

Published on Web 02/15/2008

Ruthenium-Catalyzed Vinylic Substitution Reactions with Nucleophiles via **Butatrienvlidene Intermediates**

Yoshihiro Yamauchi,† Masahiro Yuki,† Yoshiaki Tanabe,† Yoshihiro Miyake,† Youichi Inada,‡ Sakae Uemura,^{‡,§} and Yoshiaki Nishibayashi*,[†]

Institute of Engineering Innovation, The University of Tokyo, Yayoi, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan, and Department of Energy and Hydrocarbon Chemistry, Kyoto University, Nishikyo-ku, Kyoto 615-8510, Japan Received November 5, 2007; E-mail: ynishiba@sogo.t.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Transition metal complexes of cumulated alkylidenes such as metal-carbene, -vinylidene, and -allenylidene complexes have been widely used as versatile organometallic species having a double bond between a metal and a carbon.1 The metal-carbon double bond is reactive enough to be employed for many organic transformations catalytically as well as stoichiometrically. In fact, metathesis of alkenes via metal-carbenes may be one of the most powerful tools in recent organic synthesis, while metal-vinylidenes³ and -allenylidenes⁴ are also revealed to be the important organometallic species in various organic transformations of terminal alkynes. In sharp contrast to the rich chemistry of these carbene, vinylidene, and allenylidene complexes, the chemistry of higher cumulenylidene complexes is so far limited only to the isolation and stoichiometric reactions of metal-cumulenylidene complexes.^{5,6} We have now found the first example of the ruthenium-catalyzed novel reactions via metal-butatrienylidene complexes⁵ as key intermediates.

Treatment of 2-(1-phenyl-1-buten-3-ynyl) trifluoromethanesulfonate (2a), as a mixture of two stereoisomers (isomer ratio 58/ 42), with 1,3-cyclopentanedione (3a) (3 equiv to 2a) in the presence of 3 mol % of $[Cp*RuCl(\mu_2-SMe)]_2$ $(Cp* = \eta^5-C_5Me_5; 1a)^4$ in ClCH₂CH₂Cl at room temperature for 30 min gave 3-((E)-1-phenyl-1-buten-3-yn-2-yloxy)-2-cyclopentenone (4aa) in 91% isolated yield with a complete selectivity (Scheme 1 and Table 1).7 Interestingly, **3a** worked as *O*-nucleophiles, in sharp contrast to the propargylic substitution reactions.4c No stereoisomers were detected by 1H NMR. The molecular structure of 4aa was determined by X-ray analysis.^{7,8} The use of the complex bearing a sterically more demanding SⁱPr moiety $[Cp*RuCl(\mu_2-S^iPr)]_2$ (1b) did not affect the yield of 4aa, while that of the corresponding cationic diruthenium complex $[Cp*RuCl(\mu_2-SMe)_2RuCp*(OH_2)]OTf (OTf = OSO_2CF_3;$ 1a') in place of 1a gave 4aa in 69% yield.

Scheme 1

Catalytic reactions of other 2-(1-aryl-1-buten-3-ynyl) trifluoromethanesulfonates (2) with cyclic 1,3-diketones (3) were investigated by using 1a as a catalyst. When 3b was used in place of 3a, lower yields of vinylic ethers (4) were obtained even from the reactions using a larger amount (5 mol %) of 1a and for a longer reaction time (1 h) (Table 1, runs 4-6). In contrast, reactions with 3c proceeded smoothly to give 4 in high yields with a complete selectivity (Table 1, runs 7-9). Reactions of 2d and 2e with 3a

Table 1. Ruthenium-Catalyzed Reactions of 2 with 3 in the Presence of 1a^a

	Ar +	O	cat. rt, 30 CICH ₂ 0	min 4	R	
run	2 ^b	3		4 y	ield of 4,(%)	sisomer ratio (E/Z)
1 2 3	$Ar = Ph (2a)$ $Ar = p-MeC_6H$ $Ar = p-ClC_6H$		3a 3a 3a	Ar	91 (4aa) 87 (4ba) 86 (4ca)	>99/<1 >99/<1 >99/<1
4 5 6	$Ar = Ph (2a)$ $Ar = p-MeC_6H$ $Ar = p-ClC_6H$		3b 3b 3b	Ar	82 ^e (4ab) 55 ^e (4bb) 42 ^e (4cb)	>99/<1 >99/<1 >99/<1
7 8 9	$Ar = Ph (2a)$ $Ar = p-MeC_6H$ $Ar = p-ClC_6H$		3c 3c 3c	Ar	88 (4ac) 84 (4bc) 77 (4cc)	>99/<1 >99/<1 >99/<1
10	Ph OTf 2d	°~~°	3a	Ph Ph	84 (4da)	>99/<1
11	OTf 2e		3a		89 (4ea) =0	>99/<1
12	Ar = Ph(2a)	O Et	3d	Ph Et	93 (4ad)	98/2

