Silylmethylene radical cyclization. A stereoselective approach to branched sugars *

Valérie Pedretti, Jean-Maurice Mallet and Pierre Sinaÿ

Ecole Normale Supérieure, Laboratoire de Chimie, U.R.A. 1110, 24, rue L.homond, F-75231 Paris 05 (France)

(Received January 5th, 1992; accepted April 8th, 1992)

ABSTRACT

Ethyl 6-O-benzyl-2,3-dideoxy- α -D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside (2) was converted, in three steps and in 73% overall yield, into ethyl 6-O-benzyl-2,3-dideoxy-3-C-(hydroxymethyl)- α -D-ribo-hex-2-enopyranoside. This transformation involved silylation of 2 with (bromomethyl)chlorodimethylsilane and application of the Nishiyama–Stork radical cyclisation, followed by Tamao oxidation of the sila cycle. Ethyl 6-O-benzyl-2,3-dideoxy- α -D-threo-hex-2-enopyranoside and benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzyl- α -L-threo-hex-4-enopyranoside were similarly transformed into, respectively, ethyl 6-O-benzyl-2,3-dideoxy-3-C-(hydroxymethyl)- α -D-lyxo-hex-2-enopyranoside (50%), and benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzyl-4-deoxy-4-C-(hydroxymethyl)- β -D-galactopyranoside (71%).

INTRODUCTION

The regio- and stereo-selective synthesis of branched sugars has originally mainly been stimulated by their occurrence in a large variety of natural products, especially antibiotics. In 1983, we reported¹ a method to synthesize the first *C*-disaccharide, a class of compound that is of growing importance². In order to extend³ this approach, we attempted a stereoselective anchoring of a hydroxymethyl group to a deoxy position of a sugar. In addition to a variety of approaches⁴, a typical one is based on the hydroboration–oxidation of a *C*-methylene group^{3,5,6}. The yield of this last-mentioned process was usually low⁵ or moderate⁶, and its stereochemical outcome rather difficult to predict. As a rule, a mixture of the two possible diastereoisomers was obtained, together with the undesired, Markovnikov adduct. Recently, Nishiyama et al.⁷ and Stork et al.⁸ reported the regio- and stereo-selective addition of the elements of methanol ("hydrohydroxymethylation") to the double bound of an allylic alcohol (Scheme 1). This method involves

Correspondence to: Professor P. Sinaÿ, Ecole Normale Supérieure, Laboratoire de Chimie, U.R.A. 1110, 24, rue Lhomond, F-75231 Paris Cédex 05, France.

^{*} Dedicated to Professor Serge David.



Scheme 1.

connection, to an allylic hydroxy group, of a temporary silylmethylene radical (C) capable of cycle formation into a five member ring (D). The sila cycle was then cleaved according to the Tamao-Kumada oxidative method⁹ to give the diol E. This is an extension of a related process where an acetal link was independently suggested by Ueno et al.¹⁰ and by Stork et al.¹¹ as a detachable connector, and used by others¹²⁻¹⁴ in the carbohydrate field. We describe herein the application, to various sugar derivatives, of the Nishiyama-Stork silylmethylene radical cyclization.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The allylic alcohol 2^{15} was first selected as a typical model to establish the feasibility of this approach when applied to carbohydrates. Firstly, Fraser-Reid et al.¹⁵ attempted the selective monobenzylation of diol 1^{16} , but the mixture of the two resulting ethers was found unresolvable. Valverde et al.¹⁷ successfully obtained 2 in 87% yield through regioselective activation of CH₂OH-5 of 1 with dibutyltin oxide¹⁸, followed by benzylation, a procedure that was subsequently used by Al Neirabeyeh and Rollin¹⁹. But none of these authors disclosed data on compound 2. Using the tin method, we easily obtained analytically pure 2 in 86% yield, whose ¹H NMR spectrum was in complete agreement with the proposed structure.

Alcohol 2 was converted into the required (bromomethyl)dimethylsilyl ether 3. Such ethers have previously been purified by column chromatography on silica gel⁷, but Stork and Sofia^{8b} observed severe streaking (possible decomposition) during this process. We found that column chromatography on basic silica gel (see General methods) gave pure 3; this purification was then routinely adopted. The



rather unstable silvl ether was immediately treated with tributyltin hydride and azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN) (dropwise addition during 1 h) in benzene under reflux to afford the cyclisation product 4. This was oxidized in a one-pot reaction according to Tamao et al.⁹ to provide diol 5 in an overall 73% yield from starting alcohol 2. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 5 (after addition of CCl₃CONCO) was in agreement with an axial orientation of CH₂OH-3 (δ 5.23, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 5.1, $J_{4,5}$ 8.5 Hz, H-4). The values observed for H-1 (δ 4.91, 1 H, $J_{1,2a}$ 3.3, $J_{1,2b}$ 3.3 Hz) and H-3 (δ 2.82–2.69, 1 H, $J_{2a,3}$ 5, $J_{2b,3}$ 5 Hz) demonstrated a departure from the ¹C₄ (D) chair conformation. Such a distortion is the result of the 1,3-diaxial interaction between OEt-1 and CH₂OH-3 in the ¹C₄ (D) chair conformation. Similar results were obtained with the trityl derivative 6²⁰, which was processed as an α,β mixture, to give the branched sugar 7 in a 78% yield. ¹H NMR data of the α anomers of 7 and 8 were anologous to those reported for 5 and, thus, in agreement with the proposed structures.

