Coordination Modes of Boron

Proton-Induced Change of the Coordination Mode of a Boron Group: Boryl Complexes $[Mn(CO)_4(PR_3)(BH_2 \cdot PMe_3)]$ and Cationic Borane σ Complexes $[Mn(CO)_4(PR_3)(\eta^{1-}BH_3 \cdot PMe_3)]^{+**}$

Takahiro Yasue, Yasuro Kawano,* and Mamoru Shimoi*

Protonation of hydrido complexes is one of the important synthetic methods in the chemistry of these interesting systems.^[1-5] However, this methodology has been applied

DOI: 10.1002/anie.200219992

 ^[*] Dr. Y. Kawano, Prof. M. Shimoi, Dr. T. Yasue Department of Basic Science Graduate School of Arts and Sciences University of Tokyo, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8902 (Japan) Fax: (+81) 3-5454-6567
E-mail: ykawano@jcom.home.ne.jp cshimoi@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

^[**] (PR₃ = PMe₂Ph, PEt₃).

Communications

sparsely in the preparation of other types of mononuclear σ complexes.^[6] We have explored the coordination chemistry of BH₃·PMe₃ and reported base-stabilized boryl complexes (e.g., [Cp*M(CO)₃(BH₂·PMe₃)] (M = Mo, W^[7]) and [Cp*M(CO)₂(BH₂·PMe₃)] (M = Fe, Ru^[8])) and borane σ complexes (e.g., [M(CO)₅(η^1 -BH₃·PMe₃)] (M = Cr, Mo, W^[9]) and [CpMn(CO)₂(η^1 -BH₃·PMe₃)]^[10]). Herein, we report new manganese–boryl complexes, [Mn(CO)₄(PR₃)(BH₂·PMe₃)] (1) and their protonation to produce cationic borane σ complexes, [Mn(CO)₄(PR₃)(BH₂·PMe₃)] (1) and the metal-coordinated B–H bond of **2** is also described here.

Photolysis of [MnMe(CO)₄(PR₃)] with BH₃·PMe₃ resulted in the evolution of methane and gave an orange solution, from which boryl complexes $[Mn(CO)_4(PR_3)(BH_2 \cdot PMe_3)]$ (1a: $PR_3 = PMe_2Ph$; **1b**: $PR_3 = PEt_3$) were isolated as pale yellow crystals in moderate yields (Scheme 1). The ¹¹B NMR spectra of complexes **1a** and **1b** display a boryl signal at lower field ($\delta = -29.4$ and -29.6 ppm, respectively) than that of BH₃·PMe₃ ($\delta = -37.0$ ppm). The IR spectra of **1** show carbonyl bands shifted to lower energy in comparison to those of the precursor [MnMe(CO)₄(PR₃)]. These observations indicate polarization of the Mn-B bond in a Mn(-)-B(+) fashion and a resultant increase of electron density on the metal center. Similar polarization of the M-B bond has been found in phosphane-coordinated primary boryl complexes of Group 6 and 8 metals.^[7,8] The solid-state structure of **1a** (Figure 1)^[11] shows that this molecule adopts a highly distorted octahedral geometry. The phosphane ligand is located cis to the boryl group. The manganese-boron bond length (2.314(2) Å) is substantially longer than that in the catecholboryl complex $[Mn(CO)_{5}[B(1,2-O_{2}C_{6}H_{4})]]$ $(2.108(6) \text{ Å})^{[12]}$ because of the absence of a vacant p orbital on the boron center that can be utilized for π interaction with the metal center. Two of the cis-carbonyl groups significantly tilt toward the boryl group. The C(2)-Mn-C(3) bond angle is 154.62(6)°. Owing to the pronounced Mn(-)-B(+) polarization mentioned above, the nature of compounds 1 closely resembles a contact ion pair composed of $[Mn(CO)_4(PR_3)]^{-1}$ and [BH₂·PMe₃]⁺; the anion-like manganese moiety is isoelectronic with Fe(CO)₅. Consequently, the geometry of the [Mn(CO)₄(PR₃)] moiety approaches a trigonal bipyramid,



Scheme 1. Syntheses of complexes 1 and 2.

