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#### Abstract

A possible heterogeneity of peripheral receptors for $\mathrm{CCK}_{26-33}$ [Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Met-Gly-Trp-Met-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ] $\left(\mathrm{CCK}_{8}\right)$ was investigated by replacement of the flexible $\mathrm{Gly}{ }^{29}$ residue, reported to be crucially involved in the $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ folding, by a D -Lys residue in $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$, a derivative as active as $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$. The linear peptide Boc-Asp$\operatorname{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1) was cyclized through amide bond formation between the side chains of $\mathrm{Asp}^{26}$ and $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Lys}{ }^{29}$ to give the peptide Boc-Asp-Tyr( $\left.\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}(2)$. Analogues 1 and 2 were shown to stimulate secretion of amylase from rat pancreas with a potency that was respectively 40 and 80 times lower than that of $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$. In contrast, both peptides acted as weak antagonists $\left(\mathrm{EC}_{50} \sim 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ of the $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$-induced contractions of guinea pig ileum. Peptides 3 and 4 obtained by removal of the phenylalanine from 1 and 2 were inactive in all bioassays despite amidification of their C-terminal Asp ${ }^{32}$ residue, a modification known to induce antagonist properties in $\mathrm{CCK}_{7}$. Cyclization between residues 28 and 31 in $\operatorname{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Asp}^{28}, \mathrm{Lys}^{31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ gave compound Boc-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}(5)$, which was inactive in all bioassays. The pharmacological properties of these first described cyclic analogues of $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ were in agreement with their binding affinity to brain and pancreas receptors, suggesting the existence of a heterogeneity of peripheral receptors and emphasizing the usefulness of cyclic peptides in structure-activity studies.


The sulfated peptide $\mathrm{CCK}_{26-33}$ [Asp- $\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Met-Gly-Trp-Met-Asp-Phe-NH2] $\left(\mathrm{CCK}_{8}\right)$ behaves as a hormonal regulator of pancreatic secretion, induces contractions of the gallbladder and increases the gut motility. ${ }^{1,2}$ $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ has also been found in high concentrations in mammalian brains, ${ }^{3}$ and various biochemical and pharmacological experiments have suggested a neurotransmitter role for this peptide. ${ }^{4,5}$ Structure-activity studies as well as binding experiments done with cholecystokinin fragments or synthetic analogues of $\mathrm{CCK}_{26-33}$ have revealed the differences between central and peripheral receptors. Unsulfated $\mathrm{CCK}_{26-33}\left(\mathrm{NS} \mathrm{CCK}_{8}\right.$ ) and shorter fragments, such as $\mathrm{CCK}_{30-33}\left(\mathrm{CCK}_{4}\right)$, are between 1000 and 10000 times less potent than $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ when interacting with receptors of pancreatic acini but only $4-10$ times less active on brain receptors. ${ }^{6,7}$ The occurrence of a multiplicity of CCK binding sites implies the concomitant existence of different biologically active conformations of the native peptide, which could be investigated by conformational analysis. As previously shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, fluorescence transfer, and energy calculations, $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ exists, in various solvents including water, in folded conformations characterized by a N -terminal $\beta$-turn stabilized by a hydrogen bond between the CO of $\mathrm{Asp}^{26}$ and the NH of $\mathrm{Gly}^{29}$ and a C-terminal folding around the sequence Gly-Trp-Met-Asp. ${ }^{8,9}$ These constrained structures seem to be fa-
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vored by the presence of the small and flexible Gly residue in the center of the peptide. Interestingly, the replacement of $\mathrm{Gly}^{29}$ by D-Ala ${ }^{10-12}$ or D-Trp ${ }^{12}$ led to peptides whose potency in the guinea pig ileum and gallbladder bioassays was significantly reduced but whose ability to potentiate pancreatic amylase secretion remained unchanged. In contrast, introduction of a L-Ala or an Aib residue in place of $\mathrm{Gly}^{29}$ in $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$, a derivative as active as $\mathrm{CCK}_{8},{ }^{13}$ induced a loss of activity on all peripheral bioassays but did not affect the affinity for brain receptors. ${ }^{10}$ Conformational behavior of compounds Boc$\left[\mathrm{Nl}^{28,31}, \mathrm{Ala}^{29}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ and $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}, \mathrm{Aib}^{29}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ was investigated by both ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and energy calculations, showing the presence of a $\beta$-turn involving the sequence Nle-Gly-Trp-Nle, ${ }^{14}$ which is likely responsible for their selectivity.

These preliminary results suggested a possible modulation in the recognition of putatively different peripheral CCK receptor types by restriction in the conformational flexibility of the native peptide. Indeed, as shown for the enkephalins, ${ }^{15,16}$ specific probes for the various opioid re-
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Figure 1. Scheme for the synthesis of compound Boc-Asp$\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}\right)$-Nle-d-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp(Na)-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (2). Compound Boc-Asp-Tyr( $\left.\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp( Na )- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (4) was prepared with the same strategy by coupling H-Nle-Asp- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}(22)$ in the place of the tripeptide $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Nle}-\mathrm{Asp}(\mathrm{OBz})-$ Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}(18)$ to the cyclic moiety.
ceptors can be designed to mimic favorable conformational characteristics of the native peptide, through cyclizations between nonadjacent amino acids. Taking this into account, we report the synthesis of a series of cyclic CCK related peptides, obtained by introducing a D-Lys in place of $\mathrm{Gly}^{29}$, followed by cyclization between the amino and carboxyl groups of the D-Lys ${ }^{29}$ and Asp ${ }^{26}$ side chains. ${ }^{17}$ Moreover, as the removal of $\mathrm{Phe}^{33}$ in $\mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}\left(\mathrm{CCK}_{7}\right)$ has been shown to lead to a relatively potent antagonist, ${ }^{18}$ this modification was introduced in the above compounds.

