Preparation of Methyl Lactate

CONTINUOUS ALCOHOLYSIS OF LACTIC ACID POLYMERS

THE conversion of lactic acid into its esters is worthy of study because lactic acid can be made easily by fermentation (20, 21) from several carbohydrates, its esters are useful as solvents,

E. M. FILACHIONE, J. H. LENGEL, AND C. H. FISHER

Eastern Regional Research Laboratory U. S. Department of Agriculture, Philadelphia, Pa.

plasticizers, or intermediates (27, 28), and its two functional groups can be used to prepare numerous derivatives. Preparation of methyl lactate in high yield by conventional methods is unusually difficult because methyl lactate distills azeotropically with water but methanol does not, and methyl lactate is readily hydrolyzed (25). Although esterification of lactic acid with methanol is troublesome, this operation is important because methyl lactate can be converted readily into higher lactic esters by alcoholysis (5) or into methyl acrylate by pyrolysis of its acctyl derivative (5, 29).

Methyl or ethyl lactate has been made by: (a) direct esterification (1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 11, 24, 34); (b) reaction of zinc ammonium lactate (12, 19, 22, 32, 35) with methanol in the presence of sufficient mineral acid to liberate lactic acid; (c) reaction of calcium magnesium, or sodium lactate (6, 15, 20, 26, 27, 33) with methanol in the presence of adequate quantities of mineral acid; (d) reaction of silver lactate (22, 23, 24, 31) with methyl iodide; (e) reaction of anhydrides or polymers (3, 4, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14) of lactic acid with methanol; (f) treating ethyl lactyl lactate with ethanol (16); and (g) heating calcium or sodium lactate with potassium ethyl sulfate or with ethyl toluene sulfonate (17, 30).

The present paper describes the preparation (both batch and continuous) of methyl lactate by alcoholysis of lactic acid polymers, a method that for the most part avoids the difficulties encountered when water is present.

PREPARATION OF LACTIC ACID POLYMERS

Polylactic acid used for the production of methyl lactate was prepared by batch or continuous methods (10). The batch method comprised distilling water from lactic acid under reduced pressures. The continuous method consisted in passing 82% lactic acid of edible grade (containing a small amount of sulfuric acid) down a heated column (Pyrex tube, 1 inch in diameter and 4 feet long) packed with small Berl porcelain saddles, withdrawing water vapor from the top, and removing the lactic acid polymers from the bottom of the column.

The extent of the dehydration and self-esterification of the lactic acid was usually estimated by the amount of water removed and by titration and saponification of the condensation polymers. At room temperature the polymers ranged from amber semisolids to brown firm masses, depending upon the degree of dehydration. All the polymers were fluid at about 90° C.

METHYL LACTATE FROM LACTIC ACID POLYMERS

CLOSED-VESSEL EXPERIMENTS. In experiments not described here it was found that methyl lactate can be produced in 50 to 65% yields by refluxing polylactic acid with methanol for about 10 hours in the presence of mineral acid catalyst. Higher yields, however, were obtained at somewhat higher temperatures in a closed vessel, and less reaction time was required (Table I). The results of several closed-vessel experiments indicated, however, that 100° C. for 1 hour is approximately as effective as 150° for the same period of time. High yields were obtained even when the mole ratio of methanol to available lactic acid was as low as 2.5. The experimental procedure was as follows: The mixture of polylactic acid, methanol, and catalyst was placed in the reaction vessel ("magnesia" bottle for 100° C. and glass-lined bomb for

 150° C. experiments) and heated for several hours. The catalyst was neutralized with sodium acetate; methanol and a small amount of water were distilled at atmospheric pressure; and methyl lactate was distilled under diminished pressure (Table I). No correction was made for the small amounts of methyl lactate that distilled azeotropically with water.

CONTINUOUS METHOD. In other experiments it was found that methyl lactate can be made continuously and in high yields by passing methanol vapor through polylactic acid, withdrawing methanol and methyl lactate vapors from the reaction vessel, and passing the mixed vapors into continuous distillation equipment.