1728 © 2003 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

O(1) C(14 C(1) C(13) O(4) P(2) C(3) C(4) C(15 0(3 B C(2) H(B2) O(2) ð H(B1) P(1) C(12) C(5) C(11) C(7 C(10) C(6) C(8) C(9)

Figure 1. Structure of *1*a (ORTEP diagram; thermal ellipsoids at the 30% probability level). Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Mn-B 2.314(2), Mn-P(1) 2.302(1), B-P(2) 1.901(2), B-H(B1) 1.08(2), B-H(B2) 1.12(2), Mn-C(1) 1.792(2), Mn-C(2) 1.811(1), Mn-C(3) 1.814(1), Mn-C(4) 1.801(1); C(2)-Mn-C(3) 154.62(6), C(4)-Mn-B 171.76(6), Mn-B-P(2) 114.99(9).

and the Mn–B bond becomes longer. Note that more π -acidic ligands prefer to be located at equatorial positions in trigonalbipyramidal complexes. In the [Mn(CO)₄(PR₃)] fragment, π acidic carbonyl ligands occupy the equatorial positions, and a less π -acidic phosphane ligand is situated at an apical position, which is *cis* to the boryl group in **1**.

The boryl complexes were protonated by treating **1** in $[D_2]$ dichloromethane with the Brønsted acid $[H(OEt_2)_2]$ (TFPB) (TFPB = $[B\{3,5-C_6H_3(CF_3)_2\}_4]$), which has a weakly coordinating anion.^[13] The resulting pale yellow solutions showed a broad BH resonance signal around $\delta = -4.5$ ppm in the ¹H NMR spectra. The ¹¹B NMR spectrum of the product displayed a doublet of quartets at higher field ($\delta = -40.3$ to -40.4 ppm) than that of free

BH₃·PMe₃. The appearance of these signals clearly shows the formation of borane σ complexes $[Mn(CO)_4(PR_3)(\eta^1-BH_3 \cdot PMe_3)](TFPB)$ (2, see Scheme 1). The value of the chemical shift of the ¹¹B NMR signal falls in the range of those for σ complexes of BH₃·PMe₃.^[9,10] The ¹H NMR signal around $\delta =$ -4.5 ppm is assigned to the BH resonance; the ¹H NMR signals of the metal-coordinated and terminal BH protons are averaged through fast site-exchange. This process was not frozen out even at -80°C. Similar fluxional behavior has been found in other complexes of phosphaneboranes.^[9,10,14,15] Complexes 2 were also generated by methyl abstraction from [MnMe- $(CO)_4(PR_3)$] using $[H(OEt_2)_2](TFPB)$, followed by addition of BH₃·PMe₃. These complexes have a lifetime of a few days, and can be observed by spectroscopy; however, they could not be isolated in pure forms.

www.angewandte.org

Figure 2 shows the DFT-optimized structure of the model compound $[Mn(CO)_4(PH_3)(\eta^{1}-BH_3\cdot PMe_3)]^+$ (2c).^[16,17] It resembles the structure of $[M(CO)_5(\eta^{1}-BH_3\cdot PMe_3)]$ (M = Cr, W) except for the metal-coordinated phosphane ligand. The Mn···B interatomic distance (2.780 Å) is far longer than that of 1a, and the Mn-H-B bond angle is 133.44°. Thus, the mode of coordination for the borane ligand is essentially end-on. The B–H(1) bond length is 1.270 Å, and the B–H σ bond is elongated by about 6% on coordination.



Figure 2. DFT-optimized structure of *2c*. Selected interatomic distances [Å] and angles [°]: Mn···B 2.780, Mn-H(1) 1.753, B-H(1) 1.270, B-H(2) 1.200, B-H(3) 1.200, B-P(2) 1.950, Mn-P(1) 2.380, Mn-C(1) 1.840, Mn-C(2) 1.880, Mn-C(3) 1.880, Mn-C(4) 1.840; Mn-H(1)-B 133.44, P(1)-Mn-C(1) 176.80.

