ChemComm

Chemical Communications

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Volume 48 | Number 43 | 28 May 2012 | Pages 5201–5380



ISSN 1359-7345

RSCPublishing

COMMUNICATION O'Hagan and Li *et al.* [¹⁸F]-5-Fluoro-5-deoxyribose, an efficient peptide bioconjugation ligand for positron emission tomography (PET) imaging



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 5247-5249

COMMUNICATION

[¹⁸F]-5-Fluoro-5-deoxyribose, an efficient peptide bioconjugation ligand for positron emission tomography (PET) imaging[†]

Xiang-Guo Li, \ddagger^{*ab} Sergio Dall'Angelo, \ddagger^{c} Lutz F. Schweiger,^c Matteo Zanda^c and David O'Hagan^{*a}

Received 20th February 2012, Accepted 13th March 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2cc31262j

[¹⁸F]-5-Fluoro-5-deoxyribose ([¹⁸F]-FDR) conjugates much more rapidly than [¹⁸F]-FDG under mild reaction conditions to peptides and offers new prospects for mild and rapid bioconjugation for fluorine-18 labelling in PET imaging.

Positron emission tomography (PET) is an important imaging modality used widely in clinical diagnosis.¹ Fluorine-18 is an ideal isotope for PET applications in the clinic and in research and pharmaceuticals development programmes. It has a relatively long half-life ($t_{1/2} = 109.8$ min) and it is readily generated at very high specific activity as [¹⁸F]F⁻ fluoride ion in hospital and research centre localized cyclotrons. One of the current challenges in PET is to efficiently attach a readily available fluorine-18 containing small molecule to the peptide by bioconjugation through a linker. This is generally achieved by reaction of, e.g., a benzaldehyde e.g. 1, or an activated benzoic acid e.g. 2, or a pyridine carboxylic acid e.g. 3, or a maleimide linker e.g. 4 or a water soluble acetylene 5 carrying a fluorine-18 label (for 'click' conjugation) with an appropriate linker attached to the peptide/protein, or by conjugation directly.² A drawback of aromatic moieties is that the ligated peptide has an increased hydrophobicity due to the attachment of an aromatic moiety. Furthermore, the preparation of [¹⁸F]-labelled prosthetic groups such as 1-5 can be difficult to adapt to automated PET radiochemistry synthesis platforms and this limits widespread application of the technology. Thus [18F]-FDG is an attractive candidate for direct peptide conjugation as it is a hydrophilic sugar and it is readily available at PET centres worldwide. However, [¹⁸F]-FDG does not conjugate well with peptide linkers under mild conditions. Instead, successful reactions with hydrazine/ alkoxyamine bioconjugate linkers require low pH (\sim pH 1–3)^{3a} and/or high temperature^{3b} (~80–130 °C), and even then extended conjugations times (~ 30 min to several hours), a combination often incompatible with peptides, and the desire

to carry out rapid protocols.^{3*a*-*d*} Thus to circumvent these issues [¹⁸F]-FDG has been pre-modified prior to bioconjugation. Recent developments have therefore involved the pre-preparation of [¹⁸F]-FDG-maleimidehexyloxime **6** which is then conjugated to an appropriate cysteine containing/modified peptide to give **7**.⁴ Other recent approaches to [¹⁸F]-FDG derivatisation have involved nitrophenol acetal **8**⁵ and α -azide **9**⁶ followed by substitution and 'click' reactions respectively as illustrated in Scheme 1. In these cases the prepared [¹⁸F]-FDG requires modification to generate a suitable linker prior to bioconjugation.

In this *Communication* we present a conjugation method which utilizes [¹⁸F]-5-fluoro-5-deoxyribose ([¹⁸F]-FDR **10**) as a novel [¹⁸F]-prosthetic group for the efficient and direct labelling of biopolymers. Our interest in [¹⁸F]-FDR **10** was stimulated by its availability by biotransformation involving the fluorinase enzyme.⁷ However we also reveal that [¹⁸F]-FDR can be efficiently prepared by adaptation of the standard automated



Scheme 1 Compounds 1–4 are representative of fluoroaryl acylation or alkylation precursors used for fluorine-18 bioconjugation to peptides/ proteins. Click conjugations are represented by 5. Methods *e.g.* (a)–(c) involving [¹⁸F]-FDG all require pre-derivatisation of [¹⁸F]-FDG prior to bioconjugation.