^a All reactions of 2 (0.30 mmol) with 3 (0.90 mmol) were carried out in the presence of 1a (0.009 mmol) in ClCH2CH2Cl (8 mL) at room temperature for 30 min. ^b The isomer ratio is shown in Supporting Information. ^c Isolated yield. ^d Determined by ¹H NMR. ^e The reaction was carried out in the presence of 1a (0.015 mmol) for 1 h.

gave the corresponding vinylic ethers (4da and 4ea) in 84 and 89% isolated yields, respectively (Table 1, runs 10 and 11). Unfortunately, no reaction occurred at all when acyclic 1,3-diketones such as 2,4-pentanedione and cyclic β -ketoesters such as β -lactones were used in place of 3. Interestingly, the reaction of 2a with 3d proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding vinylic ether (4ad) in 93% isolated yield with an excellent stereoselectivity (E/Z =98/2) (Table 1, run 12). In addition to cyclic 1,3-diketones, alcohols can also be employed as nucleophiles for this substitution reaction. Thus, when the sulfonates 2 were treated with ethanol in the presence of 5 mol % of 1a at room temperature for 4 h, the corresponding vinylic ethers (5) were obtained in good yields as a mixture of stereoisomers (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2

In order to obtain some information on the reaction pathway, the following stoichiometric and catalytic reactions were investigated. Treatment of 1a with 1 equiv of 2d in the presence of 2 equiv of ⁱPr₂NEt in ClCH₂CH₂Cl at room temperature for 20 min

[†] The University of Tokyo.

Kyoto University.

§ Present address: Okayama University of Science, Okayama, 700-0005, Japan.

gave the corresponding butenynyl complex (6) in 63% isolated yield (Scheme 3). The structure of 6 was unambiguously characterized by X-ray crystallography (Figure S1). The complex 6 is considered to be obtained by nucleophilic attack of a chloride ion to the γ -carbon of the butatrienylidene complex, which may be generated in situ from 1a and 2d. A similar mononuclear ruthenium butenynyl complex has already been obtained and characterized by Selegue and his co-worker, where the complex was obtained from the reaction of the corresponding butatrienylidene complex with trifluoroacetic anhydride. 9

Treatment of **6** with 1 equiv of TfOH in the presence of 5 equiv of NH₄Cl gave **7**¹⁰ in 87% yield (Scheme 3). The indene **7** seems to be produced in situ via Brønsted acid catalyzed intramolecular Friedel—Crafts-type cyclization of **8** released in situ from **6**. These results indicate that the catalytic reaction might proceed via a butatrienylidene complex as a key intermediate. ¹¹ Furthermore, the reaction of **2d** with **3a** in the presence of 3 mol % of **6** at room temperature for 30 min afforded **4da** in 84% yield.

Scheme 3

A proposed reaction pathway is shown in Scheme 4. The initial step is the formation of a vinylidene complex (**A**) by the reaction of **1a** with **2**, followed by its conversion into a butatrienylidene complex (**B**). Subsequent attack of a nucleophile on the C_{γ} atom of **B** results in the formation of another vinylidene complex (**C**). In the reactions with **3**, the steric repulsion between substituents in both **B** and **3** might lead to predominant formation of (*E*)-**C**. Finally, the complex **C** liberates a vinylic-substituted product by reaction with another **2**, regenerating **A**. We believe that the synergistic effect in the diruthenium complexes is quite important for the promotion of this catalytic reaction. 4

Scheme 4

$$\begin{bmatrix} [Ru]^+ & [Ru]^+ \\ & & \\ & & \\ Ar & & \\ A & OTf & B \\ & & \\ A & OTf & Ar \\ & & \\ A & OTf & \\ A & OTf & Ar \\ & & \\ A & OTf & Ar \\ & & \\ A & OTf & \\$$

Next, we investigated the reactions of α -ketoacetylenes (9) with nucleophiles because α -ketoacetylenes are considered to be suitable substrates to generate butatrienylidene complexes. Treatment of 9a in ethanol in the presence of 10 mol % of 1a' at 60 °C for 2 h gave the vinylic ether (5aa) in 60% isolated yield as a mixture of two stereoisomers (Table 2, run 1). Almost the same yield of 5aa was obtained when 1a was used as a catalyst. The presence of a substituent at the *para*-position in the benzene ring of 9a did not practically influence the yield of 5 (Table 2, runs 2–6). A variety of alcohols are available as nucleophiles (Table 2, runs 7–9), but no formation of 4 was observed when reactions of 9 with 3 were carried out under the same reaction conditions.

