Synthesis and Characterization of New Technetium(I) Tricarbonyl Complexes

LENZI KADEN, BERND LORENZ, SIEGBERT RUMMEL, KLAUS SCHMIDT and MANFRED WAHREN*

Academy of Sciences of G.D.R., Central Institute of Isotopes and Radiation Research, Permoserstr. 15, Leipzig 7050, G.D.R.

(Received July 10, 1987)

From $Mn(CO)_5Hal$ (Hal = Cl, Br, J) [1, 2] and $Re(CO)_5Hal$ [1, 3] a series of neutral [2] or cationic [1, 3] complexes containing the structure element $M(I)(CO)_3$ (M = Mn, Re) was obtained in good yields by ligand exchange reactions. Similar complexes of technetium(I) were of interest to us for studies of ^{99}Tc NMR and catalytic activation of carbon monoxide. Besides that considerable general interest has arisen in cationic Tc complexes as radiopharmaccuticals for myocardial perfusion imaging.

From Tc(CO)₅Br [4] we obtained, by reaction with acetonitrile and AgPF₆ in nearly quantitative yield, the cationic complex [Tc(CO)₃(CH₃CN)₃]⁺-PF₆⁻ (1). I proved to be a promising starting material for other carbonyl complexes of Tc by exchange of the labile nitrile groups for other types of ligands. Here we report the complexes obtained from 1 with four different phenylphosphines PhPL₂. Three different types of products were obtained, indicating the broad preparative scope of ligand exchange reactions with complex 1. The results are summarized in Table I.

The products 2 and 4 were those expected from the stoichiometric relations of the reactants in refluxing CH₂Cl₂. However under quite identical reaction conditions the water soluble sodium salt of monosulfonated PPh₃ m-NaO₃SC₆H₄PPh₂ was able to replace all three nitrile ligands yielding 2 in contrast to unsubstituted PPh₃, which left one

nitrile group unaffected yielding the bisphosphine complex 3.

The replacement of CH₃CN for Me₂PPh was rather sluggish in refluxing CH₂Cl₂. Under more drastic conditions (excess of Me₂PPh, refluxing CHCl₃), quite unexpectedly, compound 5, a neutral complex of Tc(I), was obtained. The coordinated chlorine must come from the solvent.

The structural assignment of 1-5 was made by IR spectroscopy. The intensity relations of carbonyl bands $\nu(C-O)$ are different for facial structure with symmetry C_S and meridional arrangement of ligands (symmetry $C_{2\nu}$) [2, 5]. The fac-compounds have three strong IR bands around 2000 cm⁻¹, while in the mer-compounds the band above 2000 cm^{-1} is very weak. As in the case of Mn complexes [1] in our reactions the fac-products were predominant. While compound 2 is pure fac-isomer, in 1, 3, 4 and 5 small amounts of the mer-forms cannot be excluded from the IR spectra (see 'Experimental').

Compounds 1-5 were subjected to NMR investigations. Compound 2 was shown to be stable in water solution for at least 20 days and not to contain coordinated CH₃CN. Detailed data on ⁹⁹Tc NMR spectra of 1 and 3-5 are given in a separate paper [6] together with other Tc(I) complexes.

Experimental

All operations were made under nitrogen. The pure substances withstand short contact with air.

 $[Tc(CO)_3(CH_3CN)_3]PF_6(1)$

450 mg (1.4 mmol) Tc(CO)₅Br are refluxed in 40 ml acetonitrile for 4 h and the suspension obtained from 400 mg NH₄PF₆, 400 mg AgNO₃, 3 ml EtOH and 3 ml water added. After standing overnight at room temperature the mixture is filtered, the solution evaporated and the residue extracted with three 30 ml portions of refluxing CH₂Cl₂. After concentration to 15 ml addition of n-hexane yields 600 mg (95%) colourless crystals. Melting point (m.p.) 138–140 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₉H₉N₃O₃F₆PTc C, 24.0;

TABLE I. Ligand Exchange Products of 1 with Phosphines in CH₂Cl₂

Phosphine	Molar ratio (1:phosphine)	Product	
m-NaO ₃ SC ₆ H ₄ PPh ₂	1:3	[Tc(CO) ₃ (m-NaO ₃ SC ₆ H ₄ PPh ₂) ₃]*PF ₆	2
PPh ₃	1:3	$[Tc(CO)_3(CH_3CN)(PPh_3)_2]^+PF_6^-$	3
Ph ₂ PCH ₂ CH ₂ PPh ₂	1:1	[Tc(CO) ₃ (CH ₃ CN)(diphos)]*PF ₆	4
Me ₂ PPh (in CHCl ₃)	1:8	Tc(CO) ₃ Cl(Me ₂ PPh) ₂	5

^{*}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

N, 9.3; Tc, 22.0. Found: C, 22.6; N, 9.5; Tc, 21.9%. IR spectrum (KBr): 834(s), 1930(w), 1948(s), 1960(s), 1979(w), 2060(w), 2075(s), 2303 cm⁻¹.