In addition, we attempted to reproduce the folded conformation observed in $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}, \mathrm{Ala}^{29}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ and in $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}, \mathrm{Aib}^{29}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ through cyclization by amide bond formation between the side-chain carboxyl and amino groups of Asp and Lys residues introduced in positions 28 and 31, respectively.

The binding properties to both mouse brain membranes and rat pancreas tissue are reported here, and the results are discussed in relation to their agonist or antagonist activity on amylase secretion by rat pancreas and contractile activities of guinea pig ileum.

## Chemistry

The synthesis of both cyclic and linear $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ related peptides was performed in liquid phase by using a fragment condensation methodology as illustrated in Figures $1-3$. The final cyclic peptides were obtained by condensation of the cyclic fragment with an appropriate C-terminal di- or tripeptide. This method has been previously described for the condensation of a single N -terminal amino acid to a cyclic fragment. ${ }^{19,20}$ The syntheses reported
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Figure 2. Scheme for the synthesis of compound Boc-Tyr( $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ )-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-Asp(Na)-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (5).


Figure 3. Scheme for the synthesis of compound Boc-Asp( Na )-Tyr( $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ )-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp(Na)-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1). The same strategy was used for the preparation of compound Boc-$\mathrm{Asp}(\mathrm{Na})-\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}\right)-\mathrm{Nle}-\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Lys}-\mathrm{Trp}-\mathrm{Nle}-\mathrm{Asp}(\mathrm{Na})-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (3) with H-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (22) in the second step in the place of the tripeptide $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Nle}-\mathrm{Asp}(\mathrm{OBz})-\mathrm{Phe}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (18).
here require only two amino-protecting groups (benzyloxycarbonyl and tert-butyloxycarbonyl) and two types of carboxyl protection (methyl and benzyl esters). The cy-

[^1]Table I. Potencies of CCK Analogues 1-5 in Inhibiting [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nl}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ Specific Binding in Mouse Brain Membranes and in Rat Pancreas Membranes

| compound ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | peptide sequence | binding: $K_{\mathrm{I}}{ }^{a, c}$ M |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mouse brain membranes | rat pancreas membranes |
| $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ | Asp-Tyr( $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ )-Met-Gly-Trp-Met-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $(4.9 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-10}$ | $(8.7 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ | Boc-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-Gly-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe-NH2 | $(1.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-10}$ | $(4.3 \pm 1.3) \times 10^{-9}$ |
| 1 | Boc-Asp-Tyr( $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ )-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $(3.0 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-7}$ | $(3.4 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| 2 | Boc-Asp-Tyr( $\left.\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $(1.2 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-7}$ | $(1.5 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| 3 | Boc-Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-NH2 | $>10^{-5}$ | $>10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | Boc-Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-d-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $>10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | Boc-Tyr( $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ )-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $>10^{-5}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Values represent the mean $\pm$ SEM of three separate experiments performed in triplicate. ${ }^{b}$ All the peptides were used in Na salt form. ${ }^{c}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28}, \mathrm{Nle}^{31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ was used at its $K_{\mathrm{D}}$ concentration, i.e., 0.19 and 4.4 nM for brain and pancreas, respectively.
clization step was performed between pH 7 and 7.5 with diphenylphosphoryl azide (DPPA) ${ }^{21,22}$ with a diluted solution of the precursor giving a high yield ( $50-60 \%$ ) of the cyclic peptide. After saponification, the cyclic pentapeptide was coupled to appropriate peptide fragments by the $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide- $N$-hydroxysuccinimide method. After removal of the Asp ${ }^{32}$ benzyl ester by catalytic hydrogenation, the Tyr residue was sulfated by means of a $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$-pyridine complex in DMF-pyridine mixture. ${ }^{23,24}$ As several byproducts appeared during evaporation of the solvent, the time of this step was reduced by using a lower proportion of DMF ( $25 \%$ ) than usually employed. ${ }^{25}$ This procedure increases significantly the yield of the sulfation reaction (about $30 \%$ ). The synthesis of linear analogues was performed as shown in Figure 3. As the sulfation step, including an alkaline treatment, was carried out on peptides with the Asp side chain in the benzyl ester form, a fraction of the final product contained unprotected Asp residues related to $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transposition of these Asp residues. ${ }^{26}$

## Biological Results and Discussion

Binding Experiments. The five $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ analogues reported in this paper were firstly evaluated for their potency in displacing [ $\left.{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ from mouse brain and rat pancreatic membranes. This radioligand has been shown to display biochemical and pharmacological properties identical with those of $\mathrm{CCK}_{8} .{ }^{28}$ The apparent affinity of the peptides $\left(K_{\mathrm{I}}\right)$ listed in Table I shows that the introduction of a D-Lys ${ }^{29}$ residue in place of Gly ${ }^{29}$ in 1 and 2 leads to an approximate 1000 - and 100 -fold decrease in the affinity for brain and pancreatic binding sites, respectively. At the highest concentration used ( $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ ), compounds 3-5 were unable to displace the tritiated probe.

