## Diastereoselective hydrogenation of *o*-toluic acid derivatives over supported rhodium and ruthenium heterogeneous catalysts

## Michèle Besson,\*† Pierre Gallezot, Samuel Neto and Catherine Pinel

Institut de Recherches sur la Catalyse-CNRS, 2 avenue Albert Einstein, 69626 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

Asymmetric hydrogenation of an *o*-toluic acid derivative to 2-methylcyclohexanoic acid with high optical selectivity (up to 95%) was performed by using (*S*)-pyroglutamic acid methyl ester as a chiral auxiliary and Rh–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as the catalyst.

Diastereoselective catalytic hydrogenation with heterogeneous metal catalysts has been applied for the reduction of C=C, C=O or C=N bonds.<sup>1,2</sup> Modest to high diastereoselectivities were obtained, depending on the chiral auxiliary used and the nature of the heterogeneous catalyst. Recently, this method was proposed to hydrogenate aromatic rings.<sup>3,4</sup> Thus, (*S*)-*N*-(2-methylbenzoyl)proline methyl ester was hydrogenated quantitatively on pretreated Rh–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the presence of a bulky amine (ethyldicyclohexylamine = EDCA); the *cis* isomer was obtained preferentially (yield > 97%) with diastereoisomeric excess (de) values reaching 67%.<sup>5</sup> We now report on the use of a pyroglutamic acid derivative as a chiral auxiliary which permits the diastereoselective reduction of aromatic moities with higher than 90% de.

Substrate 1 was synthesized with a 82% yield, after purification, by coupling under mild conditions *o*-toluoyl chloride with pyroglutamic acid methyl ester (Scheme 1).<sup>6</sup><sup>‡</sup> The hydrogenation was carried out in a stirred autoclave at a hydrogen pressure of 5 MPa at room temperature. The substrate was dissolved in EtOH and supported rhodium or ruthenium catalysts (2–5 mol%) were added. EDCA (2–3 equiv. with respect to metal) was optionally added. The typical product distribution as a function of time (entry 5) is given in Fig. 1 for a hydrogenation performed over Ru–C catalyst. The aromatic substrate was hydrogenated to **3a** and **b** with a constant de; some



Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: i, (S)-pyroglutamic acid methyl ester, toluene, 80  $^{\circ}$ C, N<sub>2</sub>



**Fig. 1** Distribution of products *versus* time for hydrogenation of **1** over Ru–C (entry 5, Table 1). Reaction conditions: 2.26 mmol **1**, 0.063 mmol Ru, 130 ml EtOH, room temp., 5 MPa H<sub>2</sub>. Less than 3% of the *trans* compound was detected. ( $\oplus$ ) **1**, ( $\oplus$ ) **2**, ( $\blacksquare$ ) **3a** and ( $\blacktriangle$ ) **3b**.

cyclohexenic compound 2 was formed transiently and consecutively hydrogenated to 3. An overview of the most significant catalytic results is summarized in Table 1.

In all reactions, only small amounts of *trans*-cyclohexane derivative were found (< 3%) and the absolute configuration of the major *cis* product was (1S, 2R, 2'S). Hydrogenation of (S)-N-(2-methylbenzoyl) pyroglutamic acid methyl ester **1** in the presence of Rh–C catalyst resulted in 35% de, whereas on Rh–Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> the conversion was slightly lower, although the diastereoselectivity was 90%. Addition of a bulky amine (EDCA) to the reaction medium lowered the reaction rate in both cases, but excellent diastereoisomeric excesses were observed, both on carbon (90% de) and on alumina (95% de). Compound **2** was detected in significant amounts only in the case of Rh–C; its hydrogenation gave preferentially **3b** and lowered the de.

