CHROMONES AND FLAVANS FROM MARSHALLIA OBOVATA

FERDINAND BOHLMANN,* JASMIN JAKUPOVIC,* ROBERT M. KINGT and HAROLD ROBINSONT

* Institute for Organic Chemistry, Technical University Berlin, Strasse des 17. Juni 135, D-1000 Berlin 12, W. Germany; † Smithsonian Institute, Washington, DC 20560, U.S.A.

(Received 12 November 1979)

Key Word Index—Marshallia obovata; Compositae; new chromones; new flavan derivatives; new thymol derivative; new inositol ester.

Abstract—An investigation of Marshallia obovata afforded, in addition to known thymol derivatives, one new ester. Furthermore six new chromone derivatives, all prenylated, were present together with the known spathelia chromene. The main constituent was a flavan already isolated from M. grandiflora but five new flavans were also isolated. Besides other widespread compounds a tetraester of inositol was isolated in minute amounts. All the structures were elucidated by spectroscopic methods or chemical transformations. Surprisingly, even the main 4-methoxyflavan could be split by periodic acid.

INTRODUCTION

Although the North American genus Marshallia has always been placed in the Heliantheae (Compositae), some authors have proposed that it should be put in the Eupatorieae instead. It appeared to us that an examination of its chemistry might be helpful to reach a clear decision on this point. So far, only one species, M. grandiflora, has been investigated chemically but the amount of material was very limited [1] and a more precise investigation was thus desirable. We have now studied M. obovata (Walt.) Beadle et Baynt. and found that the main constituent was a flavan derivative previously isolated from M. grandiflora [1]. However, in addition, some widely distributed compounds and several new ones are present. These include six new chromone derivatives and five further flavans, an inositol tetraester and a new thymol epoxide.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The roots of M. obovata contain the thymol derivatives 1-5 [5,6] of which only 3 is new and its structure clearly follows from the spectral data (see Experimental). Furthermore we isolated euphol acetate, together with some unidentified triterpenes, 8 [7], dehydrocostus lactone (9) [8], the sesquiterpene acids 10 [9] and 11 [10] as well as methyl p-coumarate. In addition to the known flavan derivatives 21 and 22 [1], a new one (23), with the positions of the hydroxy and methoxy groups at C-3 and C-4 reversed, was present. The structure follows from the ¹H NMR data (see Table 1). The protons at C-3 and C-4 show small differences in chemical shift compared with those of 22. A second unknown flavan was obviously closely related to 21. The ¹H NMR spectrum (see Table 1) shows that the prenyl side chain must be cyclized and dehydrogenated, since the typical signals of a chromene are visible. Hence, only the structure 27 seems likely for this compound. The relative position of the prenyl side chain in

these flavans could be assigned by comparison with known compounds and from the results of the Eu(fod)₃-shift measurements [1], but further proof was desirable. We therefore degraded these compounds with periodate. The reaction of 21 with sodium periodate under neutral conditions afforded 29 from ring B and the aldehyde 28 whose structure was established by acid-catalysed cyclization to 30, which on acetylation yielded 31. The ¹H NMR data, and especially the observed Eu(fod)₃-shifts in the spectrum of 31, clearly indicated the position of the prenyl side chain. The observed splitting of the dihydropyran ring requires hydrolysis at C-2. Surprisingly compound 22 could also be transformed to 30. However, addition of dilute acid was necessary indicating that hydrolysis at C-4 was effected only in acidic medium under very mild conditions.

Compounds 21-23 and 27 could only be separated with difficulty from a complex mixture of chromone derivatives. Seven compounds of this type were finally isolated, only one of which, 13, being previously known from Spathelia sorbifolia [2] (Rutaceae). A second chromone (17a) was prepared by the methylation of peucenine (17b), isolated from Ptaeroxylon obliquium (f.Sapidinaceae) [3]. The presence of the free 5-hydroxy group in 17a follows from the observed ¹H-NMR signal at 12.76, which clearly indicates a hydrogen-bonded hydroxyl. The structures of two further chromones could be assigned by comparison of the ¹H-NMR data with those of 13 (see Table 2). To establish the position of the chromene ring in all these compounds we acetylated 13. and the observed Eu(fod)3-shifts of the resulting acetate (see Table 2) indicate that its structure is 14 and not the possible isomeric structure, present in ptaerochromeniol [4], with a C-C-bond at C-8. Three further chromones. which could not be separated, only differ in the acyloxy group at C-5', which clearly follows from the ¹H NMR data (see Table 2). Their spectra further show that compounds closely related to peucenine must be present. The molecular formulae indicate that the corresponding



20 R = Mebu

alcohol is isomeric with 16, while the ¹H NMR data require that these compounds are dihydrofurans bearing an isopropenyl group substituted with different acyloxy groups (18-20: see Table 2), we have named the corresponding alcohol marshallia chromone.

