Communications to the Editor

excess of imidazole to the protein sample. The 483-ppm peak now reappears at 519 ppm and the 751-ppm peak is still unshifted. Since imidazole is not known⁵ to coordinate to the noncatalytic site, this directly identifies the 483-ppm resonance as the catalytic Cd(II).

There has been some discrepancy between solid and solution experiments in regard to first- or second-sphere imidazole coordination to the catalytic metal ion. Crystal studies indicate direct coordination to zinc,14 while imidazole proton relaxation studies with completely cobalt substituted LADH have been interpreted in terms of second-sphere coordination.¹⁷ Our solution data indicated first-sphere coordination. In a titration of CdSO₄ at pH 7.4 with 0.5-10 equiv of imidazole we observe a continuously shifted resonance, and we can calculate the average number of imidazoles bound per cadmium from published equilibrium constants.¹⁸ The positions of these ¹¹³Cd NMR resonances yield downfield shifts for one imidazole replacing an H₂O ranging from 41 to 30 ppm. The downfield shift of the catalytic cadmium resonance is within this range at 36 ppm. We do not believe that this shift could be due to conformational changes affecting Cd(II) ligation. Our preliminary coenzyme binding experiments indicate upfield shifts of 42 ppm upon the conformational change with NADH binding. In addition, the X-ray study of the native enzymeimidazole complex detected no movement in the protein ligands of the catalytic metal ion compared with the native enzyme alone.¹⁴ We believe that the 36-ppm upfield shift upon imidazole binding, assuming insignificant conformational changes, demonstrates direct coordination of imidazole to the catalytic Cd(II).

Further coenzyme and substrate binding studies are under way at various pH values to learn more about the nature of coordination at the catalytic site.

Acknowledgments. We thank Professors Vallee and Zeppezauer for sending us prepublication copies of their latest manuscripts. Mr. R. Nunlist supplied the skilled technical support in NMR which made this work possible and Dr. J. Otvos encouraged us in our search for the second resonance. Our spectrometer was constructed with the aid of NSF Grant CHE75-06300 to the Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, and acknowledgment is made to the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for support of this research.

References and Notes

- (1) Armitage, I. M.; Pajer, R. T.; Schoot Ulterkamp, A. J. M.; Chlewbowski, J.
- F.; Coleman, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 5710–2. (2) Chlewbowski, J. F.; Armitage, I. M.; Coleman, J. E. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1977**, 255. 7053-61.
- (3) Armitage, I. M.; Schoot Uiterkamp, A. J. M.; Chlewbowski, J. F.; Coleman, J. E. *J. Magn. Reson.* **1978**, *29*, 375–92. (4) Bailey, D. B.; Ellis, P. D.; Cardin, A. D.; Behnke, W. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
- 1978, 100, 5236-7.
- (5) Brändén, C.-I.; Jörnvall, H., Eklund, H.; Furugren, B. Enzymes, 1975, 11A, 103-90
- (6) Eklund, H., Nordström, B.; Zeppezauer, E.; Söderlund, G.; Ohlsson, I.; Boiwe, T.; Söderberg, B.-O.; Tapia, O.; Brändén, C.-I. J. Mol. Biol. 1976, 102, 27 - 59
- (7) (a) Drum, D. E.; Harrison, J. H.; Li, T.-K.; Bethune, J. L.; Vallee, B. L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1967, 57, 1434–40. (b) Drum, D. E.; Li, T.-K.; Vallee, B. L. Biochemistry 1969, 8, 3792-7. (c) Drum, D. E.; Vallee, B. L., Bio-chemistry 1970, 9, 4078-86.
- (8) Drum, D. E.; Vallee, B. L. Biochem, Biophys. Res. Commun. 1970, 41, 33-9.
- (9) Sytkowski, A.; Vallee, B. L. Biochemistry 1979, 18, 4095-9
- Maret, W.; Andersson, I.; Dietrich, H.; Schneider-Bernlöhr, H.; Einarsson, R.; Zeppezauer, M. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1979**, *98*, 501–12.
 Haberkorn, R. A.; Que, L.; Gillum, W. O.; Holm, R. H.; Liu, C. S.; Lord, R.
- C. Inorg. Chem. **1976**, *15*, 2408–14. (12) (a) Cardin, A. D.; Ellis, P. D.; Odom, J. D.; Howard, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
- 1975, 97, 1672-9. (b) Kostelnik, R. J.; Bothner-By, A. A. J. Magn. Reson. 1974, 14, 141-51.