Amylase Release. The pancreozymin-like activities of the five $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ analogues were assessed by measuring their effect on amylase secretion from rat pancreas fragments
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Figure 4. Ability of CCK analogues to stimulate amylase release from rat pancreas. In each experiment, the results are the mean from three separate experiments, each value in triplicate. $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values are reported in Table II. All the peptides were used in Na salt form. (*) $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$, (X) Boc[Nle $\left.{ }^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$, ( $\diamond$ ) Boc-Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, (*) Boc-Asp-Tyr- $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-d-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, (O) Boc-Asp-Tyr-( $\left.\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, ( $\left.\mathbf{(}\right)$ Boc-AspTyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-NH ${ }_{2}$, $(\nabla) \operatorname{Boc}-\mathrm{Tyr}\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$ -Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$.
as previously described. ${ }^{13,29}$ For compounds 1 and 2, the shape of the dose-response curve was similar to that obtained with both $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ and $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ (Figure 4). The efficiency of compounds 1-5 to stimulate amylase release is reported in Table II.
(29) Ceska, M.; Hultman, E.; Ingelman, B. G. A. Experientia 1969, 25, 555.

Table II. Pharmacological Potencies of CCK Analogues 1-5

| compound ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | peptide sequence | amylase secretion by rat pancreas: | contractile activities of guinea pig ileum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | agonist act., $\mathrm{EC}_{50}{ }^{a} \mathrm{M}$ | agonist act.: $\mathrm{EC}_{50},{ }^{b} \mathrm{M}$ | antagonist act.: $E C_{50}{ }^{b, c} \mathrm{M}$ |
| $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ | Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Met-Gly-Trp-Met-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $(1.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$ | $(2.0 \pm 0.25) \times 10^{-9}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ | Boc-Tyr $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ )-Nle-Gly-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe-NH2 | $(2.8 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-9}$ | $(3.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-9}$ |  |
| $1$ | Boc-Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $(4.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-8}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $(1.5 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 2 | Bos-Asp-Tyr( $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ )-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $(8.0 \pm 3.0) \times 10^{-8}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $(3.0 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{-5}$ |
| 3 | Boc-Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-NH2 | $>10^{-4}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $>10^{-5}$ |
| 4 | Boc-Asp-Tyr $\left(\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-NH2 | $>10^{-6}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $>10^{-5}$ |
| 5 | Boc-Tyr( $\mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ )-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-Asp-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $>10^{-5}$ | $>10^{-5}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Results are the mean $\pm$ SEM of three separate experiments, each value in triplicate. ${ }^{b}$ Results are the mean $\pm$ SEM of three separate experiments. ${ }^{c}$ Antagonist activities of the contraction induced by $3,10^{-9} \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$. ${ }^{d}$ All the peptides were used in Na salt form.

Guinea Pig Ileum Contractions. The ability of the new compounds to stimulate the contraction of the isolated guinea pig ileum was used to further study their chole-cystokinin-like activities. ${ }^{30}$ None of the analogues displayed agonist properties, but compounds 1 and 2 antagonized the $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$-induced contractions of guinea pig ileum (Table II).

Preliminary results reported here show that the replacement of $\mathrm{Gly}^{29}$ in the $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{26-33}$ sequence by a D-Lys residue with the side chain either free (compound 1) or cyclized through an amide bond with the carboxylic group of $\mathrm{Asp}^{26}$ (compound 2) induces a 100 -fold decrease in affinity for both brain and pancreas receptors. However, the most interesting result concerns the differentiation in the pharmacological effects observed in the rat pancreas and guinea pig ileum. Indeed, 1 and 2 behave as agonists in the stimulation of the pancreatic secretion enzyme with potencies 40 - and 80 -fold, respectively, lower than that of $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ but act as antagonists of the $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}{ }^{-}$ induced contraction of guinea pig ileum. The receptor interaction of compounds I and 2 seems to be similar with the Lys side chain free in 1 or cyclized with Asp ${ }^{26}$ in 2, suggesting that the two peptides have similar conformational properties. This could be explained by the occurrence of a salt bridge formation involving the charged side chains of Asp and Lys residues in the conditions ( pH 7.4 ) of the bioassays. According to these features, the ammonium group of the D-Lys side chain might interact preferentially with the carboxylate group of Asp ${ }^{26}$ rather than with the sulfate group of the Tyr residue. Nevertheless, the conformational restriction introduced in the cyclic structure of 2 and the pseudocyclic structure of 1 , which were designed to mimic the N -terminal folding of $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$, induce a loss of affinity for both brain and pancreatic receptors. Moreover, whereas 1 and 2 behave as agonists in the amylase assay, they act as antagonists in the ileum contraction assays. Such differentiation of the peripheral receptors has already been suggested for $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ analogues in which the Gly residue was changed for either a D-Ala ${ }^{10,12}$ or a $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Trp}^{12}$ residue, leading to compounds with the same potency as $\mathrm{CCK}_{8}$ for pancreozymin-like activity but a large decreased activity in inducing gut contractions. However, the opposed pharmacological properties (agonist and antagonist) of compounds 1 and 2 provide further evidence for differences between pancreas and ileum receptors. The removal of the C -terminal Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ residue and the amidification of $\mathrm{Asp}^{32}$ in peptides 3 and 4 induced an important loss of affinity for all classes of CCK receptors. This result is somewhat unexpected since such a type of modification was recently described to induce in the case
of [Asp- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{32}$ ] $\mathrm{CCK}_{27-32}$ antagonist properties in both peripheral ${ }^{18}$ and central bioassays. ${ }^{31}$ Further studies are required to explain this apparent discrepancy. As expected, the cyclic analogue 5 , designed to mimic the folded conformations of $\mathrm{Boc}\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}, \mathrm{Ala}^{29}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$ or Boc$\left[\mathrm{Nle}{ }^{28,31}, \mathrm{Aib}^{29}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33},{ }^{14}$ displayed, as do these two peptides, a complete lack of affinity for peripheral CCK receptors. However, in contrast to the linear peptides, compound 5 was also unable to interact with the brain CCK receptors. This could be due to the difference between the size of the cyclic moiety ( 17 -membered ring) in 5 as compared with the pseudocyclic moiety ( 10 -membered ring) corresponding to the $\beta$-turn encountered in Boc$\left[\mathrm{Nle}^{28,31}, \mathrm{Aib}^{29}\right] \mathrm{CCK}_{27-33}$. Therefore, additional conformational studies concerning the size and the nature of the cyclic part of the modified peptides are required to obtain central nervous system selective peptides by this approach.

