initial product, this reaction provides a convenient test for the intermediacy of 14 in the thermal transformations described above. When the anion 7 in tetrahydrofuran was treated with methyl iodide at $ca. 25^{\circ}$, vpc^{11} and nmr analyses of the products of the reaction indicated that the same five products were produced as in the thermolysis of 1 or 2 and that the two major components, again about 95% of the mixture, were 5 and 6 in a ratio of 87:13, respectively.

These results clearly indicate that 14 is an important intermediate in the thermolysis of 1 or 2 and that the main products of the reactions, 5 and 6, arise from 14 through a $6\pi \rightarrow 4\pi 2\sigma$ disrotatory electrocyclic reaction. In addition, these results show that the thermolysis of cisbicyclo[6.1.0]nona-2,4,6-trienes substituted at C₉ is not a stereospecific reaction, as it might have previously been considered.^{7,8} That is, the predominance of one isomer, *i.e.*, 5 over 6, is due only to the stereoselectivity in the $6\pi \rightarrow 4\pi 2\sigma$ ring closure of 14 to these latter products.

In view of these results we suggest that there are four possible mechanisms for the transformation of 1 to 14. They are (a) a conrotatory $6\pi 2\sigma \rightarrow 8\pi$ ring opening of 1 to give 16 followed by thermal trans \rightarrow cis double isomerization; (b) a direct ring opening of 1 to give 14; (c) a concerted [3.3] signatropic rearrangement of 1 to give 17 followed either by a nonconcerted ring opening to 14; or (d) a conrotatory ring opening to 18 followed by a *trans*cis double bond isomerization.



In view of the facts that trans-bicyclo [6.1.0]nona-2,4,6triene is stable to 100° and polymerizes at higher temperatures,²⁰ that *trans-cis* double bond isomerizations probably have higher activation energy barriers than are required here, and that a good estimate, based on known models, for the activation energy for the nonconcerted $17 \rightarrow 14$ conversion is *ca.* 20 kcal/mol,²¹ we prefer the $1 \rightarrow 17 \rightarrow 14 \rightarrow 5 + 6$ mechanism. However, we defer further discussion of this topic until current experiments are concluded.

Acknowledgment. We are pleased to acknowledge Professor William Okamura and Dr. William Rosen for helpful discussions and we are very grateful to Professor Herbert House for sending us spectra of his samples of 12 and 13. In addition, acknowledgment is made to the

(20) S. Winstein and G. Petrowski, private communication.
 (21) Made from data available for 1,2-divinylcyclobutanes.²²

donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, and to the Intramural Research Fund of the University of California for support of this research.

Phillip Radlick, William Fenical

Department of Chemistry, University of California Riverside, California 92502 Received October 24, 1968

New Functional Halomethylmercury Compounds and Halocarbenes

Sir:

The recent disclosure of the low-yield, photolytic production of chlorocarbethoxycarbene, ClCCO₂Et, from ethyl chlorodiazoacetate¹ prompts us to report our own work concerning the generation of chloro- and bromocarbomethoxycarbenes, as well as of chloro(trifluoromethyl)carbene, by thermolysis of an appropriate organomercury precursor.

Phenyl(dichlorocarbomethoxymethyl)mercury, mp (with slow decomposition) 140-144°, and phenyl(dibromocarbomethoxymethyl)mercury, mp 154-156° dec, were prepared in good yield by the reaction shown in eq 1,

PhHgCl + Me₃COK + HCX₂CO₂Me
$$\xrightarrow{\text{THF}}_{-60 \text{ to } -50^{\circ}}$$

PhHgCX₂CO₂Me + Me₃COH + KCl (1)
X = Cl, 75% yield
X = Br, 59% yield

using the general procedure we described recently for the synthesis of phenyl(trihalomethyl)mercurials in tetrahydrofuran medium.² Both mercurials appear to be good sources of the appropriate halocarbomethoxycarbene, although each is significantly more stable than its trihalomethyl analog, PhHgCCl₃ and PhHgCBr₃, respectively.