The same procedure was next applied to the rather unstable allylic alcohol 10, which was easily prepared in two steps from 2 by use of epimerization at C-4 with benzoic acid under Mitsunobu's conditions²¹ to give 9, followed by debenzoylation. In this case, an acceptable yield of the cyclization of the silyl derivative 11 was only achieved upon very slow, syringe addition (17 h) of tributyltin hydride; the diol 12 was obtained in crystalline form in 50% overall yield from 10. Reduction of 11 occurred as a competing reaction; ¹H NMR data were in agreement with a ¹C₄ (D) chair conformation for 12 (see Experimental) with an equatorial orientation for CH₂OH-3 (δ 1.88, 1 H, J_{2a,3} 13.2 Hz, H-2a).

Crystalline 1,5-anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-D-*arabino*-hex-1-enitol was originally prepared in poor yield^{22,23} from 1,5-anhydro-2-deoxy-D-*arabino*-hex-1-enitol. We synthesized **15** through the reductive lithiation-elimination sequence²⁴.



Phenyl 4,6-*O*-benzylidene-1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside²⁵ was benzoylated in pyridine in the presence of 4-dimethylaminopyridine to give crystalline 13 in 89% yield. This was oxidized (92%) within 15 min at room temperature by sodium periodate and a catalytic amount of ruthenium trichloride, in a biphasic system²⁶, to give the crystalline sulfone 14, and reductive lithiation gave 15 in 86% yield. Radical cyclization of 15 was unsuccesful as reduction of the (bromomethyl)dimethylsilyl ether was observed. This contrasts with a successful free-radical cyclization of a related bromoacetal¹³.

The allylic alcohol 17 was then investigated; it was prepared in 46% yield from benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-O-isopropylidene- β -D-galactopyranoside^{18,27} (16) by treatment with potassium *tert*-butoxide in N,N-dimethylformamide at 80°C for 24 h²⁸. This rather unstable alcohol was immediately cyclized to give the branched D-galacto derivative 18 in 71% yield. The salient feature of this regiocontrolled synthesis lies in the generation of two stereocentres at C-4 and C-5 in a single-radical cyclization step. It provided a selective formation of a 4-branched D-galacto derivative which is a starting material for the potential synthesis of C-disaccharides³. The orientation of CH₂OH-4 is axial in view of the coupling constant $J_{3,4}$ 5.6 Hz, close to the 5–5.1 Hz values reported by Fraser-Reid et al.⁶ for similar derivatives prepared by another route. The coupling constant $J_{4,5}$ 1.4 Hz corroborated the configurational assignment.

The crystalline *exo*-methylene derivative **21** was finally investigated. It was easily prepared in two steps from the known diol 19^{29} , by selective iodination³⁰ at C-6 ($19 \rightarrow 20$) followed by elimination in *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide in the presence of 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene. When **21** was submitted to the aforementioned reaction conditions (very slow addition of Bu₃SnH-AIBN during 17 h through a syringe technique), selective hydroxymethylation at C-6 occurred albeit in a low yield (13%), and **22** was the only detectable product of the cycle formation. The major course of the reaction was the reduction of the silylmethylene radical. 5-*Exo*-cyclization of a radical originating from a (bromomethyl) dimethylsilyl ether predominates⁷, but the 6-*endo* mode can also be observed for allylic systems devoid of a terminal functionality⁷, or with a lower degree of terminal substitution³¹.

EXPERIMENTAL

Methods.—Melting points were determined with a Büchi model 510 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured in chloroform at $20 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C with a Perkin–Elmer Model 241 polarimeter, using a 10-cm, 1-mL cell. CI(NH₃)-mass spectra were obtained with a Nermag R10-10 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Service Central d'Analyse du C.N.R.S, Vernaison, France. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded with Cameca 250 and Brüker AM-400 spectrometers (internal Me₄Si). H-7a and H-7b refer to the branched CH₂OH group. Reactions were monitored by TLC on Silica Gel 60 F₂₅₄ (Merck) and detection by charring with H₂SO₄. Flash-column chromatography was performed on Silica Gel 60 (230–400 mesh, Merck). Basic silica gel was prepared from Silica Gel 60 (230–400 mesh, Merck) by successive washings with satd aq NaHCO₃, water, MeOH, EtOAc, and hexane, and activated at 120°C for 15 h.

