FULL PAPER

The photochemistry of $(CO)_4$ Mn $(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)$ Cr $(CO)_3$ in frozen gas matrices at *ca*. 12 K

Paul A. Hamley, Marina K. Kuimova, Alexander J. Blake, Catherine Hughes,

Simon B. L. Lyons, Martyn Poliakoff, Anthony H. Wright[†] and Michael W. George^{*} School of Chemistry, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, UK NG7 2RD. *E-mail: mike.george@nottingham.ac.uk*

Received 10th October 2002, Accepted 29th October 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 7th March 2003



We have investigated the photochemistry of $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ in low temperature matrices. In an argon matrix we see CO loss from only the Mn end of the molecule and $[(CO)_3Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ is the only observed photoproduct. However, in a N₂ matrix photosubstitution is observed at both metal centres and we were able to identify $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_2(N_2)]$, $[(CO)_3(N_2)Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ and $[(CO)_2(N_2)_2Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$. In all these experiments, characterisation of photoproducts was aided by comparison with experiments using the mononuclear fragments $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5C_2H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ and $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)-Mn(CO)_4]$ where we were able to characterise $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_2]$, $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5C_2H_5)Cr(CO)_2(N_2)]$, $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6-H_5)Mn(CO)_3]$ and $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_2]$.

Introduction

There is continuing interest in the reaction mechanism of photochemical substitution reactions of bimetallic or higher nuclearity metal carbonyl compounds.1 The photochemistry of homonuclear complexes, such as $[CpM(CO)_3]_2$ (M = Cr, Mo and W)²⁻¹⁹ and $[CpFe(CO)_2]_2$,²⁰⁻³⁵ has been extensively studied.³⁶ However, there have been comparatively few studies on the photochemistry of heterodinuclear complexes. Wrighton and co-workers found that irradiation of $[(\eta^5-C_5R_5)Fe(CO)_2 Mn(CO)_{5}$ (R = H, Me) produced both homolytic cleavage of the Fe-Mn bond 37,38 and CO loss. 39 Irradiation of [($\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{5}\text{-}$ R_5)Fe(CO)₂Mn(CO)₅] in the presence of PR₃ (R = Ph, OPh) resulted in formation of [(η⁵-C₅R₅)Fe(CO)₂Mn(CO)₄(PR₃)].³⁹ Irradiation of MnRe(CO)₁₀ in the presence of PPh₃ led to the Mn-substituted species [Mn(CO)₄(PPh₃)Re(CO)₅].⁴⁰ Firth et al. found that photolysis of [MnRe(CO)10] led to the formation of [(CO)₄Mn(µ-CO)Re(CO)₄] with CO being lost exclusively from the Mn centre.⁴¹ By contrast, thermal substitution of CO in [MnRe(CO)₁₀] also occurs at the Re.^{42,43} More recently, Stufkens and co-workers⁴⁴ showed that photochemical substitution of PPh₃ into [(CO)₅ReMn(CO)₃(a-diimine)] led exclusively to [(CO)₅ReMn(CO)₂(PPh₃)(a-diimine)] through photo-dissociation of CO from Mn.

In this paper, we investigate the photochemistry of the compound [(CO)₄Mn(μ - η^3 : η^6 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₃] (1). The synthesis of 1 was recently reported by Lyons and Wright and is documented in this paper for completeness.^{45*a*} Unlike the compounds listed above, this complex does not contain a metalmetal bond. In the solid state the Mn and Cr carbonyl moieties lie above and below the phenyl–allyl plane of the ligand, Fig. 1.^{45*b*}

Although the photochemistry of this dinuclear compound is largely unexplored, the photochemistry of the constituent mononuclear fragments is known. For instance, the photochemistry of $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$ has been examined in low temperature matrices as part of elegant experiments by Rest and co-workers⁴⁶ on the precursor $[(\eta^1-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_5]$ species. Photolysis of $[(\eta^1-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_5]$ isolated at high dilution in Ar and CH₄ matrices at 12 K resulted in ejection of a CO ligand together with a σ - π rearrangement of the η^1 -allyl ligand to

[†]Current address: Institute of Fundamental Sciences, Massey University, Science Tower A 3.07, Turieta Site, Palmerston North Campus, Private Bag 11222, Palmerston North 5301, New Zealand.