In one series of experiments (Table II), the reaction vessel was a cylindrical chamber 8.5 inches high and 2.75 inches in diameter. A fritted-glass plate at the bottom, through which methanol vapor was passed, dispersed it as small bubbles over the entire cross section of the reaction vessel. Polylactic acid containing esterification and alcoholysis catalysts, such as sulfuric or toluenesulfonic acids, was introduced into the reaction vessel through a separatory funnel. The vessel was immersed in an oil bath maintained at reaction temperature. The vapors withdrawn from the top consisted mainly of methanol and methyl lactate. The condensed mixture was distilled at atmospheric pressure to remove methanol and under reduced pressure to recover the methyl lactate. Virtually all the material placed in the reaction vessel was volatilized; the principal by-product was distillation residues.

In another series of experiments, methyl lactate was prepared by treating lactic acid polymers with methanol vapor in a tower (1 inch in diameter and 4 feet long) packed with small Berl porcelain saddles. Condensation polymers, as such or dissolved

TABLE I. METHYL LACTATE FROM POLYLACTIC ACID (CLOSED-VESSEL EXPERIMENTS)

Expt. No.	Polyla Lactic acid equiva- lent, mole	Equiva- lent wt.ª	Ratio, Meth- anol/ Lactic Acid	Coned. H2SO4, M!.	Temp., °C.	Time, Hr.	Conver- sion to Methyl Lactate, %
130 132-7 157 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 173	0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5	79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79 79	2.52.52.52.51.02.51.02.51.02.51.02.505.05.05.0	0.25 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.	$\begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	4 4421444421	75-8 78-83 33 73 76 51 76 75 78 78 78 84
$181 \\ 182 \\ 184 \\ 185$	$1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0$	77 77 77 77 77	$2.5 \\ 5.0 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 2.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 \\ 3.5 $	$1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.25$	100 100 100 100	4 4 4	76 75 79 80
$195 \\ 199 \\ 196$	$0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5$	77 77 76	$5.0 \\ 5.0 \\ 5.0 \\ 5.0 $	$1.0 \\ 0.5 \\ 0.5$	100 100 100	4 4 4	

⁴ Ultimate neutralizing capacity expressed as weight in grams of polylactic acid capable of reacting with 40 g. sodium hydroxide (calculated from total amount of standard sodium hydroxide solution required to neutralize and saponify a known quantity of sample). Completely polymerized lactic acid and monomeric lactic acid have equivalent weights of 72 and 90, respectively.

Expt. No.	Acid as Lactic Acid Equiva- lent, Mole	Temp., ° C.	Methanol Intro- duced, Moles	Rate of Adding Methanol, Millimoles per Min.	Conver- sion to Methyl Lactate, %
14	0.5	140-150	7.5	15-18	77
2ª	0.47	140-150	5.0	11.1	72
34	0.5	135 - 148	5.0	16.0	70
4ª	0.5	140 - 150	6.0	26.0	70
50	0.5	120 - 126	5.0	20.0	73
6ª	0.5	110-112	6.25	11.0	89
7ª	0.5	110 - 112	6.85	23.6	90
84	0.5	98 - 102	8.75	20.0	87
94	1.0	110 - 120	12.5	30.5	86
100	1.0	110-118	13.3	56.0	82
110	1.0	110-118	39.0	43.0	79.
124	0.5	125-130	13.2	67.0	81
a Toluer	nesulfonic ad	eid (1 g. per me	ole of lactic a	cid) was used	as catalyst.
6 Phoenl	horia agid (1 m	m = 1 of $85%$	actic actu, w	as useu.	
d Anhyd	trous zine el	d_{1} d_{2} d_{3} d_{4} d_{7} d_{7}	an used.		
- 11 H H V U					

TABLE II.	PREPARATION OF	METHYL LACTATE	BY PASSING
Methanol	VAPOR THROUGH	POLYLACTIC ACID	(CYLINDRICAL
	VESSEL WITH FI	RITTED-GLASS PLATE)	•

in methanol (usually 70 grams polymer in 30 ml. of methanol). were fed continuously into the top of the tower. If not already present, the catalyst was dissolved in the polylactic acid prior to introduction into the tower. Methanol vapor was passed continuously (from a flask containing boiling methanol) into the tower near the bottom, and vapors of methanol and methyl lactate were withdrawn continuously from the top and passed into a continuous still. The methanol vapor from the top of the continuous still was recycled-that is, passed into the flask of boiling methanol and then as vapor into the bottom of the esterification or alcoholysis tower. The methyl lactate withdrawn from the bottom of the continuous still contained approximately 30% methanol and small quantities of water. Probably methyl lactate containing only traces of methanol would have been obtained with a more efficient column. The methyl lactatemethanol mixtures were redistilled, and the data thus obtained were used to calculate the yields given in Table III.

