2.3, 0.5×1 H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.1, 2 H), 4.0–4.26 (m, 0.5×1 H), 4.45 (dd, J = 9.2, 6.5, 0.5×1 H), 4.49 (dd, J = 9.4, 7.7, 0.5×1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 14.0 (2 × CH₃), 36.8 (CH₂), 37.4 (CH₂), 37.8 (CH₂), 38.0 (CH₂), 41.2 (CH), 43.5 (CH), 44.2 (CH), 46.7 (2 × CH₂), 47.1 (CH), 61.3 (C), 61.7 (C), 62.3 (CH₂), 62.4 (CH₂), 71.2 (CH₂), 73.3 (CH₂), 169.2 (C=O), 169.5 (C=O), 175.8 (C=O), 176.1 (C=O). Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₅O₄Cl: C, 53.55; H, 6.12; Cl, 14.37. Found: C, 53.51; H, 6.16; Cl, 12.1.

Oxidation of 13b with $Mn(OAc)_3LiCl$. Treating 13b (1 g, 4.42 mmol) under the preceding conditions afforded 1.11 g of a crude product, the separation of which gave 98 mg (conv 90%) of unreacted 13b; 269 mg of one diastereoisomer of 27b; 137 mg of the other diastereomer of 27b, and 226 mg of 28b as a mixture of isomers.

Ethyl 4-(1-Chloromethyl)-3-(2-propenyl)-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-carboxylate (27b). First diastereoisomer: IR 1782 1739, 1643 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 1.24 (t, J = 7.1, 3 H), 1.54 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H), 2.56 (dd, J = 14.6, 5.0, 1 H), 2.63–2.97 (m, 2 H), 3.90 (dq, J = 10.4, 6.5, 1 H), 4.11 (dd, J = 10.6, 8.6, 1 H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.1, 2 H), 4.45 (t, J = 8.6, 1 H), 5.11-5.24 (m, 2 H), 5.55(m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 14.1 (CH₃), 24.1 (CH₃), 36.5 (CH₂), 48.4 (CH), 56.6 (CH), 57.2 (C), 62.6 (CH₂), 69.9 (CH₂), 121.8 (=CH₂), 131.5 (=CH), 167.7 (C=O), 174.0 (C=O). Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}O_4Cl$: C, 55.28; H, 6.57; Cl, 13.59. Found: C, 55.25; H, 6.44; Cl, 13.53. Second diastereoisomer: IR 1780, 1742, 1642 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 1.12 (t, J = 7.1, 3 H), 1.29 (d, J = 6.5, 3 H), 2.78–2.91 (m, 3 H), 3.87 (dd, J = 10.4, 8.6, 1 H), 3.91 (superimposed m, 1 H), 4.08(q, J = 7.1, 2 H), 4.14 (t, J = 8.6, 1 H), 5.04-5.11 (m, 2 H), 5.41(ddt, J = 16.2, 9.4, 6.6, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 14.0 (CH₃), 23.6 (CH₃), 36.5 (CH2), 48.4 (CH), 54.4 (CH), 57.6 (C), 62.4 (CH2), 68.4 (CH2), 121.8 (CH₂), 131.8 (CH), 167.8 (C=O), 173.9 (C=O). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₇O₄Cl: C, 55.28, H, 6.57; Cl, 13.59. Found: C, 55.28; H, 6.60; Cl, 13.50.

Ethyl 7-(chloromethyl)-6-methyl-3-oxa-2-oxobicyclo-[3.3.0]octane-1-carboxylate (28b): IR 1774, 1738 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 0.95 (d, $J = 7.1, 0.65 \times 3$ H), 1.01 (d, $J = 7.3, 0.35 \times 3$ H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1, 3 H), 1.6-2.8 (m, 5 H), 3.3-3.6 (m, 2 H), 4.04 (dd, J = 9.4, 2.8, 0.65 × 1 H), 4.16 (q, J = 7.1, 2 H), 4.24–4.45 (m, 0.35 × 2 H), 4.52 (dd, $J = 9.4, 8.0, 0.65 \times 1$ H); ¹³C NMR (major isomer) δ 13.6 (CH₃), 15.6 (CH₃), 35.5 (CH₂), 42.6 (CH), 52.3 (CH), 60.1 (C), 61.9 (CH₂), 71.79 (CH₂), 169.2 (C=O), 175.9 (C=O); (minor isomer) 12.8 (CH₃), 13.6 (CH₃), 35.8 (CH₂), 43.9 (CH), 44.4 (CH₂), 45.1 (CH), 54.4 (CH), 58.6 (C), 61.9 (CH₂), 69.7 (CH₂), 169.2 (C=O), the second C=O is not detected. Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₇O₄Cl: C, 55.28; H, 6.57; Cl, 13.59. Found: C, 55.13; H, 6.60; Cl, 13.50.

Oxidation of 13c with $Mn(OAc)_3LiCl$. Treating 13c (1 g, 4.16 mmol) led to 1.05 g of crude product. After column chromatography, 210 mg (conv 79%) of starting material, 82 mg of 28c (as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers), and 255 mg (37%) of 17c were isolated successively.

