

0040-4020(95)01099-8

Dihydrothiophenes as Precursors to Fused Quinolines, Quinolones and Coumarins via *o*-Quinodimethane Intermediates¹

Lindsay A. White and Richard C. Storr *

School of Chemistry, The University of Liverpool, P.O. Box 147, Liverpool L69 3BX, England

Abstract: 3-Methoxycarbonyl-4-keto-2,5-dihydrothiophene is a convenient starting point for synthesis of a range of 3,4- and 2,3-quinoline and quinolone and coumarin fused dihydrothiophene dioxides. With the exception of the 2,3-quinoline derivatives these all lose sulfur dioxide on thermolysis to give the corresponding o-quinodimethanes which can be intercepted in Diels-Alder reactions.

INTRODUCTION

In the last three decades *o*-quinodimethane 1 and its derivatives have proved to be key intermediates in the synthesis of a wide range of organic compounds. Recently, interest has focussed on the development of routes to their heterocyclic analogues $2.^2$ A large number of these, especially those based on 5-membered heterocycles, are now known and their potential in synthesis is ripe for exploitation. Thermal extrusion of sulfur dioxide from heterocyclic-fused sulfolenes 3 is firmly established as an attractive and versatile method of generation of these heterocyclic quinodimethanes. The required sulfolenes are easily prepared, either by construction of the heterocycle onto a suitable, activated sulfolene or 2,5-dihydrothiophene, or by building the sulfolene ring onto the preformed heterocycle. The former method is preferable as it may allow the preparation of a wide range of heterocyclic systems from one common precursor. Further advantages of this cheletropic extrusion approach to quinodimethanes are that there are no limitations in scale, by-products are volatile, intermolecular trapping can be effected easily, and as a consequence of the acidity of the protons α to the sulfolene moiety, functionalisation of the exocyclic methylene groups can be readily achieved permitting *inter alia* intramolecular cycloaddition reactions.

In comparison with the 5-membered heterocyclic analogues of o-quinodimethane, the 6-membered systems have received less attention. In addition, most of the previous studies on the latter have been concerned with the methods of generation and few involve their synthetic applications. However, many biologically active compounds are polycyclic and based on 6-membered heterocycles. Particularly widespread are compounds incorporating the quinoline³ and coumarin⁴ nucleii, for example, the antibacterial agent Proflavine 4, the acetylcholinesterase inhibitor Tacrine 5, which is of interest in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease, and the anticoagulant Warfarin 6. It, therefore, seemed timely to investigate the hitherto unknown coumarin 9 and quinoline 2,3- and 3,4-quinodimethanes 7 and 8 as precursors to such systems.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Approach to 2,3-fused quinolines and quinolones

The basic strategy of our approach to the tetrahydroacridine nucleus 22 is outlined in Scheme 1. A key intermediate in this scheme is the known quinolone 13 (R=H) ⁸ which is obtained from the condensation of the keto ester 11 ⁶ and aniline. Use of other amines should allow the introduction of functionality into the 'a' ring of the final product. It was envisaged that conversion of 13 (R=H) to the chloro derivative 14 would open the way to a range of *o*-quinodimethane precursors 18 via nucleophilic displacement and oxidation. Functionalisation α to the sulfur of the sulfolene moiety via the derived carbanion and final Diels-Alder trapping of the thermally generated *o*-quinodimethane 20 provides for a variety of substituents in the 'c' ring. The success of this approach depends on the thermal extrusion of sulfur dioxide from the quinoline fused sulfolene 18. In general, the ease of cheletropic extrusion of sulfur dioxide from 3-sulfolenes depends on the bond order of the sulfolene 3,4-bond; simple 3-sulfolenes (bond order 2) lose sulfur dioxide rapidly at 110°C whereas benzosulfolenes (bond order 1.5) require temperatures approaching 200°C. Bond fixation in the quinoline derivatives 18 further reduces the bond order and extrusion of sulfur dioxide might well be difficult. Indeed, extrusion of sulfur dioxide from the related quinoxolene-sulfolene 10 proved to be impractical.⁷ With this in mind, the strategy was designed so as to offer an alternative route to the final targets 22 via the non aromatic quinolone sulfolenes 16 and quinodimethanes 19.