Biogenetically, all the chromones are most probably formed from 17b as a common precursor. Transformation to the 2,3-epoxide could be followed by cyclization in two different ways, which, after elimination of water, would lead to a chromene or a dihydrofuran. Further simple oxidation steps and esterification would lead to the compounds isolated.

The aerial parts of the plant contain compounds 6, 7 and 21-23 and three new flavan derivatives. The ¹H NMR data show that these latter compounds have the structures 24-26 (see Table 1). In the NMR spectrum of 24, signals of 2-, 3- and 4-H clearly indicate that no function is present at C-3. The position of the methoxy group follows from the observed shift for 6-H, and from the typical splitting of the signals for 7'-H induced by the neighbouring methoxy group. The ¹H NMR spectrum of 25 indicates that the 4hydroxy group must be replaced by a keto group, consequently the 3-H signal is shifted downfield when compared with the chemical shift in the spectrum of 21.

The presence of a chromene ring in 26 also follows from the ¹H NMR data; the other signals of this compound are very similar to those of 25, indicating the same stereochemistry at C-2 and C-3. The NMR data of 27 clearly show that this is the corresponding 4-hydroxy derivative of 26. The observed coupling constants indicate that again the stereochemistry at C-2, C-3 and C-4 is the same as those of 21. Compounds 26 and 27 are presumably biogenetically derived from 25 via 21.

Finally we isolated a tetraester in minute amounts which turned out to be a derivative of inositol. The sterochemistry of the compound 12 clearly follows from









the observed coupling constants, but the relative position of the four ester residues has not been established; the ¹H NMR data (see Experimental) only show that, in addition to three angelicates, one acetate group must be present.

The compounds isolated from this Marshallia species show that this genus bears no close relationship to other genera in the tribe Heliantheae. The overall picture, however, is very uniform since biogenetically most of the compounds are derivatives of phloroglucinol, all prenylated. While the flavans 21–27 are surely condensation products with activated coumarate, the chromones must be formed by condensation of the same phloroglucinols with acetoacetate. The latter pathway seems to be rare in composites. The proposed position as a separate tribe Marshalliinae [11] therefore seems to be supported by the chemistry of the genus. Furthermore, a relationship with the Inuleae is very likely, since prenylated phloroglucinol derivatives are widespread in the genus *Helichrysum* [12].

EXPERIMENTAL

IR: CCl₄ or CHCl₃; ¹HNMR: 270 MHz, TMS as int. standard; MS: 70 eV. The air-dried plant material (79/1337) was cut and extracted with Et₂O-petrol (1:2). The resulting extracts were first separated by column chromatography (Si gel: grade II) and further by repeated TLC (on Si gel GF 254). Known compounds were identified by comparison of their IR and ¹H NMR spectra with those of authentic compounds. Roots (50 e) afforded 8 mg euphol acetate, 2 mg 1, 3 mg 2 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:10),