^a University of St Andrews, School of Chemistry and Centre for Biomolecular Science, North Haugh, St Andrews, Fife KY16 9ST, UK. E-mail: do1@st-andrews.ac.uk

^b Laboratory of Synthetic Drug Chemistry, Department of Pharmacology, Drug Development and Therapeutics, University of Turku and Turku PET Centre, 20014 Turku, Finland. E-mail: xiali@utu.fi

^c John Mallard Scottish PET Centre, School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZD, UK

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: [¹⁸F]-FDR production and peptide conjugations are described in detail. See DOI: 10.1039/c2cc31262j

[‡] These authors contributed equally.



Scheme 2 Automated production of [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 in a GE TRACERLab[®] module. (a) Reactions involved in the synthesis of [¹⁸F]-FDR. (b) Radio-HPLC analysis demonstrates an [¹⁸F]-FDR product of over 98% radiochemical purity.

protocol used for the synthesis of [18F]-FDG in PET laboratories worldwide (Scheme 2).8 Accordingly, activated sulfonate ester precursors 11a and 11b were readily prepared in two steps from D-ribose (ESI^{\dagger}). Precursor **11** was converted to [¹⁸F]-FDR **10** in a reprogrammed GE TRACERLab® module which was intended for routine production of [¹⁸F]-FDG. Intermediate [¹⁸F]-12 was formed by reaction of 11 with K18F-Kryptofix 2,2,2 (K222) in dry acetonitrile, and the product was then hydrolyzed with aqueous HCl (1 M) to give $[^{18}F]$ -FDR 10. Finally the reaction mixture is purified through a Chromabond cartridge. This protocol took 50 min in our hands. The decay corrected radiochemical yield (RCY) considering the activity transferred in the reaction vessel was $(35.0 \pm 5)\%$ (*n* = 6) for **11a** and $(33.0 \pm 8)\%$ (*n* = 3) for **11b**. Thus both precursors 11a and 11b proved very practical. Production was scaled to achieve 4 GBq of radioactivity without any difficulty. Notably, the radiochemical purity was over 98% after discharge from the automated system, without any need for further purification (Scheme 2). Upon reverse phase HPLC, the retention time of $[{}^{18}F]$ -FDR 10 (RT = 4 min) was found to be clearly different from that of intermediate $[^{18}F]$ -12 (RT = 18 min, ESI[†]).

Aldose sugars (*e.g.* FDG and FDR) exist in several forms, including α - and β -anomers and the open chain aldehyde. During bioconjugation the aldehyde reacts with an alkoxyamine (*e.g.* 13 and 14, Scheme 3) to form an oxime (*e.g.* 15). Accordingly, the tendency for ring opening of the sugar dictates its conjugation efficiency. Non-fluorinated sugars have been treated with hydroxylamine to form oximes, with D-ribose emerging as the most efficient conjugation ligand under mild reaction conditions (pH 4.6, 25 °C).⁹ In the case of [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 the 5-membered ring and the fluorine positioned at C-5 are features which promote ring opening to the aldehydic form. We anticipated



Scheme 3 Oxime bioconjugation strategy of GSH with [¹⁸F]-FDR 10.

Table 1 Comparative conjugation times and conversions between 14 (20 mM) and the aldose sugars (20 mM) at pH 4.6 (sodium acetate buffer, 0.25M) at 25 $^\circ$ C

Entry	Sugar	Time/min	Conversion ^a (%)
1	5-FDR	7	>98
2	D-Ribose	7	17
		60	60
3	D-Glucose	7	2
		60	14
4	6-FDG	60	25
5	FDG	1080	48
^a Convers	ions were determin	ed by HPLC.	

that [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 and its "cold" counterpart [¹⁹F]-FDR (named 5-FDR) could be an efficient ligand for rapid bioconjugations. To explore this, the endogenous tripeptide, glutathione (GSH), was chosen as a model peptide to study its conjugation with 5-FDR. The maleimide linker 13 was prepared according to a literature protocol and was attached to GSH through the sulfur of the cysteine residue,¹⁰ affording 14 in 3 min and in quantitative yield (Scheme 3 and ESI⁺). The reaction between 5-FDR (20 mM) and 14 (20 mM) was then evaluated and was shown to be complete within 7 min (pH 4.6 at 25 °C) (Table 1, entry 1). Under the same conditions, conjugation of D-ribose to 14 was significantly slower (60% conversion in 1 h, entry 2). Conjugation with D-glucose was slower again (entry 3), indicative of the relative rates of five-membered and six-membered ring opening under the reaction conditions. 6-Fluoro-substitution of D-glucose (6-FDG) did not accelerate the conjugation to a significant extent (entry 4). Among the sugars studied FDG (2-fluoro-2-deoxyglucose) was the most sluggish conjugation partner (48% conversion in 45 h, entry 5) which is reasonably due to the electronegative fluorine which, at the 2-position of the sugar, disfavours oxocarbenium ion formation required for ring opening to the aldehydic form necessary for oxime formation.