The quinolone 13 (R=H) was synthesised from the readily available keto ester 11 by reaction with aniline to give the enamine 12 which was cyclised by heating in biphenyl at 300° C.⁵ It is extremely insoluble in organic solvents due to intramolecular hydrogen bonding, and thus could simply be filtered from the reaction mixture. The chloroquinoline 14, obtained by treatment of 13 with phosphorus oxychloride, lacks such hydrogen bonding and is much more soluble. Attempted sulfoxidation of 14 to the quinoline-fused

sulfolene 17 was unsuccessful but the latter was obtained by oxidation of 13 (R=H) to the corresponding sulfolene followed by reaction with phosphorus oxychloride. Nucleophilic displacement of the chloro substituent of 14 by treatment with sodium methoxide gave the 9-methoxy derivative 15 (X=OMe) which on oxidation with 2 mole equivalents of mCPBA yielded the quinoline-fused sulfolene 18 (X=OMe).



Attempts to extrude sulfur dioxide from these two quinoline fused sulfolenes 17 and 18 (X=OMe) confirmed our fears that the low C3-C4 bond order of the sulfolene rings would render such a process impractical. Thus heating of 17 in the presence of N-phenylmaleimide at temperatures up to 250°C led to decomposition and none of the expected o-quinodimethane adduct. The methoxyquinoline 18 (X=OMe), on heating at reflux in sulfolane in the presence of N-phenylmaleimide for 6 hours, gave a complex mixture from which a single product was isolated in low yield by chromatography. This was not the expected 1:1 Diels-

Alder adduct 24, formed via an intermediate quinoline 2,3-quinodimethane, but a structure, assigned as 23, in which sulfur dioxide was retained (Scheme 2).



This adduct presumably arises from nucleophilic attack of the quinoline nitrogen on the electrophilic dienophile followed by loss of a proton. Spectral evidence strongly supports the structure 23, in particular, the signals in the ¹H spectrum for the sulfolene CH₂ groups at δ 4.67 and δ 4.74 and the olefinic proton Ha at δ 5.25 ppm. Surprisingly, the olefinic proton Ha appeared as a doublet and the signal due to Hb on the maleimide ring was also more complex than expected, appearing as a doublet of doublets of doublets at δ 3.96 ppm. Examination of the coupling constants indicated that this unexpected splitting pattern was due to Ha/Hb coupling and this four-atom coupling was finally verified by a 400MHz COSY 2D spectrum.



This, not unexpected, failure to achieve extrusion of sulfur dioxide from the quinoline sulfolenes 17 and 18 (X=OMe) led us to consider quinolone sulfolenes as precursors to the quinolone quinodimethanes

which could serve as quinoline o-quinodimethane equivalents. Extrusion of sulfur dioxide from 16 (R=H) with its higher C₃-C₄ sulfolene bond order should occur at a much lower temperature than that required for 18. Interception of the quinolone quinodimethane 19 (R=H) thus generated with dienophiles, and treatment of the resulting cycloadducts 21 (R=H) with phosphorus oxychloride, should give the desired quinoline nucleus 22 (Scheme 1).

Due to its extreme insolubility in organic solvents oxidation of quinolone 13 (R=H) was carried out using two equivalents of mCPBA in a large volume of methanol (Scheme 1). As a consequence of the insolubility of both the starting material and the product the reaction was monitored by ¹H nmr in hot d⁶ DMSO. Typically, the reaction was complete within 24 hours. The quinolone-fused sulfolene 16 (R=H) was removed by filtration and washed with copious amounts of cold methanol in order to ensure complete removal of the residual benzoic acid. Thermolysis of 16 (R=H) in refluxing 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene for 3 hours in the presence of N-phenylmaleimide gave a solid product which was removed by filtration and washed with petroleum ether (Scheme 3). ¹H nmr analysis of this solid proved impossible due to its extreme insolubility but mass spectroscopy provided some evidence for the presence of the desired Diels-Alder adduct 26 (R=H) M+344, and possibly some aromatised adduct 27 M+340. In an attempt to overcome the insolubility of the cycloaddition products, the crude reaction mixture was treated with phosphorus oxychloride. The resulting product was much more soluble in organic solvents as a consequence of the removal of the free N-H, and thus could be purified by column chromatography. Analysis of the pure product showed it to be the fully aromatic chloro-derivative 28, rather than the desired quinoline structure. The isolation of 28 does, however, confirm the predicted ease of generation of a quinolone 2,3-quinodimethane intermediate compared to the analogous quinoline system. Thermolysis of quinolone 16 (R=H) in tetramethylene sulfone containing diethyl fumarate yielded a solid product which was slightly more soluble than that obtained from the reaction with Nphenylmaleimide. ¹H nmr analysis was performed in hot CDCl₃ and confirmed formation of the desired Diels-Alder adduct 25.