Borane σ complexes 2 are formally the conjugate acids of 1. However, deprotonation from 2 did not occur even when they were treated with bases such as NaH and diazabicycloundecene. On the other hand, a solution of 2 decomposed in a few days at room temperature to give a mixture containing $[MnH(CO)_4(PR_3)]$ and $[BH_2 \cdot 2PMe_3]^+$, although the decomposition process was not very clean. This suggests the coordinated B–H σ bond of complexes $\boldsymbol{2}$ cleaves heterolytically into H⁻ and "[BH₂·PMe₃]+".^[18] Recently, Kubas and co-workers reported similar heterolytic cleavage of H₂ and silanes on the cationic manganese or rhenium fragments $[M(CO)_{5-n}(PR_3)_n]^+$ (M = Mn, Re, n = 1, 2).^[19] The electrondeficient metal centers undergo strong σ donation from the H–H, Si–H, as well as B–H σ orbitals, but backdonation into the corresponding σ^* orbitals hardly occurs. Therefore, the electron density of these σ ligands is significantly reduced and the metal-coordinated σ bond is activated heterolytically. The natural bond orbital (NBO) analysis based on the aforementioned DFT calculations on 2c shows that the bridging hydrogen atom of the borane ligand becomes more hydridic on coordination to the cationic manganese center.^[20] Its natural charge is -0.034, whereas that of the B-H hydrogen atom of free BH₃·PMe₃ is +0.002. At the same time, that of the "BH₂·PMe₃" group increases from -0.002 to +0.311 (Scheme 2). The electron density of the BH₃·PMe₃ ligand is thus withdrawn toward the bridging hydrogen by the highly electrophilic [Mn(CO)₄(PR₃)]⁺ ion in the cationic borane complexes.



Scheme 2. NBO charge distribution in free $\mathsf{BH}_3{\cdot}\mathsf{PMe}_3$ (left) and $\mathbf{2c}$ (right).

Experimental Section

1a: A mixture of $[MnMe(CO)_4(PMe_2Ph)]$ (247 mg, 0.75 mmol) and BH₃·PMe₃ (203 mg, 2.28 mmol) in hexane (10 mL) was photolyzed at 3 °C for 90 min using a 450 W medium-pressure Hg arc lamp. The resulting solution was evaporated and evacuated for 1 h to remove excess BH₃·PMe₃. Recrystallization of the solid residue from hexane at -80 °C provided pale yellow crystals of **1a** (90 mg, 31%). Compound **1b** was obtained in an analogous manner in 15% yield.

Data for **1a**: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $[D_6]$ benzene, 23 °C, TMS): $\delta =$ 0.88 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = 10.0$ Hz, 9H; PMe₃), 1.49 (d, ${}^{2}J(P,H) = 8.5$ Hz, 6H; PMe₂Ph), 7.02, 7.09, 7.36 ppm (m, 5H; PMe₂Ph), the BH proton signals were too broad to be observed; ¹¹B NMR (160.4 MHz, $[D_6]$ benzene, 23 °C, BF₃·OEt₂): $\delta = -29.4$ ppm (dt, ${}^1J(B,H) =$ 105.3 Hz, ${}^{1}J(B,P) = 73.5$ Hz); ${}^{31}P$ NMR (202.4 MHz, [D₆]benzene, 23°C, 85% H₃PO₄): $\delta = 27.3$ (br; PMe₂Ph), 0.9 ppm (br; PMe₃); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, [D₆]benzene, 23 °C, TMS): $\delta = 13.0$ (d, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 36.7 \text{ Hz}; PMe_{3}), 16.6 \text{ (d, } {}^{1}J(C,P) = 29.3 \text{ Hz}; PMe_{2}Ph),$ 129.0, 129.4 (d, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 7.3 \text{ Hz}$), 140.7 (d, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 38.1 \text{ Hz}$) (Ph), 219.9, 226.8, 227.9 ppm (CO); IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 1893.8$ (vs), 1906.3 (vs), 1925.6 (vs), 2008.5 (s) (C=O), 2357 (w) (BH) cm⁻¹; MS (EI, 70 eV): *m*/*z* (%): 394 (12) [*M*⁺], 366 (89) [*M*⁺-CO], 338 (24) [*M*⁺-2CO], 320 (60) $[M^+-PMe_3]$, 310 (30) $[M^+-3CO]$, 282 (100) $[M^+-4CO]$; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₁₅H₂₂BMnO₄P₂: C 45.72, H 5.63; found: C 45.64; H, 5.57.

