Enhanced Reactivity of Cationic vs Neutral Hafnocene Complexes in Stoichiometric and Catalytic σ -Bond Metathesis Reactions Involving Si-H and Si-C Bonds

Aaron D. Sadow and T. Don Tilley*

Department of Chemistry, University of California at Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-1460

Received August 13, 2001

Summary: A comparison of the cation-like complex $CpCp*HfH(\mu-H)B(C_6F_5)_3$ with the neutral analogue CpCp*HfHCl reveals increased activity toward σ -bond metathesis for the more electrophilic, cationic complex. A catalytic transformation of PhSiH₃ to Ph₂SiH₂ and SiH₄ by CpCp*HfH(μ -H)B(C₆F₅)₃ appears to occur by σ -bond metathesis of the Si-C bond, which occurs concurrently with dehydropolymerization to highly crosslinked polysilanes.

Metal-mediated reactions involving bond cleavage and bond formation via concerted, four-centered transition states (*a*-bond metathesis) offer considerable potential for the development of new catalytic conversions. In principle, such processes could be analogous to wellknown catalytic cycles based on oxidative addition and reductive elimination but appear to be almost exclusively associated with d⁰ transition metal centers. The activation of hydrocarbons by σ -bond metathesis has been well-known for over 20 years,¹⁻³ but the development of catalytic reactions involving this chemistry has been hindered by the apparent restriction that carbon cannot adopt the β -position of a four-centered transition state.^{3b} This restriction does not exist for silicon, and therefore, catalytic reactions involving the σ -bond metathesis of Si-H bonds (i.e., dehydropolymerization⁴ and hydrosilylation⁵) have been developed.

Clearly, new metal complexes that are more active in σ -bond metathesis could greatly expand the set of useful catalytic reactions associated with this chemistry. The design of such systems will require a better understanding of the electronic factors that influence

 σ -bond metathesis reactivity at d⁰ metal centers. Given similarities in the transition states for σ -bond metathesis and the propagation step of alkene polymerization, as catalyzed by metallocene derivatives (both involve four-center, four-electron, electrocyclic transition states), it seemed that cationic complexes⁶ might exhibit enhanced reactivity in σ -bond metathesis. Indeed, a recent theoretical study supports this view,⁷ and whereas $[Cp*_2ZrH][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$ reacts with benzene- d_6 to form [Cp*₂ZrD][DB(C₆F₅)₃],^{6a} Cp*₂ZrH₂ is not deuterated by benzene-d₆.⁸ However, attempts to employ formally 14electron cationic metallocene catalysts for the dehydropolymerization of silanes have not led to significant increases in polymer chain lengths, and in many cases significant reductions in molecular weight have been observed (implying reduced activity for the cationic catalyst).9 Harrod and co-workers have identified a cationic zirconocene system that is active in silane polymerization, and interestingly they suggest that silyl radicals may be involved in the mechanism for polysilane formation.¹⁰ To better understand these reactivity patterns, we have directly compared related cationic and neutral d⁰ CpCp*Hf complexes in simple σ -bond metathesis steps involving Si-H bonds. Here we report evidence that the cationic complexes exhibit remarkably higher reactivities.

The neutral dimethyl complex CpCp*HfMe₂ (1) does not react with 1 equiv of PhSiH₃ over 1 week in benzene d_6 (25 °C). In contrast, the cation-like, zwitterionic complex $CpCp*HfMe(\mu-Me)B(C_6F_5)_3$ (2) reacts with 1 equiv of PhSiH₃ over 3 h in benzene- d_6 at room temperature to quantitatively form CpCp*HfH(μ -H)B(C₆F₅)₃ (3) and PhMe₂SiH (by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; eq 1). During the course of this reaction the intermediate PhMeSiH₂ was observed, and use of 0.5 equiv of PhSiH₃ in the reaction resulted in formation of a 1:1 mixture of

(6) (a) Xang, X.; Stern, C. L.; Marks, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 10015. (b) Jordan, R. F.; Bajgur, C. S.; Dasher, W. E.; Rheingold, A. L. *Organometallics* **1987**, *6*, 1041. (c) Jordan, R. F.; LaPointe, R. E.; Bradley, P. K.; Baenziger, N. Organometallics **1989**, *8*, 2892. (d) Jordan, R. F.; Taylor, D. F.; Baenziger, N. C. Organometallics **1990**, 9, 1546.