Position of H	23 (CD ₃) ₂ CO	24 (CDCl ₃)	25 ((CD ₃) ₂ CO)	26 (CDCl ₃)	27 ((CD ₃) ₂ CO)	28 (CDCl ₃)	30 (CDCl ₃)	31 (CDCl ₃)	Δ†
3	4.69 d 4.20 dd	5.42 dd {2.57 ddd	4.62 d(br) 5.08 d(br)	4.53 <i>d</i> 5.00 <i>d</i>	4.91 d 4.90 dd				
6 ά	4.49 <i>d</i> 6.19 s	(3.21 dd 4.22 d 5.73 s			4.23 d 6.05 s	5.89 s	5.83 s	6.30 <i>s</i>	0.16
2',6' 3',5' 7'	7.28 d(br) 6.82 d(br) 3.24 d(br)	7.23 d(br) 6.76 d(br) {3.13 dd(br) }	7.45 d(br) 6.92 d(br) 3.20 d(br)	7.42 d(br) 6.89 d(br) 6.63 d	7.35 d(br) 6.87 d(br) 6.45 dd		2.60 <i>t</i>		0.22
8' 10' 11'	5.22 tqq 1.53 s(br) 1.52 s(br)	5.10 tqq 1.64 s(br) 1.56 s(br)	5.18 t(br) 1.61 s(br) 1.57 s(br)	$\left. \begin{array}{c} 5.53d\\ 1.45s \end{array} \right\}$	5.44 <i>d</i> 1.37 s 1.36 s	5.26 tqq 1.84 s(br) 1.79 s(br)	1.80 r }1.37 s	1.80 <i>t</i>	0.10 0.07
OMe	3.76 <i>s</i> 3.36 <i>s</i>	3.73 <i>s</i>	Ĩ	ļ	3.83 s	3.84 s	3.81 <i>s</i>	3.85 s	0.15
НО			11.65 s 10.79 s	11.43 <i>s</i>	8.34 <i>.</i> s	12.80 s 6.27 s	12.75 s	2.39 s (OAc)	0.38
СНО	-	!		I	-	10.10.5	10.07 s	10.25 s	0.39
* 270 MHz, T + Shifts after i	MS as internal sta addition of 0.1 equ	andard. andard. $a = 4 5 \cdot 7 8' = 7$.	t), 8' 10' = 8' 11' =	1.2 4 ·2'3. = 11	5·2 3, = 3: 3, 4 =	1.3.3. 1. 15.7		13-26-2	

Table 1. ¹H NMR data of compounds 23-28, 30 and 31*

1818

F. BOHLMANN, J. JAKUPOVIC, R. M. KING and H. ROBINSON

(CDCI)	I4 (CDCI ₅)	Δ†	15 (CDCI,)	16 (CDCL,)	17a	18 20
6.00 s(hr)	5.93 a	1.37	1619	102.9	6034	602 s(hr)
6.27 s(br)	6.65 <i>d</i>	0.75	6.29 d	6.28 s(br)	6.36.5	6.31 \$
2.33 d	2.29 d	0.22	4.96 s(br)	{ 3.86 d	2.34 s(br)	2.34 d
6.70 d(br)	6.48 <i>dd</i>	1.17	6.70 dd	(3.77 d 6.70 dd	3.34 d(br)	{ 3.46 dd 3.18 dd
5.60 d	5.74 d	0.53	5.62 d	5.61 d	5.21 t(br)	5.44 dd
, ;; 	, , ,				1.79 s(br)	$\begin{cases} 5.36 \ s(br) \\ 5.29 \ s(br) \end{cases}$
کا.40 »	}I.48 s	0.39	}1.47 s	∫1.48 s	1.68 <i>s(hr)</i>	$\left\{\begin{array}{c}4.73\ d(br)\\4.65\ d(br)\end{array}\right\}$
I	Ι	Ι	!	Ι	3.88 s	
I	i	I	2.68 qq 1.25 d			++
 13.0 <i>s</i>	2.45 s 	2.31	12.80.5	12.80 s	12.76 <i>s</i>	12.92 s

Table 2. ¹H NMR data of compounds 13-17^a and 18-20^{*}

• 270 MHz, TMS as internal standard. † Δ values after addition of 0.2 equivalents Eu(fod)₃. ‡ OCOR: 18: 2.57 qq, 1.18 d, 1.15 d; 19: 0.96 d; 20: 2.40 (q, 0.90 t, 1.44 d. J(Hz): 3,9 ~ 1; 8,1' ~ 1; 1',2' = 10 (17a: 7); 18-20: 1,2 = 9.5; 1',2 = 7.5; 1',1'_2 = 14.5; 5',5'_2 = 13.

2 mg 3, 10 mg 4, 5 mg 5, 1 mg 6, 7 mg 8, 2 mg 9, 1 mg 10, 1 mg 11, 12 mg 13, 8 mg 15 (Et₂O-petrol 1:3), 4 mg 16 (Et₂O-petrol 1:1), 3 mg 17a (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1), 4 mg 18-20 (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1, *ca* 1:1:1), 35 mg 21, 90 mg 22, 3 mg 23 (Et₂O-*tert*.-BuOH, 70:1), and 12 mg 27 (Et₂O), while 200 g aerial parts yielded 6 mg 6, 5 mg 7, 4 mg 12, 140 mg 21, 2.1 g 22, 40 mg 23, 8 mg 24 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1), 3 mg 25 (Et₂O petrol, 3:1), 5 mg 26 (Et₂O-petrol, 3:1) and 30 mg 27 (Et₂O).