Ethyl 6-O-*benzyl*-2,3-*dideoxy*-α-D-erythro-*hex*-2-*enopyranoside* (2).—A mixture of ethyl 2,3-dideoxy-α-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside¹⁶ (1; 9.2 g, 52.8 mmol) and Bu₂SnO (13.3 g, 52.7 mmol) was refluxed for 16 h in benzene (200 mL) with azeotropical removal of water. After one half of the benzene had evaporated, Bu₄NBr (17 g, 52.85 mmol) and benzyl bromide (15.8 mL, 132.2 mmol) were added, and the suspension was refluxed for 20 h and evaporated. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel with 5:1 hexane–EtOAc to afford **2**, syrup (12.1 g, 86%), $[\alpha]_D + 35^\circ$ (c 1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.37–7.24 (m, 5 H, Ph), 5.92 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 10.2, $J_{3,4}$ 1.5, $J_{1,3}$ 0.7 Hz, H-3), 5.73 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 2.8, $J_{2,4}$ 2.5 Hz, H-2), 4.98 (dd, 1 H, H-1), 4.62 and 4.57 (2 d, 2 H, J 12.0 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.20 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 8.9 Hz, H-4), 3.89–3.42 (m, 5 H, H-5,6a,6b) OCH₂Me), and 1.23 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃); MS: m/z 264 (M)⁺, 281 (M + 17)⁺, and 282 (M + 18)⁺.

Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₂₀O₄ (264.32): C, 68.16; H, 7.63. Found: C, 68.30; H, 7.63.

Ethyl 2,3-dideoxy-6-O-triphenylmethyl-α,β-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside (6).—A solution of ethyl 2,3-dideoxy-α,β-D-erythro-hex-2-enopyranoside²⁰ (650.6 mg, 3.73 mmol) and chlorotriphenylmethane (1.13 g, 4.05 mmol) in pyridine (12 mL) was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. Water (15 mL) and CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) were added. The organic layer was washed successively with 5% aq HCl, satd aq NaHCO₃, water, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel with 5:1 hexane–EtOAc to afford **6**, syrup (1.27 g, 82%); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.51–7.18 (m, 15 H, Ph), 5.90 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 10.2, $J_{3,4}$ 1.6 Hz, H-3), 5.75 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{1,2} = J_{2,4}$ 2.7 Hz, H-2), 4.98 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,3}$ 1.4 Hz, H-1), 4.17–4.04 (m, 1 H, H-4), 3.92–3.78 (m, 2 H, H-5, OCH₂Me), 3.61–3.50 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 3.45 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6a}$ 5.3, $J_{6a,6b}$ 9.1 Hz, H-6a), 3.36 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5,6b}$ 5.3 Hz, H-6b), and 1.24 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₂₈O₄ (416.52): C, 77.86; H, 6.78. Found: C, 77.66; H, 6.48. Ethyl-4-O-benzoyl-6-O-benzyl-2,3-dideoxy-α-D-threo-hex-2-enopyranoside (9).— Diethyl diazodicarboxylate (1.26 mL, 6.61 mmol) was slowly added (5 min) at room temperature under Ar to a solution of **2** (970 mg, 3.67 mmol), triphenylphosphine (2.07 mg, 6.61 mmol) and benzoic acid (982.6 mg, 6.61 mmol) in dry THF (12 mL). The solvent was evaporated and the residue was eluted from a column of silica gel with 5:1 hexane-EtOAc to afford **9**, syrup (740 mg, 55%), $[\alpha]_D - 210^\circ$ (c 1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.09-7.17 (m, 10 H, Ph), 6.24 (ddd, 1 H, J_{2,3} 10.1, J_{1,3} < 1, J_{3,4} 5.4 Hz, H-3), 6.06 (dd, 1 H, J_{1,2} 2.8 Hz, H-2), 5.28 (dd, 1 H, J_{4,5} 2.4 Hz, H-4), 5.15 (d, 1 H, H-1), 4.62-4.42 (m, 3 H, H-5, CH₂Ph), 3.96-3.85 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 3.73 (d, 2 H, J_{5,6} 6.2 Hz, H-6a,6b), 3.65-3.53 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), and 1.25 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄O₅ (368.43): C, 71.72; H, 6.57. Found: C, 71.43; H, 6.58.