(10) (a) Dioumaev, V. K.; Harrod, J. F. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 521, 133. (b) Dioumaev, V. K.; Harrod, J. F. Organometallics 1994, 13, 1548. (c) Dioumaev, V. K.; Harrod, J. F. Organometallics 1996, 15. 3859.

^{(1) (}a) Watson, P. J.; Parshall, G. W. Acc. Chem. Res. 1985, 18, 51. (b) Rothwell, I. P. Polyhedron 1985, 4, 177. (c) Gell, K. I.; Posin, B.; Schwartz, J.; Williams, G. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1846.

^{(2) (}a) Lin, Z.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7979. (b) Jeske, G.; Lauke, H.; Mauermann, H.; Schumann, H.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 8111. (c) Fagan, P. J.; Manriquez, J. M.; Maatta, E. A.; Seyam, A. M.; Marks, T. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6650.

^{(3) (}a) Thompson, M. E.; Baxter, S. M.; Bulls, A. R.; Burger, B. J.; Nolan, M. C.; Santarsiero, B. D.; Schaefer, W. P.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 203. (b) Piers, W. E.; Shapiro, P. J.; Bunel, E. E.; Bercaw, J. E. Synlett 1990, 74.

<sup>E.; Bercaw, J. E. Synlett 1990, 74.
(4) (a) Tilley, T. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 1993, 26, 22. (b) Woo, H.-G.;
Heyn, R. H.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5698. (c) Woo,
H.-G.; Walzer, J. F.; Tilley, T. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7047.
(d) Aitken, C. T.; Harrod, J. F.; Samuel, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4059. (e) Woo, H.-G.; Harrod, J. F.; Henique, J.; Samuel, E. Organometallics 1993, 12, 2883. (f) Aitken, C.; Harrod, J. F.; Samuel, E. Corganometallics 1993, 12, 2883. (f) Aitken, C.; Harrod, J. F.; Samuel, E. J. Chem. J. S. C. M. Soc. 1986.</sup> E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1985, 279, C11. (g) Chang, L. S.; Corey, J. Y. Organometallics 1989, 8, 1885.

⁽⁵⁾ See for example: (a) Fu, P.; Brard, L.; Li, Y.; Marks, T. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 7157. (b) Molander, G. A.; Corrette, C. P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5011. (c) Gountchev, T. I.; Tilley, T. D. Organometallics 1999, 18, 5661.

⁽⁷⁾ Ustynyuk, Yu. A.; Ustynyuk, L. Yu.; Laikov, D. N.; Lunin, V. V. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 597, 182.
(8) (a) Manriquez, J. M.; McAlister, D. R.; Sanner, R. D.; Bercaw, J. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2716. (b) Lee, H.; Desrosiers, P. J.; Guzei, I.; Rheingold, A. L.; Parkin, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 00072 3255

⁽⁹⁾ Imori, T.; Tilley, T. D. Polyhedron 1994, 13, 2231.



$$[CpCp*HfMe][\mu-MeB(C_6F_5)_3] + PhSiH_3 \xrightarrow{C_6D_6} 2$$
$$[CpCp*HfH][\mu-HB(C_6F_5)_3] + PhMe_2SiH (1)$$

2:3, rather than a mixed hafnium hydride/methyl borate complex. While it has been observed that some reactions of early-transition-metal compounds with silanes are accelerated by light,^{3,10,11} this transformation proceeds identically in the presence or absence of ambient light. As might be expected from what is known about steric influences on the rates of σ -bond metathesis reactions,^{4b} bulkier silanes react more slowly with **2** (relative rates: CySiH₃ > MesSiH₃ (Mes = 2,4,6-Me₃C₆H₂), Ph₂-SiH₂ > Mes₂SiH₂). These results suggest that zwitterionic **2** reacts as an associated ion pair with increased electrophilicity due to CpCp*HfMe⁺ character and is considerably more reactive than neutral **1** toward σ -bond metathesis with Si–H bonds.