7-Isovaleryloxy-8,9-epoxythymolisobutyrate (3). Colourless oil, not free from 5, IR cm⁻¹: 1760 (PhOCOR), 1740 (CO₂R); MS: $M^+ m/e 334.178 (2"_0) (C_{19}H_{26}O_5); -O=C=CMe_2 264 (20);$ $O=C=CHCHMe_2 250 (55); 264-RCO_2H 162 (100); ^1H$ NMR (CDCl₃): d 7.02 (2-H), d 7.45 (5-H), dd 7.21 (6-H), s 5.09 (7-H), d 2.85 (9-H), d 2.47 (9-H), s 1.59 (10-H), m 2.84, d 1.36 (OCOCHMe₂), d 2.24, m 2.05, d 0.94 (OCOCH₂CHMe₂).

O-Acetyl-mositol-triangelicate (12). Colourless oil; IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 1240, 1760 (OAc), 1730 (OAc, OCOC=C), 1650 (C=C); 3620 (OH); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): dd 5.25 (1-H), s(br) 4.26 (2-H), dd 5.58 (3-H), dd 5.52 (4-H), dd 5.44 (5-H), m 4.23 (6-H), m 2.44, s(br) 2.34 (OH), s 1.99 (OAc), qq 6.19, 6.17, 6.11 (3'-H), dq 2.06, 2.03, 1.98 (4-H), dq 2.00, 1.95, 1.88 (5'-H) [J(Hz) = 1, 2 = 3.5, 2, 3 = 10.5; 3, 4 = 3; 4, 5 = 10; 5, 6 = 9; 3', 4' = 7; 3', 5' = 4', 5' = 1.5]; MS: M⁺ m/e 468 (20%) (C₂₃H₃₂O₁₀); $-H_2O$ 450 (52); -HOAc 408 (14); $- OCOC_4H_7$ 369 (56); $-HO_2CC_4H_7$ 368 (64); $C_4H_7CO^-$ 83 (100).

9-Isobutyryloxy-spatheliachromene (15). Colourless crystals, mp 108°; IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 1750 (CO₂R), 1660, 1635, 1600 (chromone); MS:M⁺ m/e 344.126 (18%) (C₁₉H₂₀O₆); - Me 329 (100); 329 - OCOR 242 (18).

9-Hydroxyspatheliachromene (16). Colourless gum; IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1660, 1635, 1600 (chromone); MS: $M^+ m/e 274.084 (C_{15}H_{14}O_5)$.

Peucenine-7-O-methyl ether (17a). Colourless gum; IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 1665, 1635, 1600 (chromone); MS: $M^+ m/e 274.121 (25\%) (C_{16}H_{18}O_4); -C_4H_7 219 (35); 219$ $-CH_2O 189 (4); 189 - C_4H_3 149 (100) (RDA).$

Marshalliachromone-isobutyrate and -isovalerate [2-methylbutyrate] (18–20). Not separated, colourless oil; IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 1745 (CO₂R), 1670, 1635, 1610 (chromone); MS: $M^+ m/e 358.142$ (36%) and 344.126 (28) (C₂₀H₂₂O₆ and C₁₉H₂₀O₆); -RCO₂H 256 (55); 256 -C₃H₄ 216 (100); 216 -C₄H₃O 149 (91).

 $\begin{array}{l} 4\beta,7,4'\mbox{-}Trihydroxy-3\beta,5-dimethoxy-8-[3,3-dimethylallyl]-flavan (23). Colourless gum: IR (CHCl_3) cm^{-1}: 3600 (OH), 1620, 1600, 1560 (aromatic); MS: M^-m/e 386.173 (11<math>^{10}$) (C₂₂H₂₆O₆); - OH 369 (2); - MeOH 356 (45); 356 - CH₂O 326 (40); 326 - Me 311 (15); C₅H₉⁺ 69 (100). \end{array}

 4β , 5,4'-Trihydroxy-7-methoxy-8-[3,3-dimethylallyl]-flavan (24). Colourless gum; IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1620, 1600 (aromatic); MS: M⁺ m/e⁻; -H, - Me 340 (55); 340 -CO 312 (60); 2 mg 24 were acetylated at room temp. (12 hr). 2 mg 24a were obtained, colourless gum, MS: M⁺ m/e⁻; -H₂O 380.162 (C₂₃H₂₄O₅).