Methyl 2,3-di-O-benzyl-6-O-deoxy-β-D-xylo-hex-5-enopyranoside (21).—A toluene solution (250 mL) of methyl 2,3-di-O-benzyl-B-D-glucopyranoside (19, 5 g, 13.3 mmol), triphenylphosphine (5.2 g, 20 mmol), imidazole (2.7 g, 39.9 mmol), and L (4.7 g, 18.6 mmol) was stirred for 2.5 h at 70°C, and concentrated. A solution of the residue in EtOAc (200 mL) was filtered, washed with aq Na₂S₂O₃ solution, aq NaCl solution, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel with 1:1 hexane-EtOAc to give crystalline methyl 2,3-di-Obenzyl-6-deoxy-6-iodo-β-D-glucopyranoside (20: 5.1 g, 80%), mp 78°C (hexane-EtOAc). A solution of 20 (1.45 g, 3 mmol) and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (0.88 mL, 6 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was stirred at 50°C for 2 h. Water (20 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3×60 mL). The combined organic phases were washed successively with cold diluted aq HCl solution, water, satd aq NaHCO₃ solution, water, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The residue crystallized from EtOH to give 21 (734 mg, 72%), mp 98–99°C (EtOH), $[\alpha]_D = 40^\circ$ (c 1.1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.41-7.25 (m, 10 H, Ph), 4.87 (d, 1 H, J 11.5 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.82 (d, 1 H, J 11.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.70 (s, 2 H, H-6a,6b), 4.67 (d, 1 H, J 11.5 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.65 (d, 1 H, J 11.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.54 (d, 1 H, J₁, 5.3 Hz, H-1), 4.21–4.17 (m, 1 H, H-4), 3.60 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 7.3 Hz, H-2), 3.57 (s, 3 H, OCH₃), and 3.46 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 8.8 Hz, H-3).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}O_5$ (356.42): C, 70.77; H, 6.79. Found: C, 70.43; H, 7.11. Phenyl 2,3-di-O-benzoyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside (13).— Benzoyl chloride (3.5 mL, 30 mmol) was added dropwise at 0°C to a solution of phenyl 4,6-O-benzylidene-1-thio- β -D-glucopyranoside (3.6 g, 10.0 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (4 mg) in pyridine (40 mL). Stirring was continued for 15 min. Methanol (5 mL) was added to the solution which was then concentrated and the residual solvent coevaporated with toluene. The residue crystallized from EtOH-EtOAc to give 13 (5.09 g, 89%), mp 204-205°C (EtOH-EtOAc), $[\alpha]_D + 40^\circ$ (c 1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.10-7.30 (m, 20 H, Ph), 5.86 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,4} = J_{2,3}$ 9.5 Hz, H-3), 5.62 (s, 1 H, CHPh), 5.54 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 10.0 Hz, H-2), 5.10 (d, 1 H, H-1), 4.52 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5.6a}$ 4.5, $J_{6a,6b}$ 10.5 Hz, H-6a), and 4.00-3.70 (m, 3 H, H-4,5,6b).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{34}O_6$ (568.65): C, 69.70; H, 4.96. Found: C, 69.71; H, 4.79.

(2,3-di-O-Benzoyl-4,6-O-benzylidene- β -D-glucopyranosyl) phenyl sulfone (14).— To a solution of 13 (4.0 g, 7.04 mmol) in CCl₄ (70 mL), MeCN (70 mL), and water (105 mL) was added RuCl₃ · 3H₂O (7 mg) and NaIO₄ (6.3 g, 29.5 mmol). This biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred for 15 min at room temperature, and then diluted with CH₂Cl₂. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂, and the organic layer dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. A solution of the residue in CH₂Cl₂ was filtered through silica gel to remove Ru salts and concentrated. The residue crystalized from EtOH–EtOAc to give 14 (3.90 g, 92%), mp 228–230°C, [α]_D – 28° (c 1). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 8.06–7.30 (m, 20 H, Ph), 5.88–5.78 (m, 2 H, H-2,3), 5.64 (s, 1 H CHPh), 4.98 (d, 1 H, J_{1,2} 10.0 Hz, H-1), 4.48–4.36 (m, 1 H, H-5), and 3.82–3.70 (m, 3 H, H-4,6a,6b).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{33}H_{28}O_9S$ (600.64): C, 65.99; H, 4.70. Found: C, 65.88; H, 4.55. 1,5-Anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-D-arabino-hex-2-enitol (15).—To a solution of 14 (3.0 g, 4.99 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was added a solution of ~ M lithium naphthalene in THF at $-78^{\circ}C$ under Ar untill disappearance of the starting material. The reaction was monitored by TLC (4:1 toluene–EtOAc). The base was neutralized at 0°C with 20% acetic acid in THF and the solvent evaporated. A solution of the residue in CH₂Cl₂ was washed with water, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel with 4:1 toluene–EtOAc containing 1% of Et₃N to give 15 (1.0 g, 86%), mp 140–142°C (hexane–ether) [lit.²² mp 142–143°C (hexane–ether)]; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.60–7.40 (m, 5 H, Ph), 6.41 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 6.0, $J_{1,3}$ 1.8 Hz, H-1), 5.67 (s, 1 H, CHPh), 4.83 (dd, 1 H, $J_{2,3}$ 2.2 Hz, H-2), 4.56 (m, 1 H, H-3), 4.43 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5.6a}$ 4.0, $J_{6a,6b}$ 10.5 Hz, H-6a), and 4.02–3.80 (m, 3 H, H-4,5,6b).