- (13) Otvos, J., private communication.
 (14) Boiwe, T.; Bränden, C.-I. *Eur. J. Biochem.* **1977**, *77*, 173–9.
 (15) Theorell, H.; McKinley-McKee, J. S. Acta Chem. Scand. **1961**, *15*, (15) 1811-33
- (16) Taniguchi, S.; Theorell, H.; Åkeson, Å. Acta Chem. Scand. 1967, 21, 1903–20.

- (17) Young, J. M.; Mildvan, A. S. "Alcohol and Aldehyde Metabolizing Systems", Thurman, R. G., Williams, J. R., Drott, H. R., Chance, B., Eds.; Academic Press: New York, 1977, Vol. 2, pp 109-17
- (18) Jensen, J. B. Acta Chem. Scand., Ser. A 1975, 29, 250-4.

Barrett R. Bobsein, Rollie J. Myers*

Department of Chemistry, University of California Berkeley, California 94720 Received October 19, 1979

Stepwise Reduction of an Ethynyl–Iron Complex to a Neopentylidene Complex

Sir:

We report here the stepwise reduction of a metal-ethynyl complex to an 18-electron neopentylidene complex. Each intermediate has been isolated and characterized.

The sequence of reactions shown in Scheme I exploits the tendency for monohapto carbon ligands attached to late transition metals to undergo α attack by nucleophiles and β attack by electrophiles.¹ The ethynyl complex 1^{1b} reacts rapidly with methyl fluorosulfonate in benzene to give principally a equimolar mixture of the isobutenylidene complex 2^{1b} and the vinylidene complex 3^2 , along with a small quantity of the propenylidene complex 4, as shown by ¹H NMR and infrared spectroscopy. Evidently, the ethynyl complex 1 is more basic than the propynyl complex 5 ($pK_a = 7.7$).^{1b} Thus, equilibrium 1 explains the formation of 2 and 3.

2Fp'C=CH



Complex 2 reacts with the sodium trimethoxyhydridoborate in THF to give an \sim 4:1 mixture (by ¹H NMR) of the isobutenyl complex 6 and Fp'H.⁴ The complex 6 can be isolated in 20% yield by fractional crystallization. Anal. $(C_{35}H_{36}FeP_2)$, C, H, P. Mass spectrum: parent ion m/e 574. ¹H NMR (60 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 7.60, 7.15 (m, 20 H, Ph), 5.33 (t, ³J_{PH} = 8.5 Hz, 1 H, H_{α}), 4.30 (t, ³J_{PH} = 1.1 Hz, 5 H, Cp), 2.3–1.7 (br m, 4 H, PCH₂), 2.05 (s, 3 H, CH₃), 1.08 (s, 3 H, CH₃). ¹³C NMR $(15 \text{ MHz}, C_6D_6)$: 144.5–131.0 (complex, Ph), 128.6 (br s, C_β), 127.7 (t, ${}^{2}J_{PC}$ = 3.9 Hz, C_{α}), 78.4 (s, Cp), 33.7 (s, CH₃), 27.0 $(t, {}^{1}J_{PC} = 21.5 \text{ Hz}, PCH_2), 21.9 \text{ ppm} (s, CH_3).$

 $Fp'CH = C(CH_3)_2$ (6) reacts with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate in dichloromethane to give the neopentylidene complex 7 in \sim 60% crude yield. Recrystallization of 7 from acetone gave a mixture of black crystals of [Fp'(acetone)]- $[BF_4]$ (ν_{CO} 1700–1710 cm⁻¹; lit.^{4b} for PF₆⁻ salt, ν_{CO} 1710 cm^{-1}) and orange crystals of 7. Anal. (C₃₆H₃₉BF₄FeP₂) C, H, P. ¹H NMR (60 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ 13.68⁵ (t, ³J_{PH} = 14.0 Hz, 1 H, C_{α}), 7.6–6.9 (m, 20 H, Ph), 5.13 (br s, 5 H, Cp), 3.18 $(d, {}^{2}J_{PH} = 11.0 \text{ Hz}, 4 \text{ H}, \text{PCH}_{2}), 0.71 (s, 9 \text{ H}, (\text{CH}_{3})_{3}). {}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (15 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): 359.5 (t, ${}^2J_{PC} = 26.4$ Hz, C_{α}), 137.0–129.3 (complex, Ph), 88.4 (s, Cp), 63.0 (s, C_{β}), 28.3 (t,

