A MONOACYL GALACTOSYLGLYCEROL FROM SONCHUS ARVENSIS

PUTUL BARUAH, NABIN C. BARUAH, RAM P. SHARMA, JOGENDRA N. BARUAH, PALIANAPPAN KULANTHAIVEL* and WERNER HERZ*

Department of Organic Chemistry, Regional Research Laboratory, Jorhat-785006, Assam, India; *Department of Chemistry, The Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306 U.S.A.

(Revised received 13 December 1982)

Key Word Index—Sonchus arvensis; Compositae; glycosylglycerides; 1-linolenyl-3-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol

Abstract—The major lipids isolated in a phytochemical study of Sonchus arvensis were 1,2-dilinolenyl-3-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol, 1,2-dilinolenyl-3-O- $(\alpha$ -D-galactopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ -O- β -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol and the previously undescribed 1-linolenyl-3-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol.

INTRODUCTION

Sesquiterpene lactones have been isolated from several *Sonchus* species [1]. As part of our search for biologically active lactones we have now examined *Sonchus arvensis* L.

Lactones were not found, but the herbaceous parts of fresh plant material furnished a lipid fraction consisting of the diacyl galactosylglycerol, **1a**, the monoacyl galactosylglycerol, **1c**, and the diacyl digalactosylglycerol, **2a**, each





- **10** R,R'=linolenyl,R'',R'''=H
- **1b** R,R'=linolenyl,R'',R'''=Ac
- 1c R=linolenyl, R', R'', R'''=H
- **1d** R=linolenyl, R', R'', R'''=Ac
- 10 R,R',R",R"'=H
- **1f** R,R',R'',R'''=Ac
- 1g R,R'=stearyl,R'',R'''=H
- **1h** R,R'=stearyl,R",R"=TMS
- 1i R,R'=stearyl,R",R"=Ac
- 1 R,R'=stearyl,R''=H,R'''=trityl
- 1k R,R'=stearyl,R''=Ac,R'''=trityl
- 1 R=stearyl,R',R",R"'=H
- 1m R=stearyl,R',R'',R'''=TMS
- **In** R=stearyl,R',R'',R'''=Ac
- **10** R=stearyl,R',R''=H,R'''=trityl
- **1p** R=stearyl,R',R''=Ac,R'''=trityl
- **1q** R=stearyl,R'R",R""=Me

- 20 R=linolenyl,R'=H
- 2b R=linolenyl,R'=Ac
- 2c R,R'=H
- 2d R,R'=Ac
- 2• R=stearyl,R'=H
- 2f R=stearyl,R'=Ac

essentially uncontaminated by acyl glycosylglycerols derived from other fatty acids. While **1a** and **2a** are widely distributed in plants, generally as components of complex mixtures [2, 3], **1c** has not been described previously. Earlier examinations of *S. arvensis* resulted in the isolation of triterpenes [1], mannitol [1] and various flavonoids [1, 4-6]; a study of the oil has also been reported [7, 11].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Column chromatograhy of the methanol extract of fresh plant material (the yields with chloroform were lower due to decomposition) gave, in order of polarity, apparently homogeneous 1a, 1c and 2a in proportions which varied somewhat with time of collection (see Experimental). Evidence that 1c is not an artefact produced by an endogenous acyl hydrolase in the course of extraction is given in the Experimental. Catalytic hydrogenation converted these relatively unstable substances to stable 1g [5], 1l, and 2e [5] which were characterized as the tetra-acetate, 1i, the penta-acetate, 1n and the heptaacetate, **2f** (for ¹H NMR spectra, see Table 1). Hydrolysis (potassium hydroxide, ethanol) of **1a**, **1c** and **2a** followed by acidification and methylation with diazomethane gave methyl linolenate, identified by ¹H NMR spectrometry and GC; hydrolysis (potassium hydroxide, ethanol) of **1g**, **11** and **2c** gave stearic acid. Hydrolysis of **1a** and **1c** (potassium carbonate, methanol, water) gave, in addition to linolenic acid and methyl linolenate, a substance which had properties (mp, rotation) similar to those given for 3-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol (**1e**) [8-10]. Hydrolysis of **2a** in the same fashion gave linolenic acid, methyl linolenate and a substance which had properties similar to those of 3-O-(α -D-galactopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 6)-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl)-sn-glycerol (**2c**) [8-10]. Acid hydrolysis of **1e** and **2c** gave galactose.

