

Pergamon

PII: S0960-894X(96)00289-2

DESIGN, SYNTHESIS AND BINDING AFFINITIES OF NOVEL NON-PEPTIDE MIMICS OF SOMATOSTATIN/SANDOSTATIN®

Dominique Damour, Michel Barreau, Jean-Charles Blanchard, Marie-Claude Burgevin, Adam Doble, Frederic Herman, Guy Pantel, Evelyne James-Surcouf, Marc Vuilhorgne, and Serge Mignani* Rhône-Poulenc Rorer S.A. Centre de Recherche de Vitry-Alfortville, 13 Quai Jules Guesde BP 14, 94403

Vitry-sur-Seine Cedex, France, Fax 33 (1) 45 73 81 29.

Lydie Poitout, Yves Le Merrer, and Jean-Claude Depezay

Université René Descartes, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques, associé au CNRS, 45 rue des Saints-Pères, 75270 Paris Cedex 06, France, fax 33 (1) 42 86 83 87.

Abstract: Based on molecular modelling studies of Sandostatin®, sugar-based derivatives I-VI were prepared as potential non-peptide mimics of somatostatin/Sandostatin®. These compounds displaced $3-[^{125}I]$ -Tyr¹¹-SRIF-14 from the somatostatin receptor on membranes of rat cerebral cortex with IC₅₀ values between 10 and 15 μ M. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Introduction. Somatostatin-14 (SRIF-14, Scheme 1) is a cyclic tetradecapeptide which serves not only to regulate the release of growth hormone or other pituitary hormones, but also plays a role in neuronal transmission.¹ During the last few years, five SRIF receptor subtypes have been identified.² The possible therapeutic applications³ of SRIF-14 are limited by its rapid proteolytic degradation resulting in poor oral bioavailibility and low selectivity. In order to circumvent these problems, several cyclic-peptides⁴ and non-peptide mimics⁵ of SRIF-14 have been prepared. One of them, Sandostatin® (Scheme 1) which has a longer half-life, a greater potency and a higher selectivity of action than native SRIF-14 is used routinely in the treatment of acromegaly, carcinomas and gastroenteropancreatic tumors⁶. Extensive investigations of the structure-activity relationships of the SRIF-14 peptide showed that the tetrapeptide sequence Phe⁷-D-Trp⁸-Lys⁹-Thr¹⁰ is the most relevant for biological activity.^{46,7} From NMR studies, the peptide c(Pro-Phe-D-Trp-Lys-Thr-Phe) adopts a β II' turn about Trp⁸-Lys⁹ and a β VI turn about Phe¹¹-Pro⁶. ⁴ This communication reports the design, synthesis and binding affinities of sugar-based derivatives I-VI (Schemes 3 and 4) which are novel non-peptide mimics of Sandostatin®.

Molecular modelling studies,² The ¹H-NMR study⁹ of Sandostatin[®] was carried out in CD,OH, at 273K, providing experimental data for the conformational analysis of this peptide. About 70 interproton distances and 10 angular constraints were obtained by measurement of n.O.e intensities and ${}^{3}J_{\mu\mu}$ couplings. The temperature dependence of the NH proton chemical shifts were also investigated, as an indication of existing CO...HN hydrogen bonds. These NMR constraints were then used in molecular dynamics simulations⁹⁶. The root mean square deviation (rmsd) obtained for the α carbon β turn skeleton was 0.5Å. Our studies indicated that residues Phe³'-D-Trp⁴'-Lys⁵'-Thr⁶' (Scheme 1). were in remarkably stable conformation. whereas the remaining а



somatostatin (SRIF-14) Sandostatin® Scheme 1: Chemical structures of SRIF-14 and Sandostatin®



Scheme 2: 3D structures of low-energy conformations of Sandostatin® (a), I (b), II (c), III (d), IV (e), and the superimposition of compound I and Sandostatin® (f)



Scheme 3^{14} : a) Tryptamine, CHCl,, reflux, 50 and 45% for 2a and 3a, respectively b) Tryptamine, HClO₄, H₂O, 26 and 64% for 2a and 3a, respectively c) Boc₂O, NaOH, Bu₄NHSO₄, CH₂Cl₄, 100%. d) TBDMSCl, DMF, 71% from 2a. e) MsCl, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₄ f) H₂N(CH₂)₄NHBoc, EtOH, 20°C, 93% for 4 --> 6, 84% for 9 --> 11; 60°C, 60% for 3b --> 10b g) HCl, AcOEt, 85, 55, 85, and 81 from 6, 7, 11 and 13, respectively h) Ph₂P, DEAD, HN₃, THF, 0°C, 61%. i) H₂, Pd/C, EtOH, then Br(CH₂)₄NHBoc, ⁱPr₂NEt, EtOH reflux. j) *i*-TBDMSCl, DMF, 20°C, *ii*-AcOH, H₂O, THF, 60% from 2b. k) Ph₂P, DEAD, HN₃, THF, mixture of 12 and of the corresponding azidomethyl-piperidine.

