Neutral Binuclear and Cationic Mononuclear η^6 -Benzeneruthenium(II) Complexes Containing Neutral Bidentate Ligands

FELICE FARAONE, GIUSEPPE ANTONIO LOPRETE and GIUSEPPE TRESOLDI

Istituto di Chimica Generale dell'Università, 98100 Messina, Italy

Received February 2, 1979

Studies by Maitlis et al. [1] have shown that the substrates $[(\eta^5 - C_5 Me_5)MCl_2]_2$ (M = Rh, Ir) are suitable for the preparation of unusual pentamethylcyclopentadienyl-rhodium(III) and -iridium(III) complexes which act as very efficient catalysts. These results have stimulated further studies [2, 3] on the chemistry of $[(\eta^6 \text{ arene}) \text{RuCl}_2]_2$ complexes which are isoelectronic with $[(\eta^5 C_5 Me_5)MCl_2]_2$. The reactions of $[(\eta^6 \text{-arene}) \text{RuCl}_2]_2$ (arene = benzene, mesitylene, hexamethylbenzene) with various monodentate nucleophiles to give $(\eta^6$ -arene)RuLCl₂ (L = tertiary phosphine, pyridine, tertiary arsine, isocyanide) complexes have been previously described [4-7]. A report on the reaction of $[(\eta^6\text{-benzene})$ - $RuCl_2]_2$ with the potentially bidentate nucleophiles $Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2$ and $Ph_2P(CH_2)_4PPh_2$ to give (η^6) . C_6H_6 RuCl₂(Ph₂PCH₂PPh₂) and { μ -Ph₂P(CH₂)₄- PPh_2 [(η^6 -C₆H₆)RuCl₂]₂, with mono- and bidentatebridging-coordination respectively of the phosphine ligand, prompted us to investigate more thoroughly such a type of reaction.

By refluxing a benzene solution of $[(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6) RuCl_2]_2$ with the bidentate ligand L-L (L-L = $Ph_2AsCH_2CH_2AsPh_2$, $Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2$; n = 2, 3, 4), in molar ratio 1:1, a red solid was formed. The solubility of the product depends on the ligand coordinated. Thus, while compounds with Ph₂P(CH₂)₄-PPh₂ or Ph₂As(CH₂)₂AsPh₂ are almost insoluble in the common organic solvents, the complexes with $Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2$ (n = 2 or 3) are slightly soluble in chlorinated solvents; this allowed us to determine the molecular weight of the latter complexes. On the basis of analytical data and, where possible, molecular weight and conductivity measurements, the compounds could be formulated as neutral binuclear η^6 benzene complexes with bridging bidentate ligand of the type $(\mu-L-L)[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)RuCl_2]_2$. The ¹H n.m.r. spectrum, in CDCl₃, of { μ -Ph₂P(CH₂)_nPPh₂}[(η^6 . C_6H_6 RuCl₂]₂ (n = 2, 3) complexes shows, besides broad multiplets in the 7.3-7.5 τ due to methylenic protons of the phosphine, a doublet at 4.63 (n = 2) or 4.78 τ (n = 3) (J_{H-P} = ~0.6 Hz) due to benzene π bonded to ruthenium atom; this pattern indicates magnetic equivalence of the two phosphorus atoms to which the benzene protons are coupled.

 $(\mu$ -L-L)[$(\eta^6$ -C₆H₆)RuCl₂]₂ were not converted into complexes with terminal hydride or μ -hydrido complexes by ethanol-base or H₂-base. In addition, attempts to obtain binuclear η^6 -benzeneruthenium-(0) complexes containing bridged diphosphine and one neutral monodentate ligand L per ruthenium atom by reaction of $(\mu$ -L-L)[$(\eta^6$ -C₆H₆)RuCl₂]₂ complexes with L (L = PPh₃, pyridine) in the presence of ethanol and Na₂CO₃ or zinc dust failed. By this synthetic route arene-olefin-ruthenium(0) complexes have been obtained from [$(\eta^6$ -arene)-RuCl₂]₂ [8]; recently [9] (η^6 -C₆H₆)RuLL' (L and L' are tertiary phosphines or phosphites) complexes have been also obtained by reduction of (η^6 -C₆H₆)-RuLCl₂.

The $[(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6)RuCl_2]_2$ reacted with the bidentate ligands $Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2$ (n = 2, 3, 4) and $Ph_2As_{-}(CH_2)_2AsPh_2$, in a molar ratio 1:2, in refluxed ethanol, to give a yellow solution from which the cationic complexes $[(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6)Ru\{Ph_2E(CH_2)_n - EPh_2\}Cl]Cl$ (E = P, As, n = 2; E = P, n = 3, 4) could be isolated. The new complexes were characterized by elemental analyses, conductivity measurements and spectroscopic i.r. and ¹H n.m.r. data. The ionic nature of the complexes was confirmed by precipitation of the corresponding tetraphenylborate salts by adding to a solution of $[(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6)Ru\{Ph_2E(CH_2)_n - EPh_2\}Cl]Cl$ in methanol a solution of Na[BPh_4] in the same solvent.