General procedure for silylation, cyclization, and oxidation.—A solution of alcohol, (bromomethyl)chlorodimethylsilane (1.3 eq) and $\text{Et}_3 N$ (2 eq) in dry $\text{CH}_2 \text{Cl}_2$ (5 mL/mmol) was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, and the solvent evaporated at $< 30^{\circ}$ C. The residue was eluted from a column of basic silica gel with 3:1 hexane–EtOAc to afford an unstable syrup. This silyl ether was heated under Ar in dry, degazed benzene (15 mL/mmol). Tributylstannane (1.5 eq) and AIBN (2%) in benzene (1 mL) were added slowly (1 h, unless otherwise stated) to the refluxed solution. Heating was continued for 3 h, and benzene was evaporated. Methanol (1.5 mL/mmol), THF (1.5 mL/mmol), 30% H_2O_2 (2.1 mL/mmol), and Na_2CO_3 (120 mg/mmol) were added to the residue. The mixture was refluxed for 4 h, concentrated, and the residue was eluted from a column of silica gel to give the diol.

Ethyl-6-O-benzyl-2,3-dideoxy-3-C-(hydroxymethyl)- α -D-ribo-*hexopyranoside* (5). —Compound 5 (86.4 mg, 73%) was obtained from 2 (144.5 mg, 0.55 mmol) after column chromatography (1:1 hexane–EtOAc) as a white foam, $[\alpha]_D + 71^\circ (c \ 1)$; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.42–7.30 (m, 5 H, Ph), 4.77 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2a}$ 4.0, $J_{1,2b}$ 1.7 Hz, H-1), 4.62 and 4.57 (2 d, 2 H, J 12.0 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.19 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3.7a}$ 8.3, $J_{7a.7b}$ 11.6 Hz, H-7a), 4.04–3.91 (m, 2 H, H-4,7b), 3.80–3.62 (m, 4 H, H-5,6a,6b, OCH₂Me), 3.52–3.33 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 2.40–2.26 (m, 1 H, H-3), 1.94 (ddd, 1 H, J_{2a,2b} 14.3, J_{2a,3} 6.0 Hz, H-2a), 1.71 (ddd, 1 H, J_{2b,3} 3.5 Hz, H-2b), and 1.22 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃ + CCl₃CONCO): δ 7.39–7.19 (m, 5 H, Ph), 5.23 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 5.1, $J_{4,5}$ 8.5 Hz, H-4), 4.91 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2a} = J_{1,2b}$ 3.3 Hz, H-1), 4.66 (d, 1 H, J 12.1 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.59 (dd, 1 H, J_{3,7a} 7.4, J_{7a,7b} 11.5 Hz, H-7a), 4.52 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3.7b}$ 5.3 Hz, H-7b), 4.46 (d, 1 H, J 12.1 Hz, CH_2Ph), 4.07 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{5.6a} = J_{5.6b}$ 4.0 Hz, H-5), 3.82-3.70 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 3.70-3.61 (m, 2 H, H-6a,6b), 3.54-3.37 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 2.82-2.69 (m, 1 H, H-3), 2.04 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2a,2b}$ 14, J_{2a,3} 4.6 Hz, H-2a), 1.91 (ddd, 1 H, J_{2b,3} 5.4 Hz, H-2b), and 1.22 (t, 3 H, J 7 Hz, CH ,).

Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₄O₅ (296.37): C, 64.80; H, 8.16. Found: C, 64.93; H, 8.31. Ethyl 2,3-dideoxy-3-C-(hydroxymethyl)-6-O-triphenylmethyl-α,β-D-ribo-hexopyranoside (7).—Compound 7 (876.3 mg, 78%) was obtained from **6** (1.08 g, 2.60 mmol) after column chromatography (10:1 CH₂Cl₂-acetone,) as a white foam; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.52–7.15 (m, 15 H, Ph), 4.69 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2a}$ 4.1, $J_{1,2b}$ 2.1 Hz, H-1), 4.10 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,7a}$ 8.3, $J_{7a,7b}$ 11.7 Hz, H-7a), 3.91–3.25 (m, 7 H, H-4,5,6a,6b,7b, OCH₂Me) 2.30–2.19 (m, 1 H, H-3), 1.86 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2a,2b}$ 14.2, $J_{2a,3}$ 6.0 Hz, H-2a), 1.69 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2b,3}$ 3.7 Hz, H-2b), and 1.20 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃ + CCl₃CONCO): δ 7.50–7.10 (m, 15 H, Ph), 5.19 (dd, 1 H, $J_{3,4}$ 4.8, $J_{4,5}$ 8.2 Hz, H-4), 4.88 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2a}$ 3.8, $J_{1,2b}$ 2.5 Hz, H-1), 4.54–4.47 (2 dd, 2 H, $J_{7a,7b}$ 11.5, $J_{3,7a}$ 5.1, $J_{3,7b}$ 6.3 Hz, H-7a,7b), 4.10–4.00 (m, 1 H, H-5), 3.82 (dq, 1 H, J_{gem} 14.1, J_{vic} 7 Hz, OC H_2 Me), 3.48 (dq, 1 H, OC H_2 Me), 3.26–3.34 (2 dd, 2 H, $J_{6a,6b}$ 10.1, $J_{5,6b}$ 4.6, $J_{5,6a}$ 3.5 Hz, H-6a,6b), 2.69–2.58 (m, 1 H, H-3), 2.03 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2a,2b}$ 14.0, $J_{2a,3}$ 5.1 Hz, H-2a), 1.89 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{2b,3}$ 6.0 Hz, H-2b), and 1.22 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{32}O_5$ (448.56): C, 74.98; H, 7.19. Found: C, 74.41; H, 7.19. The 3-*C*-acetoxymethyl derivative **8** (103 mg, 92%) was obtained by acetylation of **7** (95 mg, 0.21 mmol) with acetic anhydride (50 μ L) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (5 mg) in pyridine (2 mL); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.50–7.18 (m, 15 H, Ph), 5.03 (dd, 1 H, $J_{4.5}$ 7.7, $J_{3.4}$ 4.8 Hz, H-4), 4.83 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2a}$ 4.0, $J_{1,2b}$ 3.9 Hz, H-1),