peptide sequence displayed considerable conformational flexibility. One of the most stable conformations is shown in Scheme 2. The calculated lowest-energy conformation is in good agreement with the recently described X-ray crystal structure. ¹⁰ Starting from this structural model and non-peptide mimics of somatostatin previously described⁵, we designed compounds I-IV (Scheme 3) as potential non-peptide mimics of Sandostatin®. In compounds I-IV the N-alkylamino, N-alkyl-3-indolyl and the two alkylphenyl chains are expected to be in an adequate spatial disposition¹¹, and to retain some of the electronic features of Sandostatin®. These side chains could be superimposed onto the Lys^{5'}, D-Trp^{4'}, and Phe^{3'}moieties of Sandostatin® respectively (Scheme 2).

Synthesis of compounds I-IV. Compounds I-IV were prepared from the 1,2:5,6-di-anhydro-3,4-di-O-benzyl-Dmannitol 1 (Scheme 3). Aminoheterocyclization of 1 with tryptamine, according to the method previously reported¹² afforded the key intermediates 2a and 3a. N-Boc protection of the indole moiety of 2a gave 2b, as a common precursor of target molecules I, II and III. Selective silulation of the primary hydroxyl group of 2b, and mesulation of the secondary hydroxyl afforded 5, which was then subjected to nucleophilic displacement by N-Boc-1,6hexyldiamine to give the pyrrolidine 6, via an aziridinium salt.¹³ After cleavage of both silylether and carbamates of 6, the pyrrolidine-type scaffold I was isolated in 28% overall yield from 1. Furthermore, the secondary hydroxyl group of 4 could be transformed into an azido group, with inversion of configuration, under Mitsunobu conditions in the presence of hydrazoic acid to give 7 (61% yield).¹³ Catalytic reduction of the azido group into the amine followed by alkylation with 6-bromo-N-Boc-hexylamine, and removal of silvlether and carbamates groups yielded the piperidinetype scaffold II (12% overall yield from 1). The preparation of III from 2b requires the transformation of the primary alcohol into a mesylate followed by nucleophilic displacement with N-Boc-1,6-hexyldiamine. For this transformation, better yields were obtained through silvlation of the two hydroxyl groups of 2b, followed by selective hydrolysis of the primary silulether and subsequent mesulation. In this manner, the piperidine-type scaffold III was isolated in 22% overall vield from 1.¹⁴ The azepane-type compound IV was obtained from the C2-symmetric azepane 3a. Treatment of 3a under Mitsunobu conditions in the presence of hydrazoic acid afforded a mixture of the azidoazepane 12 and of the corresponding azidomethyl-piperidine, via an aziridinium intermediate.¹⁵ Catalytic reduction of the azido group into the amine, and separation of the undesired aminomethyl-piperidine, followed by alkylation with 6-bromo-N-Bochexylamine and acidic cleavage of the Boc-protecting group, provided the azepane-type scaffold IV in 15% overall yield from 1.

Synthesis of compounds V-VI. In a continuing effort to design structurally divergent classes of compounds acting on the somatostatin receptor, we also envisaged a new class of non-peptide mimics in which the scaffold unit is substituted by a rigid bicyclic system such as the 2,5-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane backbone (compounds V and VI, Scheme 4). The bicyclic derivatives V and VI were prepared starting from the C₂-symmetric azepane 15a, which was easily obtained by *N*-heterocyclization of the 1,2:5,6-dianhydro-3,4-di-O-benzyl-L-iditol 14 with benzylamine (Scheme 4). ¹⁶ Selective hydrogenolysis of the benzyl-nitrogen bond in presence of di-*tert*-butyl dicarbonate, followed by mesylation led to 16 (95% yield from 15a). Treatment of 16 with an excess of tryptamine in ethanol gave a mixture of 17 and 18, which were isolated in 60% and 15% yield respectively. ¹⁷ Finally, acidic cleavage of the Boc protecting group, followed by alkylation with 6-bromo-*N*-Boc-hexylamine and subsequent acidic cleavage of the carbamate afforded the bicyclic derivatives V or VI (33 and 8% overall yield respectively from 14).