Finally, in addition to their enhanced resistance to peptidases (manuscript in preparation), the cyclic CCK related peptides reported here could be of great value to define from comparative conformational analysis the structural requirement for selective interactions with the various CCK receptors.

## Experimental Section

Synthesis. All protected amino acids were from Bachem AG. Solvents are of analytical grade from Prolabo. $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$-pyridine complex is from Janssen. Melting points were determined on a Kofler apparatus and are uncorrected. Chromatography was carried out with Merck silica gel (230-400 mesh). For thin-layer chromatography, Merck plates precoated with F 254 silica gel were used with the following solvent systems: $R_{f}(\mathrm{~A}) \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ ( $95: 5$ ), $R_{f}(\mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1), R_{f}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(8: 2), R_{f}$ (D) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (7:3), $R_{f}$ (E) EtOAc-pyridine- $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (60:20:6:11), $R_{f}$ (F) EtOAc-pyridine-AcOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (80:20:6:11), $R_{f}$ (G) EtOAc-pyridine-AcOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (100:20:6:11), $R_{f}$ (H) EtOAc-pyridine-AcOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (240:20:6:11). Plates were developed with UV, iodine vapor, ninhydrin, or Ehrlich's reagent. The structure of the compounds and of all the intermediates were confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy (Bruker WH 270 MHz ). The purity was checked by HPLC (Waters apparatus) on a $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ Prolabo ODS2 $5-\mu \mathrm{m}$ column in a linear gradient solvent system carried out in 15 min with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ buffer (TEAP, 0.025 M , $\mathrm{pH} 6.5) / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as eluent (flow rate, $1.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ) with UV ( 210 nm ) detection. At each step of the synthesis, the lack of significant racemization of a given peptide was checked by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy and by HPLC. Amino acid analysis was done on a LKB biochrom 4400 analyzer after hydrolysis with 6 N HCl , at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Mass spectra were recorded on a double-focusing VG $70-250$ instrument. The FAB ionization was obtained with a FAB field source (Ion Tech Ltd, Teddington, UK) operated with xenon at 8 kV and 1 mA . Glycerol or cesium iodide was used for calibration. Accelerating voltage was set at 6 kV and resolution was
(30) Chang, R. S. L.; Lotti, V. J.; Chen, T. B. Neurosci. Lett. 1984, 46, 71 .
(31) Worms, P.; Martinez, J.; Briet, C.; Castro, B.; Biziere, K. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 1986, 121, 395.
1200. Mass spectra were obtained in different matrices and processed by means of the VG-250 software package.

The following abbreviations were used: Z, benzyloxycarbonyl; Boc, tert-butyloxycarbonyl; Aib, $\alpha$-aminoisobutyric acid; MeOH , methanol; EtOAc, ethyl acetate; THF, tetrahydrofuran; AcOH, acetic acid; DMF, dimethylformamide; TFA, trifluoroacetic acid; DCC, $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide; DIEA, $N, N$-diisopropylethylamine; HOBt, 1-hydroxybenzotriazole; HONSu, $N$ hydroxysuccinimide; DCU, $N, N$-dicyclohexylurea; $t_{\mathrm{R}}$, retention time in HPLC; FAB, fast atom bombardment. Other abbreviations used were those recommended by the IUPAC-IUB Commission. ${ }^{32}$