The N-methyl derivative 13, (R=Me) obtained by methylation of 13 (R=H) with with MeI/K₂CO₃, is much more soluble and easily handled.⁸ The site of methylation was unambiguously established by the difference of this compound from the isomeric O-methyl derivative previously prepared by displacement of chlorine in 14 by methoxide ion. Sulfoxidation of 13 (R=Me) with mCPBA gave the quinolone 16 (R=Me), which on thermolysis in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene at 200°C in the presence of N-phenylmaleimide gave the Diels-Alder adduct 26 (R=Me) (Scheme 3). Once again these conditions illustrate the relative ease of extrusion of sulfur dioxide from the quinolone system. These reactions involving an N-substituted quinolone 2,3-quinodimethane were more satisfactory than those of the parent system 16 (R=H) as the products were much more soluble and thus easily purified. However, in order for the quinolone system to serve as a synthetic equivalent to the quinoline nucleus, a removable N-protecting group on quinolone-fused sulfolene 16 (R=H) is required. Such a group will remove the problems of insolubility, permit generation of the quinodimethane, and on removal from the cycloadducts, will liberate a free N-H, so that treatment with phosphorus oxychloride, will allow quinoline formation. Unfortunately, treatment of quinolones 13 (R=H) and 16 (R=H) with a base, either sodium methoxide or sodium hydride, and benzyl bromide, pmethoxybenzyl chloride or (trimethylsilyl)ethoxymethyl chloride, gave the desired N-protected quinolones in only very poor yields. An alternative strategy for the preparation of N-protected quinolones was, therefore, devised as outlined in Scheme 4.



Preparation of a 3:1 mixture of the known enamines 29 and 30 was achieved by reaction of distilled 3ketotetrahydrothiophene with pyrrolidine in the presence of potassium carbonate.⁹ The resulting mixture of enamines was unstable in air and so was treated directly with 2-bromobenzoyl chloride and triethylamine to give a mixture of acylated products 31 and 32 again in an approximate ratio of 3:1. This mixture of isomers was separated by careful column chromatography. The major isomer, assumed to be 31, showed two clean triplets corresponding to the methylene groups in its ¹H nmr spectrum whilst in the minor isomer 32 these signals were coincident. The plan was to subject the major isomer to amine exchange with benzylamine

whereupon cyclisation via an aryne intermediate and oxidation would lead to the benzyl protected quinolone **35**. However, **31** was recovered unchanged after prolonged treatment with benzylamine in refluxing toluene containing a catalytic amount of p-toluenesulfonic acid in a Dean-Stark apparatus. The lack of reactivity of this enamide was further underlined by its failure to undergo hydrolysis even on refluxing with 70% sulfuric acid.