Acetylation converted 1e and 2c to the hexa-acetate, 1f, and the nona-acetate, 2d, analysis of whose ¹H NMR spectra (Table 1) confirmed the above structure assignments. All frequencies were assigned by decoupling experiments. In 1f, C-1' of the galactose unit in the β -configuration (δ H-1' 4.49d, $J_{1',2'} = 8$ Hz) was clearly

Table 1. ¹H NMR data for compounds 1f, 1h, 1i, 1m-1p and 2d (270 MHz, CDCl₃, TMS as int. standard)*

	1 f	1 h †	1i†	1m‡	ln‡	lpş	2 d
H-1a	4.31 <i>dd</i> (12, 4)	4.38 dd (12, 4)	4.31 dd (12, 3.5)	4.23 dd (12, 4)	4.31 <i>dd</i> (12, 4)	4.31 dd (12.5, 3)	4.31 dd (12, 3.5)
H-1b	in 4.15 m (3)	4.19 dd (12, 6.5)	in 4.15 <i>m</i> (3H)	in 4.02 <i>m</i>	in 4.15 <i>m</i> (3H)	4.03 dd (12, 6)	4.15 m
H-2	5.19 m	5.21 q	5.19 m	in 4.02 <i>m</i> (2H)	in 5.19 <i>m</i> (2H)	5.12 m	in 5.19 <i>m</i> (2p)
H-3a	3.70 <i>dd</i> (11, 5.5)	in 3.62 <i>m</i> (4H)	3.68 dd (11, 5)	3.47 dd (11, 7)	3.70 dd (11, 5.5)	3.61 <i>dd</i> (11, 5.5)	3.68 dd (10.5, 3.5)
H-3b	3.96 <i>dd</i> (11, 5)	3.96 dd (11, 4.5)	3.95 dd (11, 5)	3.77 dd (11, 3.5)	3.96 dd (11, 5)	3.91 dd (11, 5.5)	3.98 dd (10.5, 5)
H-1′	4.49 d (8)	4.14 <i>d</i> (7.5)	4.48 d (8)	4.14 <i>d</i> (7.5)	4.49 d (8)	4.42 <i>d</i> (7)	4.50 d (8)
H-2′	5.19 dd (10.5, 8)	in 3.62 <i>m</i> (4H)	5.19 dd (10.5, 8)	in 3.62 <i>m</i> (3H)	in 5.19 <i>m</i> (2H)	5.07 dd (11, 8)	in 5.19 <i>m</i>
H-3′	5.02 dd (10.5, 3)	3.38 m (2H)	5.01-dd (10.5, 3.5)	3.38 m (2H)	5.02 dd (10.5, 3)	5.01 <i>dd</i> (10.5, 3)	5.02 dd (10.5, 3.5)
H-4′	5.39 brd (3)	3.83 brd (2.5)	5.39 brd (3.5)	3.83 brd (2.5)	5.39 brd (3)	5.53 brd (3)	5.43 brd (3.5)
H-5′	3.92 br t (6)	$\begin{pmatrix} \text{in } 3.62 m \\ (4\text{H}) \end{pmatrix}$	3.90 br t (6)	$ \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{in } 3.62 m \\ (3H) \end{array}\right) $	3.92 br t (6)	3.76 br t (6)	3.87 br t (6)
H-6′	in 4.15 <i>m</i>	or 3.38 m (2H)	$\begin{cases} \text{in } 4.15 m \\ (3H) \end{cases}$	or 3.38 m (2H)	$\begin{cases} \text{in } 4.15 m \\ (3\text{H}) \end{cases}$	3.35 dd	$\left\{ 4.10m\right\}$
H-6'b	in 4.15 <i>m</i> (3H)		J			3.07 dd (9.5,8)	
Ac	2.16, 2.07 2.06, 2.06 2.05, 1.98	_	2.15, 2.06 2.04, 1.98		2.16, 2.06 2.06, 2.05 1.98	2.03, 2.01 1.90, 1.88	2.13, 2.13 2.08, 2.07 2.07, 2.06 2.06, 1.97 1.97