pH is an important factor in the reaction between D-ribose and hydroxylamine.⁹ Conjugation between either **13** or **14** and 5-FDR was explored at different pHs (between pH 2.6–6.0 at 25 °C) and pH 4.6 emerged as an optimum with reactions taking only a few minutes. However even at pH 7.0, the conjugation with **14** went to completion in 2 h. For analysis purposes, conjugated "cold" **15** ([¹⁹F]-**15**) was isolated from a preparative-scale reaction between **14** and 5-FDR, which proceeded to 99% completion in 7 minutes. The isolation process was carried out by passing the product mixture through a C18 cartridge. It was observed that [¹⁹F]-**15** was stable to hydrolysis at pH 7.0 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for at least 8 h at 37 °C, suitable for *in vivo* PET imaging applications.

An oxime, formed between an alkoxyamine and an aldose, can exist in ring-opened and/or ring-closed forms.¹¹ When [¹⁹F]-**15** was subjected to ¹H-NMR analysis in D₂O, it became clear that the conjugate exists exclusively in ring-opened E/Zforms in a ratio of 4:1 (Fig. 1). The presence of the imine bonded protons of the *E* and *Z* isomers was diagnostic (*E*-isomer, 7.40 ppm, N = CH, d, J = 6.8 Hz; *Z*-isomer, 6.78 ppm, d, J = 6.0 Hz). There was no evidence for cyclic forms by ¹H-NMR. This product profile contrasts with conjugates with [¹⁸F]-FDG, which existed in both ring-opened and closed forms.^{3b} With this background a radiolabelled conjugation of [¹⁸F]-FDR **10** with **14**



Fig. 1 (a) Proposed forms of $[^{19}F]$ -**15** in aqueous solution; (b) ¹H-NMR signals representing the proton at the imine bond carbon of *E*- and *Z*- $[^{19}F]$ -**15**, respectively.



Scheme 4 ¹⁸F-labelling of peptide **16**: (a) efficient reaction under mild conditions; (b) radioactive detection of labelled product [¹⁸F]-**17**.

was explored. Accordingly, compound 14 (2 mg) was incubated with [18F]-FDR 10 (8-12 MBq) in sodium acetate buffer (110 µL, 90 mM, pH 4.6) in an Eppendorf tube at room temperature. Now the molar ratio of 14 relative to 10 is in large excess, and the reaction proceeded extremely rapidly ($\sim 10 \text{ min}$) and to completion (>95%, n = 3) as determined by radiochemical conversion (HPLC). The identity of product [¹⁸F]-15 was confirmed by comparison with a reference sample of [19F]-15. During the production of [¹⁸F]-FDR 10, D-ribose was generated as a side product, just as glucose is generated during FDG production. Based on the results in Table 1 (entries 1 and 2), the oxime formation with D-ribose is anticipated to be much slower in the presence of [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 as a competing conjugation ligand. Also there was distinct separation of conjugate [¹⁸F]-15 from unreacted peptide 14 on HPLC such that it is not a contaminant in the radiolabelled product (ESI[†]).

A peptide of clinical relevance was then explored for bioconjugation with [¹⁸F]-FDR 10. The hexapeptide H-SLIGKV-OH is a well-characterized agonist of the human protease activated receptor 2 (PAR-2).¹² PAR-2 is involved in a number of pathological processes, including its role in tumor progression.¹³ Recent studies have shown that PAR-2 is involved in the cell invasion of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). HCC is a metastatic malignancy and its early diagnosis remains a challenge.¹⁴ Peptide 16, which is commercially available, has an aminoxyacetyl (Aoa) group attached to the N-terminus of the H-SLIGKV-OH peptide (Scheme 4). Conjugation between 16 (2 mg, 22.6 mM) and [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 in sodium acetate buffer was again very rapid (~95% conversion in 10 min) at room temperature. In the hot labeling experiments, peptide 16 was used in excess of $[^{18}F]$ -FDR 10. Analytical radio-HPLC purification was performed to demonstrate a clear separation of labeled product [¹⁸F]-17 from unreacted peptide 16. Thus [¹⁸F]-17 was obtained in high radiopurity (>99%) and was free of precursor 16. The analytical method did not allow an accurate determination of the specific activity. Additionally, it is satisfactory to use a reduced amount of peptide **16** (0.5–1.0 mg) for hot labeled runs. For example, when the amount of **16** was reduced to 0.5 mg (5.6 mM), the conjugation took 1 h at room temperature (ESI†). The experiments were reproducible and in each case the resultant conjugate [¹⁸F]-**17** was the only radioactive product. The identity of [¹⁸F]-**17** was confirmed by comparison with a reference sample obtained independently from the conjugation between **16** and 5-FDR. Thus bioconjugation to this PAR-2 agonist proved very straightforward.