Compound **3** was isolated from the reaction of **2** with 1.5 equiv of $PhSiH_3$ in pentane, and it may also be prepared by the reaction of **1** with hydrogen (1 atm). Unlike $[Cp*_2ZrH][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$,^{6a} which features a terminal B–H bond and coordination of the anion to Zr via Zr····F–C interactions, **3** appears to possess a Hf–H–B-bonded structure. Thus, no terminal B–H stretch was observed in the infrared spectrum of **3**, but a stretching vibration at 1950 cm⁻¹ may be attributed to M–H–B bonding.¹² In addition, the observed ¹J_{BH} coupling constant (50 Hz) reflects the presence of a bridging hydrogen.¹³

In contrast to $[Cp*_2ZrH][HB(C_6F_5)_3]$,^{6a} which reacts with benzene- d_6 via H/D exchange involving the Zr-H and the B-H positions, no deuteration of **3** is observed in benzene- d_6 before thermal decomposition at 100 °C after 4 days. Though the "cationic" nature of hydride **3** might be expected to result in higher activity toward the dehydropolymerization of hydrosilanes, relative to similar neutral complexes,⁹ there is little difference in the molecular weight ($M_n \approx 2000$) of polysilane produced by the dehydropolymerization of neat PhSiH₃ with **3** or CpCp*HfHCl (**4**) as the catalyst (2 mol %, 2 days). This result prompted further investigation of the mechanisms of these dehydropolymerizations.

The neutral catalyst 4, which is known to react with silanes via a four-centered transition state,⁴ reacts with excess PhSiH₃ in benzene- d_6 (50 °C) to give oligometric polysilanes and H₂. The disappearance of PhSiH₃ obeys second-order kinetics (over 3 half-lives), as demonstrated by plots of 1/[PhSiH₃] vs time, and the reaction also displays a first-order dependence on catalyst concentration. This kinetic behavior is consistent with a metal-catalyzed condensation polymerization in which the first step of the catalytic cycle is reversible (Scheme 1, pathway A), and mass balance requires 2 equiv of PhSiH₃ for a single turnover of the cycle. The overall rate law for this mechanism is rate = $k_{obs}[4][PhSiH_3]^2$, where $k_{obs} = K^{A}_{eq}k^{A}_{2}/[H_{2}]$ ($k_{obs} = 3.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-2}$ at 51.2 °C), and as expected the reaction rate is inhibited by H₂. Although this kinetic behavior describes only monomer consumption, we assume that further steps in the polymerization (involving dehydrocoupling of polysilanes) proceed in an analogous fashion.

The cation-like hafnium hydride **3** catalyzes a more complex reaction of PhSiH₃, in that both dehydrocoupling and redistribution products are observed (eq 2; benzene- d_6 , 5 mol % **3**). Analysis of the reaction products

$$n PhSiH_3 \xrightarrow{5 \mod \% 3}_{C_6 D_6}$$
$$H - (PhSiH)_x - H + (n-1)H_2 + Ph_2SiH_2 + SiH_4 (2)$$

by NMR spectroscopy revealed that ca. 75% of the starting PhSiH₃ reacted via the redistribution pathway and that SiH₄ is incorporated into the polymer chain, yielding cross-linked oligomers. A ²⁹Si{¹H} DEPT 90° NMR spectrum of the polymer contained resonances for linear poly(phenylsilane) segments,¹⁴ and a group of resonances in the range δ –95 to –120 was ascribed to

⁽¹¹⁾ Casty, G. L.; Lugmair, C. G.; Radu, N. S.; Tilley, T. D.; Walzer, J. F.; Zargarian, D. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 8.

⁽¹²⁾ Nakamoto, K. Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Part A: Theory and Applications in Inorganic Chemistry, 5th ed.; Wiley: New York, 1997; Part A, p 247.

⁽¹³⁾ Marsella, J. A.; Caulton, K. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1982**, *104*, 2361.

HS*i*(Si)₃ branching sites. Though the molecular weights of polysilanes produced by the neutral and cationic hydride catalysts are similar, the polysilane backbone structure produced by **3** differs significantly from that derived from **4**, in that cross-linking is not observed with the neutral catalyst (see Supporting Information). Thus, these reaction products reveal an important limitation in the effectiveness of **3** in producing high-molecularweight polysilanes, in that significant side reactions compete with polymerization.¹⁵ In addition, the observation of Si–C bond cleavage under such mild conditions implicates the presence of highly active catalytic species, since Si–C bonds are generally unreactive in σ -bond metathesis transformations.