Scheme 4¹⁸: a) H₂, Pd(OH)/C, Boc₂O. b) MsCl, Et₂N, CH₂Cl₂, 95% from 15a. c) Tryptamine, EtOH reflux, 60 and 15% for 17 and 18, respectively d) *i*) HCl, AcOEt, 100%, *ii*) Br(CH₂)₂NHBoc, ⁱPr₃NEt, EtOH reflux, *iii*) HCl, AcOEt, 88%.

Binding.¹² Binding assays have shown a weak affinity of compounds I-VI for somatostatin receptor on membranes of rat cerebral cortex (3-[¹²⁵I]-Tyr¹¹-SRIF-14, IC₃₀ = 14, 11, 12, 10, 15 and 12 μ M respectively *versus* 0.0002 μ M for the SRIF-14 itself).

Conclusion. The sugar-based derivatives I-VI represent novel scaffolds targeted towards the somatostatin receptor. They displayed moderate affinities to the SRIF-14 receptors ($IC_{so} \sim 12 \ \mu M$), regardless of the size (five to seven member rings) or the rigidity of the nitrogen heterocycle-

based scaffolds used. These results show that the orientations of the various side chains are quite similar whatever the scaffold used. Taken together, our results, and those concerning other sugar-based derivatives⁵⁴⁻⁴ and more recently a benzodiazepinone derivative⁵⁴ exhibiting affinities for the SRIF-14 receptor strongly support the validity of the concept of the utilization of non-peptide scaffolds in the synthesis of non-peptide molecules as peptide analogues.

Acknowledgements. This work has been done as part of the Bio Avenir programme supported by Rhône-Poulenc with the participation of the French Ministry of Research and the French Ministry of Industry.

References and Notes.

- 1. Vecsei, L., Widerlov, E.; Prog. Neuro-Psychopharmacol. & Biol. Psychiat.; 1990, 14, 473 and references cited therein.
- 2. Hoyer, D., Bell, G. I., Berelowitz, M., Epelbaum, J., Feniuk, W., Humphrey, P. P. A., O'Carroll, A-M., Patel, Y. C., Schonbrunn, A., Taylor, J. E., Reisine, T.; *TIPS*, **1995**, 16, 86.
- 3. (a) Robinson, C.; Drugs of the Future, 1994, 19, 992. (b) Reubi, J-C., Laissue, J. A.; TIPS, 1995, 16, 110.
- For some interesting examples, see: (a) Veber, D. F., Holly, F. W., Nutt, R. F., Bergstrand, S. J., Brady, S. F., Hirschmann, R., Glitzer, M. S., Saperstein, R.; Nature, 1979, 280, 512. (b) Nutt, R. F., Veber, D. F., Saperstein, R.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 6539. (c) Veber, D. F., Freidinger, R. M., Perlow, D. S., Strachan, R. G., Nutt, R. F., Arison, B. H., Homnick, C., Randall, W. C., Glitzer, Saperstein, R., Hirschmann, R.; Nature, 1981, 292, 55. (d) Elseviers, M., Van Der Auwera, L., Pepermans, H., Tourwé, D., Van Binst, G.; Biochemical and research

Communication, 1988, 154, 515. (e) Spanevello, R. A., Hirschmann, R., Raynor, K., Reisine, T., Nutt, R. F.; Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 4675. (f) Huang, Z., He Ya-Bo, Raynor, K., Tallent, M., Reisine, T., Goodman, M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 9390.

