

\$0957-4166(96)00099-7

## Synthesis of Homochiral Dibenzo[b<sub>3</sub>f]phosphepin 5-Oxides Using a Double Ortho-lithiation Strategy

Stuart Warren\* and Paul Wyatt

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England, UK

Abstract: Enantiomerically pure chiral seven membered phosphorus heterocycles – phosphepins – have been prepared by McMurry coupling, Sharpless dihydroxylation, ortho-lithiation and reaction with PhPCl<sub>2</sub> or PrPCl<sub>2</sub>. Studies of the ortho-lithiation and of hydrolysis of phosphepinium salts are included. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

The synthetic utility of the diphenylphosphinoyl group has been extensively demonstrated by our research group and used to make many achiral or racemic products.<sup>1</sup> Starting from achiral phosphine oxides, reagent based strategies like the Sharpless epoxidation and Sharpless osmylation have been used to make homochiral phosphine oxides and hence homochiral products such as oxazolidinones<sup>2</sup> and cyclopropyl ketones.<sup>3</sup> Homochiral phosphine oxides have been prepared by the combination of achiral phosphine oxides and homochiral electrophiles.<sup>4</sup> However, the use of the phosphine oxide *itself* as a chiral auxiliary – a substrate based strategy – is in its infancy.<sup>5</sup>



Homochiral phosphine oxides with a stereogenic phosphorus centre, such as 1, have previously been prepared and used to synthesise<sup>5</sup> homochiral materials 3. Although moderate selectivity was achieved with 1, the ultimate removal of the diarylphosphinoyl group generates an achiral phosphinate anion 4 and so the carefully introduced chirality at phosphorus is lost and recycling of the precious auxiliary is precluded. An auxiliary which has its chirality securely cast in carbon is much more attractive. We chose to investigate the seven membered phosphorus heterocycles — phosphepins — for the reasons given below and report here the synthesis of homochiral phosphepin oxides such as 6 made with a view to their use in asymmetric synthesis.

It has been demonstrated that phosphepin 5 displays a twist between the two benzene rings attached to the heterocycle.<sup>6</sup> Phosphepin 5 has two enantiomeric conformations. We envisaged that stereogenic centres on the backbone would lock phosphepin 6 into one ring conformation. Such a twist could be utilised by

presenting a diastereotopic environment to the *exo*-cyclic R substituent on the phosphorus atom. The auxiliary 6 is  $C_2$  derived and hence avoids chirality at phosphorus altogether.

One of the key steps in the synthesis of our phosphepins is the ring closure which requires lithiation at the *ortho* position. This may be achieved by *ortho*-lithiation (hydrogen-lithium exchange) or by halogen-lithium exchange. Synthesis of phosphepin 11 was achieved in four steps invoking the former strategy. 3-Fluorobenzaldehyde 7 was reacted with low valent titanium in a McMurry coupling<sup>7, 8</sup> to give the stilbene 8 in a 95% yield. The reaction was highly stereoselective with an *E*:*Z* ratio of 99.92:0.08 (isolated material) and the difluorostilbene 8 was dihydroxylated using Sharpless methodology and the commercially available AD- $\beta$ -mix.<sup>9</sup> Stilbenes are the best substrates for the asymmetric dihydroxylation and diol 9 was formed in a 97% yield and >99% ee.<sup>10</sup> The ee was determined using Pirkle reagent<sup>11</sup> in conjunction with racemic diol.<sup>12</sup> Protection of the diol as the dimethyl ether 10 was achieved in a 98% yield using NaH followed by MeI.<sup>13</sup>

The final operation involved the dilithiation of diether 10 and we used *sec*-BuLi to do it (see below). Reaction of the dilithiated species with PhPCl<sub>2</sub> followed by oxidation with  $H_2O_2$  yielded the desired phosphepin oxide 11 in a 51% yield.<sup>14</sup>



