Formation and reactivity of cationic alkyl derivatives of titanium containing *ortho*-(1-naphthyl)phenoxide ligation

Matthew G. Thorn, Jonathan S. Vilardo, Phillip E. Fanwick and Ian P. Rothwell*

Department of Chemistry, 1393 Brown Building, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1393, USA. E-mail: rothwell@chem.purdue.edu

Received (in Bloomington, IN, USA) 14th July 1998, Accepted 2nd September 1998

A series of dimethyl compounds of Ti(IV) have been isolated containing both cyclopentadiene and *ortho*-arylphenoxide ligation; reaction with $[B(C_6F_5)_3]$ generates corresponding cationic methyl species which eliminate methane and form $[Cp(ArO)Ti\{CH_2B(C_6F_5)_2\}(C_6F_5)]$ derivatives.

There is continued research interest in the chemistry of cationic Group 4 metal alkyl compounds.¹ We have recently isolated a series of titanium chloride compounds containing both cyclopentadiene and *o*-arylphenoxide ligation.^{2,3} We report here on the structure, dynamics and reactivity of the corresponding neutral and cationic methyl derivatives.^{4,5}

Treatment of 1-3 with LiMe leads to the corresponding dimethyl compounds 4–6 as yellow solids (Scheme 1, Np = 1-naphthyl).[†] The solid state structure of **4b** is shown in Fig. 1.[‡] The Ti-Me distances of 2.076(4) and 2.091(4) Å are intermediate between those reported for [Cp2TiMe2],6 2.170(2) and 2.181(2) Å, and values of 2.052(2) and 2.069(2) Å found in [Ti(OC₆H₃Ph₂-2,6)₂Me₂].⁷ There is also a corresponding opening up of the Me-Ti-Me angle upon replacing Cp by OAr; *c.f.* 91.3(1)° for [Cp₂TiMe₂], 97.5(2)° in **4b** and 103.9(1)° in $[Ti(OC_6H_3Ph_2-2.6)_2Me_2]$. In the solution NMR spectra of 4a, 5 and **6a**, only one signal is present for the Ti-Me groups in the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. This indicates in the case of 4a that rotation about the Ti-O-Ar bonds is fast on the NMR timescale. In contrast two well-resolved Ti-Me resonances are observed for the o-(1-naphthyl) derivatives 4b and 6b. In the case of **6b** this is consistent with the presence of the chiral, *dl*form of the ligand. Variable temperature NMR studies of 4b show that the two methyl signals remain sharp even at 90 °C (toluene- d_8), indicating slow naphthyl rotation on the NMR timescale at this temperature.

Addition of $[B(C_6\bar{F}_5)_3]^8$ to 4,5 in benzene or toluene solvent leads to the rapid (NMR) formation of the thermally unstable (*vide infra*) cationic 7,8. Variable temperature spectra of these

species are highly informative. Low temperature ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of 7a and 8 show a single set of Cp and OAr resonances along with resolved Ti-Me (sharp) and Ti-Me-B (broad) resonances. Spectra obtained for 7a at ambient temperature show broadening of these methyl signals, but the thermal instability precludes obtaining limiting high tem-perature spectra. We interpret this broadening as due to exchange of the boron between methyl groups (boron exchange) which is becoming fast on the NMR time scale. For 7b, two broad methyl signals and a single, sharp Cp resonance are present at room temperature. At -10 °C (toluene- d_8) the Ti-Me and Ti-Me-B signals sharpen up, but there is still only a single Cp resonance. At -30 °C the Cp resonance splits into two signals in the ratio of 80 : 20 representing the two, diastereoisomeric forms. The methyl signals also split into two large, equal intensity signals and one resolvable smaller peak (presumably the second methyl signal is obscured by OAr resonances). We interpret these changes as representing two distinct dynamic processes. The faster process involves exchange between the two diastereoisomers (80 : 20 ratio) of 7b (Scheme 2) without methyl exchange. This process involves cation-anion dissociation and rearrangement and can only be detected using the chiral o-(1-naphthyl)phenoxide. An alternative process for exchange of diastereoisomers would involve naphthyl rotation. However, the variable temperature NMR studies on 4b and data presented below show that this process is too slow to account for the observed process. The slower process in 7b, which is also detected for 7a and 8, involves Ti-Me/Ti-Me-B exchange. In the case of 7b this process alone cannot lead to exchange of methyl signals in the NMR spectra. However, when coupled with the faster ion-pair dissociation, it leads to methyl exchange (Scheme 2). Previous work by Marks et al. has shown similar dynamics are present in [Cp'₂Zr(Me)- ${MeB(C_6F_5)_3}$ species.⁸ Based upon the spectra obtained for 7b we estimate the free energy of activation for ion-pair dissociation to be 12.4(5) kcal mol⁻¹ at -25 °C (Cp





