

S0040-4039(96)00204-3

Diphenylphosphinoyl Lactones in the Control of Remote Stereochemistry

Helen J. Mitchell and Stuart Warren*

University Chemical Laboratory, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW, England

Abstract: E-alkenes with three stereogenic centres related 1,4- and 1,5- across the double bond can be prepared with good stereoselectivity from 3-diphenylphosphinoyl-4-alkenyl butyrolactones by alkylation, epoxidation, epoxide opening by a nucleophile, reduction and Horner-Wittig elimination.

Our programme of using phosphine oxides to control remote stereochemistry aims at the totally selective synthesis of all possible stereoisomers of compounds such as 1 with stereogenic centres flanking C=C double bonds of fixed configuration.¹ Our method is to use the Horner-Wittig reaction to deliver the double bond by the *syn* elimination of $Ph_2PO_2^-$ from two stereogenic centres in the middle of a row of at least four, as in 2, thus leaving the outside centres at least 1,4-related. Chemistry to control the two middle centres is well established and we report on a new approach to the control of the outside centres by chemoselective alkylation of lactone enolates in the presence of a diphenylphosphinoyl group.



We chose to work with lactones such as 3 because we believed we could control new stereogenic centres in both directions as represented in scheme 1. Functionalisation of a prochiral alkene should develop centres on the left hand side - we have already used reactions such as the oxidation of an alkene^{1,2} to control centres corresponding to CHB in 2. We believed we could control centres to the right hand side by the alkylation of lactone enolates because Bodalski³ had already prepared phosphonate-lactones 4 and alkylated their enolates stereoselectively.



Scheme 1: Development of Sterogenic Centres from a 3-Ph2PO-butyrolactone

We found that the best method to prepare lactones 3 in high yield was the alkylation of ketones^{1,4} 5 with ethyl bromacetate (NaH, THF, 0 °C), hydrolysis of the esters 6 with an excess of LiOH and reduction of the acids 6 with NaBH₄ in EtOH (table 1). Yields were better when the ester 6 was not isolated. This

sequence is highly stereoselective, the *syn* isomer of lactones 3 being formed in almost quantitative yield. This is a result of the Felkin approach 8 often found with α -Ph₂PO-ketones.^{1,4} Treatment of the esters 6 with NaBH₄ resulted in over-reduction and the formation of diols 9 which were actually useful in assigning the *syn* stereochemistry.⁴



Lactones 3 with a prochiral alkene unit in the side chain R were best made by the route shown in scheme 2. Alone among the oxidising agents tried, the Dess-Martin periodinane gave good yields of the enone 12. Alkylation with ethyl bromoacetate gave better yields (82%) with lithium hexamethyldisilazide (LiHMDS) and five equivalents of DMPU than with NaH (62%). It was essential to isolate the ketoester 13 and remove DMPU before the hydrolysis and reduction, so the one-step procedure could not be used. Reduction was very stereoselective for syn-15 (97:3) but not very regioselective (60:40 ratio of 1,2- to 1,4-reduction; 6% of a mixture of diastereoisomers of 16 could also be isolated). To our surprise⁵ and disappointment, the Luche reduction gave even more 1,4-reduction (55:45).



Scheme 2: Synthesis of Alkenyl-lactone E-syn-15

The lithium enolates of the lactones 3 and 15 were formed with lithium hexamethyldisilazide without removal of the proton next to the Ph_2PO group. Indeed treatment of Ph_2PO .Me with LiHMDS gave a

crystalline dimeric complex⁶ without deprotonation of the methyl group. Efficient alkylation required ten equivalents of the alkyl halide and ten equivalents of DMPU. Good yields were then obtained with high antiselectivity (table 2). It is hardly surprising that planar lactone enolates react on the opposite side to the two large groups already present.



Table 2; Alkylation of Lactones 3 and 15							
Lactone	Alkyl Halide R ¹ X	Producta	Yield (%)	Stereochemistry			
syn-3a	EtI	syn,anti-17b; R ¹ =Et	91	>95:5			
syn-3a	PhCH ₂ Br	syn,anti-17c; R ¹ =CH ₂ Ph	88	>95:5			
syn-3b	MeI	syn,anti-18a; R ¹ =Me	82	>98:2			
syn-3b	EtI	syn,anti-18b; R ¹ =Et	92	>95:5			
E,syn-15	MeI	syn,anti-19a; R ¹ =Me	98	>97:3			
E,syn-15	EtI	syn,anti-19b; R ¹ =Et	98	>97:3			
E,syn-15	PhCH ₂ Br	syn,anti-19c; R1=CH2Ph	100	>97:3			

'ahla	3.	A16	Intion	of	Lactones 3	and	15
able	4.	AIK	viation	OI.	Laciones J	and	12

aProducts are labelled a if R=Me, b if R=Et, or c if R=CH₂Ph.