Fig. 1 Ellipsoid plot drawing of 1 with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.

generate the complex $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$. Subsequent UV photolysis led to loss of a second carbonyl ligand and formation of the sixteen-electron species $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_3]$. The same initial photodecarbonylation and allyl rearrangement was observed in a nitrogen matrix, with formation of the complex $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$. Extended photolysis led to new v(CO)and v(NN) bands, which were assigned to $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn (CO)_3(N_2)$]. The photochemistry of $(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3$ in Ar matrices has also been investigated by Rest and co-workers.47 The unsaturated species $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2]$ was formed as the primary photoproduct. In a nitrogen matrix, $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6) Cr(CO)_2$] was not observed but instead new v(CO) and v(NN)bands were generated which were assigned to the nitrogen substituted complex [(n⁶-C₆H₆)Cr(CO)₂(N₂)], which was subsequently shown to be a stable isolable complex. More recently the photochemistry of $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3]$ has been studied in alkane solution at room temperature by Creaven et al.48 who observed $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_2(n-heptane)]$ in *n*-heptane solution at room temperature.

In this paper, our strategy has been to use these mononuclear compounds to investigate the photochemistry of the dinuclear complex. We begin by showing that the two metal carbonyl moieties are essentially vibrationally uncoupled. We then analyse the spectra of the primary photoproducts as the superposition of the spectra of two mononuclear fragments.

Results and discussion

Fig. 2 shows the infrared spectra of $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6 C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}$ (1), $[Mn(\eta^{3}-C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})(CO)_{4}]$ (2) and $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5C_2H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ (3), all in *n*-heptane solution, at room temperature. In the absence of vibrational coupling between the metal centres, the coaddition of the carbonyl regions of the infrared spectra of the mononuclear compounds should be very similar to that of the dinuclear compound. It is clear from Fig. 2 this is indeed the case; the superimposed IR spectra of the mononuclear complexes gives a band pattern very similar to the spectrum of the dinuclear compound. It also clear from Fig. 2 that the superimposition of their individual spectra yielded a set of v(CO) bands with relative intensities which match very closely the pattern of v(CO) bands in the spectrum of 1. We concluded therefore that there is no appreciable vibrational coupling between the two metal centres. Thus, the manganese and chromium mononuclear compounds can be used as a model of the dinuclear compound to aid interpretation of spectra. Therefore, each of the v(CO) bands of the dinuclear complex can be assigned to the vibrations of the carbonyl ligands on the two metal centres.



Fig. 2 FTIR spectra of (a) 3 (b) 2 and (c) 1 in *n*-heptane solution at room temperature.

As explained above, the photochemistry of $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)-Mn(CO)_4]$ and $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3]$ have been examined in low temperature matrices by Rest and co-workers. We now extend this work to 2 and 3 which we then use as models to unravel the photochemistry of 1.

(a) Photolysis of $(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_4$ (2) isolated in an Ar matrix

Fig. 3(a) shows the IR spectrum of **2** in an Ar matrix at high dilution at 12 K. Four v(C-O) bands are observed, 3a' + a'', consistent with the complex having C_s symmetry. These bands were much narrower than those in solution spectra but they are split by matrix effects. UV irradiation ($\lambda > 300$ nm) resulted in depletion of the parent bands and growth of three new bands at 2032, 1956 and 1930 cm⁻¹, see Fig. 3(b). These changes can be seen more clearly in the subtraction spectrum, Fig. 3(c). Further UV irradiation caused more depletion of the parent together with large increases in the intensity of these new bands which all grew in at the same rate during the course of the



Fig. 3 FTIR spectra of **2** isolated in an argon matrix at 12 K (a) before photolysis and (b) 30 minutes after photolysis ($\lambda > 300$ nm). (c) This spectrum was generated by subtraction of (a) from (b). The negative ν (C–O) bands show depletion of parent and the positive absorptions show the growth of three new product bands assigned to $[(\eta^3-C_3-H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_3]$ (4).

experiment and indicate the formation of a single species. An additional band at 2138 cm⁻¹ is readily assigned to the presence of free carbon monoxide. The three new v(C-O) bands are shifted to lower wavenumbers than the parent molecule bands and are assigned to $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_3]$ (4) by comparison with Rest's data for $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_3]$, see Table 1. Irradiation of the matrix with visible light ($\lambda > 450$ nm) caused the intensity of the bands due to 4 to decrease and the parent bands to be regenerated. This reversal could also be initiated by annealing the matrix to *ca.* 38 K, for five minutes.

The bands for **4** are on average 4 cm^{-1} lower than those for $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_3]$, a shift which can be rationalised by the increase in electron donation onto the metal from the phenyl ring thus weakening the C–O bonding. There was no evidence for ejection of a second carbonyl ligand; **4** was the only photoproduct seen during the course of the experiment.

