 (2H, m, Ar*H*), 7.35–7.20 (8H, m, Ar*H*), 4.15 (1H, app t, *J* 8.6, C(5)*H*), 3.95 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 12.9, *CH*_AH_BPh), 3.56 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{BA} 12.9, *CH*_AH_BPh), 2.90 (1H, d, AB system, *J*_{AB} 16.8, *J*_{AX} 8.3, C(4)*H*_AH_B) and 2.47 (1H, dd, ABX system, *J*_{BA} 16.8, *J*_{BX} 8.9, C(4)H_AH_B).

4.6.33. (R)-Benzyl 5-oxo-3-phenylpyrazolidine-1-carboxylate (R)-51.



Synthesised from (*R*)-**21** by identical means to those described above. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +22.4 (*c* 0.25, dichloromethane); mp 123–125 °C.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Royal Society for a University Research Fellowship (A.D.S.) and MSD (Case award to E.G.). The EPSRC mass spectrometry facility is also acknowledged.

Supplementary data

Spectroscopic and HPLC data is available for all products. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for compounds **21**, **27**, **34**, **40** and **43** have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and allocated the deposition numbers CCDC 772907, 772908, 772909, 772910 and 772911, respectively. Copies of the data can be obtained, free of charge, on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK, (fax: +44 (0)1223 336033 or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk). Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in online version at doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.09.021. These data include MOL files and InChiKeys of the most important compounds described in this article.

References and notes

- 1. For a review of iminium ion-catalysed processes see Erkkilä, A.; Majander, I.; Pihko, P. M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 5416–5470.
- Ahrendt, K. A.; Borths, C. J.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4243–4244.
- 3. Northrup, A. B.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 2458-2460.
- (a) Marigo, M.; Wabnitz, T. C.; Fielenbach, D.; Jørgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 794–797; (b) Hayashi, Y.; Gotoh, H.; Hayashi, T.; Shoji, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 4212–4215.
- For reviews see: (a) Lelais, G.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Aldrichimica Acta 2006, 39, 79–87; (b) Dondoni, A.; Massi, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4638–4660; (c) Melchiorre, P.; Marigo, M.; Carlone, A.; Bartoli, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6138–6171.
- Merino, P.; Marqués-Lopéz, E.; Tejero, T.; Herrera, R. P. Synthesis 2010, 1, 1–26.
 Ramachary, D. B.; Chowdari, N. S.; Barbas, C. F., III. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003,
- 42, 4233–4237.
- 8. Juhl, K.; Jørgensen, K. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1498-1501.
- Bonini, B. F.; Capitò, E.; Comes-Franchini, M.; Fochi, M.; Ricci, A.; Zwanenburg, B. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 3135–3143.
- 10. Nakano, K.; Ishihara, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10504-10505.
- 11. Kano, T.; Tanaka, Y.; Maruoka, K. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2687–2689.
- (a) Cavill, J. L.; Peters, J. U.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. *Chem. Commun.* **2003**, 728–729;
 (b) Cavill, J. L.; Elliot, R. L.; Evans, G.; Jones, I. L.; Platts, J. A.; Ruda, A. M.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 410–421.
- Brazier, J. B.; Cavill, J. L.; Elliot, R. L.; Evans, G.; Gibbs, T. J. K.; Jones, I. L.; Platts, J. A.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. *Tetrahedron* **2009**, 65, 9961–9966.
- (a) Lemay, M.; Ogilvie, W. W. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4141–4144; (b) Lemay, M.; Ogilvie, W. W. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 4663–4666.