Fig. 1 Molecular structure of **4b** showing the atomic numbering scheme. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°): Ti–O(10) 1.815(2), Ti–C(6) 2.076(4), Ti–C(7) 2.091(4), C(6)–Ti–C(7) 97.5(2), Cp–Ti–O(10) 123.7(2), Ti–O(10)–C(11) 158.8(2).



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **10** showing the atomic numbering scheme. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°): Ti–O(10) 1.770(2), Ti–C(20) 2.115(2), Ti–C(41) 2.176(2), Cp–Ti–O(10) 126.9(1), C(20)–Ti–C(41) 98.73(8), Ti–O(10)–C(11) 176.2(1).

coalescence temperature at 300 MHz) while that for the methyl exchange is 15.0(5) kcal mol⁻¹ at -35 °C.

When monitored by ¹H NMR, solutions of **7,8** at ambient temperatures over hours eliminate methane and form the neutral species **9,10** (Scheme 2). The solid state structure of **10** (Fig. 2) confirms the molecular structure and shows that the boron atom is trigonal planar with no interaction present with the adjacent Ti-C₆F₅ unit.⁹ In the ¹H NMR spectra of **9a** and **10**, a single set of Cp and OAr resonances are present along with well-resolved diastereotopic Ti-CH₂-B protons. In the case of **9b** containing the chiral *o*-(1-naphthyl) ligand, two sets of sharp NMR signals are present representing a 70 : 30 mixture of the two possible diastereoisomers. The fact that exchange of these isomers is slow on the NMR timescale at ambient temperature confirms that naphthyl rotation cannot account for the observed fluxionality in **7b**.

Notes and references

† Selected spectroscopic data: aromatic signals unless indicated: ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 30 °C, unless otherwise stated) **4a**: δ 6.70–7.60; 5.57 (s, C₅H₅); 1.68 (s), 1.32 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; 0.85 (s, CH₃). **4b**: δ 7.10–8.00; 5.41 (s, C₅H₅); 1.64 (s), 1.26 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; 0.58 (s), 0.15 [s, CH₃]. **5**: δ 7.07–7.29; 6.82 (s, *para*-H); 5.63 (s, C₅H₅); 2.09 (s, *meta*-CH₃); 0.26 (s, Ti-CH₃). **6a**: δ 7.71 (s, *para*-H); 7.31–7.02 (m); 5.75 (s, C₅H₅); 1.29 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; 0.10 (s, CH₃). **6b**: δ 7.90 (s, *para*-H); 7.62–7.15 (m); 5.17 (s, C₅H₅); 1.21 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; -0.35, -0.81, (s, CH₃). **7a**: δ 6.87–7.45; 5.44 (s, C₅H₅); 1.43 (br, Ti-CH₃); 1.34 (s), 1.19 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; 0.90 (br, B-CH₃). (C₇D₈, -10 °C): δ 6.91–7.40; 5.37 (s,