 $3\beta,5,7,4'$ - Tetrahydroxy-8-[3,3-dimethylallyl]-flavonone (25). Colourless gum; IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1635 (C=O), 1610 (aromatic); MS: M⁺ m/e 356.126 (21°₆) (C₂₀H₂₀O₆); - OH 339 (58); 339 - HOCH=CHC₆H₄OH (RDA) 203 (100). 4-Oxoobovatachromene (26). Colourless gum; IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1640, 1625 (C=O), 1610 (aromatic); MS: M⁺ m/e 354.110 (36%) (C₂₀H₁₈O₆); - Me 339 (46); 339 - H₂O 321 (11); 339 - HOCH=CHC₆H₄OH 203 (100) (RDA).

 4β -Hydroxyobovatachromene (27). Colourless gum: IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3600 (OH), 1615, 1585, 1520 (aromatic); MS: M⁺ m/e 370.142 (5°) (C₂₁H₂₂O₆); -Mc 355 (8): -HOCH=CHC₆H₄OH 234 (10); -Me 219 (100).

Acetylation of 13. 10 mg 13 in $1 \text{ ml} \text{ CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ were heated with 0.1 ml Ac₂O and 10 mg 4-pyrrolidinopyridine [13]. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) afforded 10 mg 14, colourless gum, ¹H NMR see Table 2.

Degradation of 21. To 5 mg 21 in 1 ml MeOH, 15 mg NaIO₄ in 0.2 ml H₂O were added. After 2 hr at room temp. H₂O was added and the product extracted with Et₂O. TLC (Et₂O-petrol, 1:1) afforded 1 mg 29 and 2 mg 28, colourless gum. ¹H NMR see Table 1.

Degradation of 22. To 30 mg 22 in 2 ml MeOH 50 mg NalO₄ in 0.5 ml 2 N H₂SO₄ was added. After 2 hr stirring at room temp. the reaction products were isolated and separated by TLC. 5 mg 29 and 15 mg 28 were obtained. 15 mg 28 were refluxed in 2 ml C₆H₆ with 10 mg *p*-toluene sulphonic acid. After washing with NaHCO₃ soln and evapn of the organic phase, TLC afforded 10 mg 30, which were acetylated as above yielding 8 mg 31, colourless gum, MS: M⁺ m/e 278.115 (4%) (C₁₅H₁₈O₅); -ketene 236 (35); 236 - Me 221 (15); 221 - CO 193 (13); 236 - C₄H₇ 181 (100).

Acknowledgements----We thank the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for financial support and Dr. R. Wilbur, North Carolina, for collecting the plant material.

REFERENCES

- Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C., King, R. M. and Robinson, H. (1979) Phytochemistry 18, 1246.
- Taylor, D. R. and Wright, J. A. (1971) Rev. Latinoam. Quim. 2, 84.
- Pachler, K. G. R. and Roux D. G. (1967) J. Chem. Soc. C, 604.
- 4. Dean, F. M., Parton, B., Price, A. W., Somvichien, N. and Taylor, D. A. H. (1967) Tetrahedron Letters 2737.
- 5. Bohlmann, F. and Zdero, C. (1972) Tetrahedron Letters 2807.
- Bohlmann, F., Niedballa, V. and Schulz, J. (1969) Chem. Ber. 102, 864.
- Büchi, G., Erikson, R. E. and Wakabayashi, N. (1961) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 927.
- Mathur, S. B., Hiremath, S. V., Kulkarni, G. H., Kelkar, G. R. and Bhattacharyya, S. C. (1965) *Tetrahedron* 21, 3575.
- Herz, W., Chikamatsu, H. and Tether, L. R. (1966) J. Org. Chem. 31, 1632.
- 10. Shtacher, G. (1970) J. Med. Chem. 13, 1221.
- 11. Robinson, H. (1978) Phytologia 41, 39.
- 12. Bohlmann, F., Zdero, C. and Ziesche, J. (1979) *Phytochemistry* 18, 1375 and refs. cited therein.
- 13. Höfle, G. and Steglich, W. (1972) Synthesis 619.