(b) Photolysis of $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$ (2) in nitrogen matrices

The spectrum of **2**, isolated in a nitrogen matrix, was very similar to that observed in an argon matrix, Table 1. UV irradiation $(\lambda > 300 \text{ nm})$ resulted in the formation of a photoproduct with two new ν (C–O) absorptions, at 2032 and 1960 cm⁻¹ (broad), Fig. 4(a). At the same time, two bands grew in at higher wavenumbers, a band at 2138 cm⁻¹ due to free CO, and a weak band at 2249 cm⁻¹ which was assigned to a ν (N–N) vibration. The growth of these suggests that the photoproduct is most likely to be $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_3(N_2)]$ (5).

The exact structure of 5 is not known, since the N₂ group could be in either an axial or equatorial position. Both axially or equatorially substituted products would have C_s symmetry and should display three v(CO) bands, 2a' + a''. However, only two v(CO) bands were observed but this is could due to failure

	Matrix		
Complex	Ar	N_2	Assignment
$\overline{[(\eta^{3}\text{-}C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Mn(CO)_{4}](\textbf{2})}$	2072 2001	2073 2003} ^{<i>a</i>} 1998} ^{<i>a</i>}	v(CO) v(CO)
	1981 1971	1982 1975	v(CO) v(CO)
$[(\eta^3\text{-}C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_4]^{b}$	2083 2004} ^a 20023 ^a		v(CO) v(CO)
	1986 1972		v(CO) v(CO)
$[(\eta^{3}\text{-}C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Mn(CO)_{3}] \text{ (4)}$	2032 1956 1930		v(CO) v(CO) v(CO)
$[(\eta^{3}\text{-}C_{3}H_{5})Mn(CO)_{3}]^{\mathit{b}}$	2041 2060 1933		v(CO) v(CO) v(CO)
$[(\eta^{3}\text{-}C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Mn(CO)_{3}(N_{2})] \ (\textbf{5})$		2251	v(NN)
$[(\eta^{3}\text{-}C_{3}H_{5})Mn(CO)_{3}(N_{2})]^{\mathit{b}}$		2257 2019 1960	v(CO) v(NN) v(CO) v(CO)
$[(\eta^{3}\text{-}C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Mn(CO)_{2}(N_{2})_{2}] (\textbf{6})$		2212 2180 1949	v(NN) v(NN) v(CO)

Table 1 IR frequencies (cm^{-1}) of Mn complexes observed in low-temperature matrices

^a Matrix split. ^b Ref. 7.



Fig. 4 (a) A series of FTIR spectra obtained following photolysis of $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$ in a N₂ matrix at 12 K. The spectra were initially obtained after photolysis at $\lambda > 300$ nm and then after photolysis at $\lambda > 254$ nm. The bands marked # are due to the generation of $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_3(N_2)]$ (5) and those marked ¶ are assigned to $[(\eta^3C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_2(N_2)_2]$ (6).

to resolve the low a' and a" vibrations, as occurs⁵⁰ in $[(\eta^5-C_5H_5)V(CO)_3(N_2)]$. The v(N-N) band at 2249 cm⁻¹ has a shoulder at *ca*. 2242 cm⁻¹. Annealing of the matrix caused this

Table 2 IR frequencies (cm^{-1}) of Cr complexes observed in low-temperature matrices

	Matrix			
Complex	Ar	N_2	Assignment	
$\overline{[(\eta^6\text{-}C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3]}$	1990 1923	1984 1914	v(CO) v(CO)	
$[(\eta^{6}\text{-}C_{6}H_{5}C_{2}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}](\textbf{3})$	1983 1915		v(CO) v(CO)	
$[(\eta^6\text{-}C_6\text{H}_6)\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_2]$	1938 1885		v(CO) v(CO)	
$[(\eta^{6}\text{-}C_{6}H_{5}C_{2}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}](7)$	1930 1876		v(CO) v(CO)	
$[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3(N_2)]$		2148 1940 1896	v(NN) v(CO) v(CO)	

shoulder to decrease as the main band increased in intensity. This shift could either be due to the product changing its site in the matrix or less probably to thermal interconversion of the two isomers of 5.

