

# A Palladium-Catalyzed Methylenation of Olefins Using Halomethylboronate Reagents

Tim den Hartog,<sup>†</sup> Juan Manuel Sarria Toro,<sup>†</sup> and Peter Chen\*

Laboratorium für Organische Chemie, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH) Zürich, CH-8093 Zürich, Switzerland

# **(5)** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** Methylenation of electron-rich olefins is a highly challenging reaction, for which we have developed a new methodology exploiting Pd-catalysis and halomethylboronate reagents, the latter replacing diazomethane and zinc carbenoids as methylene donors. Optimization of the reaction for norbornene and extension to several other olefins are reported, with reasonable-to-excellent yields of cyclopropanes in combination with  $\beta$ -H elimination products. Several mechanisms are plausible for this methylenation reaction.

Methylenation of electron-rich olefins, other than styrene analogs, is one of the most challenging cyclopropanation reactions<sup>1,2</sup> (Scheme 1). Despite the

Scheme 1. Approaches for the Methylenation of Electron-Rich Olefins



generation of Zn-waste in an at least stoichiometric ratio to products and problems associated with scale-up, the Simmons– Smith reaction<sup>3,4</sup> is the method of choice for this transformation in industry. An alternative for small scale methylenations is the transition metal (TM) catalyzed decomposition of diazomethane,<sup>5</sup> although safety issues have largely prevented large-scale applications; *in situ* preparation of this reagent has been suggested.<sup>6</sup> An alternative, scalable, safe method for the methylenation of olefins is accordingly highly desirable.

In addition to the synthesis of cyclopropanes using diazo reagents,<sup>1,7</sup> Pd-catalysis has also been employed to prepare several substituted cyclopropanes using other reagents.<sup>8–10</sup> However, these transformations allegedly proceed via (oxa)- $\pi$ -



allyl Pd<sup>II,8</sup> vinyl Pd<sup>II,9</sup>, or alkynyl Pd<sup>II10</sup> intermediates, which would exclude the corresponding methylenation reactions *a priori*. For the Pd-catalyzed introduction of methylene synthons, other than diazomethane,<sup>5</sup> only a single example using a high Pd-catalyst loading (~3 turnovers) has been reported.<sup>11</sup> All alkenylations mentioned here<sup>8–11</sup> are limited to norbornenes and other strained bicyclic substrates.

We anticipated that the use of halomethylboronate reagents in combination with a Pd-catalyst would allow us to replace diazomethane/zinc carbenoids altogether. We envision several possible mechanisms for this transformation (vide infra). In this methylenation all functionalities featured in substrate and reagent would have a specific role (Scheme 1): (1) the alkylboron functionality would be capable of transferring its alkyl moiety to a Pd<sup>II</sup>-intermediate via either an inter-12 or intramolecular transmetalation; (2) the halide (X) could act as leaving group, allowing the formation of a Pd-methylene carbenoid intermediate,<sup>13</sup> or the alkyl-X bond could oxidatively  $add^{14}$  to a Pd<sup>0</sup>-catalyst; (3) the olefin could either perform a migratory insertion<sup>15</sup> (inner sphere mechanism<sup>16</sup>) or react with a Pd-methylene carbenoid intermediate (outer sphere mechanism<sup>13</sup>). In this paper, we report the methylenation of several electron-rich olefins using Pd<sup>0</sup>-, as well as, Pd<sup>II</sup>-catalysts, and we propose several possible mechanisms for this transformation.

Initially, we chose to study the methylenation of norbornene, 1, using several Pd-catalysts (Table 1). Substrate 1 was chosen for the strong metal coordinating properties of its double bond, and because the only syn  $\beta$ -H available after migratory insertion of a Pd-catalyst cannot eliminate due to Bredt's rule. Commercially available iodomethyltrifluoroborate, **2**, was selected as a methylenation reagent for the leaving group properties of the iodide/the reactivity of the C–I bond for oxidative addition.<sup>14</sup> A combination of base and H<sub>2</sub>O was used

Received: December 20, 2013

Table 1. Screening of Catalysts for the Methylenation of Norbornene $^{a}$ 



<sup>*a*</sup>Conditions: scale 0.117 mmol of 1, 0.06 M concentration of 1. <sup>*b*</sup>Standardized GC yield and recovery of 1. <sup>*c*</sup>Pd<sup>0</sup>(dba)<sub>2</sub> (5 mol %) and IPr ligand (6 mol %) were used.