In contrast the minor isomer 32 underwent facile transamination with benzylamine to yield 33. An explanation for this is that, in the addition-elimination reaction of this isomer, the negative charge produced is located α to the sulfur atom where it is known to be stabilised. In the case of 31 the negative charge is β to the sulfur atom which therefore cannot exert its full stabilisation effect. Treatment of 33 with potassium tertbutoxide in tert-butanol gave the desired quinolone 34 via a benzyne cyclisation reaction in good yield. Sulfoxidation of 34 to the sulfolene 36 was performed with 2 equivalents of mCPBA. This 2-sulfolene 36 was not expected to undergo thermal extrusion of sulfur dioxide as it lacks the necessary C_3 -C₄ double bond. However, thermolysis of 36 at 214°C in the presence of N-phenylmaleimide did result in loss of sulfur dioxide and formation of a cycloadduct whose ¹H nmr spectrum consisted only of a benzylic CH₂ group and aromatic protons (Scheme 5). The structure of this cycloadduct was thus assigned as 39 and its formation may be explained by a 1,3-[H] tautomerisation in 36 under the reaction conditions to yield 37. This can now lose sulfur dioxide by a cheletropic process to give the vinyl quinolone 38 which is intercepted in a Diels-Alder reaction to give an adduct which undergoes dehydrogenation. Further evidence that this is the case was gained on thermolysis of 36 in the presence of the nucleophilic trap, thiophenol. The ¹H nmr spectrum of the product formed showed a clean pair of triplets, each integrating for two protons, which can be assigned to two adjacent CH₂ groups, and a singlet integrating for one proton at δ 6.38 which can be assigned to a vinyl proton. From this evidence we conclude that nucleophilic addition to intermediate 38 had occurred resulting in the formation of 40.



This unexpected extrusion of sulfur dioxide from a heterocyclic-fused 2-sulfolene opens up a new route for the preparation of heterocyclic vinyl compounds and is worthy of further investigation. These experiments also establish that quinoline 2,3-quinodimethanes cannot be produced directly by extrusion of sulfur dioxide from the quinoline fused sulfolenes. However, quinolone analogues are easily generated providing ready access to fused quinolones and, in principle, with suitable manipulation might serve as synthetic equivalents for quinoline 2,3-quinodimethanes.

Approach to 3,4-fused quinolines and quinolones

Extrusion of sulfur dioxide from the quinoline 3,4-sulfolenes 8 should not present the same problems encountered with the 2,3-isomers in view of the higher C_3 - C_4 bond order. Once again, the keto ester 11 is a convenient starting point for a route to quinoline and quinolone 3,4-quinodimethanes,¹⁰ which allows for the introduction of a wide range of substituents (Scheme 6). The key synthetic step involves a Suzuki coupling reaction.



Keto-ester 11 was converted to the relatively stable enol triflate 41 with triflic anhydride and Hunig's base.¹¹ Suzuki coupling of 41 with boronic acid 42 ¹² gave the quinolone 43 in one pot since thermal deprotection of the *tert*-butoxycarbonyl group occurs *in situ*. Quinolone 43 was slightly more soluble than the analogous 2,3-system 13 (R=H) and on treatment with *m*CPBA yielded the quinolone-fused sulfolene 44. As

expected, cheletropic extrusion of sulfur dioxide from 44 was readily achieved, and in the presence of the dienophiles N-phenylmaleimide and diethyl fumarate the Diels-Alder adducts 46 (70%) and 47 (79%) were produced respectively. Formation of the aromatic quinoline-fused sulfolene 45 was effected by sequential treatment of 43 with phosphorous oxychloride, sodium methoxide and mCPBA. As previously observed the quinolines were much more soluble in organic solvents than the quinolones. Thermolysis of 45 in refluxing 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene for 3 hours in the presence of diethyl fumarate gave the methoxyquinoline quinodimethane adduct 48 (64%). Thus, although direct generation of quinoline 2,3-quinodimethane was unsuccessful, the analogous 3,4-system could be generated with ease and intercepted in Diels-Alder reactions because of the higher degree of double bond character in the sulfolene precursor.

Approach to fused Coumarin derivatives

The Suzuki cross-coupling reaction strategy can be applied to the generation of the hitherto unknown coumarin 3,4-quinodimethane 9. Thus the boronic acid 49 ¹⁴ was prepared by treatment of phenol, protected as the carbamate¹³, with butyllithium and trimethylborate. This and the enol triflate 41 were then subjected to the palladium cross-coupling reaction conditions of Suzuki to yield 50 (Scheme 7). In contrast to the *tert*-butoxycarbonyl group, used in the preparation of the quinolone and quinoline 3,4-sulfolenes, the carbamate group is not heat sensitive. However, treatment of 50 with 2M sodium hydroxide in THF yielded a coumarin derivative *via* a deprotection-cyclisation sequence. Sulfoxidation of this cyclised compound with *m*CPBA gave 51 which on thermolysis in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene for 1 hour gave the coumarin 3,4-quinodimethane derived adduct 52 (78%). Thus, keto-ester 11 and the Suzuki coupling reaction facilitate the preparation of a wide range of heterocyclic systems.