The phosphepin precursor 10 is clearly  $C_2$  symmetric. However, the  $C_2$  axis is destroyed upon ring closure to form the product 11. The <sup>1</sup>H decoupled <sup>19</sup>F NMR of the two compounds give a striking illustration of this loss of symmetry (Figure 1). Precursor 10 displays a singlet because the two fluorine nuclei are homotopic. After ring closure we see two doublets in the <sup>19</sup>F NMR of phosphepin 11. Each doublet corresponds to one of the, now diastereotopic, fluorine atoms. They are each doublets because they couple to phosphorus. The coupling constants are  ${}^{3}J_{FP}$  7.5 and  ${}^{3}J_{FP}$  12.7. The phosphorus atom lies not on a  $C_2$  axis but, for want of a better term, on a pseudo- $C_2$  axis. It is not a stereogenic centre and may be described as being nonstereogenic and chirotopic.<sup>15</sup>

Figure 1; Proton decoupled 235 MHz <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of precursor 10 and phosphepin 11.



The strategy involved in the synthesis of phosphepin 11 is appropriate for other substrates that will support *ortho*-lithiation. Hence phosphepin 14 was also synthesised by the same sequence.<sup>13</sup> Two pairs of acetal protons are diastereotopic in precursor 13 and appear as  $2 \times 2$  H doublets ( ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}}$  1.4 Hz) in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR.<sup>13</sup> Obviously C<sub>2</sub> symmetry is destroyed upon ring closure. In phosphepin 14 the four acetal protons are all diastereotopic and appear as  $4 \times 1$  H well separated fine doublets (J 1.3 Hz).<sup>14</sup>



The lowest yielding step in both reaction sequences is the last one which involves double lithiation followed by nucleophilic attack on PhPCl<sub>2</sub>. The *ortho*-lithiation of substrates **10** and **13** was investigated (Table 1) using methyl iodide as a probe for the degree of lithiation achieved with n-BuLi and *sec*-BuLi.



We found that the degree of lithiation was greater with *sec*-BuLi than with n-BuLi. Substrate 10 did not tolerate *tert*-BuLi. Although TMEDA increased the extent of methylation when used with n-BuLi, it *decreased* the extent of methylation with *sec*-BuLi. The degree of lithiation must be *at least* as good as that indicated by the high yields of methylated products such as 15 (Table 1). Hence lithiation is not the yield limiting process.

It is interesting to note the complete regioselectivity between positions 2 and 4 of **10** (Figure 2). We would expect the fluorine atom to acidify both its *ortho* protons by the same amount,<sup>16, 17</sup> and yet only deprotonation at position 2 was detected. Although benzylic oxygen atoms are poor directors of lithiation on their own, the methoxy group collaborates with the fluorine atom to direct this complete regioselectivity.<sup>18</sup>

Table 1. Lithiation of 10 and 13						
Substrate <sup>a</sup>	Base	Temp. (°C)	Time	Starting Material <sup>b</sup>	Mono-Methylated Product <sup>b</sup>	Di-Methylated Product <sup>b</sup>
10	n-BuLi / TMEDA	-78	1 hr 40 min	8%	52%	39%
10	n-BuLi	-78	4 hr	21%	60%	19%
10	sec-BuLi / TMEDA	-78	3 hr	20%	34%	46%
10	sec-BuLi	-78	3 hr	0%	16%	84%
13	n-BuLi	-78 - 0	55 min	60%	25%	15%
13	sec-BuLi	-78	1 hr 20 min	2%	14%	84%
areactions were performed in THF. <sup>b</sup> By <sup>1</sup> H NMR						

The *exo*-cyclic P-phenyl substituent in phosphepins 11 and 14 precludes lithiation by  $\alpha$ -deprotonation and so we need to replace it with an alkyl substituent as in phosphepins 17 and 18. Our research group routinely makes diphenylphosphinoyl compounds by hydrolysing phosphonium salts.<sup>1</sup> It is known that when phosphepinium salt 16 is subjected to such hydrolysis conditions it is the *exo*-cyclic phosphorus-aryl bond that is selectively cleaved.<sup>19</sup> We converted phosphepin 11 to phosphepinium salt 19 in 89% yield by first reducing the P=O bond using the procedure of Lawrence *et al.*<sup>20</sup> and then alkylating with propyl iodide.