4.25–4.12 (m, 2 H, H-7a,7b), 4.04–3.86 (m, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 7.7, $J_{5,6a}$ 3.6, $J_{5,6b}$ 5.3 Hz, H-5), 3.91–3.77 (m, 1 H, OC H_2 Me), 3.54–3.40 (m, 1 H, OC H_2 Me), 3.30–3.16 (2dd, 2 H, $J_{6a,6b}$ 9.9 Hz, H-6a,6b), 2.48–2.35 (m, 1 H, $J_{2,3a}$ 4.9, $J_{2,3b}$ 6.8 Hz, H-3), 2.00 (s, 3 H, OAc), 1.95–1.73 (m, 2 H, $J_{2a,2b}$ 13.6 Hz, H-2a,2b), 1.87 (s, 3 H, OAc), and 1.22 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃).

Ethyl 6-O-*benzyl-2,3,-dideoxy-3*-C-(*hydroxymethyl*)-α-D-lyxo-*hexopyranoside* (12). —Compound 9 (150 mg, 0.41 mmol) was dissolved in a 0.05 M solution of NaOMe in MeOH (5 mL). The solvent was evaporated and the residue was eluted from a column of basic silica gel with 5:1 hexane–EtOAc to afford ethyl 6-O-benzyl-2,3dideoxy-α-D-*threo*-hex-2-enopyranoside (10; 94 mg, 87%) as an unstable syrup, which was immediately used in the next step; ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N): δ 7.57–7.21 (m, 5 H, Ph), 6.31 (ddd, 1 H, J_{1,3} 0.5, J_{2,3} 10.0, J_{3,4} 5.4 Hz, H-3), 5.97 (ddd, 1 H, J_{1,2} 3.0 Hz, H-2), 5.12 (dd, 1 H, H-1), 4.67 (s, 2 H, CH₂Ph), 4.61–4.53 (m, 1 H, H-5), 4.23–4.07 (m, 3 H, H-4,6a,6b), 4.06–3.93 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 3.60–3.45 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), and 1.18 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃).

Compound 12 (48 mg, 26%, for 1 h addition; 97.8 mg, 50%, for 17 h addition) was obtained from 10 (175.4 mg, 0.66 mmol) after column chromatography (1:1 hexane-EtOAc), mp 94-95°C (hexane-EtOAc), $[\alpha]_D$ +93° (*c* 1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃ + CCl₃CONCO): δ 7.37-7.20 (m, 5 H, Ph), 5.37 (s, 1 H, H-4), 5.01 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2b} < 1$, $J_{1,2a}$ 3 Hz, H-1), 4.57 and 4.46 (2 d, 2 H, J 11.8 Hz, CH_2 Ph), 4.19 (d, 2 H, $J_{3,7}$ 7.0 Hz, H-7a,7b), 4.10 (dd, 1 H, $J_{5.6} = J_{4,5}$ 5.7 Hz, H-5), 3.81-3.67 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 3.61-3.42 (m, 1 H, OCH₂Me), 3.55 (d, 2 H, H-6a,6b), 2.81-2.66 (m, 1 H, H-3), 1.89 (ddd, 1 H, $J_{1,2a}$ 3, $J_{2a,3}$ 13, $J_{2a,2b}$ 13.3 Hz, H-2a), 1.67 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2b} < 1$, $J_{2b,3}$ 3.7 Hz, H-2b), and 1.23 (t, 3 H, J 7.0 Hz, CH₃).

Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{24}O_5$ (296.37): C, 64.84; H, 8.16. Found: C, 64.63; H, 8.27. Benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzyl-4-deoxy-4-C-(hydroxymethyl)- β -D-galactopyranoside (18).