Ethyl 7-(chloromethyl)-6,6-dimethyl-3-oxa-2-oxotetrahydrofuran-1-carboxylate (28c): IR 1776, 1738 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 0.81 (s, 0.5 × 3 H), 0.97 (s, 0.5 × 3 H), 1.14 (s, 0.5 × 3 H), 1.15 (s, 0.5×3 H), 1.32 (t, J = 7.1, 0.5×3 H), 1.33 (t, J = 7.1, 0.5×3 H) 3 H), 1.95 (dd, J = 13.9, 12, 0.5 × 1 H), 2.05–2.38 (m, 2 H), 2.55 (AB part of a ABX, $J_{AB} = 14, 0.5 \times 2$ H), 2.78 (d, J = 6.2, 0.5 \times 1 H), 2.90 (dd, $J = 8.3, 4, 0.5 \times 1$ H), 2.99 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.4, 0.5×2 H), 2.78 (d, $J = 6.2, 0.5 \times 1$ H), 2.90 (dd, J = 8.3, 4, 0.5 \times 1 H), 2.99 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.4, 0.5 \times 1 H), 3.40 (dd, J = 10.8, 8.9, 0.5×1 H), 3.45 (t, $J = 9, 0.5 \times 1$ H), 3.62 (dd, $J = 9.5, 0.5 \times 1$ H), 3.64 (dd, J = 10.8, 4.9, 0.5×1 h), 4.27 (q, J = 7.1, 2 H), 4.27 (hidden m, 0.5×1 H), 4.33 (dd, $J = 9.6, 4, 0.5 \times 1$ H), 4.50 (m, 1 H); ¹³C NMR δ 14.0 (2 × CH₃), 15.7 (CH₃), 23.4 (CH₃), 23.7 (CH₃), 26.7 (CH₃), 35.7 (CH₂), 36.7 (CH₂), 43.8 (CH₂), 43.9 (2 × C), 44.1 (CH₂), 50.4 (CH), 53.2 (CH), 57.1 (CH), 58.0 (C), 58.3 (CH), 58.9 (C), 62.3 (CH₂), 62.4 (CH₂), 66.6 (CH₂), 68.0 (CH₂), 169.7 (C=O), 176.3 (C=O). Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{19}O_4Cl$: C, 56.83; H, 6.97; Cl, 12.90. Found: C, 56.85; H, 6.88; Cl, 13.0.

Supplementary Material Available: ¹H NMR spectra of compounds 1a-c, 13a-c, 10b + 11b, 11b, 21b, 22b, 23b, and 23c and ¹³C NMR spectrum of 21b (13 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masterhead page. '

Vinyl Radical Cyclizations: Synthesis of Substituted Bicyclooctanols

William F. Berkowitz* and Phyllis J. Wilson

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, City University of New York at Queens College, Flushing, New York 11367

Received August 2, 1990

The preparation and free-radical bicyclic ring closure of 4-(2-bromo-2-propen-1-yl)cyclohexene 7 is described. The bicyclo[3.2.1] and -[2.2.2] alcohols 9 and 10 arising, respectively, from 5-exo and 6-endo (or 6-exo) modes of cyclization of a vinyl radical were isolated in a 1:1 ratio. Factors affecting the regiochemical outcome were investigated.

Introduction

Oridonin 1 is a member of a class of diterpenoids that have been isolated from various Rabdosia (Labiatae) species growing in China and Japan.¹ The *ent*-kaurane



structure 2 is a common feature of the majority of these

diterpenoids. Its highly oxidized framework and its antitumor activity^{1,2} make oridonin an attractive synthetic target. Our approach involves free-radical cyclization of a vinyl bromide for construction of the bicyclo[3.2.1]octanol derivative of the C/D subunit. This approach relies on precedent for intramolecular addition of vinyl radicals to carbon-carbion double bonds.³⁻⁵

⁽¹⁾ Fujita, E.; Node, M. Diterpenoids of Rabdosia Species. In Herz, W.; Grisebach, H.; Kirby, G. W.; Tamm, Ch. Progress in the Chemistry of Natural Products; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1984; Vol. 46, p 77.

^{(2) (}a) Fuji, K.; Node, M.; Ito, N.; Fujita, E.; Takeda, S.; Unemi, N. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1985, 33(3), 1038. (b) Node, M.; Sai, M.; Fuji, K.; Fujita, E. Ibid. 1983, 31(4), 1433.
(3) (a) Stork, G.; Baine, N. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2321 and

^{(3) (}a) Stork, G.; Baine, N. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 2321 and references cited therein. See also: (b) Stork, G.; Mook, R., Jr. Ibid. 1983, 105, 3720. (c) Nozaki, K.; Oshima, K.; Utimoto, K.; 1987, 109, 2547. (d) Begley, M. J.; Ladlow, M.; Pattenden, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1988, 1095. (e) Journet, M.; Samdja, W.; Malacria, M. Syn. Lett. 1990, 320.

⁽⁴⁾ Marinovic, N. N.; Ramanathan, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1983, 24, 1871.



The regiochemical preference in the cyclization of vinyl radicals to form bicyclic structures parallels that of the alkyl analogues.³ When both 5-exo-trig and 6-endo-trig modes of cyclization are possible, five-membered ring formation predominates. For instance, Yadav and Fallis⁶ (eq 1) reported that radical cyclization of an oxathiolanone

$$\underbrace{\bigcirc}_{0} \underbrace{\overset{s}{\underset{0}}_{0}}_{2. \text{ hydrolysis}} \underbrace{\overset{1. \text{ Bu}_{3}\text{SnH/AIBN}}_{HO}$$
 (1)

derivative of cyclohexene produced only the bicyclic [3.2.1] product. None of the [2.2.2] product was observed. Bicyclic ring closure by iodine atom transfer, performed by Curran,⁷ also gave exclusively the 5-exo product (eq 2).



Similarly, Stork and Baine^{3a} found that vinyl radical cyclization of an allylic alcohol also gave only the 5-exo-trig product (eq 3). On the basis of this, we anticipated that

allylic alcohol 7 would produce mainly the substituted bicyclo[3.2.1]octanol 9 via 5-*exo-trig* cyclization. We report the results of our studies, which indicate formation, however, of equal amounts of isomeric bicyclo[3.2.1]- and - [2.2.2]octanols 9 and 10.

Results and Discussion

Our model studies involved investigation of the freeradical carbocyclization of allylic alcohol 7. This was prepared as outlined in Scheme I.