In some instances (experiments 221 and 222, Table III) the amount of methyl lactate recovered by distillation was small, and appreciable yields of distillation residue were obtained. It is believed that acidic material, such as sulfur dioxide, was carried over with the methanol and methyl lactate vapors and that this catalyzed the conversion of methyl lactate into compounds which were left as a residue in distillation. It was found advantageous to add basic material, such as sodium acetate, prior to distillation, especially when sulfuric acid had been used as catalyst. The advantages of neutralizing acidic constituents and distilling under reduced pressures were discussed in a previous paper (25).

ETHYL LACTATE

The reaction of polylactic acid with the higher alcohols was not studied extensively, but ethyl lactate was prepared by the interaction of polylactic acid and ethanol. In the first preparation, 77 grams of polylactic acid (equivalent to 1 mole of lactic acid), 115 grams of ethanol (2.5 moles), and 1 ml. of concentrated sulfuric acid were heated at 100° C. for 4 hours in a closed bottle. The mixture was treated with 4 grams of anhydrous sodium acetate and distilled. The conversion into ethyl lactate distilling at 65° (28 mm.) was 57%; the distillation residue amounted to 39 grams.

In the second preparation, an ethanol solution containing 73.5 grams of polylactic acid (equivalent to 1 mole of lactic acid) and 0.5 ml. of concentrated sulfuric acid was passed into the top of the packed tower while ethanol vapor was passed into the bottom.

The temperature was 120° to 126° C., and the operation lasted 2.9 hours. The conversion into ethyl lactate was 77%. On the basis of starting material not recovered as distillation residue, the yield was 86.5% of theoretical.

CRUDE LACTIC ACID AS STARTING MATERIAL

As Tables II and III show, lactic acid of moderate purity can be converted into methyl lactate continuously and in high yields by dehydration and polymerization followed by methanolysis. Because of its lower cost (18), crude lactic acid is preferable to edible or other refined grades as a raw material. Several samples of crude lactic acid were less suitable experimentally, however, because their dehydration rates were lower, and solids separated during the dehydration and subsequent treatment with methanol. Results obtained with polylactic acid prepared from several samples of crude lactic acid by the batch distillation of water (usually after the addition of concentrated sulfuric acid) under a pressure of 10 to 20 mm. of mercury are given in Table IV. The methanolyses were carried out by passing methanol solutions of the polylactic acid through the reaction tower used for the experiments described in Table III. Although solids had been filtered from the methanol solutions, some precipitation occurred in the tower, requiring occasional cleaning by washing with water.

It was found advantageous to use more sulfuric acid than was required for catalysis with some of the samples of crude lactic acid. Presumably the larger quantities of sulfuric acid were required because of the sodium chloride, organic nitrogen compounds, or other materials capable of reacting with sulfuric acid. Considerable quantities of hydrogen chloride were volatilized with the methyl lactate when sodium chloride was present in the crude lactic acid. Hydrogen chloride in the distillate facilitated the hydrolysis of methyl lactate and made analysis for chloride necessary when the lactic acid content of the distillate was determined by titration and saponification.

Polylactic Acid as Lactic Acid Equiva- Catalyst Polylactic Acid Meth Expt. lent, (H:SO4), Temp., Total Millimoles Lacta No. Moles Ml. °C. time. hr. per min. %	TABLE) III. – M Methano	IETHYL L	ACTATE F	TOWER	YLACTIC A	CID AND
Lactic Acid Equiva- Catalyst Expt. lent, (H:SO4), Temp., Total Millimoles Lacta No. Moles Ml. C. time. hr. per min. %	-	Polylactic Acid as		(1	1000000	2111 DIVINID11	10)
Expt. lent, (H ₂ SO ₄), Temp., Total Millimoles Lacta No. Moles Ml. ^o C. time, hr. per min. %		Lactic Acid Equiva-	Catalyst		Addi Polyla	tion of ctic Acid	Conver- sion to Methyl
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Expt. No.	lent, Moles	(H ₂ SO ₄), Ml.	Temp., C.	Total time, hr.	Millimoles per min.	Lactate, %
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$221 \\ 222 \\ 228 \\ 228 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 \\ 328 $	$1.0 \\ 1.0 \\ 1.0$	1 a 1	110-125 110-125 110-125	$\begin{array}{c} 1.5\\ 2.5\\ 1.0 \end{array}$	$11.0 \\ 6.7 \\ 16.7$	53 55 85
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	230 232 238	2.0 4.0	2 4 1	110-125 110-125 100-105		16.7 18.0 8.3	83 82 92
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	239 240	$2.0 \\ 2.0$	$\frac{1}{2}$	125 98-105	3-3.5 4-4.25	9.5-11 7.8-8.3	88 89