Extended UV photolysis ($\lambda = 254$ nm) of **2** in the N₂ matrix caused an increase in the intensity of the bands of 5 and more depletion of the parent molecule. During this photolysis the production of free CO increased, indicating that further decarbonylation was occurring, see Fig. 3(a). The v(N-N) band at 2250 cm⁻¹ increased in intensity and v(C–O) bands at 2212 and 2180 cm⁻¹ grew in at an equal rate. This allows us to assign these bands to a single photoproduct $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_2 (N_2)_2$ (6) with two carbonyl ligands substituted for N_2 molecules. The v(N-N) bands at 2212 and 2180 cm⁻¹ grew in at the same rate and are assigned to a single photoproduct, see Fig. 4(b). From these results the new photoproduct bands were tentatively assigned to the disubstituted product $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4 C_6H_5$)Mn(CO)₂(N₂)₂] (6). These results should be compared with an experiment carried out by Rest and co-workers on $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$ in a N₂ matrix. Their results were similar to those above; however, UV photolysis produced only the mono-N₂ substituted species, $[(\eta^3-C_3H_5)Mn(CO)_3-$ (N₂)], whereas we have evidence for the formation of the disubstituted compound $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_2(N_2)_2]$ (6).

(c) Photolysis of $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5C_2H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ (3) isolated in an Ar matrix

The photolysis of **3** produced results very similar to those obtained by Rest and co-workers for $[(\eta^6-C_6H_6)Cr(CO)_3]$. The IR spectrum of **3** in an Ar matrix shows two strong bands consistent with a_1 (1983 cm⁻¹) and e (1914 cm⁻¹) vibrational modes of a Cr(CO)₃ fragment, having local C_{3v} symmetry. UV photolysis of **3** in an Ar matrix, ($\lambda > 300$ nm) resulted in depletion of the parent band, appearance of free CO and the production of a complex with two new ν (CO) bands at 1930 and 1876 cm⁻¹ which were readily assigned to $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5C_2H_5)-Cr(CO)_2]$ (7) by analogy with ($\eta^6-C_6H_6$)Cr(CO)₂ formed in a similar matrix, see Table 2.

(d) Photolysis of $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ (1) in an Ar matrix

The IR spectrum of 1 in an Ar matrix showed five prominent bands in the carbonyl stretching region of the spectrum, see Fig. 5(a). As in solution, the pattern of these bands is very similar to that obtained by coaddition of the spectra of 2 and 3. UV photolysis ($\lambda > 254$ nm) of the matrix resulted in the appearance of four new bands at 2037, 1963, 1934 and 2138 cm⁻¹, Fig. 5 (b). The first three bands all grew in at the same





Fig. 5 FTIR spectrum of $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3;\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ isolated in an argon matrix (a) before photolysis, the bands marked \diamond and \triangle are due to the Mn(CO)₄ and Cr(CO)₃ groups respectively, (b) after 5.5 hours photolysis ($\lambda > 254$ nm). (c) This is (b) with the ν (C–O) bands of the parent subtracted from the spectrum to show only the product bands. The bands marked \ddagger and \triangle are due to the Mn(CO)₃ and Cr(CO)₃ moieties in [(CO)₃Mn(μ - $\eta^3;\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3].$

rate as the band due to free carbon monoxide (2138 cm⁻¹). These bands were therefore attributed to the generation of a single photoproduct which occurs by loss of a CO ligand.

There are two possible structures for the product, either $[(CO)_{3}Mn(\mu-\eta^{3}:\eta^{6}-C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}] \text{ or } [(CO)_{4}Mn(\mu-\eta^{3}:\eta^{6}-L_{5}M_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}]$ $C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{2}$]. In the case of $[(CO)_{3}Mn(\mu-\eta^{3}:\eta^{6}-C_{3}H_{4}-\eta^{6})Cr(CO)_{2}]$ $C_{\kappa}H_{s})Cr(CO)_{s}$], three new bands, 2a' + a'', due to vibrations of the Mn(CO)₃ group would be expected. If, however, CO was lost from the chromium centre, only two new v(C-O) bands would be expected. The three bands that grew in were all approximately 40 cm⁻¹ lower than the manganese parent bands; a shift characteristic of loss of one carbonyl ligand from a metal centre. The positions of the v(CO) bands and their relative intensities were similar to those observed during the photolysis of 4 in an argon matrix. Fig. 6 compares the subtraction spectrum for the photoproduct of $[(CO)_3Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6 C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}$, with the respective spectra of the photoproducts of 4 and 7 in argon matrices. The close resemblance of the spectra in Fig. 6(a) to Fig. 6(b) strongly suggests that the product was $[(CO)_3Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ (9). No new v(CO) bands were observed which could be assigned to a Cr(CO)₂ moiety in the dinuclear complex, suggesting that there was no loss of CO from the Cr centre. Furthermore, the parent absorptions of the Cr(CO)₃ moiety decreased only slightly during photolysis, whereas those due to Mn(CO)₄ were greatly reduced in intensity. The absence of a Cr(CO)₂ species could have been either due to the lowest energy excited state not being labile with respect to CO loss from the Cr centre or to the Cr(CO)₂ undergoing photoreversible CO loss.