C₅H₅); 1.52 (s, Ti-CH₂); 1.32 (s), 1.16 [s, C(CH₂)₃]; 0.94 (br, B-CH₂). **7b**: δ 7.05–7.68; 5.36 (s, C₅H₅); 1.32 (s), 1.19 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; 0.91 (br, Ti-CH₃); 0.81 (br, B-CH₃). (C₇D₈, -10 °C): δ 6.97–7.68; 5.27 (s, C₅H₅); 1.31 (s), 1.15 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; 0.96 (br, Ti-CH₃); 0.77 (br, B-CH₃). ¹H NMR (C₇D₈, -30 °C): δ6.96-7.67; 5.23 (s, C₅H₅-major); 5.14 (s, C₅H₅-minor); 1.31 (s), 1.21 [s, C(CH₃)₃]; 0.95 (br, Ti-CH₃-major); 0.76 (br, B-CH₃-major); 0.54 (br, B-CH₃-minor). 8: δ6.80–7.32; 6.74 (s, para-H); 5.44 (s, C₅H₅); 1.90 (s, meta-CH₃); 0.68 (br, Ti-CH₃); 0.53 (br, B-CH₃). (C₇D₈, -20 °C): δ 6.63-7.14; 5.39 (s, C5H5); 1.87 (s, meta-CH3); 0.67 (s, Ti-CH3); 0.46 (br, B-CH₃). 9a: δ 7.56 (d), 7.13 [d, ${}^{4}J({}^{1}H-{}^{1}H) = 2.5$ Hz, meta-H]; 6.10 (s, C₅H₅); 4.21 (br), 3.23 (br, Ti-CH₂-B); 1.62 (s), 1.26 [s, C(CH₃)₃]. 9b: δ6.72–7.86; 6.24 (s), 5.80 (s, C₅H₅); 4.16 (br), 4.14 (br), 3.00 (m, Ti-CH₂-B); 1.60 (s), 1.57 (s), 1.19 (s), 1.15 [s, C(CH₃)₃]. 10: δ6.85–7.18; 6.68 (s, para-H); 5.65 (s, C₅H₅); 3.56 (br), 2.67 (br, Ti-CH₂-B); 1.84 (s, meta-CH₃). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 30 °C) **4a**: δ 160.8 (Ti-O-C); 114.4 (C₅H₅); 58.4, (Ti-CH₃); 35.8, 34.5 [$C(CH_3)_3$]; 31.7, 30.5 [$C(CH_3)_3$]. **4b**: δ 161.6 (Ti-O-C); 114.1 (C_5H_5); 58.4, 57.8 (Ti-CH₃); 35.8, 34.6 [C(CH₃)₃]; 31.7, 30.6 [C(CH₃)₃]. 5: δ 161.1 (Ti-O-C); 113.7 (C₅H₅); 56.1, (Ti-CH₃); 20.8 (meta-CH₃). 6a: δ 163.0 (O-C); 147.5, 140.8, 132.6, 130.9, 128.3, 126.9, 118.7; 113.5 (C₅H₅); 56.9 (CH₃); 37.4, [C(CH₃)₃]; 33.1 [C(CH₃)₃]. **6b**: δ 163.6 (O-C); 152.0, 148.7, 138.9, 135.1, 134.0, 129.7, 126.0, 125.3, 119.9; 113.3 (C₅H₅); 56.5, 56.1 (CH₃); 37.7, [C(CH₃)₃]; 32.0 [C(CH₃)₃]. 7a: δ 163.0 (Ti-O-C); 120.4 (C₅H₅); 113.0 (br, B-CH₃); 77.7 (br, Ti-CH₃); 35.1, 34.4 [C(CH₃)₃]; 30.8, 29.7 [C(CH₃)₃]. (C₇D₈, -10 °C): δ 163.2 (Ti-O-C); 120.7 (C₅H₅); 112.9 (br, B-CH₃); 77.4 (s, Ti-CH₃); 35.4, 34.7 [C(CH₃)₃]; 31.1, 30.0 [C(CH₃)₃]. **7b**: δ 163.3 (Ti-O-C); 119.9 (C₅H₅); 113.1 (br, B-CH₃); 79.4 (br, Ti-CH₃); 35.1, 34.4 [C(CH₃)₃]; 30.9, 30.0 [C(CH₃)₃]. 8: δ 162.5 (Ti-O-C); 119.3 (C₅H₅); 113.0 (br, B-CH₃); 77.8 (Ti-CH₃); 19.9 (*meta*-CH₃). **9a**: δ 163.7 (Ti-O-C); 119.4 (C5H5); 107.1 (br, Ti-CH2-B); 35.8, 34.7 [C(CH3)3]; 31.4, 30.6 [C(CH₃)₃]. 9b: δ 164.4, 164.3 (Ti-O-C); 119.2, 119.0 (C₅H₅); 107.0, 105.5 (br, Ti-CH₂-B); 35.9, 35.8, 34.7, 34.7 [C(CH₃)₃]; 31.4, 31.4, 30.7, 30.6 [C(CH₃)₃]. 10: δ162.3 (Ti-O-C); 118.1 (C₅H₅); 114.2 (br, Ti-CH₂-B); 20.1 (meta-CH₃).

