# ANODIC SULFIDATION OF FeS ELECTRODE IN A NaCl SATURATED AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl MELT

NORIO TAKAMI and NOBUYUKI KOURA

(Received 1 December 1987; in revised form 23 February 1988)

Department of Industrial Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641, Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278, Japan

Abstract—Cyclic voltammogram of a FeS electrode in an AlCl<sub>3</sub>–NaCl melt saturated with NaCl containing dissolved Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was found to be similar to that of the positive electrode of an Al/FeS<sub>2</sub> secondary cell. Anodic sulfidation of the FeS in the melt was characterized as the oxidation reaction which produces FeS<sub>2</sub> under diffusion control of a sulfide ion as AlSCl<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> by using cyclic voltammetry, ac impedance measurements, and X-ray analysis. Moreover, from the detailed analysis of the results, the mechanism for the anodic reaction was proposed to be FeS + AlSCl<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> + 2Cl<sup>-</sup> = FeS<sub>2</sub> + AlCl<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> + 2e<sup>-</sup>. The diffusion coefficient of the AlSCl<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> was calculated to be  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 240°C.

## INTRODUCTION

We have developed an Al/FeS<sub>2</sub> secondary cell with a molten AlCl3-NaCl electrolyte for both load-levelling and electric vehicle applications[1-4]. The cell is usually operated at 170-250°C and has a basic AICl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl melt as an electrolyte. An understanding of the electrochemical characteristics of the FeS<sub>2</sub> electrode is important for the further development of such cells. In previous studies, the cathodic reaction of the FeS<sub>2</sub> electrode has been investigated in order to improve the discharge performance. During the discharge process, the positive electrode was considered to be converted from FeS<sub>2</sub> to FeS and "Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>". Here, the "Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>" indicates some kind of species of dissolved aluminum sulfide of which the structure is unknown. It was found that the cathodic reaction consists of the charge transfer, the surface reaction, and the diffusion processes [5]. The charging processes are not clear, however, they appear to affect the cycle life and rechargeability of the cell. In the present paper, we report the preliminary results of studies on the anodic sulfidation reaction of FeS in molten AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl saturated with NaCl containing dissolved Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> which corresponds to the charging conditions of the positive electrode in the cell. The anodic behavior was investigated by cyclic voltammetry, ac impedance measurements, X-ray analysis, scanning electron microscopy, and EMF measurements.

### EXPERIMENTAL

The AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl electrolyte was prepared by heating an anhydrous aluminum chloride (G.R., Pb < 0.01%, Fe < 0.02%, Yotsuhata Chemicals) and sodium chloride (G.R. Wako Pure Chemicals) mixture in a dry N<sub>2</sub> filled Pyrex tube at 180°C, that was in contact with Al wire (99.99% purity, 1 mm diam.) for 48 h in order to remove any trace amount of impurities. The electrolyte was usually an AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl melt (48 mol% AlCl<sub>3</sub>-52 mol% NaCl) saturated with NaCl. The melts containing Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> (Alfa Products) were made by heating at 200°C until the Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was mostly dissolved.

The positive electrode was a paste electrode (5 cm<sup>2</sup>  $\times$  0.7 cm) composed of a synthesized FeS<sub>2</sub> powder (2.5 g, Asahikasei), a graphite powder (1.0 g, Wako Pure Chemicals), and an acetylene black powder (0.2 g, Denkikagakukougyo). The current collector was a Mo mesh. The negative electrode was a spiral Al wire (99.99% purity, 2 mm diam.). The reference electrode was an Al wire immersed in the molten AiCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl saturated with NaCl. It was isolated from the bulk electrolyte with a G4 glass frit at one end.

The assembly of cells and all electrochemical measurements were carried out in a dry N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The cells were placed in thermostated furnaces. The charge-discharge cycling test was performed at 30 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> and 170°C. The working electrode for the cyclic voltammetry and the *ac* impedance measurement was a flat FeS plate (0.35 cm<sup>2</sup>, Wako Pure Chemicals). The electrode surface was polished by no. 1200 sandpaper and fine alumina powder (3  $\mu$ m) followed by degreasing with methanol. The counter was also a spiral Al wire.

The cyclic voltammograms were obtained with a potentiogalvanostat (Nikko Keisoku, NPGS-301S) and function generator (Nikko Keisoku, NFG-5), and a x-y recorder (Watanabe Sokki). The experimental set-up for the *ac* impedance measurements consisted of the Frequency Response Analyzer (FRA: NF Kairo, S-5702B) and the potentiogalvanostat. The real (Re) and imaginary (Im) part of the impedance data were calculated by the FRA which was controlled by a NEC-PC9801E computer. Ten points per decade of impedance measurements were made in the frequency range of 10 kHz-50 mHz.