During the conversion of eq 2, compound 3 remained unchanged and no identifiable intermediates (e.g., Hf-Ph or Hf–SiH₂Ph species) were observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. Despite the complexity of this reaction, its kinetic behavior, in terms of loss of PhSiH₃, is surprisingly well-behaved and analogous to that observed for the dehydrocoupling of PhSiH₃ catalyzed by 4. Thus, a linear second-order plot of 1/[PhSiH₃] vs time and first-order dependence on catalyst concentration yields a rate law (rate = k'_{obs} [**3**][PhSiH₃]², k'_{obs} = 4.5 × $10^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ M}^{-2}$) which suggests operation of only transition-metal-catalyzed coupling reactions. A mechanism that is consistent with the kinetic data, the observed products, and established trends for σ -bond metathesis features two concurrent reaction pathways that employ 3 as a common catalyst (Scheme 1). This mechanism would give rise to second-order dependence on substrate concentration, with a rate law of the form

$$rate = \left(\frac{K_{eq}^{A} k_{2}^{A}}{[H_{2}]} + \frac{K_{eq}^{B} k_{2}^{B}}{[SiH_{4}]}\right) [\mathbf{3}] [PhSiH_{3}]^{2}$$
(3)

From this expression, it can be seen that k'_{obs} is a combination of terms corresponding to the two reaction pathways, the first of which results from dehydrocoupling (vide supra). The redistribution pathway is manifested in a second term ($K_{eq}^{B} k_{2}^{B}/[SiH_{4}]$), which arises from an equilibrium involving cleavage of the Si–C bond

of PhSiH₃ with formation of SiH₄ and a cationic hafnium phenyl complex. Evidence in support of the phenyltransfer steps in the redistribution cycle was observed in the reaction of $CpCp^*HfPh(\mu-Me)B(C_6F_5)_3$ (5) with $PhSiH_3$ (in benzene- d_6), to give Ph_2SiH_2 . Given the mechanism of Scheme 1, it should be possible to influence the extent of dehydrocoupling vs redistribution by controlling the H₂ and SiH₄ pressures (eq 3). Such an effect was observed in the reaction of 3 with 1 equiv of PhSiH₃ under 1 atm of H₂. As expected, added H₂ inhibits the dehydrocoupling pathway to favor redistribution by a factor of 4:1. In summary, we strongly favor the mechanistic proposal of Scheme 1, and other possibilities (e.g., involving radicals or purely Lewis acidic species) seem less likely.¹⁶ Additional experiments are underway to investigate this issue.

By comparison with well-studied neutral dehydropolymerization catalysts, the results reported here indicate that cationic group 4 metallocenes react with silanes via four-center, four-electron transition states. In addition, the cationic complexes exhibit increased reactivities in σ -bond metathesis. This enhanced activity is seen in increased rates of reaction and in the activation of Si–C bonds, which appear to be generally less reactive than Si–H bonds in σ -bond metathesis.¹⁷ Thus, the design of more reactive catalysts can be based on formation of cationic metal complexes; however, as is often the case, issues of selectivity then come into play.

Acknowledgment is made to the National Science Foundation for their generous support of this work and to Jack Kyte (UC San Diego) for valuable discussions.

Supporting Information Available: Text and figures giving procedures for the synthesis and characterization of **2**, **3**, and **5**, experimental details for the kinetic experiments, kinetics data for the dehydropolymerization reactions, and ²⁹Si NMR spectra for poly(phenylsilanes). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OM010737C

^{(14) (}a) Grimmond, B. J.; Corey, J. Y. Organometallics **2000**, *19*, 3776. (b) Grimmond, B. J.; Rath, N. P.; Corey, J. Y. Organometallics **1999**, *18*, 2975. (c) Dioumaev, V. K.; Rahimian, K.; Gauvin, F.; Harrod, J. F. Organometallics **1999**, *18*, 2249.

⁽¹⁵⁾ Ödian, G. *Principles of Polymerization*, 3rd ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1991.

⁽¹⁶⁾ For the reaction mixture involving CpCp*HfH(μ -H)B(C₆F₅)₃ and PhSiH₃, radicals were not observed by EPR spectroscopy, after 1 h and 1 week. To test for the activity of a Lewis acidic catalyst (without a reactive σ -bond) in this system, PhSiH₃ was added to CpCp*Hf(OTf)-(μ -Me)B(C₆F₅)₃, generated in solution by addition of B(C₆F₅)₃ to CpCp*Hf(OTf)Me. After 5 days at room temperature, no reaction of the silane had occurred.

⁽¹⁷⁾ We have recently observed related redistribution processes with lanthanide catalysts: (a) Castillo, I.; Tilley, T. D. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 4733. (b) Radu, N. S.; Tilley, T. D.; Rheingold, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1992**, *114*, 8293.