Data for **1b**: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $[D_6]$ benzene, 23 °C, TMS): $\delta =$ 0.91 (dt, ${}^{3}J(H,H) = 7.5$ Hz, ${}^{3}J(P,H) = 15.5$ Hz, 9H; P(CH₂CH₃)₃), 0.92 $(d, {}^{2}J(P,H) = 10.5 \text{ Hz}, 9 \text{ H}; PMe_{3}), 1.59 \text{ ppm} (dq, {}^{3}J(H,H) \approx {}^{2}J(P,H) =$ 7.5 Hz, 6 H; $P(CH_2CH_3)_3$), the BH proton signals were too broad to be observed; ¹¹B NMR (160.4 MHz, [D₆]benzene, 23 °C, BF₃·OEt₂): $\delta =$ -29.6 ppm (dt, ${}^{1}J(B,H) = 106.3 \text{ Hz}$, ${}^{1}J(B,P) = 71.2 \text{ Hz}$); ${}^{31}P \text{ NMR}$ (202.4 MHz, $[D_6]$ benzene, 23 °C, 85 % H_3PO_4): $\delta = 43.0$ (br; PEt₃), 0.7 ppm (br; PMe₃); ¹³C NMR (125.7 MHz, [D₆]benzene, 23 °C, TMS): $\delta = 7.5$ (P(CH₂CH₃)₃), 12.9 (d, ¹J(C,P) = 37.1 Hz; PMe₃), 19.0 $(d, {}^{1}J(C,P) = 24.6 \text{ Hz}; P(CH_2CH_3)_3), 129.0, 129.4 (d, {}^{1}J(C,P) = 7.3 \text{ Hz}),$ 140.7 (d, ${}^{1}J(C,P) = 38.1 \text{ Hz}$) (Ph), 220.5, 227.8, 228.0 ppm (br; CO); IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 1889$ (vs), 1910 (vs), 2004 (vs) (C=O), 2357 (w) (BH) cm⁻¹; MS (EI, 70 eV): m/z (%): 374 (5) [M⁺], 346 (24) [M⁺-CO], 318 (5) $[M^+-2CO]$, 290 (3) $[M^+-3CO]$, 262 (100) $[M^+-4CO]$, 173 (27) $[Mn(PEt_3)^+]$, 144 (60) $[Mn(BH_2 \cdot PMe_3)^+]$; elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₁₃H₂₆BMnO₄P₂: C 41.74, H 7.01; found: C 41.57, H 6.85.

2a: Compound **1a** (41 mg, 0.10 mmol) and $[H(OEt_2)_2](TFPB)$ (362 mg, 0.36 mmol) were combined in dichloromethane (10 mL) under vacuum. After the mixture had been stirred for 3 h, volatiles were evaporated to dryness. The ¹H, ¹¹B, and ³¹P NMR spectra of the resulting yellow residue indicated complete consumption of **1a** and

Communications

displayed new signals assignable to **2a**. Alternatively, **2a** was cleanly generated by addition of BH₃·PMe₃ to a solution of $[Mn(CO)_4(PMe_2.Ph)(OEt_2)]^+$, which was produced by the reaction of $[MnMe(CO)_4(P-Me_2Ph)]$ with $[H(OEt_2)_2](TFPB)$ in diethyl ether. The PEt₃ derivative **2b** was prepared by similar methods.

Data for **2a**: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₂]dichloromethane, 23 °C, TMS): $\delta = -4.48$ (br, 3 H; BH), 1.32 (d, ²*J*(P,H) = 11.5 Hz, 9 H; PMe₃), 1.98 (d, ²*J*(P,H) = 9.0 Hz, 6H; PMe₂Ph), 7.57, 7.72 ppm (s, 1 H, 2 H; [B{C₆H₃(CF₃)₂]₄]); ¹¹B NMR (160.4 MHz, [D₂]dichloromethane, 23 °C, BF₃·OEt₂): $\delta = -40.4$ (dq, ¹*J*(B,H) = 81 Hz, ¹*J*(B,P) = 70 Hz; BH₂·PMe₃), -6.7 ppm (s; TFPB); ³¹P NMR (202.4 MHz, [D₂]dichloromethane, 23 °C, 85 % H₃PO₄): $\delta = -4.0$ (br; PMe₃), 15.0 ppm (br; PMe₂Ph); MS (FAB, sulfolane): *m/z* (%): 367 (10) [*M*⁺-CO], 193 (100) [Mn(PMe₂Ph)⁺], 139 (43) [PHMe₂Ph⁺].

Data for **2b**: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, [D₂]dichloromethane, 23 °C, TMS): $\delta = -4.43$ (br, 3H; BH), 1.10–1.22 (m, 9H; P(CH₂CH₃)₃), 1.35 (d, ²J(P,H) = 11.5 Hz, 9H; PMe₃), 1.72–1.80 (m, 6H; P(CH₂CH₃)₃), 7.57, 7.72 ppm (s, 1H, 2H; [B{C₆H₃(CF₃)₂]₄]); ¹¹B NMR (160.4 MHz, [D₂]dichloromethane, 23 °C, BF₃·OEt₂): $\delta = -40.3$ (br), -6.7 ppm (s; TFPB); ³¹P NMR (202.4 MHz, [D₂]dichloromethane, 23 °C, 85 % H₃PO₄): $\delta = -5.3$ (br; PMe₃), 48.7 ppm (br; PEt₃); MS (FAB, sulfolane): *m/z* (%): 173 (100) [Mn(PEt₃)⁺], 120 (28) [PHEt₃⁺].

