LETTERS

Total Synthesis and Structural Reassignment of (\pm) -Cereoanhydride

Zhiqiang Ren, Yu Hao, and Xiangdong Hu*

Department of Chemistry & Material Science, Key Laboratory of Synthetic and Natural Functional Molecule Chemistry of Ministry of Education of China, Northwest University, Xi'an 710127, China

Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: The first total synthesis of (\pm) -cereoanhydride has been achieved under the inspiration of its biosynthetic hypothesis. The tricyclic skeleton of trypethelone, the proposed biosynthetic precursor of cereoanhydride, was constructed by an interesting ring expansion of cyclobutenone in one step in which the introduced acetyl group is pivotal to avoid the following aerial oxidation. On the basis of X-ray crystallographic analysis, the structure of cereoanhydride is reassigned to a spiroketal structure **2**, which should be formed through an isomerization of the originally proposed structure **1**.

Marine algae derived fungi afford a specific but important source for the discovery of secondary metabolites with promising bioactivities and unusual molecular structures.¹ On the basis of extensive studies on marine endophytic fungus *Coniothyrium cereale* isolated from green algae *Enteromorpha* sp. in the Baltic sea, König and co-workers reported the isolation of a new secondary metabolite, cereoanhydride, in 2012.² Through NMR spectroscopic and mass spectrometric analysis, the structure of cereoanhydride was originally assigned as **1** (Figure 1). It features a very rare seven-membered cyclic



Figure 1. Structures of originally proposed and reassigned cereoanhydride.

anhydride, a polysubstituted aromatic ring, and a *trans*-fused trimethyltetrahydrofuran ring bearing three stereogenic centers, making it an attractive synthetic target. Additionally, cereoanhydride displayed weak inhibition ($IC_{50} = 16 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$) to serine protease human leukocyte elastase in preliminary biological studies. Due to the limited amount of this compound from the natural source, development of synthetic pathways to cereoanhydride will build up an important foundation for further biological evaluations of this secondary metabolite. Herein, we report the first total synthesis and the structural reassignment (2) of cereoanhydride.

König and co-workers postulated that cereoanhydride might be formed from the oxidation of trypethelone (4), which was isolated from cultivation of the same fungus in their earlier work.³ It was also demonstrated that this homologous natural product 4 possesses cytotoxicity against mouse fibroblast cells and inhibitory activities toward Mycobacterium phlei, Staphylococcus aureus, and Escherichia coli. Inspired by the biosynthetic hypothesis, our synthetic plan to the originally proposed cereoanhydride (1) is illustrated in Scheme 1. Because that trans relationship between C1 and C15 and trans fusion on C1-C14 of 1 should cause less steric hindrance compared with its epimers, we envisioned that the expected stereochemistry at C1 and C14 could be established via a diastereoselective hydration of the enol ether motif on C1-C14 of 3 under thermodynamic conditions. Cyclic anhydride 3 could be obtained through a Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of 4, which could potentially be generated from 5 through deprotection and oxidation. For the synthesis of 5, a ringexpansion process on 6, via an interesting four-electron electrocyclic ring-opening/ 6π -electrocyclization cascade of cyclobutenones, was envisaged. Such chemistry was initially developed by Moore⁴ and Liebeskind⁵ for related arene ring construction. Commercially available 7, 8 ,and 9 were considered suitable building blocks for synthesis of 6.

Our synthesis commenced with the preparation of 12 (Scheme 2). In the presence of magnesium, 9 reacted with 8 smoothly,⁶ affording 10 in 83% yield following rearrangement under acidic conditions. The efficient bromine–lithium exchange of *n*-butyllithium with 11, which was obtained from the TBS protection of phenolic hydroxyl of 7, ensured the

Received: August 13, 2016

Scheme 1. Synthetic Plan to Proposed Cereoanhydride (1)



Scheme 2. Synthesis and Ring Expansion of 12



nucleophilic addition to one carbonyl of **10** and generated cyclobutenone **12** with high regioselectivity. The latter was the first substrate submitted to the ring-expansion process under thermal conditions. However, **13** was obtained consistently as the major product in poor yields (less than 15%). There was no formation of expected product **14** observed. It was thought that the 1,4-diphenol motif in intermediate **14** could be readily oxidized by air. The application of DDQ at the later stage of the reaction increased the yield of **13** to 40%.⁷