Cyclization results are outlined in Scheme II. A benzene solution of tributyltin hydride (0.044 M) and a catalytic amount of azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was added slowly to a refluxing solution of allylic alcohol 7 (0.025 M) in benzene. Cyclization produced a mixture of products 9 and 10 in a 1:1 ratio (determined by HPLC and GCMS with use of a carbowax column).

A combination of methods was used to assign the structures of 9 and 10. Gross structures were determined on the basis of ¹H NMR data including 2D-COSY NMR splitting assignments. The IR spectra indicated hydroxyl (3554 and 3544 cm⁻¹) and *exo*-methylene functionalities (1654 and 1662 cm⁻¹) for 9 and 10, respectively.

To obtain further structural evidence, the alcohols were oxidized to the corresponding ketones 13 and 14. The infrared spectrum of 13 was characteristic of an ester and



Figure 1. X-ray structure of compound 15.

a five-membered ring ketone (1760, 1730 cm⁻¹), whereas 14 showed a single carbonyl stretch at 1740 cm⁻¹. ¹³C NMR DEPT (distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer) spectra were determined to assign carbon multiplicities. A major structural difference between ketones 13 and 14 is the presence of a CHC=O subunit in 13 and a CH₂C=O subunit in 14. Methine carbons appear in the DEPT spectra of 13 at δ 54 and of 14 at δ 38. Conversely, the methylene carbons of 13 are at δ 39, 38, 37, and 18, whereas those of 14 are at δ 44, 34, 26, and 25. The methine of 13 at δ 54 and the methylene of 14 at δ 44 are considered to be adjacent to carbonyl carbons, which cause a downfield shift of 10–14 ppm.⁸ On the basis of these

⁽⁵⁾ For reviews see: Curran, D. P. Synthesis 1988, 417 and 489.

 ⁽⁶⁾ Yadav, V.; Fallis, A.-G. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3283.
 (7) Curran, D. P.; Chang, C. T. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3140.

⁽⁸⁾ Silverstein, R. M.; Bassler, G. C.; Morrill, T. C. Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, 4th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1981; p 267-269.



and the X-ray data discussed in the following text, we assigned the bicyclo[3.2.1]- and -[2.2.2] octanol structures to 9 and 10, respectively.

We were interested in determining if the stereochemistry of the OH groups in the allylic alcohols affected the ratio of cyclization products. Consequently, the absolute configuration of alcohol 7 was determined by a single-crystal X-ray structure of its urethane derivative 15 (Figure 1). This revealed the OH group of the major alcohol epimer (7) to be cis to the allyl group. Free-radical cyclization of (minor) alcohol 8 produced bicyclo[3.2.1]octanol 11 and bicyclo[2.2.2]octanol 12 in the ratio of 1:4.2 (determined by HPLC and GCMS). Oxidation of [3.2.1] alcohol epimers 9 and 11 provided the same ketone 13 as evidenced by IR and GCMS data. Similarly, both [2.2.2] alcohols 10 and 12 afforded ketone 14.

We considered the pathways by which the bicyclo[3.2.1] and -[2.2.2] alcohols could arise (Schemes III and IV). There are four possibilities: (1) 5-exo-trig ring closure of radical X_a from 7 can generate radical 16, which could be trapped by a hydrogen atom donor to give [3.2.1] product 9 or rearrange via the cyclopropylcarbinyl radical 17 to [2.2.2] radical 18 and lead to a mixture of [3.2.1] and [2.2.2]products. (2) Similarly, cyclization by a 6-exo-trig pathway can also produce a mixture of products via radical 18. Alternatively, there may be competition between 5-exo-trig and 6-exo-trig modes of cyclization (3) with or (4) without cyclopropylcarbinyl rearrangement. The ratio of products could be a reflection of the extent of each mode of cyclization, the ratio of the two intermediate radicals 16 and 18, their rate of equilibration, and their relative rates of hydrogen atom capture.

In order to determine if rearrangement were taking place, the reaction was examined with use of varying concentrations of tributyltin hydride and the product ratios were determined by GCMS with use of a carbowax column. An increase in the concentration of stannane is expected to result in the capture of the initially formed bicyclic radical, thereby minimizing any rearrangement to 17. (This procedure was suggested to us by Prof. D. Curran.) At concentrations of tin hydride between 0.017 and 0.028 M, the ratio of products 9 [3.2.1] and 10 [2.2.2] from allylic alcohol 7 remained at 1:1. Use of 1.7 M tin hydride only increased the ratio of 9 to 10 to 1.4, and 22 (reduced 7) was also formed in moderate yield (22:9:10 2.5:1.4:1). This contrasts with the results of Stork⁹ (eq 4) in which the ratio of 5-exo to 6-endo product for formation



of monocyclic products was found to increase substantially with stannane concentration.



At 1.7 M stannane concentration, allylic alcohol 8 gave the reduced starting material 23 and cyclized products 11 and 12 in the ratio of 9:1:2.