(e) Photoreactions of $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ (1) in a N₂ matrix

The IR spectrum of 1 in a N₂ matrix at 12 K, is similar to that recorded in an Ar matrix, with only small shifts in band



Fig. 6 Subtraction spectra showing the photoproducts generated by photolysis of (a) $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3;\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ (b) $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4-C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$ and (c) $[(\eta^6-C_6H_5C_2H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ in argon matrices at 12 K.

positions, and a slightly different matrix splitting pattern. Photolysis with visible radiation ($\lambda > 400$ nm) generated a small ν (CO) band at 1937 cm⁻¹, together with weaker bands at 2037 and 1895 cm⁻¹, Fig. 7. In the ν (NN) region of the spectrum, a new band grew in at 2147 cm⁻¹ alongside the band due to free CO (2138 cm⁻¹). This new photoproduct was assigned to [(CO)₄Mn(μ - η^3 : η^6 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₂(N₂)] (10) by comparison with the bands of 8 and [(η^6 -C₆H₆)Cr(CO)₂(N₂)], Tables 1 and 2. The formation of 10 means that the CO loss from the Cr centre does occur. The results obtained in an Ar matrix indicate that in a weakly coordinating matrix CO loss is reversible, maybe due to a photoinduced back reaction.

UV photolysis ($\lambda > 300$ nm), of the matrix caused the bands assigned to 10 and free CO to grow in further. New v(CO)bands grew in at 2036 and 1964 cm⁻¹ (Table 3); they were generated at the same rate as a new v(NN) band at 2255 cm⁻¹. The appearance of these new bands indicated that a second nitrogen substituted product had been generated; the similarity in positions and relative intensities, compared with the spectrum of 5, suggested that the photoproduct was $[(CO)_3(N_2)Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6 C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}$ (11). Only after extensive UV photolysis $(\lambda > 254 \text{ nm})$, did the bands due to 11 become more intense than those of 10. This prolonged photolysis also caused the production of more new v(CO) and v(NN) bands, Fig. 6. A new v(NN) band grew in at 2181 cm⁻¹ with a weaker band at 2218 cm⁻¹. In the carbonyl stretching region of the spectrum, the broad band at ca. 1964 cm⁻¹ split into two, as a new band at 1954 cm⁻¹ increased in intensity. These new v(CO) and v(NN)bands were assigned to the bis-substituted nitrogen product $[(CO)_2(N_2)_2Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3] \quad (12) \quad \text{by com-}$ parison with the results obtained from photolysis of 2 in a N₂ matrix (see above).

Overall, these results bear a close resemblance to those for the photolysis of the mononuclear manganese and chromium compounds in nitrogen matrices. CO was photoejected from either end of the parent molecule and nitrogen substituted products were formed; these were identified by their v(CO) and

	Matrix		
Complex	Ar	N_2	
$\overline{[(CO)_4 Mn(\mu \cdot \eta^3 : \eta^6 \cdot C_3 H_4 C_6 H_5) Cr(CO)_3]} (1)$	2076 2005 1981 1975 1918	2076 2006 1978 1970 1916} ^a 1914} ^a 1910} ^a	
$[(CO)_{3}Mn(\mu - \eta^{3}:\eta^{6}-C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}] (9)$	2037 1963 1934		
$[(CO)_4 Mn(\mu - \eta^3 : \eta^6 - C_3 H_4 C_6 H_5) Cr(CO)_2(N_2)] \ \textbf{(10)}$		2149 1937 1895	
$[(CO)_{3}(N_{2})Mn(\mu-\eta^{3}:\eta^{6}-C_{3}H_{4}C_{6}H_{5})Cr(CO)_{3}]$ (11) ^{<i>a</i>} Matrix splitting.		2036 1964	



Fig. 7 FTIR spectra recorded after 60 minutes photolysis ($\lambda > 240$ nm) of [(CO)₄Mn(μ - η ³: η ⁶-C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₃] in a N₂matrix at 12 K and (b) subtraction spectrum showing the ν (C–O) bands of (\blacklozenge) [(CO)₃(N₂)Mn(μ - η ³: η ⁶-C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₃], (\square) [(CO)₂(N₂)₂Mn(μ - η ³: η ⁶-C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₃] and (\blacksquare) [(CO)₄Mn(μ - η ³: η ⁶-C₃H₄C₆H₅)-Cr(CO)₂(N₂)].

v(NN) bands, which were very similar to the bands of the photoproducts of the mononuclear complexes.