Boc-d-Lys(Z)-Trp-OCH ${ }_{3}$ (6). To a chilled solution of Trp$\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 120 mL of the solvent mixture $\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(60: 40)$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added Boc-d-Lys (N- $\epsilon \mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{OH}(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, HOBt ( $1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DCC ( $2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. After filtration of DCU, the solvents were evaporated. The oily residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with $10 \%$ citric acid, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated to dryness in vacuo. The solid residue was recrystallized from EtOAc-hexane to yield $6.07 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%): \mathrm{mp} 68-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ (B) 0.53 . Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Nle-d-Lys(Z)-Trp-OCH $\mathbf{3}_{3}$ (7). Compound 6 (7.5 g, 12.9 mmol ) was dissolved in a chilled solvent mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20$ mL ) and TFA-anisole ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} / 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 45 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 45 min at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvents, the residue did not precipitate with ether; therefore the evaporation was repeated several times by the addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and benzene. The resulting amorphous solid was suspended in a chilled solution of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{THF}(1: 1)(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.81 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, Boc-Nle-OH ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HOBt ( $1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DCC $(2.67 \mathrm{~g}, 12.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ were successively added. The resulting mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was worked up as described for the preparation of 6 to yield, after recrystallization from EtOAc-petroleum ether, $7.75 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%): \operatorname{mp} 80-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}(\mathrm{~A}) 0.35$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (9). A chilled solution of $7\left(6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.93 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA-anisole ( 15 $\mathrm{mL} / 0.8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 45 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 45 min at room temperature. The solvent was removed by evaporation and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel by eluting first with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(95: 5)$ and then with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1)$, yielding $5.68 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Nle}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{Lys}(\mathrm{Z})-$ Trp- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \cdot$ TFA (8), $R_{f}(\mathrm{C}) 0.49$. To a chilled solution of 8 (3.46 $\mathrm{g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added successively $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.68$ $\mathrm{mL}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, Boc-Tyr-OH ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{HOBt}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}$, 4.9 mmol ), and $\operatorname{DCC}(1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 4.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. The $N, N^{\prime}$-dicyclohexylurea was filtered off and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The oily residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (97:3) as eluent, yielding $3.3 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%): \mathrm{mp} 152-154^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}$ (A) $0.34, R_{f}$ (B) 0.46. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{60} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp(OBzl)-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-OCH 3 (11). A chilled solution of $9(2.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TFA-anisole ( $5 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 45 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 45 min at room temperature, yielding after evaporation, precipitation with dry ether, and filtration $2.3 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$ of H-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys $(\mathrm{Z})$-Trp-OCH $\cdot$. TFA (10), $R_{f}$ (C) 0.58 . To a chilled solution of $10(1.15 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 8 mL ) containing DIEA ( 0.41 $\mathrm{mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added Boc-Asp(OBzl)-ONp ( $0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 1.44$ mmol ) and HOBt ( $0.186 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Stirring was continued under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2 h at room temperature. After evaporation in vacuo, the oily residue was triturated with EtOAc and precipitated with anhydrous ether. After filtration, the residue was recrystallized from EtOAc-hexane, yielding $1.2 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%): \mathrm{mp}$ $182-184^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{C}) 0.72$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{71} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-OCH 3 (13). Compound 11 ( 0.6 $\mathrm{g}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenated in the presence
of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( 0.12 g ) for 2 h to give after filtration and evaporation of MeOH 4.6 g ( $98 \%$ ) of Boc-Asp-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys-Trp- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (12), $R_{f}$ (E) 0.36 . A solution of $12(0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 145 mL ) containing $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.246 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with DPPA ( $0.248 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) through dropwise addition over 1 h . The reaction mixture was then stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 48 h at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 48 h at 4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. During this time, the apparent pH was maintained between 7 and 7.5 through addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (moist pH paper, range 6-8). The DMF was evaporated in vacuo and the residual oil was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel by eluting first with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (95:5) and then with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}(90: 10)$ to yield $0.37 \mathrm{~g}(51 \%): \mathrm{mp} 172-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallization from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $R_{f}(\mathrm{~B}) 0.31, R_{f}(\mathrm{H}) 0.34 ;$ FAB-MS (MH ${ }^{+}$) calcd 820 , found 820 .