for the *in situ* activation<sup>17</sup> of the trifluoroborate for transmetalation. Without a Pd-catalyst the reaction of **1**, **2**, base, and DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O gave no cyclopropane (entry 1). Traces of the cyclopropane *exo*-tricyclo[3.2.1.0-2,4]octane (**3**) were observed when 5 mol % Pd<sup>0</sup>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> or a combination of 5 mol % Pd<sup>0</sup> source and 6 mol % 1,3-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)imidazol-2ylidene (IPr) ligand were used as the catalyst (entries 2 and 3). The use of the preformed<sup>18</sup> Pd<sup>0</sup>(IPr)<sub>2</sub> catalyst gave an encouraging 37% yield of **3** (entry 4). Finally, a good 85% yield (GC) of **3** as a single diastereomer was obtained when the bulky tris(*tert*-butyl)phosphine ligand<sup>11</sup> in Pd<sup>0</sup> catalyst **5** was used (entry 5). Interestingly, the use of two Pd<sup>II</sup> catalysts, Herrmann catalyst 4<sup>19</sup> and the Pd<sup>II</sup>IPrCl<sub>2</sub>-dimer **6**,<sup>20</sup> also gave efficient methylenation (entries 6 and 7), affording **3** in 98% and 88% yield respectively.

Since in all of the reactions in Table 1 extensive formation of Pd-black was observed, omission of base and/or water, as well as the use of alternative halomethylboronate reagents, was studied using either the Pd<sup>II</sup>-Herrmann catalyst 4 or Pd<sup>0</sup>(P- $(tBu)_3)_2$  5 (Table 2; see also Tables SI1-SI4). Compared to the combined use of  $K_2CO_3$ ,  $H_2O_2$ , and either catalyst 4 (entry 1) or 5 (entry 2), when either  $H_2O$  (entry 3 and 4) or base (entries 5 and 6) was omitted a much lower yield of the cyclopropane 3 was obtained. Interestingly, omission of both H<sub>2</sub>O and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> led to the formation of only 1% of 3 when catalyst 4 was used (entry 7); however, the use of catalyst 5 gave cyclopropane 3 in a good 87% yield (entry 8). In both cases only traces of Pd-black were formed using these conditions. Also the preactivation of  $ICH_2BF_3K$  (2) in the presence of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O for 30 min at 90 °C, before substrate 1 and either catalyst were added to the reaction mixture, were tested. When catalyst 4 was used product 3 was obtained in a substantial lower 37% yield (entry 9), while the use of catalyst 5 gave a slightly lower yield of 3 (entry 10). Apparently, when catalyst 4 or 5 is used there is an optimum between the rate of in situ activation of the trifluoroborate reagent 2 and the (hydroxide/fluoride mediated) decomposition of catalyst 4 or 5. The use of another nucleofuge,

Table 2. Optin	nization of N	Norbornene Me	ethylenation	Using
the Herrmann	(4) and Pd <sup>0</sup>	$(P(tBu)_3)_2$ (5)	Catalysts <sup><i>a</i></sup>	

					•	
	+ X ''B'		<b>4</b> or <b>5</b> (5 mol % Pd) K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> (1.5 equiv)			
	1	1.5 equiv	DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O,	6 h, 90 ℃ <sup>∠</sup>	3	
no.	cat.	XCH <sub>2</sub> 'B'	base	DMF/ H <sub>2</sub> O	yield <sup><math>b</math></sup>	$1^{b}$
1	4	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	8/1	98%	0%
2	5	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	$K_2CO_3$	8/1	85%	15%
3	4	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	DMF only	25%	56%
4	5	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	DMF only	47%	42%
5	4	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	none	8/1	24%	54%
6	5	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	none	8/1	35%	52%
7	4	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	none	DMF only	1%	73%
8	5	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	none	DMF only	87%	7%
9 <sup>c</sup>	4	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	8/1	38%	37%
$10^c$	5	$ICH_2BF_3K$ (2)	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	8/1	66%	17%
11	5	BrCH <sub>2</sub> BF <sub>3</sub> K	none	DMF only	7%	73%
12	5	$\operatorname{ICH}_2 \operatorname{B}(\operatorname{OR})_2^d$	$CsF^e$	DMF only	70%	16%
13	5	$ICH_2B(OR)_2^d$	$\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{K_2CO_3}\\ \mathrm{CsF}^e \end{array}$ +	8/1	92%	8%