Received: August 19, 2002 Revised: December 3, 2002 [Z19992]

Keywords: boranes \cdot boron \cdot coordination modes \cdot manganese \cdot protonation

- [1] R. H. Crabtree, Acc. Chem. Res. 1990, 23, 95.
- [2] R. H. Morris, P. G. Jessop, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1992, 121, 155.
- [3] D. M. Heinkey, W. J. Oldham, Jr., Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 913.
- [4] R. H. Crabtree, Angew. Chem. 1993, 105, 823; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 789.
- [5] G. J. Kubas, *Metal Dihydrogen and σ-Bond Complexes*, Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 2001.
- [6] a) Protonation of a silyl complex [CpRu(PMe₃)₂SiCl₃]: F. R. Lemke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 11183; S. T. N. Freeman, F. R. Lemke, L. Brammer, *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 2030; b) protonation of [CpFe(CO)(PEt₃)SiEt₃]: E. Scharrer, S. Chang, M. Brookhart, *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 5686.
- [7] Y. Kawano, T. Yasue, M. Shimoi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11744.
- [8] T. Yasue, Y. Kawano, M. Shimoi, Chem. Lett. 2000, 58.
- [9] M. Shimoi, S. Nagai, M. Ichikawa, Y. Kawano, K. Katoh, M. Uruichi, H. Ogino, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 11704.
- [10] T. Kakizawa, Y. Kawano, M. Shimoi, Organometallics 2001, 20, 3211.
- [11] Crystal data for **1a**: pale yellow crystals, triclinic, space group $P\bar{1}$ (no. 2); T=150 K; a=10.5746(8), b=10.6779(9), c=9.0029(7) Å; a=109.026(2), $\beta=96.043(3)$, $\gamma=88.092(2)^{\circ}$; V=955.69(13) Å³; Z=2, R=0.024, wR2=0.060 for 4070 reflections with $|F_o| > 3\sigma(F_o)$, 296 parameters, GoF=1.110. The boron-attached hydrogen atoms were found by the difference Fourier syntheses and their positions were refined. CCDC-190001 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the Cambridge CP31EZ, UK; fax: (+44)1223-336-033; or deposit@ ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
- [12] K. M. Waltz, H. He, C. N. Muhoro, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 11357.
- [13] M. Brookhart, B. Grant, A. F. Vople, Jr., Organometallics 1992, 11, 3920.

- [14] R. Macías, N. P. Rath, L. Barton, Angew. Chem. 1999, 111, 203; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 162.
- [15] M. Ingleson, N. J. Patmore, G. D. Ruggiero, C. G. Frost, M. F. Mahon, M. C. Willis, A. S. Weller, *Organometallics* 2001, 20, 4434.
- [16] The stationary point was located at the B3LYP level of theory, by using density functional theory calculations based on hybrid functionals. A double ζ plus polarization valence basis set, 6-31G(d) was employed for all the atoms except manganese. For Mn, a basis set with an approximation of effective core potentials, LANL2DZ was applied. The NBO analysis was carried out on the resulting structure at the B3LYP level using a 6–311+G(2d,p) basis set.^[20] All calculations were performed with the Gaussian 98W package of programs.^[17]
- [17] Gaussian 98 (Revision A.11), M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery, R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J. Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B. Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L. Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y. Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzalez, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA, 2001.
- [18] Several boryl cations with the formula [BX₂·L]⁺ (L=neutral Lewis base) have been reported: P. Kölle, H. Nöth, *Chem. Rev.* 1985, 85, 399.
- [19] a) J. Huhmann-Vincent, B. L. Scott, G. J. Kubas, *Inorg. Chim.* Acta 1999, 294, 240; b) J. Huhmann-Vincent, B. L. Scott, G. J. Kubas, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 6808; c) X. Fang, J. Huhmann-Vincent, B. L. Scott, G. J. Kubas, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 609, 95; d) J. Huhmann-Vincent, B. L. Scott, G. J. Kubas, *Inorg. Chem.* 1999, 38, 115; e) X. Fang, B. L. Scott, K. D. John, G. J. Kubas, Organometallics 2000, 19, 4141.
- [20] E. D. Glendening, A. E. Reed, J. E. Carpenter, F. Weinhold, NBO version 3.1.