Scheme I

$$Fp'C = CH \xrightarrow{CH_3SO_3F} [Fp'=C=C(CH_3)_2]^*$$

$$1 \xrightarrow{2} \\ \xrightarrow{Na[B(OCH_3)_3H]} Fp'CH=C(CH_3)_2$$

$$6$$

$$\frac{[(CH_3)_3O]BF_4}{[Fp'=CHC(CH_3)_3]^*} \xrightarrow{NaBH_4} Fp'CH_2C(CH_3)_3$$

$$\mathbf{Fp}' = (\eta^{5} \cdot \mathbf{C}_{5}\mathbf{H}_{5})\mathbf{Fe}(\mathbf{Ph}_{2}\mathbf{PCH}_{2}\mathbf{CH}_{2}\mathbf{PPh}_{2})$$

 ${}^{1}J_{PC}$ = 20.5 Hz, PCH₂), 28.8 ppm (s, (CH₃)₃). ${}^{13}C$ NMR (¹H gated decoupled, ${}^{1}J_{CH}$ only): 126.0 Hz (C_{α}), 178.8 (Cp), 130.4 (PCH₂), 128.0 ((CH₃)₃). The preparation of [Fp' = $CHC(CH_3)_3$ | BF₄ (7) from 6 represents the first instance of the preparation of a nonheteroatom-substituted alkylidene complex from an alkenyl precursor.⁷ The infrared spectrum (mull) of 7 did not display the low-frequency C-H stretching mode ($\sim 2500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) found in neopentylidene complexes with less than 18 valence electrons, such as $CpTa[CHC(CH_3)_3]$ - $Cl_{2}.^{8}$

The reaction of $[Fp'CH(CH_3)_3][BF_4]$ with excess NaBH₄ in THF gave an \sim 2:3 mixture of the neopentyl complex 8 and Fp'H as shown by ¹H NMR. Fp'CH₂C(CH₃)₃ (8) could be isolated in $\sim 8\%$ yield by column chromatography on silica gel. It was characterized by its ¹H NMR spectrum (60 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 7.85-7.45 (m, 4 H, Ph), 7.4-6.7 (m, 30 H, Ph + C_6D_5H), 4.40 (br s, 5 H, Cp), 2.00 (1:1:1 t, J = 10 Hz, 4 H, PCH_2), 1.30 (t, ${}^{3}J_{PH} = 10$ Hz, 2 H, FeCH₂), 0.84 (s, 9 H, $(CH_3)_3$).

The significance of the reaction sequence in Scheme I lies in its elucidation of the nucleophilicity of C_{β} and the electrophilicity of C_{α} in unsaturated ligands of late⁹ transition metal complexes. Similar polarizations have been demonstrated for thiocarbonyl¹⁰ and dinitrogen¹¹ complexes.

Further studies of the chemistry of iron vinylidene complexes will be reported subsequently.

Acknowledgment. We thank the National Science Foundation for a graduate fellowship to J.P.S.

References and Notes

- (1) (a) Davison, A.; Solar, J. P. J. Organomet. Chem. **1978**, *155*, C8–C12. (b) Davison, A.; Selegue, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, *100*, 7763–7765.
 (2) The ¹³C NMR spectrum³ of vinylidene complex **3** was not previously reported (15 MHz, CDCl₃): 354.5 (AXY, ²J_{P1C} = 35.1, ²J_{P2C} = 33.7 Hz, C_α), 136.9–128.0 (complex multiplets, Ph), 106.8 (s, C_β), 88.9 (s, Cp), 28.5 ppm ${}^{1}J_{PC} = 22.7 \text{ Hz}, PCH_{2}$).
- ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a HEOL FX-60Q spectrometer with (3) internal deuterium lock and complete proton decoupling, at ambient probe temperature. Shifts are reported in parts per million downfield from Me₄Si.
- Mays, M. J.; Sears, P. L. J. Chem. Soc., Daltan Trans. 1973, 1873. (b)
 Sellmann, D.; Kleinschmidt, E. J. Organomet. Chem. 1977, 140, 211– 219
- (5) Similar low-field resonances have been observed for H_{α} in other secondary