In conclusion, routes to the quinoline, quinolone and coumarin 3,4-quinodimethanes and to the quinolone 2,3-quinodimethane systems from the corresponding sulfones have been established. Although the quinoline 2,3-quinodimethanes cannot be produced directly the 2,3-quinolone analogues serve as synthetic equivalents. The possibilities for functionalisation of the common dihydrothiophene precursor 11 or the sulfones 16, 44, 45 and 51, the use of substituted anilines or boronic acids and the range of dienophiles offer considerable versatility for the synthesis of target heterocycles.

EXPERIMENTAL

General. ¹H and ¹³C nmr spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC 200 spectrometer operating at 200 and 50.29 MHz respectively. Infra-red spectra were recorded in the range 4000 to 600 cm⁻¹ using a Perkin-Elmer 298 instrument. Solid samples were run as Nujol mulls and liquids as thin films. Mass spectra were recorded on a VG Analytical 7070E or a Trio 1000 Quadrapole GC mass spectrometer. Microanalyses were performed in the University of Liverpool Department of Chemistry microanalytical laboratory. Melting points (m.p.) were determined on a Reichert hot stage apparatus and are uncorrected. Commercial *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid was purified (90%) by washing with a KH₂PO₄/Na₂HPO₄ buffer. Flash column chromatography was performed using Merck 9385 silica as the stationary phase.

1,3-Dihydro-4H-thieno[3,4-b]quinolin-9-one 2,2-dioxide (16, R=H)

To a suspension of 13 (R=H) ⁸ (1.0 g, 4.9 mmol) in methanol (100 ml) was added a solution of mCPBA (2.3 g, 11 mmol) in methanol (100 ml) dropwise at room temperature. After stirring the resulting mixture for 24 hours the solid was removed by filtration and washed with methanol to yield 16 (R=H) (0.98 g, 85%), as a yellow solid, m.p. 136-138°C. v_{max} (nujol) 3092(NH), 1629(C=O), 1377 and 1133(S=O) cm⁻¹; $\delta_{H}(d^6$ DMSO 55°C) 4.20 (s, 2H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 7.48 (tr, 1H, J 6.6 Hz), 7.69 (d, 1H, J 6.6 Hz), 7.82 (tr, 1H, J 6.6 Hz) and 8.06 (d, 1H, J 6.6 Hz); m/z M+235(7%), 201(51), 171(100), 143(28), 115(17) and 77(13). Accurate mass: 235.03042, C11H9NO3S requires 235.03032.

9-Chloro-1,3-dihydrothieno[3,4-b]quinoline 2,2-dioxide (17)

A mixture of the sulfolene **16** (R=H) (0.5 g, 2.13 mmol) and freshly distilled phosphoryl chloride (4 ml) were heated at reflux for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, poured onto ice and neutralised with 2M ammonium hydroxide solution. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration and purified by flash column chromatography (alumina, 1% methanol in dichloromethane as eluant) to yield pure **17** (0.23 g, 43%) as a red solid. v_{max} (nujol) 1377(S=O) and 1136 (S=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl3) 4.60(s, 2H), 4.69(s, 2H), 7.71(dtr, 1H, J 1.65 and 7.15 Hz), 7.84(dtr, 1H, J 1.65 and 7.15 Hz), 8.08(d, 1H, 7.15 Hz), 8.22(dd, 1H, J 1.65 and 7.15 Hz); m/z M+253/255(18/7%), 189/191(100/32), 154(63), 127(17) and 77(13).Accurate mass: 252.99712, C₁₁H₈³⁵CINO₂S requires 252.99643.