The hydrolysis of phosphepinium salt 19 led to two products but *both* were from *endo*-cyclic cleavage. It seems that the fluorine atoms encourage *endo*-cyclic cleavage by stabilising the anionic intermediate and, of course, since the two sides of the phosphepinium salt are diastereotopic, the two products must be diastereoisomers. However, using PrPCl<sub>2</sub> with 10 and 13, instead of PhPCl<sub>2</sub>, we were able to synthesise phosphepins 17 and 18 in 30% and 8% yield respectively. The lower yields obtained when PrPCl<sub>2</sub> is used instead of PhPCl<sub>2</sub> could be due to some  $\alpha$ -deprotonation of the electrophile.

The efficiency of the first three steps makes our synthesis of the homochiral phosphepin oxides a viable preparative route despite the lower yielding ring closure step. Studies into different backbone substituents on the phosphepin precursors and into using a bromine/lithium exchange strategy in the ring closure step are in progress.

Acknowledgements We thank EPSRC and ZENECA fine chemicals for a CASE award to Paul Wyatt.

## **References and Notes**

- 1. Buss, A. D.; Warren, S., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1985, 2307-2325.
- 2. Clayden, J.; Collington, E. W.; Lamont, R. B.; Warren, S., Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 2203-2206.
- 3. Nelson, A.; Warren, S., Tetrahedron Lett., in the press, paper number 50921.
- 4. O'Brien, P.; Warren, S., Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2681-2684.
- 5. Harmat, N. J. S.; Warren, S., Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 2743-2746.
- 6. Allen, D. W.; Nowell, I. W.; Walker, P. E., Z. Naturforsch., Teil B, 1980, 35b, 133-135.
- 7. McMurry, J. E., Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1513-1524.
- 8. McMurry, J. E.; Fleming, M. P.; Kees, K. L.; Krepski, L. R., J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 3255-3266.
- Sharpless, K. B.; Amberg, W.; Bennani, Y. L.; Crispino, G. A.; Hartung, J.; Jeong, K.-S.; Kwong, H.-L.; Morikawa, K.; Wang, Z.-M.; Xu, D.; Zhang, X.-L., J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 2768-2771.
- 10. Kolb, H. C.; Van Nieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B., Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 2483-2547.
- 11. Pirkle, W. H.; Sikkenga, D. L.; Pavlin, M. S., J. Org. Chem., 1977, 42, 384-387.
- 12. Eames, J.; Mitchell, H. J.; Nelson, A.; O'Brien, P.; Warren, S.; Wyatt, P., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1995**, *36*, 1719-1722.
- 13. No compound in either reaction sequence has previously been prepared in enantiomerically pure form.
- 14. These new phosphepins were characterised by IR, HRMS, mp,  $[\alpha]_D$ , <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>19</sup>F NMR.
- 15. Mislow, K.; Siegel, J., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 3319-3328.
- 16. Gschwend, H. W.; Rodriguez, H. R., Org. React., 1979, 26, 1-360.
- 17. Bridges, A. J.; Lee, A.; Maduakor, E. C.; Schwartz, C. E., Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 7495-7498.
- 18. Bridges, A. J.; Lee, A.; Muduakor, E. C.; Schwartz, C. E., Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 7499-7502.
- 19. Allen, D. W.; Hutley, B. G.; Oades, A. C., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 2326-2328.
- 20. Coumbe, T.; Lawrence, N. J.; Muhammad, F., Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 625-628.

(Received in UK 19 February 1996)