<sup>*a*</sup>Conditions: scale 0.117 mmol of **1**, 0.06 M concentration of **1**. <sup>*b*</sup>Standardized GC-yield and recovery of **1**. <sup>*c*</sup>Before addition of **1** and the catalyst the B-reagent **2** was preactivated at 90 °C for 30 min. <sup>*d*</sup>2-(Iodomethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane was used. <sup>*e*</sup>3.0 equiv of CsF were used.

bromide, gave some cyclopropane **3** when catalyst **5**, but no base and water, was used (entry 11). Finally, when 2-(iodomethyl)-4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane was used as the B-reagent, in the presence of appropriate reagents for activation, being either CsF (entry 12) or both  $K_2CO_3/H_2O$  and CsF (entry 13), product **3** was obtained in respectively 70% and 92% yield (see also Table SI4).

After further optimization of the reaction conditions (see Tables SI5, SI9, and SI10) the methylenation of several other electron-rich olefins was found to be feasible (Table 3; see also Tables SI6–SI8). Using the Herrmann catalyst 4, *cis* bicyclo[6.1.0]nonane, 8a, was obtained in a reasonable yield from the aliphatic cyclic olefin cyclooctene (7a, entry 1). Concomitant formation of the exocyclic olefin methylenecyclo-octane, 9a, was observed as well; presumably the latter product is formed via a  $\beta$ -H elimination<sup>21</sup> from one of the Pd<sup>II</sup>-intermediates (*vide infra*). Furthermore, Pd-black was formed. When either the terminal acyclic olefin 4-phenyl-1-butene, 7b, or styrene, 7c, was used as the substrate, a mixture of  $\beta$ -H elimination products (9b or 9c) and cyclopropane (8b or 8c) were obtained in a lower yield (entries 2 and 3).

The formation of the cyclopropane products from the methylenation of several classes of olefins indicates that a wide variety of olefins can be used as substrates using our method, although further optimization of the catalyst and/or reaction conditions is required to obtain higher turnover numbers (less/ slower formation of Pd-black) and better selectivities for the cyclopropanation products vs  $\beta$ -H elimination products. Our experimental results do not yet give straightforward guidelines for the improvement of the catalyst and/or conditions; this is illustrated by the methylenation of **1** using either Pd<sup>0</sup>- or Pd<sup>II</sup>-





<sup>a</sup>Conditions: for scale see Tables SI6–SI8, 0.06 M concentration of 7. <sup>b</sup>Standardized GC yield and recovery of 7. <sup>c</sup>A mixture of several olefins was obtained; see Table SI8 for details.

catalysts and, when specific catalysts are employed, the necessity for the use of  $\rm H_2O$  and base and/or CsF for efficient cyclopropanation.

Methylenations using Pd-catalysts can proceed via a variety of mechanisms, which may be enumerated and classified by the heuristic diagram in Figure 1. These mechanisms can be



Figure 1. Classification of mechanisms for cyclopropanation.

classified according to (1) whether there is an initial olefin coordination or an initial methylene transfer, (2) whether transmetalation (Pd<sup>II</sup>-catalyst) proceeds before oxidative addition (Pd<sup>0</sup>-catalyst) or vice versa, and (3) whether the reaction proceeds via an inner- or outer-sphere mechanism. For the developed methylenation method several mechanisms (see Scheme SI1) are consistent with the results presented here, although mechanism V (Scheme 2) presently appears most likely. This "diverted Heck" mechanism would feature initial oxidative addition<sup>14</sup> of 12, coordination of olefin 14, migratory insertion,<sup>15</sup> intramolecular transmetalation<sup>22</sup> to give palladocyclobutane  $16^{23}$  and finally a reductive elimination to give cyclopropane 8. It is important to note that several pathways exist to access Pd<sup>0</sup>-mechanisms from Pd<sup>II</sup>-catalysts.<sup>24,25</sup> The multiplicity of possible mechanisms makes their analysis complicated; it is even feasible that several mechanisms would be operative simultaneously.

Side reactions from two intermediates present in the possible methylenation mechanism V could be the cause for the formation of the  $\beta$ -H elimination products 9 (Scheme 2). From intermediate 15 a  $\beta$ -H elimination would give 17, and





consecutive allylic protodeboration<sup>26</sup> of 17 would then give the exocyclic double bond. Alternatively, consecutive  $\beta$ -H elimination and reductive elimination could give 9 from palladocyclobutane 16.