These data are consistent with the first alternative mechanism, if interpreted as follows. Studies summarizing the regiochemistry of radical reactions⁵ conclude that ring closures that proceed by the 5-exo-trig mode occur more often than those employing the 6-endo (or 6-exo) mode. Vinyl radical additions to alkenes result in the formation of homoallylic radicals that may rearrange unimolecularly at very rapid rates (10⁵-10⁸)^{9,10} via cyclopropylcarbinyl radicals.^{9,10} Hydrogen atom transfer to alkyl radicals by tin hydrides is a second-order reaction and occurs at 0.74 \times 10⁶ for cyclohexyl radicals.¹¹ Consequently, 1.7 M Bu₃SnH is sufficient to capture the initially formed bicyclic radicals (16 and 19) at a rate that may be competitive with rearrangement, but 0.025 M Bu₃SnH is not. One may then assume the following: (1) All bicyclic radicals, once formed, rearrange rapidly via a cyclopropylcarbinyl intermediate (e.g., 17). (2) At equilibrium (low Bu₃SnH concentration), the concentrations of 16 and 18 (from 7) are equal, as are those of 19 and 21 (from 8 via X_a, Scheme III). The calculated¹² enthalpies of formation of 9-12 vary by no more than 1 kcal. (3) Tin hydride capture of radicals 16 and 18 proceeds at similar rates, but the reaction of 19 is slower than that of 21 due to steric congestion caused by the syn-hydroxyl group of 19. Thus, the ratio of 9 to 10 would be close to 1, while that of 12 to 11 should be greater than 1.4. The rate of equilibration of 19 and 21 (via 20) is slower than the rate of equilibration of 16 and 18 (via 17). If this were true, then a change to a high Bu_3SnH

^{(10) (}a) Beckwith, A. L. J.; O'Shea, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1986, 27, 4525.
(b) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Bowry, V. W. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2681.
(c) Newcomb, M.; Glenn, A. G.; Williams, W. G. Ibid. 1989, 54, 2675.
(11) Chatgilialoglu, C.; Ingold, K. U.; Scaiano, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 7739.

^{(12) 9 (-135} kcal/mol); 10 (-134 kcal/mol); 11 (-134 kcal/mol); 12 (-134 kcal/mol). Enthalpies of formation were calculated by use of PCMODEL, an MM2 program based on W. C. Still's, as modified by P. Gajewski et al. (Serena Software, Bloomington, IN).

concentration could increase the ratio of 11 to 12 (e.g., from 1:4.2 to 1:2), due to competitive capture of the radical (19), initially formed by 5-exo-trig ring closure but have little effect on the ratio of 9 to 10 (which changed from 1.0 to 1.4). We can offer, however, no rationale for why the rearrangements would proceed at different rates. Note: these data are also consistent with formation of only 16 (and 19) from the initial vinyl radical $X_s(X_s)$, both 16 and 18 (19 and 21), but not 18 (21) alone. If the ratio of products were determined only by the relative rates of formation (and capture) of 16 and 18 (or 19 and 21), with no intervening rearrangement, then the product ratios should not vary with tin hydride concentration.

Finally, the rate constant for cyclization of a vinyl radical is the same order of magnitude as that or hydrogen abstraction from stannane by a vinyl radical (3×10^8) .⁵ At high tin hydride concentrations (1.7 M), cyclization is therefore accompanied by reduction of X_a to 22 and X_s to 23. The larger effect on X_s (23:11 + 12 = 9:3; 22:9 + 10 = 2.5:2.4) could mean that X_s closes faster to 16 than X_a closes to 19.

Experimental Section

General Methods. Melting points were determined in open capillaries and are uncorrected. GCMS analyses were performed with a cross-linked methyl silicone column. For separation of polar compounds, a carbowax column was used. Analytical HPLC was conducted with two 4 mm \times 30 cm μ -Porasil silica columns in series and a differential refractometer. Preparative HPLC separations were performed with use of a 8 mm \times 10 cm column with a μ -Porasil radial PAK 8-mm cartridge.

Flash chromatography was performed according to the method described by W. C. Still¹³ with silica gel 60 (230-400 mesh). Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. CH_2Cl_2 , benzene, and DMF were distilled over CaH₂ and stored over molecular sieves. Other solvents were used as purchased.

Ethyl 1-(2-Bromo-2-propenyl)-2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate (4). To a suspension of NaH (4.8 g of 97% solid, 0.20 mol) in 150 mL of dry N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF)¹⁴ at 0 °C was added a solution of ethyl 2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate (34.0 g, 0.200 mol) in 50 mL of dry DMF under a N₂ atmosphere. After the mixture was stirred for 1 h, 40 g (0.20 mol) of 2,3-dibromopropene in 50 mL of DMF was added and stirring was continued at room temperature overnight. The mixture was poured into ice-water (50 mL) and extracted with ether (3×25) mL). The combined ether extracts were washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Distillation of the residue afforded 47.3 g (82%) of 4 as a colorless liquid: bp 115 °C (0.5 Torr); FTIR (CCl4) 2981, 2942, 2867, 1718, 1656, 1624 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH_2CH_3 , 1.63 (m, 4 H), 2.15 (m, 2 H), 2.43 (dd, J = 4.7 Hz, 5.4, 2 H, $CH_2CH_2C=0$), 2.79, 3.21 (AB q, J = 15.0 Hz, $CH_2C(Br)=CH_2$), 4.22 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH_2CH_3), 5.55 (s, 1 H, $C(Br)=CH_2$), 5.62 (s, 1 H, C(Br)=CH₂); GCMS, m/z (relative intensity) 245 (1.5), 243 (M⁺ – OCH₂CH₃, 1.7), 209 (85), 163 (69), 137 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁37BrO₃: C, 49.87; H, 5.88; Br, 27.64. Found: C, 49.52; H, 5.94; Br 27.56.

Ethyl 3-Bromo-1-(2-bromo-2-propenyl)-2-oxocyclohexanecarboxylate (5). A mixture of 6.0 g (0.02 mol) of ketone 4 and 9.0 g (0.04 mol) of CuBr_2^{15} dissolved in 100 mL of dry THF was stirred at room temperature for 2 days, during which time a white solid precipitated. The mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was diluted with water (50 mL) and extracted with chloroform (3 × 25 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Distillation afforded 6.41 g (84%) of 5 (as a mixture of isomers) as an oil: bp 130–135 °C (1.0 Torr); FTIR (CCl₄) 2980, 2940, 2871, 1731, 1624 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.30 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH_2CH_3), 1.57 (m, 1 H), 1.92 (m, 3 H), 2.66 (m, 2 H), 2.87, 3.26 (AB q, J = 15.0 Hz, $CH_2C(Br) = CH_2$), 4.25 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH_2CH_3), 4.76 (dd, J = 5.9 Hz, 6.3, 1 H, CHBrC = 0), 5.58 (s, 1 H, $CH_2 =$), 5.67 (s, 1 H, $CH_2 =$); GCMS m/z (no M⁺), 289 (M⁺ - Br, 25), 217 (30.1), 215 (M⁺ - Br - COOEt, 32.9), 135 (M⁺ - 2Br - COOEt, 100). Anal. Calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}Br_2O_3$: C, 39.17; H, 4.35. Found: C, 39.55; H, 4.55.