With 13 in hand, we anticipated that the carbonyl on the side chain of 13 could be selectively reduced. The product 17 might transform into 15 through an intramolecular 1,3-alkoxyl exchange (Scheme 3). However, the formation of 15 or 17 was not observed under reductive conditions, such as NaBH₄ and LiAlH₄. After reaction workup and column chromatography, the major component obtained was the starting material





13. We postulated that the reduction of 13 only happened on the more electron-poor 1,4-benzoquinone motif. Rather than be reduced, the side-chain carbonyl of 13 was attacked by one phenolic hydroxyl generated from the reduction, affording intermediate 16. The formed hemiketal motif in 16 completely inhibited further reduction. Moreover, 16 could be easily oxidized by air, which led to the formation of 13 at the end of the operation. Besides, there is a high possibility that intermediate 14 generated from the ring-expansion of 12 would exist in its hemiketal form 16.

At this point, our synthetic route was adjusted as depicted in Scheme 4. With the purpose of inhibiting the facile aerial



oxidation, an acetyl protecting group was introduced on the hydroxyl of **12**, affording **6**. To our delight, the ring expansion of **6** was not followed by oxidation, compound **18** being obtained in 85% yield. The introduction of the acetyl group not only successfully inhibited the oxidation of the 1,4-diphenol motif but also significantly increased the yield of the ring-expansion product. The reduction of the hemiketal motif of **18** was achieved using Et₃SiH and TFA and gave **5** in 86% yield.⁸ For the synthesis of **4**, we envisioned removing the protecting groups from the middle ring of **5** and following this by an

oxidative treatment. However, no reaction occurred with **5** using KMnO₄, CAN (ceric ammonium nitrate), and PhI- $(OAc)_2$. Obviously, it is difficult to remove the acetyl group of **5** under oxidative conditions. Thus, we turned our attention to reductive conditions. To our disappointment, the reduction of **5** with LiAlH₄ gave a complex mixture, and no reasonable product was obtained after column chromatography. Luckily, treating the crude reduction products with 3 equiv of CAN led to the formation of **4** in 65% yield over two steps.⁹

The synthesis of cereoanhydride is demonstrated in Scheme 5. Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of 4 took place smoothly with m-

Scheme 5. Synthesis and Structural Reassignment of Cereoanhydride



CPBA.¹⁰ Due to its poor stability, intermediate 3 was treated directly with HCl in a mixture of THF and H₂O. To our surprise, cereoanhydride was obtained as the major product with good diastereoselectivity. The spectroscopic data (HRMS analysis, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra) of the synthesized sample are identical to those reported for natural cereoanhydride. We next secured a crystal of synthesized cereoanhydride and submitted it to X-ray crystallographic analysis. However, it was not the proposed structure 1 containing a seven-membered cyclic anhydride unit, but a new structure 2 with a spiroketal skeleton. To exclude the possibility that synthetic cereoanhydride may change the structure during the crystallization process, ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of the crystal of synthesized cereoanhydride were collected, and no change was observed. Moreover, all three stereogenic centers in 2 have the same stereochemistry as those of 1. Therefore, we propose that 1 should be formed first from the diastereoselective hydration of intermediate 3. Intermediate 19 will be generated through the attack of the hemiketal hydroxyl on the carbonyl on the right side of the seven-membered cyclic anhydride unit of 1. Finally, 2 was reached through ring opening of the cyclic anhydride moiety.

In summary, we have developed a concise approach for the first total synthesis of cereoanhydride under the inspiration of the biosynthetic hypothesis from König and co-workers. The entire synthetic route requires nine steps starting from commercially available materials. Salient features of our route include two key transformations: (a) the Moore/Liebeskind ring expansion of cyclobutenone 6 through a four-electron electrocyclic ring-opening/ 6π -electrocyclization cascade and (b) the synthesis of cereoanhydride through Baeyer-Villiger oxidation of trypethelone, diastereoselective hydration, and subsequent isomerization in one pot. With the aid of X-ray crystallographic analysis of the synthesized cereoanhydride, the structure of the natural product was serendipitously reassigned¹¹ to 2 with a spiroketal skeleton. It is postulated that the originally proposed structure 1 should be an integral precursor for the formation of 2.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.6b02424.