Transfer of ClCCO₂Me was effected in 73% yield when 10 mmol of PhHgCCl₂CO₂Me, 38 mmol of cyclooctene, and 20 ml of chlorobenzene were heated at reflux under nitrogen for 11 days. (The progress of the reaction was followed by monitoring the consumption of the mercurial starting material by thin layer chromatography.³) The yield of phenylmercuric chloride was 91%. Both isomeric 9-chloro-9-carbomethoxybicyclo[6.1.0]nonanes were formed (in 1:3.5 ratio, in order of increasing retention time on a DC-200 silicone oil glpc column) (eq 2). The more abundant isomer had n^{25} D 1.4990. Phenyl(dibromocarbomethoxymethyl)mercury was found to be less stable thermally. In an analogous reaction with cyclooctene in chlorobenzene at reflux, phenylmercuric bromide was produced in 87% yield within 43 hr. The yield of the mixed 9-bromo-9-carbomethoxybicyclo[6.1.0]nonane isomers (bp 75-76° (0.03 mm); 1:2.3 ratio in order of increasing retention time on a silicone oil column) was 50%.

9-Chloro-9-carbomethoxybicyclo [4.1.0]heptane was not obtained when PhHgCCl₂CO₂Me was treated with anhy-

⁽²²⁾ H. M. Frey, Advan. Phys. Org. Chem., 4, 176 (1966).

⁽¹⁾ U. Schollkopf, F. Gerhart, M. Reetz, H. Frasnelli, and H. Schumacher, Ann. Chem., 716, 204 (1968).

⁽²⁾ D. Seyferth and R. L. Lambert, Jr., J. Organometal. Chem., 16, 21 (1969) (3) D. Seyferth and J. M. Burlitch, ibid., 4, 127 (1965).

Journal of the American Chemical Society | 91:6 | March 12, 1969



drous sodium iodide in 1,2-dimethoxyethane $(DME)^4$ in the presence of cyclohexene, presumably because of complicating side reactions of the MeO₂CCl₂⁻ intermediate with C=O groups in the starting material and in any cyclopropane product that might have been produced.

Organomercury systems which serve well in the transfer of CF_3CCl also have been prepared (eq 3). Thermolysis

PhHgCl + Me₃COK + CF₃CXYH
$$\xrightarrow{\text{THF}}_{-10 \text{ to } 0^{\circ}}$$

PhHgCXYCF₃ + Me₃COH + KCl (3)
X = Y = Cl, 75% yield, mp 146–148.5°
X = Cl; Y = Br, 86% yield, mp 141–143°

of PhHgCCl₂CF₃ in the presence of cyclooctene (threefold excess) in chlorobenzene at 160° in a bomb tube did not proceed in high conversion during 6.5 days; phenylmercuric chloride was formed in only 25% yield. Glpc analysis of the trap-to-trap distilled filtrate indicated the formation of the anti and syn isomers of 9-chloro-9trifluoromethylbicyclo[6.1.0]nonane in a total yield of 11%. Since phenyl(bromodichloromethyl)mercury decomposes so much more readily under comparable conditions than phenyl(trichloromethyl)mercury and hence is a much more effective CCl₂ precursor,⁵ the mercurial PhHgCClBrCF₃ was prepared. As expected, it was much less stable and was almost completely decomposed (giving PhHgBr in 82% yield) on being heated in cyclooctene solution at reflux for 5.5 days. The 9-chloro-9-trifluoromethylbicyclo[6.1.0]nonane isomer to be eluted first upon glpc analysis using a DC-200 silicone oil column $(n^{25}D)$ 1.4371) was formed in 64% yield; the other isomer, n^{25} D 1.4439, was produced in 10% yield. In addition, a third product, present in 5% yield, was identified as 9-bromo-9-trifluoromethylbicyclo[6.1.0]nonane, n^{25} D 1.4571. Since the starting halocarbon used in the preparation of phenyl(1-bromo-1-chloro-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl)mercury, CF₃CClBrH ("Fluothane," a product of the Ayerst Laboratories), was 99.9% pure by glpc (i.e., free of CF₃CBr₂H), the formation of the CF₃CBr-cyclooctene adduct in 5% yield possibly represents a minor side reaction in which CF_3CBr and phenylmercuric chloride are produced. Such lack of specificity is not too surprising in view of the higher reaction temperature used. A similar lack of specificity was observed in the reaction of this mercurial with triethylsilane (eq 4).