Ethyl 1-(2-Bromo-2-propenyl)-2-oxo-3-cyclohexenecarboxylate (6). A mixture of bromo ketone 5 (3.0 g, 8.2 mmol) and CaCO₃¹⁶ (2.0 g, 20 mmol) in 30 mL of dry DMF was heated at 120 °C overnight (18 h). The mixture was cooled and filtered. The filtrate was diluted with 30 mL of water and extracted with ether $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$. The ether was washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash chromatography (5:1 hexane-ethyl acetate) provided 1.42 g of 6 as an oil (60%): FTIR (CCl₄) 3036, 2981, 2930, 2869, 1734, 1686, 1624 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR $(200 \text{ MHz}) \delta 1.25 \text{ (t, } J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 3 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_2\text{CH}_3), 2.05 \text{ (m, 1 H)},$ 2.45 (m, 1 H), 2.65 (m, 2 H), 3.01, 3.28 (AB q, J = 14.9 Hz, $CH_2C(Br)=CH_2$, 4.18 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH_2CH_3), 5.58 (s, 1 H, $\tilde{C}(Br) = CH_2$, 5.69 (s, 1 H, $C(Br) = CH_2$), 6.05 (dd, J = 10.2, 0.9 Hz, 1 H, CH—CHC—O), 6.96 (m, 1 H, CH—CHC—O); GCMS m/z (no M⁺), 243 (3), 241 (M⁺ – OEt, 3), 207 (M⁺ – Br, 72), 133 (M⁺ - Br - COOEt, 53), 68 (100). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅BrO₃: C, 50.22; H, 5.23. Found: C, 50.25; H, 5.43.

Ethyl 1-(2-Bromo-2-propenyl)-2-hydroxy-3-cyclohexenecarboxylate (7, 8). To a solution of enone 6 (12.0 g, 42.0 mmol) and CeCl₃·7H₂O¹⁷ (15.7 g, 42.0 mmol) in 150 mL of methanol was added NaBH₄ (1.59 g, 42.0 mmol) in 60 mL of methanol at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, then diluted with ice-water (50 mL), acidified with 1.0 N HCl, and extracted with ether (3×30 mL). The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated under reduced pressure to afford 10.55 g of an oil. Flash chromatography (5:1 hexaneethyl acetate) provided 5.38 g of 7 (45%) and 0.73 g of 8 (6%) (51% overall yield). GCMS analysis of the crude product on a carbowax column showed that the alcohols were in the ratio of 94:6. 7: FTIR (CCl₄) 3529, 3033, 2981, 2935, 2870, 1731, 1624 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.29 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.99 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 2.63 (br s, 1 H, OH), 2.74, 3.09 (AB q, J = 14.9 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CBr=CH₂), 4.18 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH_2CH_3), 4.46 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 5.54 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.65 (dd, J = 14.2, 3.2 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH); 5.74 (s, 1 H, CBr=CH₂); 5.79 (s, 1 H, CBr=CH₂); GCMS m/z (no M⁺), 270 ($M^+ - H_2O$, 0.1), 221 (14.14), 219 (14.50), 209 ($M^+ - Br$, 100), 163 ($M^+ - Br - EtOH$, 47), 135 (35), 111 (68), 91 (34), 79 (45). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₇BrO₃: C, 49.87; H, 5.88; Found: C, 49.07; H, 6.05. 8: FTIR (CCl₄) 3603, 3516, 3032, 2980, 2927, 2848, 1739, 1624 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH_2CH_3), 1.9 (m, 1 H, H-6_{ax}), 2.15 (m, 3 H, H-5_{a,b}, H-6_{eq}), 2.73, 2.95 (AB q, J = 14.7 Hz, 2 H, CH_2Br — CH_2), 2.99 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.11 (br s, 1 H, CHOH), 4.21 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 5.56 (dd, J = 15.6, 1.54 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.65 (d, J = 15.6 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.79 (s, 1 H, CBr=CH₂), 5.80 (s, 1 H, CBr=CH₂); GCMS m/z (no M⁺), 221 (22), 219 (21), 209 (51), 163 (44), 135 (31), 111 (100), 91 (50), 79 (57). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₇BrO₃: C, 49.87; H, 5.88; Br, 27.64. Found: C, 49.37; H, 5.64; Br, 27.46.