Conclusions

Photolysis of $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ in N₂ matrices at 12 K resulted in photosubstitution at both the Mn and Cr centres. We have identified $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4-M_4)]$

C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₂(N₂)], [(CO)₃(N₂)Mn(μ - η^3 : η^6 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr-(CO)₃] and [(CO)₂(N₂)₂Mn(μ - η^3 : η^6 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₃] by comparison with the mononuclear complexes [(η^6 -C₆H₅C₂H₅)-Cr(CO)₂(N₂)], [(η^3 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Mn(CO)₃(N₂)] and [(η^3 -C₃H₄-C₆H₅)Mn(CO)₂(N₂)], [(η^3 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Mn(CO)₃(N₂)] and [(η^3 -C₃H₄-C₆H₅)Mn(CO)₂(N₂)] formed following photolysis of either [(η^6 -C₆H₅C₂H₅)Cr(CO)₃] or [(η^3 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Mn(CO)₄] in a N₂ matrix at 12 K. Irradiation of [(CO)₄Mn(μ - η^3 : η^6 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₃] in an Ar matrix produced only [(CO)₃Mn(μ - η^3 : η^6 -C₃H₄C₆H₅)Cr(CO)₃]. However, [(η^6 -C₆H₅C₂H₅)Cr(CO)₂] could be generated from [(η^6 -C₆H₅C₃H₄)Cr(CO)₃] in an Ar matrix.

Experimental

Matrix isolation

The matrix isolation apparatus (Air Products Displex CS202) used in these experiments has been described previously.⁴⁹ The principal photolysis source was a Philips HPK 125 W medium pressure Hg arc lamp, the output of which was controlled using a variety of filters with cut off wavelengths as outlined in the main text. All IR spectra were obtained using a Nicolet MX-3600 FTIR interferometer. Matrices were prepared using the "slow spray-on" technique in which a mixture of evaporating solid and matrix gas are co-condensed at low temperatures.

Synthesis

[Mn(CO)₅(η¹-C₃H₄C₆H₅)]. A solution of Mn₂(CO)₁₀ (2.0 g, 5.1 mmol) in THF (50 ml) was added to a sodium amalgam (0.5 cm³ in 10 ml Hg) and stirred vigorously for 30 minutes. The resulting solution of [Mn(CO)₅]⁻Na⁺ was cannula-filtered into a solution of cinnamyl chloride (1.56 g, 10.2 mmol) in THF (10 ml). After 30 min stirring the suspension yielded an orange–yellow oil [Mn(CO)₅(η¹-C₃H₄C₆H₅)] which was used directly in the preparation of [Mn(CO)₄(η³-C₃H₄C₆H₅)] (2).

 $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Mn(CO)_4]$ (2). A solution of freshly sublimed trimethylamine oxide (1.4 g, 18.7 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (50 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of $[Mn(CO)_5(\eta^1-C_3H_4C_6H_5)]$ in CH₂CH₂ (20 ml). Stiring for 30 minutes gave a dark yellow solution. Removal of the solvent (in vacuo), extraction with diethyl ether followed by filtering through Kieselguhr yielded a yellow oil. Sublimation (70 °C, 0.3 mmHg) gave [Mn(CO)₄- $(\eta^3\text{-}C_3\text{H}_4\text{C}_6\text{H}_5)]$ (1.9 g, 65%) as a yellow solid. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) & 7.08-7.41 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.43 (td, 1H, CH₂CH-CHC₆H₅, J = 14, 9 Hz), 3.60 (d, 1H, CH₂CHCHC₆H₅, J = 14 Hz), 2.63 (dd, 1H, syn-CH₂CHCHC₆H₅, J = 9, 1 Hz), 1.72 (dd, 1H, anti-CH₂CHCHC₆H₅, J = 14, 1 Hz). ¹³C NMR (deuteroaceetone) δ 221.5, 219.8, 217.0, 216.0 (4CO), 141.2 (quaternary of $-C_6H_5$), 129.7, 125.8 (ortho and meta of $-C_6H_5$), 127.1 (para of -C₆H₅), 91.02, 67.0 (CH₂CHCHC₆H₅), 37.8 $(CH_2CHCHC_6H_5)$. m/z 284 (M⁼), 256 (M⁼ - CO), 228 $(M^{=} - 2CO)$, 200 $(M^{=} - 3CO)$, 172 $(M^{=} - 4CO)$. Found: C, 55.2; H, 3.2. Calculated: C, 54.9; H, 3.2%.