*Coupling constants (Hz) in parentheses.

[†]Stearate, 2.29 t (7 Hz, 4H), 1.61 q (7 Hz, 5H), 1.26 m (56H), 0.89 t (7 Hz, 6H)

\$\$tearate, 2.31 t (7 Hz, 2H), 1.62 q (7 Hz, 2H), 1.26 m (28H), 0.89 t (7 Hz, 3H).

§Trityl, 7.37 m (15H), stearate, 2.24 t (7 Hz, 2H), 1.56 m (2H), 1.26 m (28H), 0.89 t (7 Hz, 3H)

||Terminal galactose unit: H-1, 4.97 d (4), H-2, 5.12 dd (11, 4), H-3, 5.30 dd (11, 3.5), H-4, 5.46 br d (3.5), H-5, 4.21 H-6a, 3.44 dd (10, 6.5), H-6b, 3.79 dd (10, 6.5).

attached to C-3 of the glycerol residue as indicated by the chemical shifts of H-1a, H-1b, H-2 and H-3a, H-3b. In 2d, C-1' of the central galactose unit, also in the β -configuration ($J_{1',2'} = 8$ Hz), was again attached to C-3 of the glycerol moiety and linked to α -configurated C-1" of the terminal galactose unit (δ 4.97d, J = 4 Hz), via C-6' because of the chemical shifts of H-2', H-3', H-4' and H-5' which had remained the same as in 1f.

Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectra of 1f, 1i and 1n with the spectra of the TMS derivatives 1h and 1m (Table 1) showed that 1i and 1n contained two stearyl residues (as did the TMS ether of 2c) and 1b and 1m only one; that the two stearyl residues of 1i (and of 2c) were attached to C-1 and C-2 of the glycerol half and that the single stearyl residue of 1n was either on C-1 of the glycerol or C-6' of the galactose moieties, most probably on C-1 of the former. In an attempt to settle this ambiguity, 11 was tritylated to 10 and subsequently acetylated to give the monotrityl tetra-acetyl derivative 1p. Comparison of the ¹H NMR spectrum of 1p (Table 1) with that of 1h and 1m suggested that the new trityl group was on C-6' and hence that the stearyl residue was on C-1. Finally, methylation of 11 (sodium hydride, DMSO, methyl iodide) to 1q followed by acid hydrolysis gave stearic acid and 2,3,4,6-tetramethylgalactose identical with authentic material. Hence, the structure of the new monolinolenate was 1-linolenyl-3-O- β -D-galactopyranosyl-sn-glycerol (1c).

EXPERIMENTAL

Extraction of Sonchus arvensis. S. arvensis was harvested on the RDL campus in Jan-June 1981 (NPCD/4/81), Jul-Aug (NPCD/6/81) and Sep-Dec (NPCD/6/81). Above ground parts (2.4 kg), collected during the first period, were macerated while still fresh and extracted with MeOH (51.) at room temp. Most of the MeOH was removed at red. pres.; the residue, mainly aq. but containing a small amount of MeOH, was extracted with petrol (60-80°) (6 \times 100 ml) and then with CHCl₃ (5 \times 100 ml). The CHCl₃ extract was dried and concd at red. pres. and the gummy residue (10.5 g) was chromatographed over 200 g Si gel (60-120 mesh, BDH, India) packed in CHCl₃, 150 ml fractions being collected in the following order: fractions 1-5 (CHCl₃), 6-10 (CHCl3-MeOH, 99:1), 11-15 (CHCl3-MeOH, 49:1), 16-20 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 97:3), 21-25 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 19:1), 26-30 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 9:1), 31-35 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 17:3), 36-40 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 4:1), 41-45 (CHCl₃-MeOH, 1:1) and 46-50 (MeOH).