Radical Cyclization⁴ of Allylic Alcohol 7. To a refluxing solution of allylic alcohol 7 (0.28 g, 1 mmol) in 40 mL of dry benzene was added during 1 h tributyltin hydride (0.25 mL, 1.1 mmol) and AIBN (10 mg) dissolved in 25 mL of benzene. Heating was continued for an additional 1 h. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in ether (25 mL) and stirred with saturated aqueous KF (20 mL) for 2 h, and the white precipitate of tributyltin fluoride was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with ether $(3 \times 25 \text{ mL})$, washed with water and brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography (5:1 hexane-ethyl acetate) to afford 0.16 g of a mixture of 9 and 10 (80%) as an oil. A portion of the purified product mixture was separated by HPLC to afford 9 (17.3 mg) and 10 (18.7 mg). The approximate 1:1 ratio of products was confirmed by GCMS analysis of the crude product with use of a carbowax

⁽¹³⁾ Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923.
(14) Rhoads, S. R.; Hasbrouck, R. W. Tetrahedron 1966, 22, 3557.
(15) King, L. C.; Ostrum, G. K. J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 3459.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Wellmann, K. M.; Bordwell, F. G. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 2544. (17) Luche, J-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2226.

column. The ratio found was 9:10 = 0.996:1.000. syn-Ethvl 8-hydroxy-6-methylenebicyclo[3.2.1]octane-1-carboxylate (9): FTIR (CCl₄) 3544, 3073, 2982, 2941, 2860, 1735, 1662 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 1.29 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.67 (m, 5 H) 1.92 (m, H-2_{ax}), 2.46, 2.88 (AB q, J = 17.0 Hz, $CH_2C==CH_2$), 2.73 (s, H-5), 2.88 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1 H, H-7, 2.99 (s, 1 H, OH), 3.95 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 4.22 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 5.03 (s, 2 H, C=CH₂); GCMS m/z 210 (M⁺, 8), 119 (M⁺ - CO₂Et - H₂O, 100), 91 (59), 79 (35); HRMS calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}O_3$ (M – H) 209.1178, found 209.1140. syn-Ethyl 2-hydroxy-5-methylenebicyclo-[2.2.0]octane-1-carboxylate (10): FTIR (CCl₄) 3554, 3072, 2982, 2939, 2869, 1734, 1654 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 1.31 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.60 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 1.90 (m, H-7_b), 2.22 (ddd, J = 13.4, 9.8, 2.9 Hz, H-7_a), 2.35 (t, J = 2.7, H-4), 2.47 $(dd, J = 16.8, 1.6, H-6_b), 2.89 (dd, J = 16.6, 2.2, H-6_a), 2.91 (d, J = 16.6, 2.91 (d,$ J = 2.6, 1 H, OH), 4.20 (q, J = 7.0, 2 H, CH_2CH_3), 4.28 (d, J =1.3, 1 H, CHOH), 4.72 (d, J = 1.4, 1 H, C—CH₂), 4.84 (d, J = 1.4, 1 H, C—CH₂); GCMS m/z 210 (M⁺, 4.9), 119 (M⁺ - CO₂Et - H₂O, 70.1), 91 (100), 79 (22); HRMS calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}O_3$ (M – H) 209.1178, found 209.1172.

Cyclizationn of Alcohol 7 under Varying Concentrations of Tributyltin Hydride. The previous procedure for radical cyclization of alcohol 7 was repeated with use of the following: (1) alcohol 7 (0.5 g, 1.7 mmol) dissolved in 85 mL of benzene, with tributyltin hydride (0.67 mL, 2.55 mmol) and 15 mg of AIBN dissolved in 25 mL of benzene, and (2) alcohol 7 (0.2 g, 0.69 mmol) dissolved in 30 mL of benzene, tributyltin hydride (0.36 mL, 1.38 mmol) and 15 mg of AIBN dissolved in 20 mL of benzene. GCMS analysis of the reaction mixture indicated that the products 9 and 10 were in the ratio of 1:1.

Radical Cyclization of Alcohol 7 under High Tributyltin Hydride Concentration. To a refluxing solution 7 (0.10 g, 0.347 mmol) in 8 mL of benzene was added a solution of tributylin hydride (4.5 mL, 0.017 mol) and AIBN (10 mg) dissolved in 2 mL of benzene. Heating was continued for an additional 1 h. Excess tributyltin hydride was distilled off under reduced pressure, leaving 0.15 g of crude product. GCMS analysis of the residue showed a mixture of products 22, 9, and 10 (retention times: 28.6, 31.1, and 30.4 min, respectively) in a ratio of 2.5:1.4:1. Compound 22 was identified as the reduced starting material by comparison of the GCMS data with that of 23 (vide infra): GCMS m/z 210 (M⁺, 0.3), 169 (M⁺ – allyl, 37), 151 (M⁺ – H₂O – allyl, 26), 141 (67), 119 (M⁺ – H₂O – COOEt, 36), 113 (74), 95 (100), 79 (52).

Urethane Derivative of Allylic Alcohol 7. The allylic alcohol 7 (0.2g, 0.69 mmol) and freshly distilled 1-naphthyl isocyanate (0.12 mL, 0.87 mmol) were mixed and heated under N_2 at 60-70 °C for 2.5 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, 4 mL of petroleum ether (30–60) was added and the mixture was boiled for 1 min and then filtered. The filtrate was cooled in ice to allow crystallization to take place and afforded 0.12 g of 15 (37%) as white crystals. Recrystallization from CCl₄-hexane (1:3) gave crystalline needles (mp 106-107 °C) that were suitable for X-ray analysis: FTIR (CCl₄) 3444, 3045, 2984, 2932, 1738, 1625, 1531 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.25 (t, J = 7.2Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.62 (m, 1 H), 2.15 (m, 3 H), 2.75, 2.99 (AB q, J = 15.1 Hz), 4.16 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH_2CH_3), 5.55 (s, 1 H, CHO-), 5.58 (dd, J = 13.9, 1.8 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.65 (d, J = 13.9, 1.8 Hz, 1 13.7 Hz, 1 H, CH=CH), 5.97 (s, 1 H, CBr=CH₂), 5.98 (s, 1 H, CBr=CH₂), 6.95 (br s, 1 H, NH), 7.73 (m, 7H); GCMS m/z 378 (M⁺ - Br, 2), 334 (10), 143 (100), 91 (5), 79 (45); HRMS calcd for $C_{23}H_{23}BrNO_4$ (M - H) 456.0889, found 456.0810.

