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Highly electrophilic boranes containing perfluorinated aryl groups are effective activators for olefin polymerization using $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ transition metal catalysts. ${ }^{1}$ To date, the most effective examples ${ }^{2}$ have been monofunctional boranes, but it has been suggested that bifunctional Lewis acids might offer advantages since the counterions formed from diboranes are potentially less coordinating than $\left[\operatorname{RB}\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)_{3}\right]^{-} .{ }^{3}$ To this end, we have been developing routes to various diboranes of general formula $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}$-linker$\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}$ for testing as metallocene activators. Although such compounds are most relevant in the olefin polymerization arena, in a more general sense bifunctional boron-based Lewis acids are finding application in such diverse areas as organic synthesis, ${ }^{4}$ new materials, ${ }^{5}$ selective anion binding, ${ }^{6}$ and molecular recognition. ${ }^{7}$ Thus far, diboranes incorporating $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}$ units have been limited to those with one-carbon linkers, ${ }^{3 a, 8}$ which have some limitations and are, at any rate, best suited to binding hydride anions. Herein we report synthetic routes to diboranes containing bis-(pentafluorophenyl)boryl groups tethered by the two-carbon perprotio and perfluoro ortho-phenylene bridges.

Recently, we reported the molecular structure of the base-free zinc compound $\mathrm{Zn}_{\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2},{ }^{9} \text { which we tested as a }-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \text { transfer }{ }^{2} \text {. }{ }^{2} \text {. }}$ agent. ${ }^{10}$ While it was not selective in reactions with $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$, it is an effective reagent for converting $-\mathrm{BCl}_{2}$ units to $-\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}$ groups.

[^0]Thus, treatment of the known compound 1,2-( $\left.\mathrm{BCl}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{11}$ with slightly more than 2 equiv of $\mathrm{Zn}_{\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2} \text { led to smooth conversion }}$ to the desired product $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{H}_{4}{ }^{12}$ as shown in eq 1 . While the first

two $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ groups are incorporated relatively easily, the third and fourth substitutions require heating to $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to ensure complete $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ transfer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the reaction in progress revealed the sequential nature of the substitution process eventually yielding $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}}$, characterized by a complex multiplet centered around 7.73 ppm for the aromatic protons of the symmetrical $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ phenylene backbone. In addition to full spectroscopic characterization, the molecular structure of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{H}_{4}$ has been determined (see Supporting Information).

Boranes of general formula $\mathrm{RB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}$ are prone to proteolytic loss of $\mathrm{RH}^{13}$ and are less Lewis acid than $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3} ;{ }^{14}$ furthermore, anions formed via methyl abstraction using such boranes (i.e., $\left.\left[\mathrm{R}^{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)} \mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]^{-}\right)$are unstable toward transfer of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ groups back to the metal. ${ }^{8}$ Indeed, $\mathbf{1 - H} \mathbf{H}_{4}$ suffers from some of these problems in addition to being poorly soluble in aromatic solvents, which are usually employed in solution olefin polymerization processes. Accordingly, a more desirable ortho-phenylene-bridged diborane would include a fully fluorinated backbone which, like the parent borane $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{3}$, should be more stable to proteolysis ${ }^{15}$ and $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ transfer and offer better solubility properties. The fully fluorinated analogue of $\mathbf{1}$, i.e., $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{F}_{4}$, however, represents a more formidable synthetic challenge since the deactivating effect of four fluorine substituents precludes the use of a route analogous to that employed for preparing $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}}$. Not only were we unable to prepare $1,2-\left(\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Si}^{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ but a model reaction between $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ showed also that the silyl methyl groups preferentially underwent metathesis to boron instead of the required $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$.

We thus turned to the known mercury trimer $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Hg}_{3}{ }_{3}{ }^{16}\right.$ as a synthon for installing the $1,2-\mathrm{BX}_{2}$ units on the tetrafluorobenzene ring. When this reagent is treated with an excess of $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$, the desired 1,2-bis-(dichloroboryl)tetrafluorobenzene product is observed at early stages of the reaction, but is thermally unstable toward loss of $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ and production of octafluoro- 9,10 -dichloro9,10 -diboraanthracene, which is the main product of this reaction. ${ }^{17}$ Eisch et al. have recently reported a similar condensation reaction involving unfluorinated diborane $1,2-\left(\mathrm{BCl}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ at elevated temperatures. ${ }^{18}$ Evidently, this is a more facile process when the backbone is fluorinated. ${ }^{19}$ Fortunately, the reaction of $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Hg}\right]_{3}$ with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ produces 1,2-bis-(dibromoboryl)tetrafluorobenzene, with only $\sim 5-10 \%$ of the diboraanthracene product