Fractions 17–20 each showed one major spot on TLC and were combined. Purification by prep. TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 9:1) gave 0.35 g 1a as a gum, $[\alpha]_D + 26^{\circ}$ (MeOH), IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3450, 1735, 1650 (w) 1615 (w), 1200, 1030, 950; UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm: 275, 260, 255, 250, 235. The material decomposed at room temp. but was reasonably stable when stored in MeOH soln. The only significant peak in the EIMS was at m/z 261 corresponding to $C_{17}H_{29}O^+$. Acetylation of 20 mg 1a with 0.5 ml pyridine and 1 ml Ac₂O at room temp. overnight, work-up in the usual fashion and prep. TLC (EtOAc-C₆H₆, 1:3) of the crude product gave 20 mg 1b as a gum, IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1735, 1200 and 1050.

Fractions 26–30 showed one major spot and were combined. Purification by prep. TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 4:1) gave 0.7 g lc as a gum, $[\alpha]_D + 13^\circ$ (MeOH) IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3400, 1735, 1650 (w), 1615 (w), 1230, 1050, 975 and 925; UV λ_{max}^{MeOH} nm: 274, 265, 255 and 228. The material also decomposed at room temp. but was stable in MeOH soln. Acetylation of 30 mg lc and prep. TLC of the crude product (EtOAc-C₆H₆, 1:4) gave 30 mg ld as a gum, IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1735, 1230 and 1050. Fractions 32–35 each of which exhibited one major spot were combined. Purification by prep. TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 4:1) gave 0.12 g **2a** as a gum which decomposed at room temp. but could be stored in MeOH soln, $[\alpha]_D + 67^\circ$ (MeOH), IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 3400, 1735, 1650 (w), 1600 (w) and 1075. Acetylation of 35 mg **2a** and purification of the crude product by prep. TLC (EtOAc-C₆H₆, 1:3) gave 32 mg **2b** as a gum, IR ν_{max} cm⁻¹: 1735, 1200 and 1050.

Extraction of dried plant with MeOH gave no acyl glycosylglycerols. When the fresh plant was extracted with CHCl₃, it was difficult to obtain 1a, 1c and 2a by CC due to decomposition. That 1c was not an artefact formed by enzymatic hydrolysis was shown as follows. (a) The fresh plant without homogenization or grinding was boiled within 10 min of harvest with MeOH for 15 min. Evaporation of MeOH and purification over Si gel followed by prep. TLC gave material containing 52 % of 1a, 23 % of 1c and 25% of 2a. Extraction of the same fresh plant with CHCl₃ for 15 min gave material also containing 52 % 1a, 23 % 1c and 25% 2a, but the total yield was ca 80% of the yield from MeOH. (b) The fresh plant was ground with EDTA, extracted with MeOH and analysed as before giving 50% 1a, 25% 1c and 25% 2a. (c) Breaking the stem of a fresh plant by hand produced a bitter latex which on TLC was found to contain 1a, 1c and 2a in about the same concn as obtained by MeOH extraction.

Seasonal variations in the composition of acyl glycosylglycerol content were as follows. Plants harvested from Jan. to Jun. gave an acyl glycosylglyceri fraction containing ca 30% 1a, 60% 1c and 10% 2a; plants harvested in Jul. and Aug. ca 40% 1a, 30% 1e and 30% 2a, and plants harvested from Sep. to Dec. ca 50% 1a, 25% 1c and 25% 2a.