X-ray Analysis of 15. The crystals were triclinic, space group P21/C with a = 21.596 (20) Å, b = 11.169 (0) Å, c = 9.405 (0) Å for $C_{23}H_{24}BrNO_4$. A crystal measuring $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 6.0$ mm was used for data collection. The structure was solved by direct methods using 3767 reflections. The N-naphthylcarbamoyloxy group proved to be cis to the 2-bromo-2-propenyl group.

Swern Oxidation¹⁸ of the Mixture of 9 and 10. To a solution of oxalyl chloride (0.18 mL, 2.34 mmol) in 5 mL of dry CH_2Cl_2 maintained at -78 °C was added a solution of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 0.3 mL, 3.9 mmol) in 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , and the mixture was stirred for 2 min. The mixture of alcohols 9 and 10 (0.4 g, 1.90 mmol) in 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added during 5 min. Stirring

was continued for 45 min, and then triethylamine (1.2 mL, 9.4 mmol) was added. After 5 min, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, water (25 mL) was added, and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 25 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash chromatography of the crude product (5:1 hexane-ethyl acetate) gave 13 (0.1568 g, 40%) and 14 (0.1400 g, 35%) as pale yellow oils. Ethyl 6-methylene-8-oxo-bicyclo[3.2.1]octane-1-carboxylate (13): FTIR (CCl₄) 3070, 2950, 1758, 1729, 1661, 1447, 1367, 1290 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 1.28 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.68 (m, H-3_{eq}), 1.85 (m, H-4_{eq}), 2.10 (m, 3 H, H-2_{eq}, -3_{ex}, -4_{ex}), 2.36 (m, H-2_{ex}), 2.73 (dd, J = 17.4, 2.1 Hz, H-7_b), 2.97 (m, H-5), 3.29 (dd, J = 17.4, 2.5 Hz, H-7_b), 4.21 $(q, J = 7.1 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, CH_2CH_3), 5.05 (s, 2 \text{ H}, C=CH_2); {}^{13}C \text{ NMR}$ (300 MHz) DEPT δ 14 (CH₃), 18 (CH₂), 37 (CH₂), 39 (CH₂), 40 (CH₂), 54 (CH), 59 (quaternary C), 61 (OCH₂), 108 (=CH₂), 143 (C=CH₂), 171 (C=OO), 213 (C=O); GCMS m/z 208 (M⁺, 6), 163 (M⁺ - OEt, 26), 162 (M⁺ - HOEt, 100), 135 (M⁺ - COOEt, 5), 107 (44), 91 (43), 79 (36); HRMS calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}O_3$ (M + H) 209.1178, found 209.1169. Ethyl 5-methylene-2-oxobicyclo-[2.2.0]octane-1-carboxylate (14): FTIR (CCl₄) 3073, 2940, 1741, 1660, 1467 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 1.28 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.89 (m, 3 H, H-2_b, H-3_{a,b}), 2.34 (m, H-2_a), 2.41 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 2 H, H-7), 2.64 (dd, J = 17.8, 2.1 Hz, H-6_b), 2.73 (t, J= 2.8 Hz, H-4), 2.99 (dd, J = 17.8, 2.5 Hz, H-6_a), 4.23 (q, J = 7.2Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 4.81 (s, 1 H, C=CH₂), 4.96 (s, 1 H, C=CH₂); ¹³C NMR (300 MHz) DEPT δ 15 (CH₃), 25 (CH₂), 26 (CH₂), 34 (CH₂), 38 (CH), 44 (CH₂), 56 (quaternary C), 61 (OCH₂), 108 (=CH₂), 145 (C=CH₂), 170 (C=OO), 210 (C=O); GCMS m/z 208 (M⁺, 27), 163 (M⁺ - OEt, 45), 162 (M⁺ - HOEt, 56), 135 (M⁺ - COOEt, 58), 107 (76), 93 (100), 91 (99), 79 (48). Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₆O₃: C, 69.21; H, 7.74. Found: C, 69.30; H, 7.77.

Oxidation of Alcohols 9 and 10. Alcohol 9 (3.5 mg, 0.016 mmol) and alcohol 10 (6.7 mg, 0.032 mmol) were oxidized separately according to the procedure described previously with use of oxalyl chloride (59 μ L, 0.63 mmol), DMSO (100 μ L, 1.3 mmol), and triethylamine (0.42 mL, 2.9 mmol). The GCMS and IR data of the corresponding ketones isolated are identical with those obtained for 13 and 14, respectively.

Cyclization of Allylic Alcohol 8. A solution of alcohol 8 (0.1214 g, 0.4215 mmol) in 20 mL of dry benzene and a solution of tributyltin hydride (0.12 mL, 0.4637 mmol) and 10 mg of AIBN in 10 mL of benzene were combined as previously for alcohol 7. After removal of the solvent, GCMS analysis of the crude reaction product mixture showed two components with M⁺ of 210 in the ratio of 4.2:1. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography to remove excess tributyltin hydride. A portion of the crude product was further separated by HPLC to afford 11 (2.9 mg, 3.3%) and 12 (11.7 mg, 13.3%). anti-Ethyl 8hydroxy-6-methylenebicyclo[3.2.1]octane-1-carboxylate (11): FTIR (CCl₄) 3568, 3072, 2981, 2940, 2869, 1714, 1661, 1464, cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.61 (m, 4 H, CH₂CH₂), 1.73 (s, 2 H, CH₂), 2.10 (m, H-5), 2.56 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 2 H, H-7_{a,b}), 2.69 (br s, 1 H, OH), 4.12 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃, 4.12 (s, CHOH), 4.88 (br s, 1 H, C=CH₂), 4.94 (br s, 1 H, C=CH₂); GCMS m/z 210 (M⁺, 8), 119 (M⁺ – CO₂Et – H₂O, 100), 91 (52), 79 (35). anti-Ethyl 2-hydroxy-5-methylenebicyclo[2.2.2]octane-1-carboxylate (12): FTIR (CCl₄) 3468, 3069, 2938, 2869, 1715, 1653, 1447 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.51 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH_2CH_3), 1.90 (m, 4 H, CH_2CH_2), 2.30 (m, 2 H, CH₂CHOH), 2.46 (dt, J = 18.1, 2.7 Hz H-6_a), 2.56 (m, H-4), 2.87, (dt, J = 18.1, 2.7 Hz, H-6_b), 3.49 (br s, OH), 4.36 (s, 1 H, CHOH), 4.40 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 4.90 (d, J = 1.7Hz, 1 H, C=CH₂), 5.04 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1 H, C=CH₂); GCMS m/z $210 (M^+, 6), 119 (M^+ - CO_2Et - H_2O, 71), 93 (100), 91 (89), 79$ (35)