PhHgCClBrCF₃ + Et₃SiH
$$\xrightarrow{PhCl}_{reflux}$$

Et₃SiCHClCF₃ + Et₃SiCHBrCF₃ (4)
(51%) (4%)

Sodium iodide in DME was found to displace the CF₃-

CCl₂⁻ anion from mercury. However, the anion decomposed with β elimination of fluoride ion, forming 1,1-dichloro-2,2-difluoroethylene, rather than via α elimination of chloride ion to give CF_3CCl (eq 5), in agreement with the previous work by Hine.⁶ This experiment thus

PhHgCCl₂CF₃ + Na⁺I⁻
$$\xrightarrow{\text{DME}}$$
 PhHgI + Na⁺CCl₂CF₃⁻ →
NaF + CCl₂=CF₂ (5)

provides further proof that thermal carbene extrusion from phenyl(polyhalomethyl)mercury compounds does not proceed by way of polyhalomethyl anion intermediates.

The present results, as well as earlier studies,^{7,8} suggest that further research on the organomercury route to functional carbenes will be fruitful and that much new and useful carbene chemistry can be developed via this organometallic approach. We are actively pursuing further studies in this area.

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the Air Force Office of Scientific Research ((SRC)-OAR) for generous support of this work through U.S. Air Force Grant No. AFOSR-68-1350 and to the Ayerst Laboratories, Inc., Rouses Point, N. Y., for a gift of 1,1,1-trifluoro-2-chloro-2-bromoethane.

(6) J. Hine, R. Wiesboeck, and O. B. Ramsay, ibid., 83, 1222 (1961).

(7) D. Seyferth and E. M. Hanson, ibid., 90, 2438 (1968).

(8) D. C. Mueller and D. Seyferth, ibid., in press.

(9) National Science Foundation Trainee, 1966-1967; National Science Foundation Graduate Fellow, 1967-1969. (10) Lewis Paul Chapin Fellow in Chemistry, 1968-1969.

Dietmar Seyferth, David C. Mueller,⁹ Robert L. Lambert, Jr.¹⁰ Department of Chemistry, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received December 20, 1968

Reaction of Xenon Difluoride with Benzene¹

Sir:

A variety of methods for selectively halogenating aromatic compounds are currently available. For fluorination, however, the picture is less satisfactory. The direct fluorination of benzene with fluorine gas² or cobaltic fluoride³ yields only a mixture of nonaromatic fluorocarbons and tar. Ellis and Musgrave⁴ have found that the primary process in the reaction of benzene and ClF_3C in CCl_4 in the presence of CoF_2 is one of substitution to give fluorobenzene and chlorobenzene in 26.6 and 58.5% yields, respectively. Addition compounds, biphenyls, and tar were also found. Fluorination of substituted benzenes by this method gave products consistent with an electrophilic mechanism.⁵ CF₃OF has been found to react with salicylic acid to give, presumably by electrophilic substitution, 3- and 5-fluorosalicylic acids.6

We wish to report that xenon difluoride reacts with

(1) Based on work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

- (2) N. Fukuhara and L. A. Bigelow, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 60, 427 (1938).
- (3) A. K. Barbour, H. D. MacKenzie, M. Stacey, and J. C. Tatlow,
- (4) J. F. Ellis and W. K. R. Musgrave, J. Chem. Soc., 3608 (1950).
 (5) J. F. Ellis and W. K. R. Musgrave, *ibid.*, 1036 (1953).
 (6) D. H. R. Barton, A. K. Ganguly, R. H. Hesse, S. N. Loo, and M. M. Pachet, Chem. Commun. 906 (1968).
- M. M. Pechet, Chem. Commun., 806 (1968).

⁽⁴⁾ D. Seyferth, M. E. Gordon, J. Y.-P. Mui, and J. M. Burlitch, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 89, 959 (1967). (5) D. Seyferth, J. M. Burlitch, R. J. Minasz, J. Y.-P. Mui, H. D.

Simmons, Jr., A. J.-H. Treiber, and S. R. Dowd, ibid., 87, 4259 (1965).