Hydrolyses of 1c. (a) A soln of 61 mg 1c in 4 ml 5% ethanolic KOH was refluxed (N₂ atmosphere) for 30 min at which time TLC (EtOAc-C₆H₆, 1:1) indicated the disappearance of the starting material. The reaction mixture was neutralized with HOAc and extracted with Et₂O. Esterification of the washed and dried extract (30 mg), with CH₂N₂ gave methyl linolenate, IR v_{max} cm⁻¹: 1725, 1665; MS 292 [M]⁺ 261, identified by NMR spectrometry (270 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 5.36 (60H, br, vinyl Hs), 3.66 (OMe), 2.81 (4H, t, J = 5 Hz, H-11 and H-13), 2.29 (2H, t, J = 7 Hz, H-2), 2.06 (4H, m, H-8 and H-17), 1.62 (2H, q, J = 7 Hz, H-7?), 1.31 (8H, br, 4 × -CH₂-), 0.98, (3H, t, J = 7 Hz, H-18); and by GC comparison with an authentic sample.

(b) A mixture of 100 mg 1c in 3 ml MeOH and 5 ml 1% aq. K_2CO_3 soln was refluxed with stirring (N₂ atmosphere) for 25 min at which time TLC (EtOAc-C₆H₆, 1:1) indicated the disappearance of the starting material. Dilution with H₂O, acidification with HOAc and extraction with $CHCl_3$ (4 × 50 ml) gave, after washing and drying of the extract, a fraction which showed two spots on TLC (C_6H_6 -EtOAc) identified as linolenic acid (39 mg) and methyl linolenate (29 mg). The aq. layer was further extracted with *n*-BuOH (4×20 ml). Evaporation of the extract at red. pres. and purification of the residue by prep. TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 7:3) gave 30 mg 3-O-β-D-galactopyranosyl-snglycerol (1e) as a semi-solid material which after recrystallization had mp 138–140°, $[\alpha]_D$ + 6.2° (H₂O; c 0.74); lit. mp 139–140°, $[\alpha]_D + 3.77^\circ$ (H₂O) [7]. Acetylation of 36 mg of this material and prep. TLC (C₆H₆-EtOAc, 2:1) of the crude product gave 32 mg **1f**, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ + 0.62° (CHCl₃; c 0.4). IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 1735, 1200, 1050; MS m/z: 331 [M - 59]⁺ 271, 229, 221, 200, 169, 115, 99; ¹H NMR: Table 1; ¹³C NMR: C=O at δ 17046, 170.32, 170.13, 170.02 (2) and 169.31 C-1' doublet at δ 101.54, methine doublets at δ 70.84, 70.74, 69.89, 68.62 and 66.98, methylene triplets at δ 67.50 (C-1), 62.45 (C-3) and 61.25 (C-6'), methyl quartets at δ 20.89, 20.63 (4) and 20.54.

Hydrolysis of 50 mg 2a with K₂CO₃ gave 20 mg linolenic acid, 20 mg methyl linolenate and from the *n*-BuOH extract, 14 mg 3-0 $(\alpha$ -D-galactopyranosyl $(1 \rightarrow 6)$ -O- β -D-galactopyranosyl)-sn-

glycerol (2c), mp 184–186°, $[\alpha]_D + 82°$ (H₂O; c 0.80), lit. [7] 182–184°, $[\alpha]_D + 86°$ (H₂O), after purification by prep. TLC (CHCl₃–MeOH, 3:2). Acetylation of 30 mg 2c and purification of the crude product by prep. TLC (C₆H₆–EtOAc, 2:1) gave 29 mg 2d as a gum, IR $\nu_{max}^{CHCl_2}$ cm⁻¹: 1735, 1200 and 1050; $[\alpha]_D + 60.4°$ (CHCl₃; c 0.39); ¹H NMR: Table 1; ¹³C NMR: C=Os at δ 170.57, 170.52, 170.35, 170.13 (2), 170.00 (2), 169.92 and 169.38. C-1' doublet at δ 101.59, C-1" doublet at δ 96.69, methine doublets at δ 71.68, 70.91, 69.88, 68.68, 67.96, 67.56, 67.45 (2) and 66.64, methylene triplets at δ 67.26 (C-1), 65.63 (C-6'), 62.50 (C-3) and 61.60 (C-6"), methyl quartets at δ 20.87, 20.65 (4) and 20.60 (4).