The product formed on the FeS electrode was identified by the X-ray analysis and the scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Figure 1 shows the typical charge-discharge curves of the positive electrode for the Al/FeS<sub>2</sub> cell at 170°C and at various cycle numbers. The discharge capacity significantly decreases with increasing cycle number from 200 to 300 cycles. It is noted that the slope of the charging curve remarkably increases from 100 cycles, but the discharging curves have a stable plateau at various cycle numbers. The capacity decline may be caused by the difficulty of deep charge. Such a change in the charging curve was attributed to the charge reaction processes. Then, the charge reaction was studied to improve the rechargeability.

The electrochemical behavior of FeS was investigated by cyclic voltammetry. Figure 2 shows the typical voltammogram of an FeS electrode in the NaCl saturated  $AlCl_3$ -NaCl melt without  $Al_2S_3$  at 200°C. Only an anodic wave appears, and the anodic current flows at about 2.0 V vs Al. This anodic reaction may be the oxidation of FeS to form S and FeCl<sub>2</sub> as in the following reaction:

$$FeS + 2Cl^- \rightarrow FeCl_2 + S + 2e^-. \tag{1}$$

We calculated the equilibrium potential at 200°C for the reaction:

 $3FcS + 2NaAlCl_4 \Longrightarrow 2Al + 3FeCl_2 + 3S + 2NaCl.$ 

It was found to be 2.17 V using available thermodynamic data[6, 7]. The observed anodic potential agreed with this calculated value.



Fig. 1. Typical charge-discharge curves for the FeS<sub>2</sub> electrode at various cycle numbers and 170°C.  $I = 30, ---: 10, ---: 200, --+-: 250, --: 300 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ .



Fig. 2. Cyclic voltammogram of FeS electrode in a NaCl saturated AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl at 200°C. Sweep rate:  $0.05 \text{ y s}^{-1}$ ; Electrode area:  $0.35 \text{ cm}^2$ .

Cyclic voltammetry of the FeS electrode in the melt with Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was performed. Typical voltammograms for the FeS electrode in the melt with  $4 \times 10^{-2}$  M  $Al_2S_3$  at various sweep rates are shown in Fig. 3. The left edge for anodic peak and the right edge for cathodic peak appear at 1.40-1.43 V and 1.30-1.34 V, respectively. Figure 4a and b show that the cathodic peak currents  $(i_{ep})$  and potentials  $(E_{ep})$  are proportional to the square root of the sweep rate, which is the expected behavior under ohmic control[8]. This cathodic behavior is the same as that of the positive electrode in the Al/FeS2 cell[4]. These results were also similar to the cathodic behavior of an Fe electrode in LiCl-KCl melt with Li<sub>2</sub>S[9]. The anodic peak currents  $(i_{ap})$  were also proportional to  $v^{1/2}$  as shown in Fig. 5, but a large shift with increasing sweep rate was observed for the peak potential of the anodic reaction. Such a large shift may be due to different resistances for the FeS and FeS<sub>2</sub> electrodes.

In order to determine the composition of the deposited material, the FeS electrode was potentiostated at 1.50 V for 24 h in the  $6.7 \times 10^{-2}$  M Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> added melt at 200°C. FeS<sub>2</sub> was significantly detected by X-ray diffraction. Figure 6 shows the morphology of the surface of the deposited FeS electrode. The white parts seem to be FeS<sub>2</sub> particles. From these results, the anodic wave in Fig. 3 indicates the sulfidation from FeS to FeS<sub>2</sub>. The anodic sulfidation process was also investigated by *ac* impedance measurements. Figure 7 shows the complex plane plots for the FeS electrode in the 2 × 10<sup>-2</sup> M Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> added melt at various controlled potentials at 200°C. The impedance spectra have straight lines with an angle of about 45° in the potential range of 1.40–1.45 V. At 1.50–1.55 V, the spectra became lines with lower angles which bent at low



Fig. 3. Cyclic voltammograms of FeS electrode in a NaCl saturated AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl melt with  $4 \times 10^{-2}$  M Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> at various sweep rates and 200°C. Electrode area: 0.35 cm<sup>2</sup>; ...: 0.050 V s<sup>-1</sup>, ....: 0.025 V s<sup>-1</sup>, ....: 0.005 V s<sup>-1</sup>.

frequencies. This fact may be attributed to an effect of the nucleation process because the rate determining step on extended polarization is generally expected to be the nucleation process.



Fig. 4. Relationships between  $i_{cp}$  or  $E_{cp}$  and  $v^{1/2}$ .



Fig. 5. Relationship between  $i_{ao}$  and  $v^{1/2}$ .