The complexes $[(\eta^6 - C_6H_6)Ru\{Ph_2E(CH_2)_nEPh_2\}$ -Cl]Cl (E = As, P, n = 2; E = P, n = 3, 4) could be obtained also by reacting $\{\mu - Ph_2E(CH_2)_nEPh_2\}$ - $[(\eta^6 - C_6H_6)RuCl_2]_2$ with the appropriate bidentate ligand in refluxed ethanol. Using an excess of ligand, the reactions resulted in loss of the benzene ring from $[(\eta^6 - C_6H_6)RuCl_2]_2$ or $\{\mu - Ph_2E(CH_2)_2EPh_2\}$ - $[(\eta^6 - C_6H_6)RuCl_2]_2$.

In the ¹H n.m.r. spectrum, in CDCl₃, the benzene proton resonance of $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Ru\{Ph_2E(CH_2)_n-EPh_2\}Cl]Cl$ complexes was found at lower field than the corresponding neutral bridged compounds as a triplet (J_{H-P} = ~0.6 Hz); in some cases the limited solubility of the compound and the J_{H-P} value beyond the resolution of the spectrophotometer used, prevented the observation of the benzene proton resonance as a triplet.

Of the prepared cationic complexes, particularly interesting is $[(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6)Ru\{Ph_2P(CH_2)_4PPh_2\}Cl]Cl]$ in which a seven-membered chelate ring is present. Examples of complexes containing a bidentate ligand coordinated to a metal atom in such a way as to form a seven-membered chelate ring are uncommon and in many cases their formation has been established only by spectroscopic evidence [10]; recently a platinum-

Compound	Colour	Analysis, % ⁸			Conductivity ^b	I.R.	¹ H NMR
		c	Н	a		⊭(Ru−Cl)	τ(C ₆ H ₆) ^v
${\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_2PPh_2}[(\eta^6.C_6H_6)RuCl_2]_2^d$	red	50.76 (50.79)	4.09 (4.04)	15.86 (15.78)		295 s 272 m	4.63 d
$\left\{\mu$ -Ph ₂ P(CH ₂) ₃ PPh ₂ \right\}[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)RuCl ₂] ₂ ⁶	red	51.37 (51.33)	4.22 (4.19)	15.48 (15.54)		290 s 275 m	4.78 ^d
$\left\{\mu-Ph_2P(CH_2)_4PPh_2\right\}\left[\left(\eta^6C_6H_6\right)RuCl_2\right]_2$	red-brown	51.92 (51.84)	4.38 (4.35)	15.26 (15.30)		292 s 275 m	ų
{μ-Ph ₂ As(CH ₂) ₂ AsPh ₂ }[(η ⁶ -C ₆ H ₆)RuCl ₂] ₂	red-brown	46.29 (46.26)	3.69 (3.67)	14.32 (14.37)		295 s 277 m	ų
[(n ⁶ .C ₆ H ₆)Ru{Ph ₂ P(CH ₂) ₂ PPh ₂ }Cl]Cl	yellow	59.30 (59.26)	4.68 (4.66)	10.87 (10.93)	93	292 m	4 .03 t
[(7 ⁶ -C ₆ H ₆ Ru{Ph ₂ P(CH ₂) ₃ PPh ₂ }CI]CI	yellow	59.80 (59.82)	4.91 (4.86)	10.64 (10.70)	98	292 m	4. 09 t
[(7 ⁶ -C ₆ H ₆)Ru{Ph ₂ P(CH ₂)4PPh ₂ }Cl]Cl	yellow	59.96 (60.35)	5.12 (5.06)	10.58 (10.48)	92	290 m	4.29 t
[(n ⁶ -C ₆ H ₆)Ru{Ph ₂ As(CH ₂) ₂ AsPh ₂ }CI]CI	yellow	52.04 (52.22)	3.95 (3.90)	9.88 (9.63)	103	295 m	4.03 s

osmometrically in CHCl₃ solution 900 (898). ^e Molecular weight, osmometrically in CHCl₃ solution 920 (912). ¹ Insoluble to obtain a satisfactory n.m.r. spectrum.

(II) complex containing a seven membered chelate ring with the coordinated 1,4-diaminobutane was characterized also by single-crystal structure analysis [11, 12].

Even using mild conditions, we had no evidence for formation of neutral mononuclear complexes with monocoordination of the bidentate ligand, as obtained by Zelonka and Baird for the $Ph_2PCH_2PPh_2$ phosphine [4]. This result seems surprising also because 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane is known to have suitable geometry for bridging two metal atoms [13, 14].

Experimental

The complex $[(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6) RuCl_2]_2$ and the phosphines 1,3-bis(diphenylphosphino)propane and 1,4bis(diphenylphosphino)butane were prepared according to literature methods [4, 10, 15]. Other chemicals were reagent grade and were used without purification. I.r. and ¹H n.m.r. spectra are recorded on Perkin-Elmer mod. 457 and Perkin-Elmer R 24B spectrophotometers respectively. A conductivity meter WTW LBR was used for conductivity measurements. Molecular weights were determined with a Knauer vapour pressure osmometer. All reactions were carried out under oxygen-free nitrogen. Elemental analyses were by Bernhardt Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium, Germany.