Hydrolysis of 1e. A soln of 50 mg 1e in 70 ml 1 N H₂SO₄ was reluxed for 1 hr until TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 7:3) indicated completion of the reaction. The mixture was diluted with H₂O, neutralized with K₂CO₃ and extracted with *n*-BuOH. Evaporation of the washed and dried extract and prep. TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 7:3) of the residue gave 27 mg of solid which was recrystallized from H₂O to give galactose, mp 118-119°, identified by TLC comparison with authentic material and mmp. Acetylation gave galactose penta-acetate as a gum, identical by TLC comparison with authentic galactose penta-acetate.

Hydrogenation of 1a and 1c. (a) A soln of 100 mg 1c in 25 ml EtOH was hydrogenated with 100 mg PtO₂ catalyst for 2 hr at atmospheric pres. Filtration and removal of the solvent at red. pres. gave a quantitative yield of 11 which, after recrystallization from MeOH-CHCl₃, had mp 108-110° with a meniscus forming at 140-142°, IR v max cm⁻¹: 3400, 1735, 1170 and 1050; ¹H NMR (TMS ether 1m): Table 1. Acetylation of 38 mg 11 followed by prep. TLC of the crude product (C₆H₆-EtOAc, 4:1) gave 36 mg of gummy In, $(\alpha)_{\rm D} = 1.1^{\circ}$ (CHCl₃; c 0.32); IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 1735, 1225 and 1050; ¹H NMR: Table 1. Reaction of 85 mg 11 in 1 ml pyridine with 250 mg trityl chloride by warming until dissolved followed by standing overnight at room temp. indicated complete disappearance of starting material. Dilution with 50 ml H₂O, extraction with $CHCl_3$ (4 × 50 ml) and evaporation of the washed and dried extract at red. pres. gave crude 10; an attempt to purify this by prep. TLC (EtOAc- C_6H_6 , 1:1) resulted in isolation of only 10 mg pure 10 as a gum and recovery of 70 mg 11 due to hydrolysis of 10 on the TLC plate. Acetylation of 10 mg 10 with 0.5 ml pyridine and 1 ml Ac₂O overnight at room temp. followed by the usual work-up and prep. TLC (C₆H₆-EtOAc, 9:1) gave 10 mg 1p which was stable. The ¹H NMR spectrum is listed in Table 1.

(b) Hydrogenation of 120 mg 1a in 25 ml EtOH as described for 1c gave 120 mg 1g, mp 118–120° with a meniscus forming at 150–152° [9] after recrystallization from MeOH–CHCl₃, IR v_{max}^{nujol} cm⁻¹: 3500, 1735, 1230, 1175 and 1050; ¹H NMR (TMS ether 1h): Table 1. Acetylation of 50 mg 1g in the usual way followed by prep. TLC of the crude product C₆H₆–EtOAc, 4:1) gave 48 mg 1i, mp 56–58° after crystallization from MeOH; [α]_D – 1.4° (CHCl₃; c 0.57); IR $v_{max}^{CHCl_3}$ cm⁻¹: 1735, 1230 and 1050; ¹H NMR: Table 1. Conversion of 50 mg 1g to the trityl ether in the manner described for 1l gave crude 1j; attempted purification by prep. TLC (EtOAc–C₆H₆, 1:1) gave 20 mg 1j and 30 mg of recovered 1g. Acetylation of 15 mg 1j in the usual fashion and prep. TLC (C₆H₆–EtOAc, 9:1) of the crude product gave 15 mg 1k.