In conclusion, taking advantage of versatile Pd catalysis, we have developed a new method for the methylenation of electron-rich olefins. In our method halomethylboronate reagents replace diazomethane and zinc carbenoids. Norbornene is the preferred substrate for this reaction, although other olefins also exhibit the desired reactivity. Mechanistically, several viable pathways are available. The complicated dependence of cyclopropanation yield on various experimental parameters, and the diversity of possible mechanisms, suggests that a mechanistic study may present the best option for gaining a broader substrate scope and higher turnover numbers.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **Supporting Information**

Mechanistic proposals, further screening tables, experimental details, and GC, GC-MS, and NMR data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: peter.chen@org.chem.ethz.ch.

# **Author Contributions**

<sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally.

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/ 2007-2013) under Grant Agreement PIEF-GA-2010-275400 (IEF-Marie Curie postdoctoral grant to T.d.H.). We further thank the Swiss National Science Foundation for support and Givaudan AG for providing AcOCH<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>3</sub>Na.

### REFERENCES

 (1) For recent reviews on cyclopropanation, see: (a) Chen, D. Y.-K.; Pouwer, R. H.; Richard, J.-A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 4631-4642.
 (b) Roy, M.-N.; Lindsay, V. N. G.; Charette, A. B. Cyclopropanation Reactions. In Science of Synthesis Stereoselective Synthesis; de Vries, J. G., Ed.; Thieme Publishing Group: Stuttgart, 2010; Vol. 1, pp 731-818.
 (c) de Meijere, A.; Koshushkov, S. I. Product Class 2: Cyclopropanes. In Science of Synthesis; Hiemstra, H., Ed.; Thieme Publishing Group: Stuttgart, 2009; Vol. 48, pp 477-614.

(2) For recent combined gas- and solution-phase investigations of cyclopropanation reactions, see: (a) Ringger, D. H.; Chen, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4686–4689. (b) Fedorov, A.; Batiste, L.; Bach, A.; Birney, D. M.; Chen, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12162–12171. (c) Fedorov, A.; Chen, P. Organometallics 2010, 29, 2994–3000. (d) Fedorov, A.; Chen, P. Organometallics 2009, 28, 1278–1281. (e) Fedorov, A.; Moret, M.-E.; Chen, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8880–8881.

(3) (a) Pasco, M.; Gilboa, N.; Mejuch, T.; Marek, I. Organometallics 2013, 32, 942–950. (b) Charette, A. B. Cyclopropanation mediated by zinc organometallics. In *The Chemistry of Organozinc Compounds*; Rappoport, Z., Marek, I., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons Ltd: Chichester, 2006; Vol. 1, pp 237–286.

(4) For a Simmons–Smith-like methylenation using Grignard reagents, see: Brunner, G.; Eberhard, L.; Oetiker, J.; Schröeder, F. *Synthesis* **2009**, 3708–3718.

(5) For Pd-catalyzed cyclopropanation using  $CH_2N_2$ , see: (a) McCrindle, R.; Sneddon, D. W. J. Organomet. Chem. **1985**, 282, 413–419. (b) Tomilov, Yu. V.; Kostitsyn, A. B.; Nefedov, O. M. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim. **1987**, 2878. (c) Guseva, E. V.; Volchkov, N. V.; Tomilov, Y. V.; Nefedov, O. M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. **2004**, 3136– 3144 and references therein. (d) Khanova, M. D.; Sultanova, R. M.; Zlotskii, S. S.; Dokichev, V. A.; Tomilov, Yu. V. Russ. Chem. Bull., Int. Ed. **2005**, 54, 1003–1007. (e) Denmark, S. E.; Stavenger, R. A.; Faucher, A.-M.; Edwards, J. P. J. Org. Chem. **1997**, 62, 3375–3389. (f) Fang, G.-H.; Yan, Z.-J-; Deng, M.-Z. Org. Lett. **2004**, 6, 357–360. (g) Emerzian, M. A.; Davenport, W.; Song, J.; Li, J.; Erden, I. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2009**, 51, 999–1004.

(6) For recent examples, see: (a) Ferstl, W. F.; Schwarzer, M. S.; Loebbecke, S. L. *Chem. Ing. Tech.* **2004**, *76*, 1326–1327. (b) Struempel, M.; Ondruschka, B.; Daute, R.; Stark, A. *Green Chem.* **2008**, *10*, 41– 43. (c) Maurya, R. A.; Park, C. P.; Lee, J. H.; Kim, D.-P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 5952–5955. (d) Morandi, B.; Carreira, E. M. *Science* **2012**, 335, 1471–1474.