 $[(CO)_4Mn(\mu-\eta^3:\eta^6-C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3]$ (1). $[(\eta^3-C_3H_4C_6H_5) Mn(CO)_4$ (1.0 g, 3.5 mmol) and chromium hexacarbonyl (2.0 g, 9 mmol) were heated to relux in THF-n-butyl ether (v/v 1 : 8) for 16 hours under an inert atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled and the solvent and excess chromium hexacarbonyl were removed in vacuo. The green-yellow residue was extracted into diethyl ether and filtered through Kieselguhr followed by recrystallisation from diisopropyl yielding [(CO)₄Mn(µ-η³:η⁶- $C_3H_4C_6H_5)Cr(CO)_3$] (1.5 g, 25%) as a bright yellow solid. ¹H MNR (deuteroacetone) δ 5.68–5.92 (m, 5H, C₆H₅), 5.48 (m, 1H, $CH_2CHCHC_6H_5$), 3.48 (d, 1H, $CH_2CHCHC_6H_5$, J =14 Hz), 2.97 (dd, 1H, syn-CH₂CHCHC₆H₅, J = 10, 2 Hz), 2.18 (dd, 1H, anti-CH₂CHCHC₆H₅, J = 12, 2 Hz). ¹³C MNR (deuteroacetone) δ 235.1 (Cr(CO₃)), 113.1 (quaternary of -C₆H₅), 96.2, 96.0, 93.6, 92.1, 91.2, 87.7 (ortho, meta and para of C₆H₅ and CH₂CHCHC₆H₅ or CH₂CHCHC₆H₅), 60.7

 $(CH_2CHCHC_6H_5 \text{ or } CH_2CHCHC_6H_5), 40.9 (CH_2CHCHC_6-H_5), m/z 420 (M^= - CO), 392 (M^= - 2CO), 363 (M^= - 3CO), 336 (M^= - 4CO), 284 (M^= - Cr(CO)_3). Found: C, 45.8; H, 2.2. Calculated: C, 45.7; H, 2.1%.$

Acknowledgements

We thank the EPSRC, University of Notingham, BP (C. H.), for financial support. M. W. G. wishes to acknowledge the support of the Sir Edward Frankland Fellowship. We are also grateful to Mr J. Yang for helpful advice.

References and notes

- 1 X. Q. Song and T. L. Brown, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **34**, 3220 and refs. therein.
- 2 R. A. Levenson and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 6042.
- 3 D. C. Harris and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 3073.
- 4 R. W. Wegman, R. J. Olsen, D. R. Gard, L. R. Falker and T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, **103**, 6089.
- 5 L. J. Rothberg, N. J. Cooper, K. S. Peters and V. Vaida, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, **104**, 3536.
- 6 T. Kobayashi, K. Yasufuku, J. Iwai, H. Yesaka, H. Noda and H. Ohtani, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1985, **64**, 1.
- 7 R. J. Sullivan and T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 9155.
- 8 R. J. Sullivan and T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1991, 113, 9162.
- 9 A. F. Hepp and M. S. Wrighton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1981, 103, 1258.
- 10 A. E. Stiegman, M. Stieglitz and D. R. Tyler, J. Am. Chem. Soc, 1983, 105, 6032.
- 11 R. H. Hooker, K. A. Mahmoud and A. J. Rest, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 254, C25.
- 12 C. E. Philbin, A. S. Goldman and D. R. Tyler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, 25, 4434.
- 13 R. H. Hooker and A. J. Rest, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1990, 1221.
- 14 M. L. Baker, P. E. Bloyce, A. K. Campen, A. J. Rest and T. E. Bitterwolf, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1990, 2825.
- 15 K. J. Covert, E. F. Askew, J. Grunkemeier, T. Koenig and D. R. Tyler, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 10446.
- H. B. Abrahamson and H. Marxen, *Organometallics*, 1993, 12, 2835.
 B. A. Van Valierberge and H. B. Abrahamson, *J. Photochem.*
- Photobiol., 1990, **52**, 69. 18 J. R. Knorr and T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, **115**, 4087.
- 19 J. Peters, M. W. George and J. J. Turner, Organometallics, 1995, 14, 1503.
- 20 D. R. Tyler, M. A. Schmidt and H. B. Gray, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 2753.
- 21 J. V. Caspar and T. J. Meyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 7794.
- 22 D. R. Tyler, M. A. Schmidt and H. B. Grey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1983, **105**, 6018.
- 23 B. D. Moore, M. B. Simpson, M. Poliakoff and J. J. Turner, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1984, 972.
- 24 B. D. Moore, M. Poliakoff and J. J. Turner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 1819.