Reactions of 11. (a) A soln of 60 mg 11 in 6 ml 5% ethanolic

KOH was refluxed (N₂ atmosphere) for 30 min at which time TLC indicated complete disappearance of the starting material. Neutralization with HOAc and extraction with CHCl₃ followed by evaporation of the washed and dried extract gave 28 mg stearic acid, mp 69–69.5° after crystallization from EtOH, identical with authentic stearic acid by TLC comparison and mmp. Hydrolysis of 80 mg 1g in the same manner gave 34 mg stearic acid.

(b) To a soln of 143 mg 11 in 1.5 ml DMSO was added 80 mg NaH. After stirring for 30 min at room temp. (N₂ atmosphere) 5 ml MeI was added and stirring was continued for 3 hr at which time TLC (CHCl₃-MeOH, 4:1) indicated complete disappearance of the starting material. The mixture was poured into ice H₂O and extracted with CHCl₃. The combined extracts were washed with H₂O, dried and evaporated; prep. TLC of the residue (C₆H₆-EtOAc, 2:1) gave 52 mg gummy 1q whose IR and ¹H NMR spectrum indicated methylation of all hydroxyl groups; IR v_{max} cm⁻¹: 1735 and 1050; ¹H NMR very strong signal at δ 3.65 (approx 15H)'superimposed on the signals of 1h. A soln of 50 mg 1q in 4 ml HOAc and 4 ml 4 N HCl was refluxed for 45 min at which time TLC (C₆H₆-EtOAc, 1:1) indicated complete disappearance of the starting material. Neutralization with PbCO₃, dilution with H₂O, extraction with CHCl₃ and evaporation of the washed and dried extract at red. pres. followed by prep. TLC (EtOAc- C_6H_6 , 4:1) gave stearic acid as the less polar fraction; the MS of the gummy more polar fraction (10 mg), had $[M]^+$ at m/z 236 for a galactose tetramethyl ether. Other significant peaks were at m/z 218, 172 and 101. The material was identical with authentic 2,3,4,6-tetramethylgalactose on TLC. Acetylation of 12 mg of this hydrolysate in the usual manner and prep. TLC (C_6H_6 -EtOAc, 2:1) of the crude product gave 12 mg galactose tetramethyl ether monoacetate, MS m/z 278 [M]⁺ 218 $[M - HOAc]^+$.

Acknowledgement—Work at the Florida State University was supported in part by a grant from the U.S. Public Health Service (CA-13121) through the National Cancer Institute.

REFERENCES

- Gonzalez, A. G. (1977) in *The Biology and Chemistry of the* Compositae (Heywood, V. H., Harborne, J. B. and Turner, B. L., eds.) p. 1081. Academic Press, New York.
- 2. Sastry, P. S. (1974) Adv. Lipid. Res. 12, 251.
- van Hummel, H. C. (1975) Prog. Chem. Org. Nat. Prod. 32, 267.
- Bondarenko, V. G., Glyzin, V. I., Bankovskii, A. I. and Shelyuto, V. L. (1974) Khim. Prir. Soedin. 10, 665.
- Bondarenko, V. G., Glyzin, V. I., Shelyuto, V. L. and Smirnova, L. P. (1976) *Khim. Prir. Soedin.* 12, 542 (1976).
- Bondarenko, V. G., Glyzin, V. I. and Shelyuto, V. L. (1978) Khim. Prir. Soedin. 14, 403.
- Buchanan, R. A., Otey, F. H., Russell, C. R. and Cull, I. M. (1978) J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 55, 657.
- Carter, H. E., McCluer, R. H. and Slifer, E. (1956) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 78, 3735.
- 9. Wickberg, B. (1958) Acta Chem. Scand. 12, 1183.
- 10. Sastry, P. S. and Kates, M. (1964) Biochemistry 3, 1271.
- Mansour, R. M. A., Saleh, N. A. M. and Boulos, L. (1983) Phytochemistry 22, 489.