In the case of the ruthenium catalyst, high diastereoselectivities were achieved without amine, irrrespective of the support (74 and 85% de on carbon and alumina, respectively). However, it was found that the reaction was slower on the aluminasupported catalyst. The semi-hydrogenated compound **2** was

Table 1 Results for hydrogenation of o-toluic acid derivatives 1

Entry	Metal-support	EDCA : metal <sup>a</sup>	Conversion (%) <sup>b</sup> after 24 h	Yield 2 (%) <sup>b</sup>	De (%) <sup>b,c</sup>
1	Rh-C (Aldrich, 3.6%)	_	100 <sup>d</sup>	13	35
2	Rh-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (Degussa, 3.7%)	_	89	5	90
3	Rh–C (Aldrich, 3.6%)	2	49	3.5	90
4	Rh–Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (Degussa, 3.7%)	3	49	2	95
5	Ru–C (Aldrich, 5%) <sup>e</sup>		99	19	74
6	Ru-Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (Degussa, 3.7%) <sup>e</sup>		61	11	85
7	Ru-C (Aldrich, 5%) <sup>e</sup>	3	61	10	83

<sup>*a*</sup> Molar ratio. <sup>*b*</sup> Determined by GC analysis (DB 1701). <sup>*c*</sup> The determination of the major configuration (1*S*,2*R*,2'*S*) was carried out by measuring the optical purity of the hydrolyzed product. <sup>*d*</sup> The conversion was complete after 100 min reaction. <sup>*e*</sup> Pretreated under H<sub>2</sub> at 300 °C for 2 h.

present in up to 19%, and due to steric constraints, it was hydrogenated with reduced de. The diastereoselectivity was increased from 74 to 83% when EDCA was added to the Ru–C catalyst.

These results clearly show that (*S*)-pyroglutamic acid methyl ester exerts much stronger chiral induction than (*S*)-proline derivatives since the de increased to 95% from 67%. This is probably due to the presence of the ketone group in the auxiliary, which plays a crucial role by interacting with the catalyst surface and blocking one of the faces of the aromatic ring.

## **Notes and References**

† E-mail : mbesson@catalyse.univ-lyon1.fr

<sup>‡</sup> Selected data for **1** : white crystals; mp 108 °C;  $[\alpha]_{15}^{25}$  -28.9 (*c* 1, CHCl<sub>3</sub>);  $\delta_{\rm H}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.25 (m, 4 H), 4.96 (dd, 1 H, *J* 3.4, 5.8), 3.83 (s, 3 H), 2.74-2.07 (m, 4 H), 2.36 (s, 3 H);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 173.0 (C), 171.5 (C), 170.4 (C), 135.5 (C), 135.0 (C), 130.4 (CH), 130.2 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 125.3 (CH), 57.9 (CH),

52.8 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 31.7 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 21.6 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 19.2 (CH<sub>3</sub>);  $\nu$  (KBr) cm<sup>-1</sup> 2928, 1751, 1679, 1304, 1218 [C, 64.62 (64.34); H, 5.77 (5.74); N, 5.32 (5.36); O, 24.07% (24.50)].

- 1 A. Tungler and K. Fodor, Catal. Today, 1997, 37, 191.
- 2 M. Besson and C. Pinel, *Top. Catal.*, 1998, **5**, 25 and references cited therein.
- 3 M. Besson, B. Blanc, M. Champelet, P. Gallezot, K. Nasar and C. Pinel, J. Catal., 1997, **170**, 254.
- 4 C. Exl, E. Ferstl, H. Hönig and R. Rogi-Kohlenprath, *Chirality*, 1995, **7**, 211.
- 5 M. Besson, P. Gallezot, C. Pinel and S. Neto, *Studies in Surface Science, Heterogeneous Catalysis and Fine Chemicals*, ed. H.-U. Blaser, Elsevier Science B. V., Amsterdam, 1997, vol. **108**, p. 215.
- 6 J. B. Behr, A. Defoin, J. Pires, J. Streith, L. Macko and M. Zehnder, *Tetrahedron*, 1996, **52**, 3283.

Received in Liverpool, UK, 15th April 1998; 8/02822B