9-Methoxy-1,3-dihydrothieno[3,4-b]quinoline (15, R=OMe)

9-Chloro-1,3-dihydrothieno[3,4-b]quinoline 14 (0.45 g, 2.5 mmol) was heated under reflux in methanol

(25 ml) containing an excess of sodium methoxide (0.66 g, 12 mmol). After 8 hours the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash column chromatography (alumina, dichloromethane as eluant) to yield pure 15 (R=OMe) as a colourless solid (0.47 g, 98%), m.p. 78-80°C. (Found: C, 65.96; H, 5.08; N, 6.43. C12H11NOS requires C, 66.33; H, 5.10 and N, 6.45%); δ_{H} (CDCl3) 4.14 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.39 (s, 2H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 7.64 (tr, 1H, J 8.3 Hz), 7.68 (tr, 1H, J 8.3 Hz), 7.98 (d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz) and 8.14 (d, 1H J 8.3 Hz); m/z M+217(98%), 201(41), 186(100), 173(13), 140(12), 102(13), 76(11) and 63(11). Accurate mass: 217.05607, C12H11NOS requires 217.05614.

9-Methoxy-1,3-dihydro[3,4-b]quinoline 2,2-dioxide (18, R=OMe)

To a suspension of potassium carbonate (1.33 g, 9.7 mmol) in dichloromethane (30 ml) was added 15 (R=OMe) (0.7 g, 3.2mmol). The reaction vessel was cooled to 0°C and a solution of mCPBA (1.24 g, 6.4 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 ml) was added dropwise over 15 minutes. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for a further 24 hours. The solution was filtered and the precipitated salts washed with copious quantities of dichloromethane. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give the crude sulfolene which was purified by flash column chromatography (alumina, dichloromethane/ethyl acetate 6:1 as eluant) to yield 18 (R=OMe) (0.4 g, 50%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 202-204°C. (Found: C, 57.60; H, 4.45; N, 5.38. C12H11NO3S requires C, 57.82; H, 4.45 and N, 5.38%); $v_{max}(nujol)$ 1342(S=O) and 1150(S=O) cm⁻¹; $\delta_{\rm H}(\rm CDCl_3)$ 4.15 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.69 (s, 2H), 7.58 (tr, 1H, J 8.3 Hz), 7.77 (tr, 1H, J 8.3 Hz), 8.02 (d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz) and 8.16 (d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz); m/z M⁺249(25%), 185(100), 170(10), 154(17), 130(19), 115(13) and 102(9). Accurate mass: 249.04618, C12H11NO3S requires 249.04596.

4-(2,5-Dioxo-1-phenylpyrrolidin-3-yl)-9-methoxy-1,4-dihydrothieno[3,4-b]quinoline 2,2-dioxide (23)

Sulfolene 18 (R=OMe) (0.5 g, 2.0 mmol) and N-phenylmaleimide (0.41 g, 2.4 mmol) were heated together at 214°C in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (4 ml) for 6 hours. Concentration of the reaction mixture via bulb-to-bulb distillation at reduced pressure, followed by purification of the crude residue by flash column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane as eluant) gave the conjugate addition product 23 as a yellow solid (0.21 g, 25%), m.p. 160-162°C. v_{max} (CH₂Cl₂) 1702(C=O), 1366(S=O) and 1170(S=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 2.74 (dd, 1H, J 5.5 and 18.7 Hz), 3.14 (dd, 1H, J 9.4 and 18.7 Hz), 3.96 (ddd, 1H, J 2.5, 5.5 and 9.4 Hz), 4.20 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.67(d, 1H, J 16.0 Hz), 4.74(d, 1H, J 16.0 Hz), 5.25 (d, 1H, J 2.5 Hz), 7.45-7.55 (m, 6H), 7.60 (tr, 1H, J 8.3 Hz), 7.83 (d, 1H J 8.3 Hz) and 8.12 (d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz); m/z M⁺422(24%), 249(100), 210(32), 196(69), 167(56), 119(15), 106(26), 91(21) and 77(25). Accurate mass: 422.09395, C₂₂H₁₈N₂O₅S requires 422.09364.