[^1]

Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of diborane 1-F $\mathbf{4}$ ( $50 \%$ probability) with the $F$ atoms removed for clarity. Selected bond distances ( $\AA$ ), nonbonded distance $(\AA)$, angles (deg) and dihedral angles (deg): $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1), 1.568-$ (2); $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(7), 1.565(2) ; \mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13), 1.568(2) ; \mathrm{B}(1) \cdots \mathrm{B}(2), 3.138(2)$; $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1), 121.72(13) ; \mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13), 120.00(13) ; \mathrm{C}(1)-$ $\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(13), 117.55(13) ; \mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{B}(2), 19.9(2) ; \mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{B}(1)-$ $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2), 41.8(2) ; \mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6), 39.8(2) ; \mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{B}(2)-$ $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(1), 39.7(2) ; \mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{B}(2)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5), 39.6(2)$.
in evidence (equation 2); this byproduct is easily removed in

workup. Conversion of $1,2-\left(\mathrm{BBr}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ to 1- $\mathrm{F}_{4}$ by using $\mathrm{Zn}_{\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}}$ proceeds smoothly. It should be noted that attempts to prepare of $\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{F}_{4}$ directly from $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Hg}\right]_{3}$ and $\mathrm{ClB}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}{ }^{13,20}$ were unsuccessful; thus, the use of the zinc reagent to institute the perfluorophenyl groups is essential.

Diborane $\mathbf{1 - F} \mathbf{F}_{4}$ crystallizes as a toluene solvate; $;{ }^{21}$ a view of its molecular structure (the F atoms and the toluene have been omitted for clarity) is presented in Figure 1 along with selected metrical parameters. Although somewhat distorted from ideal trigonal geometry, the two borane centers are essentially planar $\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{C}}=359.27(13)\right.$ and $359.67(13)$ for $\mathrm{B}(1)$ and $\mathrm{B}(2)$,

[^2]respectively) but, as is apparent from this view, the two borane trigonal planes are twisted out of the plane defined by the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ backbone by about $39^{\circ}$ to avoid unfavorable steric interactions. Furthermore, the two boron centers are tipped out of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ plane $\left(\mathrm{B}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{B}(2)=19.9(2)^{\circ}\right)$ to avoid each other.

Despite the intraboron steric crowding, the $\mathrm{B}(1) \cdots \mathrm{B}(2)$ distance of $3.138(2) \AA$ is enough to accommodate small anions. Indeed, reaction of $\mathbf{1 - 5} \mathbf{F}_{4}$ with potassium salts of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$and $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$in the presence of 18-crown-6 produces borate anions 3-F and 3-OH in which $\mathrm{X}^{-}$is chelated between the two boron centers (equation 3). Chelation of $\mathrm{X}^{-}$is implied by the two equivalent $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)_{2}$

units as indicated by the single (broad) peak observed in the non-temperature dependent ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra (at 14.0 and 2.8 ppm for 3-F and 3-OH, respectively) and the sharp, symmetrical ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectra observed at all temperatures ( $213-313 \mathrm{~K}$ ) for 3-F. Furthermore, the upfield shift of the para fluorine resonance relative to the meta signal for the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ rings is indicative of a conversion from a neutral borane center to a partially anionic borate center. ${ }^{22}$ In 3-F, the $\mu$-F ligand appears as a boronbroadened signal at $-167.2 \mathrm{ppm}(213 \mathrm{~K})$ in the ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR spectrum recorded in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. At room temperature, this resonance is nearly coincident with the signal due to the meta fluorines of the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ rings ( -165.0 ppm ) but shifts upfield slowly as the temperature is lowered. The origin of the temperature dependence of the chemical shift for this resonance is not clear but, given the lack of coalescence behavior in the rest of the spectrum, we do not believe it is due to a fluxional process in which a nonbridging fluoride ion is exchanging rapidly between boron centers.

Preliminary results suggest that other small anions such as $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ and $\mathrm{MeO}^{-}$can also be accommodated by 1-F 4 . The incorporation of cations more appropriate for olefin polymerization applications is also feasible, and their use is currently being investigated.
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