The success of the stereoselective alkylation leads on to the extension of the family of stereogenic centres to the left (diagram on page 1) by development of the prochiral alkene unit. Epoxidation proved to be highly syn selective giving syn, syn, syn, anti-20 in good yield (table 3) presumably via the Houk conformation 22. Attack then occurs from the diastereotopic face opposite the Ph₂PO group.⁷



Other methods were not so stereoselective. Our Sharpless-style racemic dihydroxylation procedure gave moderate stereoselectivity in favour of hydroxylation syn to the Ph₂PO group and we were able to isolate² reasonable yields of both diastereoisomers of diols 23. Reduction of lactones 19 (NaBH₄ in EtOH) gave near quantitative yields of the promising diols 24; R'=H but neither they, nor protected versions of them, gave useful stereoselective dihydroxylations or epoxidations.

The epoxides 20 were opened stereospecifically and in good yield by PhSLi buffered with PhSH to give adducts 21 which were reduced with excess LiBH₄ in THF to give the open chain triols 25. Horner-Wittig elimination with KOH in DMSO gave the alkenes 26 in reasonable yield. The elimination was totally *syn*-specific and only the *E*-alkenes were produced (${}^{3}J_{CH=CH}$ 15.6 Hz). All other stereochemical assignments were made by ¹H and ¹³C NMR correlations with an X-ray crystal structure of the epoxide *syn*,*syn*,*anti*-20 determined by Inés Alonso and Isabel López-Solera of this department.

Tuble 5, Epoxidation and Treparation of Arkenes with Remote Dictocencimear Control								
Lactone	Stereoselectivity of epoxidation	Isolated yield of syn-Epoxide 20	PhS adduct 21 yield	Triol 25 yield	Alkene 26 yield			
19a	92:8	90%	85%	86%	53%			
19b	84:16	63%	89%	79%	77%			

Table 3; Epoxidation and Preparation of Alkenes with Remote Stereochemical Control

The role of the lactone in this sequence is worthy of comment. Originally envisaged simply as a means of introducing a stereogenic centre by alkylation, it also directed the epoxidation better than any open chain groups and protected the products from Payne rearrangements by blocking the neighbouring hydroxyl group. Finally, it was remarkably easily reduced with sodium or lithium borohydride to reveal that hydroxyl group which is necessary for the Horner-Wittig elimination. The nearest analogy to this work is Hoppe's synthesis⁸ of protected triols by Peterson elimination from 3-PhMe₂Si-butyrolactones.

Acknowledgements We thank EPSRC and Rhône-Poulenc-Rorer for grants (to H. J. M.).

References and Notes

- 1. Clayden, J.; Collington, E. W.; Lamont, R. B.; Warren, S. Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 2203-2206; Clayden, J.; Warren, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., in the press.
- 2. Eames, J.; Mitchell, H. J.; Nelson, A.; O'Brien, P.; Warren, S; Wyatt, P. Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 1719-1722, Nelson, A.; O'Brien, P.; Warren, S. Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 2685-2688.
- Janecki, T.; Bodalski, R. Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 6231-6234; Janecki, T.; Bodalski, R.; Wieczorek, M.; Bujacz, G. Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 1721-1740.
- 4. Buss, A. D.; Cruse, W. B.; Kennard, O.; Warren, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1984, 243-247; Torr, R. S.; Warren, S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1983, 1173-1179.
- Luche, J.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1978, 100, 2226-2227; Gemal, A. L.; Luche, J.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 5454-5459.
- 6. Snaith, R.; Davidson, M. G.; Davies, R. P.; Mitchell, H. J.; Oakley, R. M.; Raithby, P. R.; Warren, S.; Armstrong, D. R. submitted to Angew. Chem., Int. Edn. Engl.
- 7. In this diagram the dashed line simply shows that the two hydrogen atoms are in the plane of the alkene and does *not* imply a hydrogen bond.
- Rehders, F.; Hoppe, D. Synthesis, 1992, 859-870; see also Murphy, P. J.; Procter, G. Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 1059-1062; Daly, M. J.; Procter, G. Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 7549-7550.

(Received in UK 10 January 1996; revised 30 January 1996; accepted 2 February 1996)