Boc-Asp-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-OH (14). A solution of 13 (0.33 $\mathrm{g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with 1 N $\mathrm{NaOH}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. After evaporation of MeOH , the residue was dissolved in cold water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ether. The aqueous phase was acidified with cold 1 N HCl and extracted with EtOAc. The organic layer was then washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ to yield after evaporation $0.24 \mathrm{~g}(74 \%)$ : $\mathrm{mp} 205-207^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{G}) 0.37$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp(OBzl)-Phe-NH2 (15). To a chilled solution of H-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{TFA}$ [obtained from Boc-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 4.05$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ ] in DMF ( 10 mL ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added Boc-Asp( OBzl )-ONp ( $1.86 \mathrm{~g}, 4.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and then the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After evaporation in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with $10 \%$ citric acid, $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and brine. The solution was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated to yield after recrystallization from Et-OAc-ether $1.47 \mathrm{~g}(77 \%): \operatorname{mp~} 137-138^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{27} \mathrm{mp} 134-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f}$ (A) 0.38. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Nle-Asp(OBzl)-Phe-NH2 (17). A solution of 15 (1 g, 2.07 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in TFA $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 45 $\min$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 45 min at room temperature. After evaporation in vacuo, the oily residue was precipitated with dry ether to yield H-Asp(OBzl)-Phe-NH2 $\mathrm{NFA}_{2}$ (16) (1.85 g, 73\%), $R_{f}$ (B) 0.23 . To a chilled solution of $16(1.83 \mathrm{~g}, 3.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 20 mL ) treated with DIEA ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added Boc-Nle-ONp ( $1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and HOBt ( $578 \mathrm{mg}, 3.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent, the oily residue was precipitated from EtOAc-ether to yield $1.98 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ : $\mathrm{mp} 134-136^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{33} \mathrm{mp} 135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $R_{f}$ (B) 0.61. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{31}{ }^{-}$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Boc-Asp-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp(OBzl)-Phe-NH2 (19). Compound $17(0.151 \mathrm{~g}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with TFA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ as described for the preparation of 16 and precipitated from ether. The resulting compound $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Nle}-\mathrm{Asp}(\mathrm{OBzl})$-Phe$\mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot$ TFA (18), after drying over KOH in vacuo, was dissolved in DMF ( 4 mL ) containing $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(35 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was treated with 14 ( $204 \mathrm{mg}, 0.254 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HONSu ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.254$ mmol ), and DCC ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. The $N, N^{\prime}-$ dicyclohexylurea was filtered off and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was triturated with EtOAc and precipitated through addition of dry ether to yield $300 \mathrm{mg}(93 \%): \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{130-133}$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{C}) 0.62$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{67} \mathrm{H}_{87} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{11}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp-Tyr(SO ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ )-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp(Na)-Phe$\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (2). Compound 19 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.197 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrogenated in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( 11 mg ) for 4 h to give after filtration and MeOH evaporation 216 mg (93\%) of Boc-Asp-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-Phe-NH2 (20). A solution of $20(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 2 mL ) and dry pyridine ( 6 mL ) was treated with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$-pyridine complex ( 1.1 g ) overnight under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at room temperature. After evaporation in vacuo, the residue was taken up in cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h with the pH maintained at about 7. The suspended product was collected by
(33) Rodriguez, M.; Bali, J. P.; Magous, R.; Castro, B.; Martinez, J. Int. J. Peptide Protein Res. 1986, 27, 293.
centrifugation and dried in vacuo ( 300 mg ). A second crop, less pure from the aqueous phase, was isolated by lyophilization followed by precipitation of inorganic salts in MeOH and evaporation in vacuo ( 100 mg ). These two fractions were separately purified by chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc-pyridine-$\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(60: 20: 6: 11)$, as eluent, to yield for the two separations $66 \mathrm{mg}(30 \%)$ of 2: $R_{f}(\mathrm{E}) 0.23$; HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.4 \mathrm{~min}$ ), eluent $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{TEAP}$, gradient from 25:75 to 35:65; FAB-MS (MH ${ }^{+}$) calcd 1306, found 1306. Amino acid analysis: Asp 1.91, Nle 2, Tyr 0.92, Phe 0.96, Lys 0.93 .

Boc-Nle-Asp(OtBu)-NH2 (21). To a chilled solution of $\mathrm{Asp}(\mathrm{OtBu})-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 7 mL ) containing DIEA ( $0.266 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added Boc-Nle-ONp $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HOBt}(273 \mathrm{mg}, 1.55 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3 h at room temperature. After evaporation in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and worked up as described for the isolation of 11 to yield $0.55 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ : mp $70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ (A) 0.55. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp-NH ${ }_{2}$ (23). Compound $21(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4 \mathrm{~mL} / 1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was worked up as described for the preparation of 10 to give $428 \mathrm{mg}(96 \%)$ of $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Nle}-\mathrm{Asp}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{TFA}(22), R_{f}(\mathrm{E})$ 0.18 .

To a solution of $14(230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol})$ and HONSu ( 32.5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 3 mL ) at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added DCC ( 58.7 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). This reaction mixture was stirred for 45 min at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then for 2 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then overnight at room temperature. To the above mixture at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a solution of $22(102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.285 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ). The resulting mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature. Evaporation of DMF and trituration with Et-OAc-ether yielded $250 \mathrm{mg}(85 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 165-167^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{H}) 0.30$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{51} \mathrm{H}_{72} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{10}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp-Tyr(SO ${ }_{3} \mathbf{N a}$ )-Nle-d-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp(Na)-NH2 (4). Compound $24(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.193 \mathrm{mmol})$ was sulfated with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}-$ pyridine complex $(1.25 \mathrm{~g})$, worked up, and purified by chromatography as described for the preparation of 2 to yield 58 $\mathrm{mg}(28 \%): R_{f}$ (F) 0.23 ; HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}=18.4 \mathrm{~min}$ ), eluent $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-$ TEAP, gradient from 22:78 to 32:68; FAB-MS ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) calcd 1158, found 1158. Amino acid analysis: Asp 2.1, Nle 2, Tyr 0.95, Lys 1.03.

Boc-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-OH (24). Compound 9 (500 mg, 0.58 mmol ) was saponified with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(1.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ overnight at room temperature. After addition of water and evaporation of MeOH in vacuo, the residue was worked up, as described for the preparation of 14 , to yield 400 $\mathrm{mg}(82 \%): \operatorname{mp} 111-114^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ (D) $0.32, R_{f}(\mathrm{G}) 0.62$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-Nle-Asp(OBzl)-Phe-NH $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ (25). Compound 17 ( $237 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with TFA ( 1.5 mL ) as described for the preparation of 16 , precipitated from ether, and dried over KOH in vacuo. To a solution of the above resulting product and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(52 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 3 mL ) were added 24 ( $312 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HONSu ( $42.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DCC ( $91.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. After the same working up as described for the isolation of 19 , the product was recrystallized from EtOAc-petroleum ether, yielding 400 mg ( $83 \%$ ): mp $211-213^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}$ (D) $0.73, R_{f}$ (B) 0.42 . Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{71^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{90} \mathrm{O}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{10}$ ) C, H, N.