Some characteristic data for the prepared complexes are listed in Table I.

{ μ -1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane}bis(η^6 -benzene)tetrachlorodiruthenium(II), { μ -Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh₂}-[(η^6 -C₆H₆)RuCl₂]₂

To a suspension of $[(\eta^6 - C_6 H_6) \text{RuCl}_2]_2$ (0.580 g, 1.16 mmol) in benzene (60 ml), a solution of 1,2-bis-(diphenylphosphino)ethane (0.462 g, 1.16 mmol) in the same solvent was added and the mixture was refluxed for about 2 h. A red solid was formed. This was collected on a buckner, washed with benzene and crystallized from dichloromethane-hexane (yield 86%).

Working-up as above and using the appropriate ligand, the complexes $\{\mu$ -Ph₂P(CH₂)_nPPh₂ $\}[(\eta^{6}-C_{6}H_{6})RuCl_{2}]_{2}$ (n = 3, 4) and $\{\mu$ -Ph₂As(CH₂)₂-AsPh₂ $\}[(\eta^{6}-C_{6}H_{6})RuCl_{2}]_{2}$ were obtained.

 $(\eta^{6}$ -Benzene)chloro {1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane}ruthenium(II) Chloride, $[(\eta^{6}-C_{6}H_{6})Ru(Ph_{2}-PCH_{2}CH_{2}PPh_{2})Cl]Cl$

Method A

To a suspension of $[(\eta^6 \cdot C_6 H_6) RuCl_2]_2$ (0.52 g, 1.04 mmol) in ethanol (70 ml), 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (0.836 g, 2.1 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed until a yellow solution was obtained (about 50 min). The solution was filtered and the solvent evaporated to reduce the volume to 2 ml; by adding diethylether a yellow solid was obtained. This was collected on a buckner, washed with diethylether and dried.

By this procedure, using the appropriate ligand, the complexes $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Ru\{Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2\}Cl]$ -Cl (n = 3, 4) and $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Ru(Ph_2AsCH_2CH_2-AsPh_2)Cl]Cl$ were also obtained.

Method B

To a suspension of $\{\mu$ -Ph₂P(CH₂)₂PPh₂ $\}$ [(η^6 -C₆H₆)RuCl₂]₂ (0.16 g, 0.144 mmol) in ethanol (60 ml), 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane (0.057 g, 0.144 mmol) was added and the mixture was refluxed for about 1 h. Working-up as above, the product [(η^6 -C₆H₆)Ru(Ph₂PCH₂CH₂PPh₂)Cl]Cl was obtained as vellow solid.

The complexes $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Ru\{Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2\}$ -Cl]Cl (n = 3, 4) and $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Ru\{Ph_2As(CH_2)_2-AsPh_2\}Cl]Cl$ were also obtained using a similar procedure.

Acknowledgment

We thank the CNR for financial support.

References

- 1 P. M. Maitlis, Acc. Chem. Res., 11, 301 (1978) and references quoted therein.
- 2 D. R. Robertson and T. A. Stephenson, J. Organometal. Chem., 157, C47 (1978).
- 3 D. R. Robertson, T. A. Stephenson and (in part) T. Arthur, J. Organometal. Chem., 162, 121 (1978) and references quoted therein.
- 4 R. A. Zelonka and M. C. Baird, Canad. J. Chem., 50, 3063 (1972).
- 5 R. A. Zelonka and M. C. Baird, J. Organometal. Chem., 44, 383 (1972).
- 6 M. A. Bennett and A. K. Smith, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton, 233 (1974).
- 7 F. Faraone and V. Marsala, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 27, L109 (1978).
- 8 M. A. Bennett and T. W. Matheson, J. Organometal. Chem., 153, C25 (1978).
- 9 H. Werner and R. Werner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 17, 683 (1978).
- 10 P. Rigo, M. Bressan and A. Turco, *Inorg. Chem.*, 7, 1460 (1968); P. Rigo, B. Corain and A. Turco, *Inorg. Chem.*, 7, 1623 (1968); M. Bressan and P. Rigo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 2286 (1975).
- 11 R. Romeo, D. Minniti, S. Lanza and M. L. Tobe, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 22, 87 (1977).
- 12 N. Bresciani Pahor, M. Calligaris, L. Randaccio and R. Romeo, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 26, L13 (1978).
- 13 A. L. Balch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 8049 (1976) and references quoted therein.
- 14 M. P. Brown, J. R. Fisher, S. J. Franklin, R. J. Puddephatt and K. R. Seddon, J. Organometal. Chem., 161, C46 (1978) and references quoted therein.
- 15 G. R. Van Hecke and W. D. Horrocks Jr., Inorg. Chem., 5, 1960 (1966).