- (c) Similar low-read resonances have been observed for h_α in other secondary alkylidene complexes of the later transition metals.⁶
 (a) Brookhart, M.; Nelson, G. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 6099–6101.
 (b) Casey, C. P.; Polichnowski, S. W. *Ibid.*, 1977, 99, 6097–6099.
 (7) The reaction of CpFe(CO)(PPh₃)[C(OC₂H₃)=CH₂] with HBF₄ produces [CpFe(CO)(PPh₃)[C(OC₂H₃)CH₃]]BF₄, a carbenoid species: Davison, A.; Reger, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 9237–9238.
 (8) (a) Wood, C. D.; McLain, S. J.; Schrock, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1979, 101, 3210–3222. (b) Schultz, A. J.; Williams, J. F.; Schrock, R. R.; Rupprecht, G. A.; Fellmann, J. D. *Ibid.*, 1979, 101, 1593–1595.
 (c) It be sheen among demonstrated thet alkylidene complexes of the early
- It has been amply demonstrated that alkylidene complexes of the early (a) It has been amply demonstrated that any model complexes of the early transition metals, e.g., tantalum, are nucleophilic at C_α: Schrock, R. R. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 6577–6578.
 (10) Collins, T. J.; Roper, W. R. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, *159*, 73–89.
 (11) Sellman, D.; Weiss, W. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1978**, *160*, 183–196.

Alan Davison,* John P. Selegue

Department of Chemistry Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 Received February 12, 1979

0002-7863/80/1502-2456\$01.00/0

Novel Transformation of Primary, Secondary, and Tertiary Amines to Organoselenides with Ruthenium Catalyst

Sir:

The transformation of amine compounds which are easily prepared¹ and naturally occurring² would appear to possess tremendous potential. However, known methods for these transformations are limited to a few reactions³ which include oxidations of a tertiary amine with a stoichiometric oxidant.⁴ As a consequence of studies directed to using a strategy for the generation of an iminium ion-metal complex (1) from a tertiary amine with metal catalysts,⁵ a new general method has been developed for the catalytic transformation of tertiary, secondary, and primary amines to the corresponding phenyl selenides as depicted in eq 1-3.

$$R^{1}R^{2}R^{3}N + PhSeNa \xrightarrow{1. Ru}_{2. H_{2}O} R^{1}SePh + R^{2}R^{3}NH \quad (1)$$

$$R^{1}R^{2}NSiMe_{3} + RhSeLi \xrightarrow{1.Ru}{2.H_{2}O} R^{1}SePh + R^{2}NH_{2}$$
 (2)

$$R^{1}N(SiMe_{3})_{2} + PhSeLi \xrightarrow{1. Ku} R^{1}SePh + NH_{3}$$
 (3)
2. H_{2O}

Treatment of a wide variety of amines with an equivalent of benzeneselenolates in diglyme in the presence of ruthenium catalyst results in formation of phenyl selenides uniformly in excellent yields. The scope of this operationally simple, highly selective, and efficient transformation is illustrated in Table I.

Primary and secondary amines do not undergo the transformation since these amines produce a Schiff-base complex $(2)^6$ instead of an iminium ion complex 1 upon treatment with



metal catalysts. This difficulty can be overcome by the protection of their nitrogen-hydrogen bonds. In view of the key step of the initial activation of the carbon-hydrogen bond adjacent to nitrogen with the metal coordinating to the nitrogen,⁵ an electron-donating group such as a trimethylsilyl group should be a good protecting group (3).⁷ To clarify this point, the reaction of an equimolar mixture of (+)-N,N-dimethyl-(4), (+)-N-methyl-N-trimethylsilyl- (5), and (+)-N,N-bis-(trimethylsilyl)-sec-butylamine (6) was carried out in the



presence of palladium catalyst at 100 °C. The optical activities of the recovered amines, which were each collected by preparative VPC, showed that the relative rate of the racemization of 4, 5, and 6 was 1.0:2.0:3.6 (Table II). Actually, N-mono-(trimethylsilyl)- and N,N-bis(trimethylsilyl)amines undergo the same transformation efficiently under considerably milder conditions (80-100 °C) as shown in Table I. Ruthenium catalyst, prepared by the reduction of ruthenium trichloride with potassium, gave excellent results.⁸

© 1980 American Chemical Society