- 25 A. J. Dixon, S. J. Gravelle, L. J. Burgt, M. Poliakoff, J. J. Turner and E. Weitz, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 1023.
- 26 J. N. Moore, P. A. Hansen and R. M. Hochstrasser, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 4563.
- 27 P. A. Anfinrud, C.-H. Han, T. Lian and R. M. Hochstrasser, J. Phys. Chem., 1991, **95**, 574.
- 28 A. J. Dixon, M. W. George, C. Hughes, M. Poliakoff and J. J. Turner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 1719.
- 29 S. Zhang and T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 2723.
- 30 S. Zhang and T. L. Brown, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 1779.
- F. A. Kvietok and B. E. Bursten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 9807.
 M. Vitale, K. K. Lee, C. F. Hemann, R. Hille, T. L. Gustafson and B. E. Bursten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 2286.
- 33 C. J. Arnold, T.-Q. Ye, R. N. Perutz, R. E. Hester and J. N. Moore, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1986, **248**, 464.
- 34 M. W. George, T. P. Dougherty and E. J. Heilweil, J. Phys. Chem., 1986, 100, 201.
- 35 M. Vitale, M. E. Archer and B. E. Bursten, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, 179.
- 36 T. J. Meyer and J. V. Caspar, Chem. Rev., 1985, 85, 187.
- 37 D. S. Grinley and M. S. Wrighton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 4908.
- 38 H. B. Abrahamson and M. S. Wrighton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1978, 17, 1003.
- 39 K. R. Pope and M. S. Wrighton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 4545.
- 40 T. J. Oyer and M. S. Wrighton, Inorg. Chem., 1988, 27, 3689.
- 41 S. Firth, P. M. Hodges, M. Poliakoff, J. J. Turner and M. J. Therien, J. Organomet. Chem., 1987, 331, 347.
- 42 D. Sonnenberger and J. D. Atwood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 3484.
- 43 D. J. Robinson, E. A. Darling and N. J. Coville, J. Organomet. Chem., 1986, 310, 203.
- 44 B. D. Rossenaar, T. van der Graaf, R. van Eldik, C. H. Langford, D. J. Stufkens and A. Vlcek, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1994, 33, 2685.
- 45 (*a*) S. B. L. Lyons, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Nottingham, 1993; (*b*) Crystal data: C₁₆H₉CrMnO₇, M = 420.17, triclinic, a = 7.0572(9), b = 11.0698(14), c = 11.1706(14) Å, a = 112.507(2), $\beta = 95.440(2)$, $\gamma = 93.583(2)^{\circ}$, U = 797.9(3) Å³, T = 150(2) K, space group $P\bar{1}$ (no. 2), Z = 2, $D_c = 1.749$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-Ka) = 1.508 mm⁻¹, 3579 unique, absorption-corrected reflections ($R_{int} = 0.026$) used in all calculations. Final R_1 [2610 $F > 4\sigma(F)$] = 0.0363 and $wR(all F^2)$ was 0.0883. CCDC reference number 189753. See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/dt/b2/b206697a/ for crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format.
- 46 R. B. Hitam, K. A. Mahmoud and A. J. Rest, J. Organomet. Chem., 1985, 291, 321.
- 47 A. J. Rest, J. R. Sodeau and D. J. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1978, 651.
- 48 B. S. Creaven, M. W. George, A. G. Ginzburg, C. Hughes, J. M. Kelly, C. Long, M. McGrath and M. T. Pryce, *Organometallics*, 1993, 12, 3127.
- 49 S. P. Church, M. Poliakoff, J. A. Timney and J. J. Turner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1983, 22, 3259.
- 50 M. W. George, M. T. Haward, P. A. Hamley, C. Hughes, F. P. A. Johnson, V. K. Popov and M. Poliakoff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, 115, 2286.