Boc-Asp(OBzl)-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-Nle-Asp(OBzl)-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (26). Compound 25 ( $326 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with TFA-anisole $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL} / 35 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ as described for the preparation of 10 . The resulting powder, after drying in vacuo, was dissolved in DMF ( 3 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ containing DIEA ( $86 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). To the above solution were added HOBt $(38.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Boc-Asp(OBzl)-ONp (133 mg, 0.25 mmol), and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 3 h at room temperature. After evaporation, the oily residue was precipitated with EtOAc, filtered, and recrystallized from MeOH : yield $290 \mathrm{mg}(77 \%)$; mp $235-237^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ (D) 0.63 . Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{82^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{101} \mathrm{O}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{11}$ ) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp(OBzl)-Tyr(SO ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ )-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-Nle-Asp-(OBzl)-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (27). Compound 26 ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was
sulfated with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$-pyridine complex ( 0.9 g ) as previously described for the preparation of 2 to yield after working up and chromatography on silica gel $61.6 \mathrm{mg}(20 \%)$ : eluent EtOAc-pyridine-$\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100: 20: 6: 11) ; R_{f}(\mathrm{H}) 0.28$. Amino acid analysis: Asp 1.85, Nle 2, Tyr 0.91, Phe 0.94, Lys 0.98 .

Boc-Asp(Na)-Tyr(SO3Na)-Nle-D-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp(Na)-Phe- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1). Compound 27 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH ( 5 mL ) was hydrogenated for 12 h in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( 30 mg ). After filtration of the catalyst, the methanol was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was taken up in $5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and lyophilized. After precipitation of inorganic salts in MeOH followed by evaporation in vacuo, the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel with the eluent Et-OAc-pyridine- $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40: 20: 6: 11)$ to give $30 \mathrm{mg}(63 \%): R_{f}$ (F) 0.25 ; HPLC ( $t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.6 \mathrm{mn}$ ), eluent $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-$ TEAP, gradient from 28:72 to $38: 62$; FAB-MS ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) calcd 1345, found 1345. Amino acid analysis: Asp 2.08, Nle 2, Tyr 1, Phe 1.09, Lys 1.06.

Boc-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-Nle-Asp-NH ${ }_{2}$ (28). As described for the preparation of 23 , compound 24 ( $375 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) is activated through HONSu ( $50.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DCC $(90.6$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and condensed with $22(158 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 1 mL ) containing $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(61 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$. Evaporation of DMF and trituration with EtOAc-ether yielded $300 \mathrm{mg}(64 \%)$ : mp 160-162 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{H}) 0.55$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{55} \mathrm{H}_{75} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{9}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Asp(OBzl)-Tyr-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-Nle-Asp-NH $\mathbf{N}_{2}$ (29). Compound 28 ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with TFA-anisole ( $1 \mathrm{~mL} / 0.1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) as previously described for the deprotection of 15 to give $240 \mathrm{mg}(88 \%) ; R_{f}(\mathrm{H}) 0.24$. To a chilled solution of the above product $240 \mathrm{mg}(0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DIEA ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.22$ mmol ) in DMF ( 2 mL ) were added Boc-Asp(OBzl)-ONp (112 mg, 0.25 mmol ) and $\mathrm{HOBt}(33.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.22 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the oily residue was precipitated from EtOAc-ether to give after filtration 245 mg $(87 \%): m p 153-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}(\mathrm{H}) 0.58$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{66} \mathrm{H}_{86} \mathrm{O}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{10}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

Boc-Asp(OBzl)-Tyr(SO ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ )-Nle-D-Lys(Z)-Trp-Nle-Asp( Na ) $-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (30). Compound 29 ( $235 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry pyridine ( 6 mL ) was sulfated with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}-$ pyridine complex ( 1.3 g ) following the procedure previously described for the preparation of 2 to give, after workup and chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{EtOAc}-$ pyridine $-\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (80:20:6:11), 70 $\mathrm{mg}(28 \%), R_{f}$ (G) 0.25 .

Boc-Asp(Na)-Tyr(SO $\left.{ }_{3} \mathrm{Na}\right)$-Nle-d-Lys-Trp-Nle-Asp(Na)$\mathbf{N H}_{2}$ (3). Compound 30 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.046 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenated for 2 h in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst $(20 \mathrm{mg})$. After filtration of the catalyst, the methanol was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was taken up in $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and lyophilized. After precipitation of inorganic salts in MeOH followed by evaporation in vacuo, the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel with EtOAc-pyridine-AcOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (40:20:6:11) to yield $40 \mathrm{mg}(74 \%): R_{f}$ (F) 0.22; HPLC ( $t_{R}=12.2$ min), eluent $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{TEAP}$, gradient from 30:70 to 40:60; FABMS ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) calcd 1198, found 1198. Amino acid analysis: Asp 2.09, Nle 2, Tyr 1.01, Lys 1.07.