TABLE IV. ALCOHOLYSIS OF POLYLACTIC ACID PREPARED FROM CRUDE LACTIC ACID **D** 1 1 12 1 1 1

	Polyla	ctic Acid						
Expt. No.	Lactic acid equiv- alent, moles	Concn. as lactic acid, %	Concd. H ₁ SO4, Ml.	Temp., ° C.ª	Time, Hr.	Lactic Acid Volatil- ized ^b , %	$\frac{\substack{\text{Methyl}}{Yiel}}{A^{\mathfrak{c}}}$	Lactate d, % Bd
1° 2° 31 41 51 6'	2 5 1 2 1 2	116 115 91 89 84 117	$2 \\ 5.1 \\ 1 \\ 8 \\ 14 \\ 2$	98-106 100-112 101-109 88-105 87-109 98-103	$12 \\ 10.5 \\ 4 \\ 3.2 \\ 2.5 \\ 5.5 $	73 92 85	67 57 29 649 791 78	73 74 55 74 80 80 85

^a Temperature at a point midway between the bottom and top of the reaction tower.
^b Determined by titration and saponification of the distillate.
^c Based on polylactic acid passed into the tower.
^d Based on polylactic acid not recovered as distillation residue (90 g. residue taken as

1 mole). Crude material contained 26% lactic acid prior to concentration (supplied by Shef-

Crude material contained 26% lactic acid prior to concentration (supplied by Shei-field Farms, Inc.).
 / Crude material contained 23% lactic acid prior to concentration (supplied by the Clinton Company).
 Ø Distillation residue recycled once.
 A Distillation residue recycled twice.

· Crude material Clinton Company). material contained 81.3% lactic acid prior to concentration (supplied by the

Although the use of crude lactic acid is more troublesome, it gives moderately high yields of methyl lactate (Table IV) under suitable conditions. The present work indicates that addition of sulfuric acid in quantities greater than used for catalysis is helpful, that filtration of solids from the methanol solution of the polylactic acid may be required, that treatment with sodium acetate or similar agent prior to distillation is beneficial, that entraining agents such as benzene may be used advantageously to distill water from methyl lactate, and that distillation of methyl lactate under reduced pressure is preferable.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) Bannister, W. J., U. S. Patent 1,695,449 (Dec. 18, 1928).
- (2) Ibid., 2,029,694 (Feb. 4, 1936).
- (3)
- Bruggen, N. von der, Ann., 148, 224 (1868). Burkard, O., and Kahovec, L., Monatsh., 71, 333 (1938). (4)
- (5) Burns, R., Jones, D. T., and Ritchie, P. D., J. Chem. Soc., 1935, 400.
- (6) Chemische Werke vorm. H. Byk, German Patent 278,487 (June 4, 1912).
- (7) Ciocco, B., and Semproni, A., Ann. chim. applicata, 25, 319 (1935).
- (8) Clemmenson, E., and Heitman, A. H. C., Am. Chem. J., 42, 328 (1909)
- (9) Filachione, E. M., Fein, M. L., Fisher, C. H., and Smith, L. T., Div. of Ind. Eng. Chem., A. C. S., Detroit, April, 1943.
- (10) Filachione, E. M., and Fisher, C. H., IND. ENG. CHEM., 36, 223 (1944).
- (11) Freudenberg, K., Brauns, F., and Siegel, H., Ber., 56, 193 (1923).