$fac-[Tc(CO)_3(m-NaO_3SC_6H_4PPh_2)_3]PF_6$ (2)

Solutions of 100 mg (0.22 mmol) of 1 in 15 ml CH₂Cl₂ and 240 mg sodium salt of *m*-diphenylphosphinobencenesulfonic acid in 35 ml CH₂Cl₂ are combined and refluxed for 4 h. While still hot colourless crystals form. They were recrystallized from water.

Yield: 220 mg (70%). Anal. Calc. for $C_{57}H_{42}O_{12}$ - $F_6Na_3P_4S_3Tc$: C, 48.2; Tc, 7.0. Found: C, 47.3; Tc, 6.0%. IR spectrum (KBr): 848(s), 1200, 1240, 1935(s), 1976(s), 2053(s) cm⁻¹.

Compounds 3 and 4 were made in the same way by refluxing 1 and the ligand in CH_2Cl_2 and concentrating the solutions. Recrystallization from CH_2-Cl_2/n -hexane.

$[Tc(CO)_3CH_3CN(PPh_3)_2]PF_6(3)$

80 mg (0.18 mmol) 1, 0.54 mmol PPh₃. Yield: 80 mg (50%); m.p. 166–168 °C. *Anal.* Calc. for $C_{41}H_{33}NO_3F_6P_3Tc$: C, 55.0; Tc, 11.0. Found: C, 55.7; Tc, 10.2%. IR spectrum (KBr): 833(s), 1444, 1489, 1890(w), 1953(w), 1963(s), 1995(s), 2044(w), 2065(s), 2296, 2326 cm⁻¹.

$[Tc(CO)_3(CH_3CN)(diphos)]PF_6$ (4)

60 mg (0.13 mmol) 1, 0.13 mmol diphos. Yield: 50 mg (50%); m.p. 94-96 °C. *Anal.* Calc. for C_{31}

 $H_{27}NO_3F_6P_3Tc$: C, 48.5 Tc, 12.9. Found: C, 50.0; Tc, 12.9%. IR spectrum (KBr): 840, 1452, 1502, 1929(w), 1950(s), 1965(s), 1981(w), 2041(w), 2060(s), 2303, 2858, 2927, 2965 cm⁻¹.

$Tc(CO)_3 Cl(Me_2 PhP)_2$ (5)

113 mg (0.25 mmol) 1, 276 mg (2 mmol) Me_2PhP were refluxed in 30 ml CHCl₃ for 2 h. After concentration and addition of n-hexane white crystals were obtained, which were purified from CH_2Cl_2/n hexane. Yield: 60 mg (51%); m.p. 121–124 °C. Anal. Calc. for $C_{19}H_{22}O_3P_2ClTc$: C, 46.1; Tc, 20.0. Found: C, 47.3; Tc, 19.0%. IR spectrum (KBr); 267, 302, 333, 1432, 1447, 1897(s), 1915(w), 1929(w), 1944(s), 2013(w), 2034(s) cm⁻¹.

References

- R. H. Reimann and E. Singleton, J. Organomet. Chem., 59, C24 (1973).
- 2 R. J. Angelici, F. Basolo and A. J. Poë, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 2215 (1963).
- V. I. Zdanovitch, N. E. Kolobova, N. I. Vasynkova, Yu. S. Nekrasov, G. A. Panosyan, P. V. Petrovskii and A. Zh. Zhakaeva, J. Organomet. Chem., 148, 63 (1978).
- 4 G. D. Michels and H. J. Svec, *Inorg. Chem.*, 20, 3445 (1981).
- 5 E. W. Abel and S. P. Tyfield, Can. J. Chem., 47, 4627 (1969).
- 6 M. Findeisen, L. Kaden, B. Lorenz and M. Wahren, Inorg. Chim. Acta, 142, 3 (1988).