Fig. 6. SEM photograph of the surface of FeS electrode anodized at 1.50 V and 200°C for 24 h.



Fig. 7. Complex-plane plots of FeS electrode in AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl melt with  $2 \times 10^{-2}$  M Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> at various anodic potentials and 200°C. •: 1.400 V;  $\blacktriangle$ : 1.450 V;  $\square$ : 1.500 V;  $\blacksquare$ : 1.550 V.

Moreover, we investigated the impedance behavior at a slightly polarized potential (1.450 V) without the nucleation effect. Figure 8 shows typical Randles plots for the FeS electrode in the melt with  $2 \times 10^{-2}$  M Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> at 200°C. Two parallel straight lines are seen, which indicate that the current-controlling reaction is the diffusion process. The Warburg slope,  $\sigma$ , can be obtained from the slope of either Re or Im versus  $\omega^{-1/2}$ . Then  $\sigma$  can be generally expressed by the following equation:

$$\sigma = \mathbf{R}T/n^2 \mathbf{F}^2 \sqrt{2} A_s \Sigma \left(1/D_i^{-1/2} C_i\right), \qquad (2)$$

where  $D_i$  is the diffusion coefficient (cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>),  $C_i$  is the bulk concentration of reacting species (mol cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $A_s$  is the electrode area (cm<sup>2</sup>), and n is the number of electrons transferred.

In addition, the  $\sigma$  values were obtained in melts containing various Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> concentrations. It was assumed that the dissolved Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> forms a sulfide ion such



Fig. 8. The Randles plots of FeS electrode in AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl melt with  $2.0 \times 10^{-2}$  M Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> at 200°C.

as  $S^2$ , and that the concentration of  $S^{2-}(C_{S^{2-}})$  is three times as large as the  $Al_2S_3$  concentration. Figure 9 shows the plots of  $\sigma$  versus  $1/C_{S^2}$ . The values of  $\sigma$  are proportional to  $1/C_{S^{2-}}$ . This fact agrees with the relationship between  $\sigma$  and  $1/C_{S^{2-}}$  of Equation (2). Moreover, this suggested that the anodic reaction is controlled by diffusion of the sulfide ion. The electrochemistry of sulfur and sulfide ions in AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl melts is highly dependent on the melt composition[10-12]. In the basic melt, NaAlSCl<sub>2</sub> is formed by the dissolution of  $Al_2S_3$  as in the following reaction[13, 14]:

$$Al_2S_3 + NaAlCl_4 + 2NaCl \rightarrow 3NaAlSCl_2$$
. (3)

Namely, it is considered that the sulfide ion in the NaCl saturated  $AlCl_3$ -NaCl melt with  $Al_2S_3$  exists mainly as  $AlSCl_2$ . We propose that the anodic reaction in the basic melt is controlled by diffusion of  $AlSCl_2^-$  as in the following reaction:

$$\operatorname{FeS} + \operatorname{AlSCl}_2^- + 2\operatorname{Cl}^- \to \operatorname{FeS}_2 + \operatorname{AlCl}_4^- + 2e^-$$
 (4)

If the mechanism of the anodic reaction is represented by the reaction of Equation (4) and the process is controlled by the diffusion of  $AISCI_2$ , the diffusion coefficient can be calculated. It was found to be 1  $\times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 240°C,  $3 \times 10^{-7}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 200°C, and  $1 \times 10^{-7}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 170°C from the slope of  $\sigma vs$  $1/C_{S^2}$  in Fig. 9 and Eq. (2). In other reports, it was known that the diffusion coefficient of  $Al_2Cl_7^-$  in the AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl melt at 175°C is  $3.2-5.5 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> using cyclic voltammetry[15]. Diffusion coefficients of S<sup>2</sup> in MgCl<sub>2</sub>-NaCl-KCl and LiF-NaF eutectic melts with Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> at 750°C are  $2.73 \times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 1.47  $\times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, respectively[16, 17], and that of S<sup>2-</sup> LiCl-KCl melt at 450°C is 5.2 in  $\times 10^{-5}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>[18]. At low temperatures, the value of the diffusion coefficient of AISCI2 is very small, but it becomes comparable in value with that of  $Al_2Cl_7$  at 240°C. These observed values are also much smaller



Fig. 9. Plots of  $\sigma$  vs  $1/C_{S^{2}}$  at various temperatures.

than the values of the diffusion coefficient of  $S^{2-}$  in other melts. Such variation of the values may be attributed to the increases in the viscosity of the melt or the radius of the diffusing species, because in the basic melts the AlSCl<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> ion may actually exist as a chain or polymeric species like  $(Al_{n}S_{n-1}Cl_{2n+2})^{n-}$  or  $(AlSCl_{2})_{n-}^{n-}$ , respectively. The value of *n* would also change significantly with the chloride activity, the sulfide concentration, and the temperature[12, 13].