Radical Cyclization of Alcohol 8 under High Tributyltin Hydride Concentration. Alcohol 8 (100 mg, 0.347 mmol) in 8 mL of benzene was reacted with a solution of tributyltin hydride (4.5 mL, 0.017 mol) and AIBN (10 mg) in 2 mL of benzene according to the procedure described for 7. After removal of the solvent, GCMS analysis of the residue showed that the mixture contained the reduced starting material 23 (ethyl 1-allyl-2hydroxy-3-cyclohexenecarboxylate) with 12 and 11 in the ratio of 9.1:2:1. (Retention times 28.58, 29.81, and 30.99 min, respectively.) Separation by HPLC afforded Ethyl 1-allyl-2-

⁽¹⁸⁾ Swern, D.; Mancuso, A. J.; Huang, S.-L. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2480.

hydroxy-3-cyclohexenecarboxylate (23) as an oil: IR (CCl₄) 3500, 3080, 3040, 2980, 1740, 1640 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) δ 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H, CH₂CH₃), 1.99 (m, 1 H), 2.06 (m, 3 H), 2.32 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.9 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH—CH₂), 2.44 (dd, J = 13.8, 7.3 Hz, 1 H, CH₂CH—CH₂), 3.05 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1 H, OH), 4.10 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H, CHOH), 4.19 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H, CH₂CH₃), 5.06 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1 H, CH—CH), 5.08 (dd, J = 11.9, 3.6 Hz, 1 H, CH—CH), 5.08 (dd, J = 11.9, 3.6 Hz, 1 H, CH—CH), 5.78 (m, 3 H, CH—CH₂); GCMS m/z 210 (M⁺) 169 (M⁺ - allyl, 13), 151 (M⁺ - H₂O - allyl, 22), 141 (75), 119 (M⁺ - H₂O - COOEt, 38), 113 (98), 95 (100), 79 (51).

Oxidation of the Alcohols 11 and 12. The mixture of alcohols 11 and 20 (30 mg, 0.1428 mmol) was oxidized by the Swern procedure with oxalyl chloride (59 μ L, 0.65 mmol), DMSO (100 μ L, 1.30 mmol), and triethylamine (0.42 mL, 3.0 mmol). A portion of the product mixture was separated by HPLC to give 13 (1.5 mg, 5%) and 14 (3.2 mg, 11%). These products were identical with those obtained by the oxidation of alcohols 9 and 10 as shown

by the identity of IR and GCMS spectra.

Oxidation of Alcohol 12. Alcohol 12 (11.7 mg, 0.057 mmol) was oxidized with oxalyl chloride (11.2 μ L, 0.12 mmol), DMSO (19 μ L, 0.25 mmol), and triethylamine (80 μ L, 0.56 mmol). The GCMS and IR data of the isolated ketone are identical with those of ketone 14.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to the CUNY Faculty Research Award Program for Grant No. 668246, which funded this research in part. We are also indebted to our colleagues, Profs. D. Locke (Queens), G. Quigley, and M. Blumenstein (Hunter) for their invaluable technical assistance.

Supplementary Material Available: X-ray crystallography material for urethane 15 (10 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Total Synthesis of Dehydroambliol-A and Its Unnatural Z Isomer[†]

Charles V. Magatti, James J. Kaminski,[‡] and Irvin Rothberg*

Department of Chemistry, Rutgers University, Newark, New Jersey 07102

Received November 6, 1990

Convergent total syntheses of dehydroambliol-A (1a), its unnatural Z isomer 1b, and ambliofuran (2) are described. The syntheses utilized 2-(3'-furyl)-1,3-dithiane (6) as a common intermediate. Analysis of their proton and carbon magnetic resonance spectra confirm that in the natural product dehydroambliol-A and in synthetic dehydroambliol-A the Δ^7 -bond possesses the E geometry, while in the unnatural isomer of dehydroambliol-A, the Δ^7 -bond is of the Z configuration.

The isolation and structure elucidation of several metabolites from the class of marine sponge known as Dysidea amblia has been reported.¹ By use of a combination of spectroscopic and degradative chemical studies, a diterpenoid-based structure was suggested for dehydroambliol-A (1) and ambliofuran (2).



Dehydroambliol-A (1)





Ambliofuran (2)

Although a synthesis of ambliofuran (2) has been reported recently,² the total synthesis of dehydroambliol-A (1) has not been described. We wish to report the synthesis of the natural product dehydroambliol-A (1a) and its un-

[†]This work was abstracted from the thesis research of C.V.M. presented to the Graduate School of Rutgers University in partial fulfillment of the requirements of the Ph.D. degree.

[†]Department of Chemical Research, Schering-Plough Corp., 60 Orange St., Bloomfield, NJ 07003.

Scheme I. Retrosyntheses of Dehydroambliol-A (1) and Ambliofuran (2)



natural Z isomer 1b. In addition, an alternative synthesis of ambliofuran (2) is described.