Boc-Trp-Lys(Z)- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (31). To a chilled solution of Lys( $\mathrm{N}-$ $\epsilon \mathrm{Z})-\mathrm{OCH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~g}, 18.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(90 \mathrm{~mL})$ treated with DIEA ( $6.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 36.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added Boc-Trp-ONp ( 9.28 g , $21.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{HOBt}(2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 18.2 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2 h at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc and worked up as described for the isolation of 6 to yield after crystallization in ether $9.2 \mathrm{~g}(87 \%): \operatorname{mp} 99-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{~A})$ 0.43. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{4}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Gly-Trp-Lys(Z)-OCH ${ }_{3}$ (32). Compound 31 ( $9.1 \mathrm{~g}, 15.7$ mmol ) was dissolved in a chilled solvent mixture of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (25 mL ) and TFA-anisole ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} / 1.2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 45 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 45 min at room temperature. The evaporation was repeated several times by the addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and benzene, and the resulting amorphous solid was dissolved in DMF ( 80 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was successively treated with DIEA ( $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 15.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Boc-Gly-ONp $(5.1 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{HOBt}(2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 15.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 2 h at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was worked up as described for the isolation of 6 to give after crystallization from ether $9.05 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ :
$\mathrm{mp} 81-83^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{~B}) 0.36$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{5}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
Boc-Asp(OBzl)-Gly-Trp-Lys(Z)-OCH ${ }_{3}$ (33). Compound 32 ( $9 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated by a mixture $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-TFA-anisole ( $20 \mathrm{~mL} / 20 \mathrm{~mL} / 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) following the procedure described for the deprotection of 6 . The resulting amorphous solid was dissolved in chilled DMF ( 40 mL ), and DIEA ( $1.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), Boc-$\operatorname{Asp}(\mathrm{OBzl})-\mathrm{ONp}(4.26 \mathrm{~g}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{HOBt}(1.22 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added successively. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and overnight at room temperature. After evaporation in vacuo, the oily residue was dissolved in EtOAc and extracted, as described for the preparation of 6 . The resulting powder was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ ( $95: 5$ ) as eluent, yielding $9.75 \mathrm{~g}(82 \%): \mathrm{mp} 80-82^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}(\mathrm{~B}) 0.32$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{6}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Tyr-Asp(OBzl)-Gly-Trp-Lys(Z)-OCH ${ }_{3}$ (34). After removal of the Boc protecting group on $33(9.74 \mathrm{~g}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-TFA-anisole ( $17 \mathrm{~mL} / 17 \mathrm{~mL} / 1 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) as described for the deprotection of 6 , the residual solid was placed in chilled DMF and treated successively with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol})$, Boc-Tyr-OH ( $3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HONSu ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 11.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DCC $(2.38 \mathrm{~g}, 11.56 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ overnight at room temperature. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was worked up as described for the preparation of 6 to yield after flash chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (97:3) and crystallization from EtOAc-ether 9.88 $\mathrm{g}(85 \%): \mathrm{mp} \mathrm{103-105}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}$ (B) 0.41. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{53} \mathrm{H}_{63} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}$, H, N.

Boc-Tyr-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (35). Compound 34 (950 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in MeOH ( 40 mL ) was hydrogenated in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( 150 mg ) for 2 h to give after filtration and evaporation of the MeOH 650 mg ( $77 \%$ ): mp $185-187^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}(\mathrm{E}) 0.26$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Tyr-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys- $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ (36). Compound 35 ( 640 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.818 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cyclized with DPPA in the conditions previously described for the preparation of 13 to yield after flash chromatography on silica gel with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (95:5) and recrystallization from EtOAc $400 \mathrm{mg}(64 \%): \mathrm{mp} 187-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ (B) 0.36; FAB-MS ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) calcd 765, found 765. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{38}{ }^{-}$ $\mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{7}$ ) C, H, N.

Boc-Tyr-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-OH (37). Compound 36 ( 0.3 g , 0.39 mmol ) was saponified following the procedure described for the preparation of 14 to give $210 \mathrm{mg}(72 \%): \mathrm{mp} 210-211^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}$ (F) 0.26. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{7}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Boc-Tyr-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-Asp(OBzl)-Phe-NH ${ }_{2}$ (38). Compound 16 ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in chilled DMF $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.038 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ and treated with 37 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), HONSu ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and DCC ( $66.7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for 48 h at room temperature and was worked up as previously described for the isolation of 19 to give 250 mg ( $84 \%$ ): mp 239-241 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $R_{f}(\mathrm{~F})$ 0.48. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{57} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{10}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Compound 38 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrogenated in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DMF}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mL} / 4 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) for 12 h with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst ( 45 mg ) to afford Boc-Tyr-Asp-Gly-Trp-Lys-Asp-Phe-NH $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ (39) (160 $\mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). The sulfation of $39(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.148 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$-pyridine complex ( 1 g ) as described for the preparation of 2 and purification by chromatography on silica gel with Et-OAc-pyridine-AcOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (55:20:6:11) as eluent gave 60 mg $(42 \%) ; R_{f}(\mathrm{E}) 0.20 ; \mathrm{HPLC}\left(t_{\mathrm{R}}=11 \mathrm{~min}\right)$, eluant $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{TEAP}$, gradient from 25:75 to 35:65; FAB-MS ( $\mathrm{MH}^{+}$) calcd 1136, found 1136. Amino acid analysis: Asp 1.95, Gly 1.03, Tyr 0.97, Phe 1, Lys 1.02.

Bioassays. Tissue preparation of mouse brain and rat pancreas membranes and the related binding experiments were performed as reported in detail previously. ${ }^{28}$ Amylase secretion from rat pancreas fragments was assayed according to the method of Ceska et al. ${ }^{29}$ using the Phadebas reagent (Pharmacia). Pancreas fragments preparation and experimental procedures were reported elsewhere. ${ }^{13}$

Contractile activity on guinea pig ileum was carried out according to Hutchinson and Dockray ${ }^{34}$ in the presence of atropine $(1.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$. The conditions of these test were described elsewhere. ${ }^{13}$
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