In summary it is demonstrated that [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 is an efficient bioconjugation ligand for [¹⁸F]-fluoro-labelling of suitably modified peptides. An attractive aspect of [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 over [¹⁸F]-FDG utilising protocols, there is no requirement for harsh conditions or pre-derivatisation of the [¹⁸F]-sugar, and labelling can occur at pH 4.6-7.0 in one step. The ligation is extremely rapid relative to FDG due to the location of the fluorine at C-5 and the increased reactivity of 5-membered over 6-membered aldol sugars with alkoxyamines. So there are special electronic features of FDR that render it particularly suitable in this context. Additionally an automated production method for [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 is developed by adapting a standard GE TRACERLab[®] module used for routine [¹⁸F]-FDG synthesis. With its ease of synthesis and its conjugation efficiency [¹⁸F]-FDR 10 emerges as a very attractive bioconjugation tool for clinical and preclinical peptide PET imaging studies.

We thank Mr Stuart Craib (University of Aberdeen) for [¹⁸F]-fluoride production. X.-G. Li thanks the Academy of Finland for the research funding (Grant 133127).

Notes and references

- 1 P. W. Miller, N. J. Long, R. Vilar and A. D. Gee, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 8998, and references therein.
- 2 (a) D. E. Olberg and O. K. Hjelstuen, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **10**, 1669; (b) B. Kuhnast and F. Dollé, *Curr. Radiopharm.*, 2010, **3**, 174–201.
- 3 (a) M. Simpson, L. Trembleau, R. W. Cheyne and T. A. D. Smith, *Appl. Radiat. Isot.*, 2011, **69**, 418; (b) F. Wuest, C. Hultsch, M. Berndt and R. Bergmann, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **19**, 5426; (c) M. Namavari, Z. Cheng, R. Zhang, A. De, J. Levi, J. K. Hoerner, S. S. Yaghoubi, F. A. Syud and S. S. Gambhir, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2009, **20**, 432; (d) C. Hultsch, M. Schottelius, J. Auernheimer, A. Alke and H.-J. Wester, *Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol. Imaging*, 2009, **36**, 1469.
- 4 F. Wuest, M. Berndt, R. Bergmann, J. van den Hoff and J. Pietzsch, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2008, **19**, 1202.
- 5 C. P. Phenix, B. P. Rempel, K. Colobong, D. J. Doudet, M. J. Adam, L. A. Clarke and S. G. Withers, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 10842.
- 6 O. Boutureira, F. D'Hooge, M. Fernádez-González, G. J. L. Bernardes, M. Sanchez-Návarro, J. R. Koeppe and B. G. Davis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 8142.
- 7 (a) M. Onega, J. Domarkas, H. Deng, L. F. Schweiger, T. A. E. Welch, C. Plisson, A. D. Gee and D. O'Hagan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 139; (b) H. Deng, S. L. Cobb, A. D. Gee, A. Lockhart, L. Martarello, R. P. McGlinchey, D. O'Hagan and M. Onega, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 42, 654.
- 8 K. Hamacher, H. H. Coenen and G. Stocklin, J. Nucl. Med., 1986, 27, 235.
- 9 J. W. Haas, Jr. and R. E. Kadunce, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 4910.
- 10 M. Berndt, J. Pietzsch and F. Wuest, Nucl. Med. Biol., 2007, 34, 5.
- 11 P. M. Moyle and T. W. Muir, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 15878.
- 12 M. Molino, E. S. Barnathan, R. Numerof, J. Clark, M. Dreyer, A. Cumashi, J. A. Hoxie, N. Schechter, M. Woolkalis and L. F. Brass, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1997, **272**, 4043.
- 13 R. Kaufmann, C. Oettel, A. Horn, K. Halbhuber, A. Eitner and R. Krieg, *Carcinogenesis*, 2009, **30**, 1487.
- 14 R. Kaufmann, F. Muβbach, P. Henklein and U. Settmacher, J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol., 2011, 137, 965.