Single crystal data for cereoanhydride (2) (CIF) Experimental procedures, spectroscopic data, and images of 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra for all new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: xiangdonghu@nwu.edu.cn.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful for financial support from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21002078, 21372184), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (334100041), and NFFTBS (No. J1210057).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Jin, L.; Quan, C.; Hou, X.; Fan, S. Mar. Drugs 2016, 14, 76.
(b) Nazir, N.; El Maddah, F.; Kehraus, S.; Egereva, E.; Piel, J.; Brachmann, A. O.; König, G. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2015, 13, 8071– 8079. (c) Elissawy, A. M.; el-Shazly, M.; Ebada, S. S.; Singab, A. B.; Proksch, P. Mar. Drugs 2015, 13, 1966–1992. (d) Ebel, R. Mar. Drugs 2010, 8, 2340–2368. (e) Greve, H.; Schupp, P. J.; Eguereva, E.; Kehraus, S.; König, G. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 1651–1653.
(f) Pontius, A.; Mohamed, I.; Krick, A.; Kehraus, S.; König, G. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2008, 71, 272–274. (g) Bugni, T. S.; Ireland, C. M. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2004, 21, 143–163.

(2) Elsebai, M. F.; Nazir, M.; Kehraus, S.; Egereva, E.; Ioset, K. N.; Marcourt, L. t.; Jeannerat, D.; Gütschow, M.; Wolfender, J.-L.; König, G. M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *31*, 6197–6203.

(3) Elsebai, M. F.; Natesan, L.; Kehraus, S.; Mohamed, I. E.; Schnakenburg, G.; Sasse, F.; Shaaban, S.; Gütschow, M.; König, G. M. J. Nat. Prod. **2011**, 74, 2282–2285.

(4) (a) Moore, H. W.; Decker, O. H. W. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 821– 830. (b) Perri, S. T.; Foland, S. D.; Decker, O. H. W.; Moore, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3067–3068. (c) Decker, O. H. W.; Moore, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1174–1175. (d) Reed, M. W.; Moore, H. W. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3491–3492. (e) Foland, L. D.; Karlsson, J. O.; Perri, S. T.; Schwabe, R.; Xu, S. L.; Patil, S.; Moore, H. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 975–989. (f) Enhsen, A.; Karabelas, K.; Heerding, J. M.; Moore, H. W. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, 55, 1177–1185. (g) Xiong, Y.; Moore, H. W. J. Org. Chem. **1996**, 61, 9168–9177.

(5) (a) Liebeskind, L. S.; Iyer, S.; Jewell, C. F. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 3065–3067. (b) Liebeskind, L. S. Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 3053–3060.
(6) Castro, J. M.; Linares-Palomino, P. J.; Salido, S.; Altarejos, J.;

Nogueras, M.; Sanches, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 2619–2622. (7) Onofrey, T. J.; Gomez, D.; Winters, M.; Moore, H. W. J. Org.

Chem. 1997, 62, 5658–5659. (8) (a) Yao, X.; Xie, X.; Wang, C.; Zu, L. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4356– 4359. (b) Schmitt, A.; Reißig, H.-U. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 2000, 3893–3901. (c) Kraus, G. A.; Molina, M. T.; Walling, J. A. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 1273–1276.

(9) (a) Kimachi, T.; Torii, E.; Kobayashi, Y.; Doe, M.; Ju-ichi, M. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **2011**, *59*, 753–756. (b) Inagaki, M.; Matsumoto, S.; Tsuri, T. J. Org. Chem. **2003**, *68*, 1128–1131.

(10) Yang, R.-Y.; Kizer, D.; Wu, H.; Volckova, E.; Miao, X.-S.; Ali, S. M.; Tandon, M.; Savage, R. E.; Chan, T. C. K.; Ashwell, M. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2008**, *16*, 5635–5643.

(11) For examples of serendipitous structural reassignments of natural products from biomimetic synthesis, see: (a) Calvert, M. B.; Sperry, J. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 6202–6205. (b) Zhao, J.-C.; Yu, S.-M.; Liu, Y.; Yao, Z.-J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4300–4303. (c) Brown, P. D.; Willis, A. C.; Sherburn, M. S.; Lawrence, A. L. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 4537–4539. (d) Matveenko, M.; Liang, G.; Lauterwasser, E. M. W.; Zubía, E.; Trauner, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9291–9295. (e) Sharma, P.; Griffiths, N.; Moses, J. E. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4025–4027.