10-Chloro-2-phenyl-1,3-dihydropyrrolo[3,4-b]acridine-1,3-dione (28)

A mixture of sulfolene 16 (R=H) (0.3 g, 1.3 mmol) and N-phenylmaleimide (0.22 g, 1.3 mmol) in 1,2,4 trichlorobenzene (3 ml) were heated, under an atmosphere of nitrogen, at 220°C for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and a precipitate resulted. The solid was removed by filtration and washed with petroleum. The remaining brown solid proved too insoluble to analyse by nmr spectroscopy but a crude mass spectrum provided some evidence for the formation of the desired Diels-Alder adduct 26 (R=H) and possibly some aromatised adduct 27. m/z M+344(31%), 340(38), 295(15), 196(100), 167(16) and 77(19). In an

attempt to overcome the problem of insolubility, the product was treated with phosphorus oxychloride (2 ml) and the resulting mixture heated under reflux for 10 minutes. On cooling the reaction mixture was poured onto ice and neutralised with 2M ammonium hydroxide solution yielding a brown solid which was removed by filtration washed with water and dissolved in dichloromethane. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography (silica gel, dichloromethane as eluant) gave pure 28 (0.21 g, 47%) as a yellow solid, m.p. >250°C. v_{max} (nujol) 1722 (C=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 7.46-7.57(m, 5H), 7.78(tr, 1H, J 8.25 Hz), 7.95(tr, 1H, J 8.25 Hz), 8.30(d, 1H, J 8.25 Hz), 8.49(d, 1H, J 8.25 Hz), 8.75(s, 1H) and 9.08(s, 1H); m/z M+360/358(35/100%), 314(54), 279(32), 238(20), 211(23), 176(62), 149(22) and 77(30). Accurate mass: 358.05121, C21H11ClN2O2 requires 358.05090.

trans-3,4-Biscarboethoxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroacridin-9-one (25)

A mixture of quinolone 16 (R=H) (0.3 g, 1.3 mmol) and diethyl fumarate (0.21 ml, 1.3 mmol) were heated in tetramethylene sulfolene (2 ml) at reflux under an atmosphere of nitrogen for 3 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, poured onto ice water and the resulting brown precipitate removed by filtration and washed with petroleum to yield the Diels-Alder adduct 25 (0.21 g, 48%) as a brown solid, m.p. 204-206°C. v_{max} (nujol) 1730(C=O) and 1634(C=C) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃, 40°C) 1.21(2xtr, 6H, J 7.15 Hz), 2.14-3.11(m, 6H), 4.14(2xq, 4H, J 7.15 Hz), 7.27-7.51(m, 3H), 8.29(d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz) and 10.32(s, br, 1H, NH); m/z M⁺343(13%), 270(100), 196(92), 167(17) and 77(7). Accurate mass: 343.14204, C₁₉H₂₁NO5 requires 343.14197.

3-(2-Bromobenzoyl)-4-pyrrolidin-1-yl-2,5-dihydrothiophene (31) and 2-(2-bromobenzoyl)-3-pyrrolidin-1-yl-4,5-dihydrothiophene (32)

A solution of 2-bromobenzoyl chloride (3.6 g, 16 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 ml) was added dropwise, over a 20 minute period, to an ice-cooled solution of enamines 29 and 30 (2.5 g, 16 mmol) and triethylamine (1.8 ml, 16 mmol) in dichloromethane (15 ml). The resulting orange mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was diluted with DCM (20 ml) and washed with water (1x20 ml), 1M sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (1x20 ml) and water (1x20 ml). The organic layer was dried (MgSO4) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give an orange oil. Purification of the crude reaction mixture by gravity column chromatography (silica gel, ether as eluant) allowed separation of the two isomeric products.

First eluted: **31** (2.9 g, 52%) as a yellow oil. (Found: C, 53.24; H, 4.77; N, 4.11. C15H16BrNOS requires C, 53.26; H, 4.77 and N, 4.14%); v_{max} (nujol) 1717(C=O) and 1633(C=C) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl3) 1.84-2.01(m, 8H), 3.19(tr, 2H, J 6.6 Hz), 3.66(tr, 2H, J 6.6 Hz), 7.19-7.40(m, 3H) and 7.58(d, 1H, J 7.15 Hz); m/z M⁺339/337(3/5%), 258(100), 185(40), 183(44), 155(32), 153(52) and 70(50). Accurate mass: 339.01109, C15H16BrNOS requires 339.01155.