- (12) Freudenberg, K., and Markert, L., Ber., 60B, 2447 (1927).
 (13) Friedel, C., and Wertz, A., Ann. chim., [3] 63, 102 (1861).
 (14) Gruter, R., and Pohl, H., U. S. Patent 1,160,595 (Nov. 16, 1915).
- (15) I. G. Farbenindustrie A.-G., Brit. Patent 290,464 (July 2, 1927).
- (16) Jungfleisch, E., and Godschot, M., Compt. rend., 144, 425 (1906). (17) Kenyon, J., Phillips, H., and Turley, H. G., J. Chem. Soc., 127, 399 (1925).
- (18) Oil, Paint, and Drug Reptr., 142, 9 (Dec. 14, 1942).
- (19) Patterson, T. S., and Lawson, A., J. Chem. Soc., 1929, 2042.
- (20) Peckham, G. T., Jr., Chem. Eng. News, 22, 440 (1944).
 (21) Prescott, S. C., and Dunn, C. G., "Industrial Microbiology", New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., 1940.
- (22)Purdie, T., and Irvine, J. C., J. Chem. Soc., 75, 483 (1899).
- Purdie, T., and Lander, G. D., Ibid., 73, 287 (1898). (23)
- (24) Purdie, T., and Williamson, S., Ibid., 69, 818 (1896).
- (25) Rehberg, C. E., Faucette, W. A., and Fisher, C. H., IND. ENG. CHEM., 36, 469 (1944).
- (26) Smith, L. T., and Claborn, H. V., IND. ENG. CHEM., 32, 692 (1940)
- (27) Smith, L. T., and Claborn, H. V., IND. ENG. CHEM., NEWS ED., 17, 370 (1939).
- (28) Ibid., 17, 641 (1939).
 (29) Smith, L. T., Fisher, C. H., Ratchford, W. P., and Fein, M. L., IND. ENG. CHEM., 34, 473 (1942).
- (30)
- Strecker, A., Ann., 91, 352 (1854). Walker, J. W., J. Chem. Soc., 67, 914 (1895). (31)
- (32) Ward, G. E., and Tabenkin, B., U. S. Patent 2,331,948 (Oct. 19, 1943),
- Weisberg, S. M., and Stimpson, E. G., Ibid., 2,290,926 (July 28, (33)1942).
- (34) Wenker, H., Ibid., 2,334,524 (Nov. 16, 1943).
- (35)Wood, C. E., Such, J. E., and Scarf, F., J. Chem. Soc., 123, 600 (1923).

Fermentation of Wood Sugars to Ethyl Alcohol

REID H. LEONARD AND GEORGE J. HAJNY

U. S. Forest Products Laboratory, Madison, Wis.

N THE preparation and fermentation of wood sugars in the Fullerton, La., and Georgetown, S. C., plants (6, 27), the acid hydrolyzate was aerated and neutralized to a pH slightly higher than is ordinarily used for modern yeast fermentations. A nitrogen source and growth factor source were supplied, and a slow feed technique was used to fill the fermenters. When the wort started fermenting, there was a change in color to a lighter shade (26), indicating a reduction of the medium. Frequently 96 hours were required to form only 2% alcohol by weight.

Patents on processes for preparing wood sugars for fermentation admit these difficulties of fermentation (10, 39, 47), and laboratory procedures have employed various means of inducing more rapid fermentation (13, 28, 32, 41, 49, 61). Previous investigators have determined the major constituents (18A, 24, 27) of the wood hydrolyzate, but the minor constituents have not yet been found. It has been assumed that the difficulty of fermentation was due to toxic constituents.

Four potential sources of toxic substances have been pointed out: equipment, carbohydrate decomposition (2, 21, 32), lignin decomposition (38), and wood extractives and their decomposition products (22).

Toxic concentrations of metals resulting from the corrosion of the equipment have been encountered frequently in experi-

The potentially toxic materials arising from the organic substances have been divided into three classes-terpenes, aldehydes, and polyhydroxy aromatics. Generally, terpenes have been shown to be the most active physiologically toward yeast, aldehydes next, and polyhydroxy aromatics least (9, 23, 31, 32, 35). The action of these organic substances was dependent on temperature, pH of the media, presence of oxygen, concentration and type of toxic substance, ratio of yeast cells to toxic substance, and physiological condition of the cells. There was also wide variation in the toxicity of various substances on the metabolism of yeast (44, 60). For example, formic acid is more toxic than acetic acid (23).

An unfavorable oxidation-reduction potential has also been cited as a cause of poor fermentability. Three methods have

preparation that fermented anaerobically in 14 to 20 hours with 2% by volume of distiller's yeast. The addition of reducing agents was useful for production of easily fermented wood-sugar preparations.

Fermentation of neutralized wood-sugar liquors was difficult except under special conditions. Acid sugar liquors, which had been treated with lime to a pH of 5 and then heated to 138° C. for a short time before filtering, gave a

mental work (25, 54).