[‡] *Crystal data* for **4b** at 296 K: TiOC₃₁H₃₈, *M* = 474.55, space group *P*₂₁/*c* (no. 14), *a* = 13.1917(8), *b* = 11.7251(6), *c* = 18.788(1) Å, *β* = 107.115(2)°, *V* = 2777.4(5) Å³, *D_c* = 1.135 g cm⁻³, *Z* = 4. Of the 6750 unique reflections collected (4.54 ≤ 2θ ≤ 61.36°) with Mo-Kα (*λ* = 0.71073 Å), the 6750 with *F_o*² > 2σ(*F_o*²) were used in the final least-squares refinement to yield *R*(*F_o*) = 0.064 and *R_w*(*F_o*²) = 0.166. For **10** at 203 K: TiF₁₅OC₄₄BH₂₄, *M* = 912.37, space group *P*I (no. 2), *a* = 12.2084(5), *b* = 12.3668(2), *c* = 13.7876(5) Å, *α* = 71.440(2), *β* = 84.811(1), *γ* = 83.982(2)°, *V* = 1958.9(2) Å³, *D_c* = 1.547 g cm⁻³, *Z* = 2. Of the 9835 unique reflections collected (8.00 ≤ 2θ ≤ 61.10°) with Mo-Kα (*λ* = 0.71073 Å), the 9835 with *F_o*² > 2σ(*F_o*²) were used in the final least-squares refinement to yield *R*(*F_o*) = 0.052 and *R_w*(*F_o*²) = 0.126. CCDC 182/996.

- M. Bochmann, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 255; H. H. Brintzinger, D. Fischer, R. Mülhaupt, B. Rieger and R. M. Waymouth, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1995, 34, 1143; P. C. Möhring and N. J. Coville, J.Organomet. Chem., 1994, 479, 1; W. Kaminsky, K. Kulper and H. H. Brintzinger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1985, 24, 507.
- 2 J. S. Vilardo, M. G. Thorn, P. E. Fanwick and I. P. Rothwell, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 2425.
- 3 I. M. M. Fussing, D. Pletcher and R. J. Whitby, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1994, **470**, 109; K. Nomura, N. Naga, M. Miki, K. Yanagi and A. Imai, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 2152.
- 4 For related chemistry of perfluorophenoxide derivatives see S. W. Ewart, M. J. Sarsfield, D. Jeremic, T. L. Tremblay, E. F. Williams and M. C. Baird, *Organometallics*, 1998, **17**, 1502; M. J. Sarsfield, S. W. Ewart, T. L. Tremblay, A. W. Roszak and M. C. Baird, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1997, 3097; T. L. Tremblay, S. W. Ewart, M. J. Sarsfield and M. C. Baird, *Chem. Commun.*, 1997, 831.
- 5 For linked Cp-phenoxide derivatives see Y.-X. Chen, P.-F. Fu, C. L. Stern and T. J. Marks, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 5958.
- 6 U. Thewalt and T. Wohrle, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994, 464, C17.
- 7 P. E. Fanwick, personal communication.
- X. Yang, C. L. Stern and T. J. Marks, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 10015; J. A. Ewen and M. J. Elder, Chem. Abstr., 1991, 115, 136998g;
 A. G. Massey and A. J. Park, J. Organomet. Chem., 1964, 2, 245.
- 9 J. D. Scollard, D. H. McConville and S. J. Rettig, *Organometallics*, 1997, 16, 1810; R. E. von H. Spence, D. J. Parks, W. E. Piers, M.-A. MacDonald, M. J. Zaworotko and S. J. Rettig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, 34, 1230.

Communication 8/05476B