- Original work was performed by Merck Research Laboratories [(a) Nicolaou, K. C., Salvino, J. M., Raynor, K., Pietranico, S., Reisine, T., Freidinger, R.M., Hirschmann, R., Peptides-Chemistry Structure and Biology: Proceedings of the Eleventh American Peptide Symposium; Rivier, J. E., Marshall, G. R., Eds; ESCOM, Leiden, 1990, 881. (b) Hirschmann, R., Nicolaou, K. C., Pietranico, Salvino, J., Leahy, E. M., Sprengeler, P. A., Furst, G., Smith, B., Strader, C. D., Cascieri, A., Candelore, M. R., Donaldson, C., Vale, W., Maechler, L.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 9217. (c) Hirschmann, R., Nicolaou, K. C., Pietranico, S., Leahy, E. M., Salvino, J., Arison, B., Cichy, M. A., Spoors, P. G., Shakespeare, W. C., Sprengeler, P. A., Hamley, P., Smith, A. B., Reisine, T., Raynor, K., Maechler, L., Donaldson, C., Vale, W., Freidinger, R. M., Cascieri, M. R., Strader, C.D.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 12550] and other examples were published by Sandoz Pharma Research Laboratories [(d) Papageorgiou, C., Haltiner, R., Bruns C., Petcher, T.; Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 1992, 2, 135. (e) Papageorgiou, C., Borer, X.; Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 1996, 6, 267].
- 6. Moreau, J. P., DeFeudis, F. V.; Life Sciences, 1987, 40, 419 and references cited therein.
- (a) Vale, W., Rivier, J., Ling, N., Brown, M.; *Metabolism*, **1978**, 27, 1391. (b) Elst, V., Van der Berg, E., Pepermans, H., Auera, V., Zeeuws, R., Tourwé, D., Van Binst, G.; *Int. J. Pept. Protein Res.*, **1987**, 29, 318. (c) Hocart, S. J., Reddy, V., Murphy, W. A., Coy, D. H.; *J. Med. Chem.*, **1995**, 38, 1974.
- 8. Huang, Z., He, Ya-Bo, Raynor, K., Tallent, M., Reisine, T., Goodman, M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 9390.
- (a) ¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance spectra were recorded on DMX 600MHz (Brüker) spectrometer. (b) INSIGHT\DISCOVER PACKAGES (Biosym-Technologies Inc., 10065 Barnes Canyon Rd, San Diego, CA 91121, USA). Details of these data will be reported elsewhere.
- 10. Pohl, E., Heine, A., Sheldrick, G. M., Dauter, Z., Wilson, K. S., Kallen, J., Huber, W., Pfäffli, P.; Acta Cryst., 1995, D51,48.
- 11. Wynants, C., Van Binst, G., Loosli, H. R.; Int. J. Pept. Protein Res. 1985, 25, 622 and references cited therein.
- 12. Damour, D., Depezay, J-C., Le Merrer, Y., Mignani, S., Pantel, G., Poitout, L.; French Patent application # 95 05510.
- 13. For precedents on isomerization of polyhydroxylated piperidines, see : Poitout, L.; Le Merrer, Y.; Depezay, J-C.; Tetrahedron Lett., 1996, 37, 1609.
- 14. The piperidine III can be obtained in better yield from the azepane 3b by treatment with mesylchloride to give 10b (60% yield, for analogous ring contraction, see ref. 15), and subsequent nucleophilic substitution with N-Boc-1,6-hexyldiamine (86%).
- 15. For precedents on isomerization of polyhydroxylated azepanes, see : Poitout, L.; Le Merrer, Y.; Depezay, J-C.; *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 1613.
- 16. Depezay, J-C., Le Merrer, Y., Poitout, L., French Patent application # 94 01921.
- 17. The formation of these bridged compounds can be interpreted as an intramolecular displacement of the mesylate by the cyclic nitrogen atom to give an aziridinium intermediate, the nucleophilic opening of which gives rise to the formation of two isomers with a piperidine or an azepane skeleton, followed by an intramolecular displacement of the remaining mesylate by the secondary amine, see ref 15.
- All new compounds gave spectral data (¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, MS) in accord with the assigned structure, and satisfactory combustion analysis or accurate mass measurement.
- 19. Binding assays with the sugar derivative I-VI and SRIF-14 were performed as reported by Srikant et al. (Srikant, C. B., Patel, Y.C.; Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 1981, 78, 3930) with slight modifications. The crude synaptic membranes were obtained from cerebral cortex of adult male Sprague-Dawley rats (180-200g) and suspended in 50mM HEPES/KOH buffer at pH 7.5. The 200µL incubation mixture included HEPES buffer containing 10mg/mL bovine serum albumin, 5mM MgCl₂, 500U/mL aprotinin, 0.02µg/mL PMSF and 0.02µg/ml bacitracin, 0.1nM 3-[¹⁰] I]-Tyr SRIF-14 (Amersham) and 25µg membranes. To measure nonspecific binding, 1µM unlabelled SRIF-14 was added to the above mixture. The incubation was maintained at 25°C for 60 minutes and stopped by vacuum filtration. Data obtained with different concentrations of sugar derivatives and SRIF-14 were used to generate inhibition curves. The calculated IC₅₀ values which are the mean of at least three determinations each with six concentrations of the test compound in triplicate.

(Received in Belgium 18 April 1996; accepted 17 June 1996)