-A solution of benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzyl-3,4-O-isopropylidene-β-D-glucopyranoside (16, 197.1 mg, 0.40 mmol) and potassium *tert*-butoxide (198.4 mg, 1.80 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was stirred for 24 h at 80°C. The solution was filtered through Celite and concentrated. The residue was eluted from a column of silica gel with 4:1 hexane-EtOAc to afford benzyl 2,6-di-O-benzyl-α-L-threo-hex-4-enopyranoside (17; 180 mg, 46%), unstable syrup, which was immediately used in the next step; ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.53-7.22 (m, 15 H, Ph), 5.33 (d, 1 H, J_{3,4} 4.5 Hz, H-4), 5.20 (dd, 1 H, J_{1,2} 2.9, J_{1,3} 1.2 Hz, H-1), 4.65 (d, 1 H, J 11.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.40-4.30 (m, 5 H, CH₂Ph), 4.23-4.14 (m, 1 H, H-3), and 4.00-3.75 (m, 3 H, J_{6a.6b} 12.7 Hz, H-2,6a,6b).

Compound **18** (40 mg, 71%) was obtained from **17** (55.3 mg, 0.13 mmol) after column chromatography (1:1 hexane–EtOAc), $[\alpha]_D - 12^\circ$ (c 1); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): δ 7.34–7.14 (m, 15 H, Ph), 4.93 (d, H, J 11.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.88 (d, H, J 12.4 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.63–4.48 (m, 4 H, CH₂Ph), 4.38 (d, 1 H, J_{1,2} 7.5 Hz, H-1), 3.96–3.58 (m, 5 H, H-3,5,6a,6b,7a,7b), 3.44 (dd, 1 H, J_{2,3} 9.6 Hz, H-2), and 2.93–2.84 (m, 1 H, H-4); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃ + CCl₃CONCO): δ 7.40–7.20 (m, 15 H, Ph), 5.09 (dd, 1 H, J_{2,3} 10.2, J_{3,4} 5.6 Hz, H-3), 4.98 and 4.82 (2 d, 2 H, J 11.8 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.72–4.53 (m, 6 H, H-1,7a, 2 CH₂Ph), 4.47 (dd, 1 H, J_{4,7b} 6.3, J_{7b,7a} 11.6 Hz, H-7b), 3.84

(ddd, 1 H, $J_{4,5}$ 1.4, $J_{5,6a} = J_{5,6b}$ 6.2 Hz, H-5), 3.79–3.62 (m, 2 H, H-6a,6b), 3.49 (dd, 1 H, $J_{1,2}$ 7.5 Hz, H-2), and 2.69 (m, 1 H, H-4).

Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₃₄O₆ (466.58): C, 72.08; H, 7.35. Found: C, 72.27; H, 7.07. Methyl 2,3-di-O-benzyl-6-deoxy-β-D-gluco-heptopyranoside (22).—Compound 22 (143.1 mg, 13%, 17 h addition) was obtained from 21 (1.0 g, 2.81 mmol) after column chromatography (50:1 CH₂Cl₂-acetone), mp 104–105°C (hexane–EtOAc), [α]_D – 7° (*c* 3); ¹H NMR (C₆D₆): δ 7.39- 7.05 (m, 10 H, Ph), 5.00 and 4.98 (2 d, 2 H, J 11.5 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.73 and 4.69 (2 d, 2 H, J 11.5 Hz, CH₂Ph), 4.14 (d, 1 H, J_{1,2} 7.4 Hz, H-1), 3.65–3.57 (m, 2 H, H-7a,7b), 3.50 (dd, 1 H, J_{2,3} 7.4 Hz, H-2), 3.41 (dd, 1 H, J_{3,4} 8.4 Hz, H-3), 3.34 (dd, 1 H, J_{4,5} 8.2 Hz, H-4), 3.28–3.16 (m, 4 H, H-5, OMe), 2.03–1.90 (m, 1 H, H-6a), and 1.80–1.65 (m, 1 H, H-6b); MS: *m/z* 388 (M)⁺, 389 (M + 1)⁺, and 406 (M + 18)⁺.

Anal. Calcd for $C_{22}H_{28}O_6 \cdot 0.5H_2O$ (397.47): C, 66.48; H, 7.35. Found: C, 66.94; H, 7.81.