(7) For oxidative Pd-catalyzed cyclopropanations, see: (a) Welbes, L. L.; Lyons, T. W.; Cychosz, K. A.; Sanford, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5836–5837. (b) Lyons, T. W.; Sanford, M. S. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3211–3221. (c) Wu, W.; Jiang, H.; Gao, Y.; Huang, H.; Zeng, W.; Cao, D. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 10340–10342.

(8) (a) Trost, B. M.; Schneider, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 4430–4433. (b) Trost, B. M.; Urabe, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 615–618. (c) Ogoshi, S.; Morimoto, T.; Nishio, K.-i.; Ohe, K.; Murai, S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 9–10. (d) Ohe, K.; Matsuda, H.; Ishihara, T.; Ogoshi, S.; Chatani, N.; Murai, S. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 1173–1177. (e) Trépanier, V. É.; Fillion, E. Organometallics 2007, 26, 30–32. (f) Horino, Y.; Homura, N.; Inoue, K.; Yoshikawa, S. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 828–834.

(9) Khanna, A.; Dewage Udara Anulal Premachandra, I.; Sung, P. D.; Van Vranken, D. L. Org. Lett. **2013**, *15*, 3158–3161.

(10) Mo, D.-L.; Yuan, T.; Ding, C.-H.; Dai, L.-X.; Hou, X.-L. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 11470-11476.

(11) Fillion, E.; Taylor, N. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12700-12701.

(12) Lennox, A. J. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7362-7370.

(13) This intermediate is proposed for the TM-catalyzed cyclopropanations using diazomethane: (a) Straub, B. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 14195–14201. (b) Berthon-Gelloz, G.; Marchant, M.; Straub, B. F.; Marko, I. E. Chem.—Eur. J. **2009**, 15, 2923–2931.

(14) Hills, I. D.; Netherton, M. R.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5749-5752.

(15) For selected mechanistic studies of migratory insertion of olefins, see: (a) Rix, F. C.; Brookhart, M.; White, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 2436–2448. (b) Groen, J. H.; Delis, J. G. P; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Vrieze, K. Organometallics **1997**, 16, 68–77. (c) Kang, M.; Sen, A.; Zakharov, L.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 12080–12081. (d) Fristrup, P.; Le Quement, S.; Tanner, D.; Norrby, P.-O. Organometallics **2004**, 23, 6160–6165.

(16) (a) Rodríguez-García, C.; González-Blanco, Ò.; Oliva, A.; Ortuño, R. M.; Branchadell, V. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2000, 1073–1078.
(b) Rodríguez-García, C.; Oliva, A.; Ortuño, R. M.; Branchadell, V. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001, 123, 6157–6163. (c) Bernardi, F.; Bottoni, A.; Miscione, G. P. *Organometallics* 2001, 20, 2751–2758.

(17) (a) Butters, M.; Harvey, J. N.; Jover, J.; Lennox, A. J. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Murray, P. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5156–5160. (b) Lennox, A. J. J.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7431–7441. (c) Amatore, C.; Jutand, A.; Le Duc, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 1379–1382.

(18) Fantasia, S.; Nolan, S. P. *Chem.—Eur. J.* 2008, 14, 6987–6993.
(19) Herrmann, W. A.; Brossmer, C.; Öfele, K.; Reisinger, C.-P.; Priermeier, T.; Beller, M.; Fischer, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1995, 34, 1844–1848.

(20) Viciu, M. S.; Kissling, R. M.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 2229-2231.

(21) (a) Le Bras, J.; Muzart, J. *Tetrahedron* **2012**, *68*, 10065–10113. (b) Lu, X. *Top. Catal* **2005**, *35*, 73–86.

(22) It is likely that, presumably due to the intramolecular character of this step, no activation of the  $BF_3$  moiety would be required for this transmetalation.

(23) Hoffmann, H. M. R.; Otte, A. R.; Wilde, A.; Menzer, S.; Williams, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 100-102.

(24) Herrmann, W. A.; Öfele, K.; v. Preysing, D.; Schneider, S. K. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 687, 229–248.

(25) O'Brien, C. J.; Kantchev, E. A. B.; Valente, C.; Hadei, N.; Chass, G. A.; Lough, A.; Hopkinson, A. C.; Organ, M. G. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2006**, *12*, 4743–4748.

(26) (a) Mikhailov, B. M.; Bubnov, Y. N.; Tsyban, A. V.; Bashe, K. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Khim.* **1978**, 1586–1594. (b) Bubnov, Y. N. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1987**, *59*, 895–906.