- 15. Lee, A. W. M.; Chan, W.-H.; Tian, T.; Chou, H.-H.; Pei, B. J.; He, H. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2421-2424.
- 16. Evans, G.; Gibbs, T. J. K.; Jenkins, R. L.; Coles, S. J.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Platts, J. A.; Tomkinson, N. C. O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2820-2823.
- (a) Thomson, J. E.; Rix, K.; Smith, A. D. Org. Lett. **2006**, *8*, 3785–3788; (b) Thomson, J. E.; Campbell, C. D.; Concellón, C.; Duguet, N.; Rix, K.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Smith, A. D. J. Org. Chem. **2008**, 73, 2784–2791; (c) Campbell, C. D.; Duguet, N.; Gallagher, K. A.; Thomson, J. E.; Lindsay, A. G.; O'Donoghue, A.; Smith, A. D. Chem. Commun. 2008. 3528-3530; (d) Duguet, N.: Campbell, C. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Smith, A. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 1108-1113; (e) Thomson, J. E.: Kyle, A. F.: Concellón, C.: Gallagher, K. A.: Lenden, P.: Morrill, L. C.; Miller, A. J.; Joannesse, C.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Smith, A. D. Synthesis 2008, 17, 2805–2818; (f) Joannesse, C.; Simith, A. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, Campbell, C. D.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Smith, A. D. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 2900-2907; (g) Concellón, C.; Duguet, N.; Smith, A. D. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009. 351. 3001-3009.
- 18. Sibi has elegantly shown that related *N*-acyl pyrazolidinones can be used in asymmetric Diels–Alder reactions (and other cycloadditions); for select examples see (a) Sibi, M. P.; Venkatraman, L.; Liu, M.; Jasperse, C. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8444-8445; (b) Sibi, M. P.; Rane, D.; Stanley, L. M.; Soeta, T. Org. Lett. **2008**, 10, 2971–2974; (c) Sibi, M. P.; Manyem, S.; Palencia, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006 128 13660-13661
- 19. Perri, S. T.; Slater, S. C.; Toske, S. G.; White, J. D. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 6037-6047
- 20. Smith, P. W.; Sollis, S. L.; Howes, P. D.; Cherry, P. C.; Starkey, I. D.; Cobley, K. N.; Weston, H.; Scicinski, J.; Merritt, A.; Whittington, A.; Wyatt, P.; Taylor, N.; Green, D.; Bethell, R.; Madar, S.; Fenton, R. J.; Morley, P. J.; Pateman, T.; Beresford, A. J. Med. Chem. 1998, 41, 787-797.
- 21. Hale, K. J.; Manaviazar, S.; Delisser, V. M. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 9181-9188.
- 22. Lou, Y.; Horikawa, M.; Kloster, R. A.; Hawryluk, N. A.; Corey, E. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004. 126. 8916-8918.

- 23. Golubeva, G. A.; Vorozhtsov, N. L.; Sviridova, L. A. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 2000, 36, 552-556.
- 24 For a related study concerning the N- or O-functionalisation of 4,4-diethyl-3,5pyrazolidinedione with carboxylic acid anhydrides see Izydore, R. A.; Bernal-Ramirez, J. A. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 3761–3767.
- 25. Marek, R.; Lyčka, A.; Kolehmainen, E.; Sievänen, E.; Toušek, J. Curr. Org. Chem. 2007, 11, 1154-1205.
- 26. Background reactions showed that using triflic acid alone (20 mol %) can act as a catalyst for the Diels–Alder reaction of cyclopentadiene and cinnamaldehyde, albeit with modest activity in MeOH ($t^{1/2} \sim 8$ h) and preferential *endo* selectivity (exo:endo ratio 14:86).
- 27 The functionalisation of **49** and **51** was confirmed by ¹⁵N NMR, see Supplementary data.
- 28. Catalyst **51** was found to return only starting material in the reaction of cinnamaldehyde with either isoprene or cyclohexadiene in MeOH. Changing the solvent system to MeOH:H₂O (95:5), MeCN:H₂O (95:5) or DMF:H₂O (95:5) gave no change in reactivity. Catalyst 34 also returned only starting material using both cyclohexadiene and isoprene.
 For theoretically determined ¹⁵N NMR chemical shifts, see Supplementary data.
- 30. Sibi, M. P.; Soeta, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4522-4523.
- 31. Enantioselectivity determined by acetalisation with (R,R)-hydrobenzoin and subsequent ¹H NMR spectroscopic analysis see Fujioka, H.; Kotoku, N.; Fujita, T.; Inoguchi, R.; Murai, K.; Nagatomi, Y.; Sawama, Y.; Kita, Y. Chirality 2003, 15, 60 - 67
- 32. Armarego, W. L. F.; Chai, C. L. L. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 5th ed.; Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford. 2003.
- 33. Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923-2925.
- 34. Zhu, Z.; Espenson, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3507-3512.
- 35. Ishihara, K.; Kurihara, H.; Matsumoto, M.; Yamamoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120 6920-6930
- 36. Suarez, A.; Downey, C. W.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 11244-11245.