REFERENCES

- 1 D. Rouzaud and P. Sinaÿ, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1983) 1353~1354.
- B. Aebischer, R. Meuwly, and A. Vasella, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 67 (1984) 2236–2241; J.M. Beau and P. Sinaÿ, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 26 (1985) 6189–6192; 6193–6196; B. Giese and T. Witzel, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed. Engl.*, 25 (1986) 450–451; S.A. Babirad, Y. Wang, and Y. Kishi, *J. Org. Chem.*, 52 (1987) 1370–1372; P.G. Goekjian, T.-C. Wu, H-Y. Kang, and Y. Kishi, *ibid.*, 52 (1987) 4823–4825; S.A. Babirad, Y. Wang, P.G. Goekjian, and Y. Kishi, *ibid.*, 52 (1987) 4823–4825; S.A. Babirad, Y. Wang, P.G. Goekjian, and Y. Kishi, *ibid.*, 52 (1987) 4825–4827; Y. Wang, P.G. Goekjian, D.M. Ryckman, and Y. Kishi, *ibid.*, 53 (1988) 4151–4153; W.H. Miller, D.M. Ryckman, P.G. Goekjian, Y. Wang, and Y. Kishi, *ibid.*, 53 (1988) 5580–5582; U.C. Dyer, and Y. Kishi, *ibid.*, 53 (1988) 3333–3384; B. Giese, M. Hoch, C. Lamberth, and R.R. Schmidt, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 29 (1988) 1375–1378; I.M. Dawson, T. Johnson, R.M. Paton, and R.A.C. Rennie, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, (1988) 1339–1340; A. Boschetti, F. Nicotra, L. Panza, G. Russo, and L. Zucchelli, *ibid.*, 6422–6434.
- 3 R. Preuss and R.R. Schmidt, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 10 (1991) 887-900.
- 4 J. Yoshimura, Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem., 42 (1984) 69–134; T.T. Thang, M.A. Laborde, A. Olesker, and G. Lukacs, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1988) 1581–1582.
- 5 J.H. Jordaan and S. Smedley, Carbohydr. Res., 18 (1971) 303-309.
- 6 B.F. Molino, J. Cusmano, D.R. Mootoo, R. Faghih, and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 6 (1987) 479-493.
- 7 H. Nishiyama, T. Kitajima, M. Matsumoto, and K. Itoh, J. Org. Chem., 49 (1984) 2298-2300.
- G. Stork and M. Kahn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 500-501; (b) G. Stork and M.J. Sofia, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 6826-6828; (c) G. Stork and P.M. Sher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 303-304; (d) G. Stork, P.M. Sher, and H.-L. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 6384-6385.
- 9 K. Tamao, N. Ishida, T. Tanaka, and M. Kumada, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1694-1696.
- 10 Y. Ueno, K. Chino, M. Watanabe, O. Moriya, and M. Okawara, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 104 (1982) 6564–6566.
- 11 G. Stork, R. Mook Jr., S.A. Biller, and S.D. Rychnovky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105 (1983) 3741-3742,
- 12 Y. Chapleur and N. Moufid, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1989) 39-40.
- 13 J.C. Lopez and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 111 (1989) 3450-3452.
- 14 R.J. Ferrier, P.M. Petersen, and M.A. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1989) 1247-1248.
- 15 D.E. Plaumann, B.J. Fitzsimmons, B.M. Ritchie, and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Org. Chem., 47 (1982) 941-946.

- 16 R.J. Ferrier and N. Prasad, J. Chem. Soc., C, (1969) 570-575.
- 17 S. Valverde, S. Garcia-Ochoa, and M. Martin-Lomas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1987) 1714-1715.
- 18 S. David, A. Thieffry, and A. Veyrières, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, (1981) 1796-1801.
- 19 M. Al Neirabeyeh and P. Rollin, J. Carbohydr. Chem., 9 (1990) 471-478.
- 20 M.B. Yunker and B. Fraser-Reid, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 2 (1975) 61-62.
- 21 O. Mitsunobu, Synthesis, (1981) 1-28; M. Georges, D. Mackay, and B. Fraser-Reid, Can. J. Chem., 62 (1984) 1539–1543.
- 22 M. Sharma and R.K. Brown, Can. J. Chem., 44 (1966) 2825-2835.
- 23 B. Fraser-Reid, D.L. Walker, S.Y.K. Tam, and N.L. Holder, Can. J. Chem., 51 (1973) 3950-3954.
- 24 A. Fernandez-Mayoralas, A. Marra, M. Trumtel, A. Veyrières, and P. Sinaÿ, Carbohydr. Res., 188 (1989) 81–95.
- 25 A. Lipták, F. Pekar, L. Janossy, I. Jodal, P. Fügedi, and J. Harangi, Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung., 99 (1979) 201–208, Chem. Abstr., 91 (1979) 175660w; A. Lipták, I. Jodal, J. Harangi, and P. Nánási, Acta Chim. Hung., 113 (1983) 415–422, Chem. Abstr., 99 (1983) 176175u; K.C. Nicolaou, J.L. Randall, and G.T. Furst, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107 (1985) 5556–5558.
- 26 P.H.J. Carlsen, T. Katsuki, V.S. Martin, and K.B. Sharpless, J. Org. Chem., 46 (1981) 3936-3938.
- 27 A. Lipták, L. Janossy, J. Imre, and P. Nánási, Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung., 101 (1979) 81–92, Chem. Abstr., 92 (1979) 129254q.
- 28 P.L. Barili, G. Berti, G. Catelani, F. Colonna, and F. D'Andrea, Carbohydr. Res., 190 (1989) 13-21.
- 29 J.C. Dennison and D.I. McGilvray, J. Chem. Soc., (1951) 1616.
- 30 P.J. Garegg and B. Samuelsson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1979) 978-980; P.J. Garegg and B. Samuelsson, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, (1980) 2866-2869.
- 31 M. Koreeda and I.A. George, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 108 (1986) 8098-8100.