If the cathodic reaction of the  $FeS_2$  electrode corresponds to the reversed reaction of Equation (4), the Nernst equation for the equilibrium reaction is given by Equation (5).

$$E = E_0 + (RT/2F) \ln [FeS_2] [AlCl_4^-] / [FeS] [AlSCl_2^-] [Cl^-]^2$$
(5)

If it is assumed that the concentrations of  $FeS_2$ , FeS, AlCl<sub>4</sub>, and Cl<sup>-</sup> remain constant, then Equation (5) can be simplified to:

$$E = E_0'' - (2.3 \text{R}T/2\text{F}) \log [\text{AlSCl}_2^-].$$
(6)

It is known from Equation (6) that a slope of  $E - \log(A|SC|_2)$  is 2.3RT/2F. The relationship between the sulfide concentration  $(C_{S^{1-}})$  and the equilibrium potential of an FeS/FeS<sub>2</sub> electrode couple was studied (Fig. 10). The gradient of the straight line in Fig. 10 is -45 mV. The close agreement of the experimental and theoretical values  $(-2.3RT/2F = -45.9 \text{ mV} \text{ at} 190^{\circ}\text{C})$  supports that the equilibrium reaction for FeS and FeS<sub>2</sub> is represented by Equation (4).

#### CONCLUSIONS

The electrochemical behavior of FeS in molten AlCl<sub>3</sub>-NaCl saturated with NaCl containing dissolved Al<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> was studied by cyclic voltammetry, *ac* impedance measurements, and EMF measurements. Anodic sulfidation of the FeS electrode was characterized as the oxidation reaction from FeS to FeS<sub>2</sub>. This reaction would be controlled by diffusion of the sulfide ion as AlSCl<sub>2</sub> at a small anodic overpotential.



Fig. 10. Relationship between EMF of FeS/FeS<sub>2</sub> electrode couple and log  $C_{S^{2-}}$ .

A detailed analysis of the experimental results suggested the following mechanism for the anodic sulfidation of FeS:

$$\operatorname{FeS} + \operatorname{AlSCl}_2^- + 2\operatorname{Cl}^- \Longrightarrow \operatorname{FeS}_2 + \operatorname{AlCl}_4^- + 2\operatorname{e}^-.$$

The diffusion coefficient of  $A1SC1_2^-$  was calculated to be  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  cm<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 240°C.

# REFERENCES

- 1. N. Koura, J. electrochem. Soc. 127, 1529 (1980).
- N. Koura, T. Inoue and S. Takahashi, Prog. Batteries Sol. Cells 3, 260 (1980).
- S. Takahasi, N. Koura and T. Inoue, Nippon Kagaku Kaishi, 1982, 1077 (1982).
- 4. N. Takami and N. Koura, Denki Kagaku 54, 498 (1986).
- 5. N. Takami and N. Koura, Electrochim. Acta, submitted.
- JANAF Thermochemical Tables, The Bow Chemical Co (1977).

- 7. L. G. Boxall, H. L. Jones and R. A. Osteryoung, J. electrochem. Soc. 120, 223 (1973).
- 8. A. J. Calandra, N. R. de Tacconi, R. Pereiro and A. J. Arvia, Electrochim. Acta 19, 901 (1974).
- C. A. Melendres, C. C. Sy and B. Tani, J. electrochem. Soc. 124, 1060 (1977).
- R. Marassi, G. Mamantov and J. Q. Chambers, J. electrochem. Soc. 123, 1128 (1976).
- 11. K. A. Paulsen and R. A. Osteryoung, J. Am. chem. Soc. 98, 6866 (1976).
- J. Robinson, B. Gilgert and R. A. Osteryoung, *Inorg. Chem.* 169, 3040 (1977).
- 13. R. W. Berg, S. V. Winbush and N. J. Bjerrum, Inorg. Chem. 19. 2688 (1980).
- 14. H. A. Hjuler, R. W. Berg, K. Zachariassen and N. J. Bjerrum, J. chem. Eng. Data 30, 203 (1985).
- P. Rolland and G. Mamantov, J. electrochem. Soc. 123, 1299 (1976).
- N. Q. Minch, R. O. Loutfy and N. P. Yao, J. electroanal. Chem. 131, 229 (1982).
- 17. N. Q. Minch and N. P. Yao, J. electrochem. Soc. 130, 1025 (1983).
- D. Warin, Z. Tomczuk and D. R. Visser, J. electrochem. Soc., 130, 64 (1983).