These reactions are also considered to proceed via butatrienylidene complexes as key intermediates. Dehydration from a vinylidene complex (**E**) assisted with an alcohol may give **B** (Scheme 5), which is the same reactive intermediate as that in the reactions of **2** with alcohols (Schemes 2 and 4). In fact, the ratio of stereoisomers of **5** from the reaction of **9** with alcohols is almost the same

Table 2. Ruthenium-Catalyzed Reactions of **9** with Alcohols in the Presence of **1a**^{'a}

run	Ar of 9	ROH	yield of 5 (%) ^b	isomer ratio ^c
1	Ph (9a)	EtOH	60 (5aa)	74/26
2	$p\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4$ (9b)	EtOH	62 (5ba)	77/23
3	p-ClC ₆ H ₄ (9c)	EtOH	59 (5ca)	79/21
4	p-MeOC ₆ H ₄ (9d)	EtOH	55 (5da)	77/23
5	p-FC ₆ H ₄ (9e)	EtOH	49 (5ea)	80/20
6	2-naphthyl (9f)	EtOH	63 (5fa)	71/29
7	Ph (9a)	n PrOH	63 (5ab)	78/22
8	Ph (9a)	ⁱ PrOH	53 (5ac)	83/17
9	Ph (9a)	ⁿ BuOH	68 (5ad)	77/23

 a All reactions of **9** (0.30 mmol) with alcohol (15 mL) were carried out in the presence of **1a'** (0.03 mmol) at 60 °C for 2 h. b Isolated yield. c Determined by 1 H NMR.

Scheme 5.

as that from 2. In the attempted reactions of 9 with 3, we consider that no dehydration from E occurs due to a low basicity of 3.

In summary, we have disclosed novel ruthenium-catalyzed vinylic substitution reactions of vinylic trifluoromethanesulfonates with nucleophiles which are considered to be a new type of vinylic substitution reaction, 13–15 proceeding via ruthenium—butatrienylidene complexes as key intermediates. 16 We believe that this finding will open up a further aspect of the chemistry of metal—cumulenylidene complexes.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, spectroscopic data, and X-ray data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- For a recent review, see: Bruneau, C.; Dixneuf, P. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2176.
- (2) For a recent review, see: Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 3760.
- (3) For a recent review, see: Varela, J. A.; Saá, C. Chem.—Eur. J. 2006, 12, 6450.
- (4) For recent examples, see: (a) Yamauchi, Y.; Onodera, G.; Sakata, K.; Yuki, M.; Miyake, Y.; Uemura, S.; Nishibayashi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5175. (b) Matsuzawa, H.; Miyake, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6488. For a review, see: (c) Nishibayashi, Y.; Uemura, S. Curr. Org. Chem. 2006, 10, 135.
- For reviews, see: (a) Touchard, D.; Dixneuf, P. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998, 178–180, 409. (b) Selegue, J. P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 1543. (c) Bruce, M. I. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 1603. (d) Cadierno, V.; Gamasa, M. P.; Gimeno, J. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2004, 248, 1627.
- (6) Ilg, K.; Werner, H. Chem.-Eur. J. 2002, 8, 2812.
- (7) See Supporting Information for experimental procedures.
- (8) The molecular structure of **4bc** was also determined by X-ray analysis.
- (9) Lomprey, J. R.; Selegue, J. P. Organometallics 1993, 12, 616.
- (10) Cappelli, A.; Galeazzi, S.; Giuliani, G.; Anzini, M.; Donati, A.; Zetta, L.; Mendichi, R.; Aggravi, M.; Giorgi, G.; Paccagnini, E.; Vomero, S. *Macromolecules* 2007, 40, 3005.
- (11) The result of the reaction of 2a with EtOD in the presence of 1a also supports our proposed reaction pathway. See Supporting Information for details.
- (12) Ammal, S. C.; Yoshikai, N.; Inada, Y.; Nishibayashi, Y.; Nakamura, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 9428.
- (13) For reviews, see: (a) Rappoport, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. **1992**, 25, 474. (b) Okuyama, T. Acc. Chem. Res. **2002**, 35, 12.
- (14) For a recent example, see: Miyauchi, H.; Chiba, S.; Fukamizu, K.; Ando, K.; Narasaka, K. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 5940 and references therein.
- (15) Okimoto, Y.; Sakaguchi, S.; Ishii, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 1590.
 (16) Separately, we confirmed that no reaction occurred when 2 and 9 bearing an internal alkyne moiety were used as substrates.

JA710013Y