Second eluted: **32** (1.4 g, 26%) as a yellow solid, m.p. 126-128°C. (Found: C, 53.22; H, 4.81; N, 4.08. C15H16BrNOS requires C, 53.26; H, 4.77 and N, 4.14%); v_{max} (nujol) 1716(C=O) and 1589(C=C) cm⁻¹; δ H(CDCl₃) 1.95-2.02(m, 4H), 2.96-3.14(m, 4H), 3.57(tr, 4H, J 6.6 Hz), 7.15-7.40(m, 3H) and 7.56(d, 1H, J 7.7 Hz); m/z M⁺339/337(14/15%), 258(27), 256(33), 226(47), 185(47), 183(53), 155(43), 153(37) and70(100). Accurate mass: 337.01363, C15H16BrNOS requires 337.01358.

2-(2-Bromobenzoyl)-3-N-benzylamino-4,5-dihydrothiophene (33)

A mixture of enamine 32 (1.7 g, 5.0 mmol), benzylamine (0.6 g, 5.5 mmol) and a catalytic amount of *p*-toluenesulfonic acid in toluene (25 ml) were heated at reflux for 1 hour in a Dean-Stark apparatus under nitrogen. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, diluted with toluene (30 ml), washed with water (1x25 ml), 1M sodium hydrogen carbonate solution (1x25 ml) and water (1x25 ml). The organic layer was dried (MgSO4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Purification of the crude oily residue by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30% ethyl acetate in petroleum as eluant) gave pure 33 (1.2 g, 63%) as a yellow oil. v_{max} (film) 3061(NH), 1610(C=O) and 1540(C=C) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 3.56(s, 2H), 3.86(s, 2H), 4.44(d, 2H, J 6.6 Hz), 7.07-7.32(m, 8H), 7.46(d, 1H J 7.7 Hz) and 10.56(s, br, 1H, NH); m/z M+373/375(14/13%), 203(9), 175(13) and 91(100). Accurate mass: 373.01346, C18H16BrNOS requires 373.01358.

4-Benzyl-2,3-dihydrothieno[3,2-b]quinolin-9-one (34)

Potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.4 g, 3.1 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of enaminone **33** (1.0 g, 2.8 mmol) in *tert*-butanol (20 ml) and the resulting mixture heated at reflux, under an inert atmosphere, overnight. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50% ethyl acetate in petroleum as eluant) to give pure **34** (0.6 g, 72%) as a yellow solid, m.p. 198-200°C. (Found: C, 73.35; H, 5.01; N, 4.57. C18H15NOS requires C, 73.69; H, 5.15 and N, 4.77%); v_{max} (nujol) 1721(C=O) and 1612(C=C) cm⁻¹; δ H(CDCl3) 3.14-3.23(m, 2H), 3.35-3.42(m, 2H), 5.27(s, 2H), 6.94(d, 2H, J 7.7 Hz), 7.12-7.33(m, 6H) and 8.30(d, 1H, J 7.7 Hz); m/z M⁺293(62%), 202(15), 174(15), 130(15), 91(100) and 65(31). Accurate mass: 293.08788, C18H15NOS requires 293.08743.

4-Benzyl-2,3-dihydrothieno[3,2-b]quinolin-9-one 1,1-dioxide (36)

To a solution of sulfide **34** (0.6 g, 2.0 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 ml) at 0°C was added potassium carbonate (0.9 g, 6.2 mmol). A solution of *m*CPBA (0.8 g, 4.1 mmol) in dichloromethane (50 ml) was added dropwise and the resulting mixture allowed to stir at room temperature overnight. Removal of the inorganic salts by filtration and concentration of the organic phase at reduced pressure yielded a yellow solid. Recrystallisation of this solid from acetone gave pure **36** (0.36 g, 54%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 240-242°C. (Found: C, 66.29; H, 4.61; N, 4.20. C18H15NO3S requires C, 66.44; H, 4.65 and N, 4.30%); v_{max} (nujol) 1730(C=O), 1377(S=O) and 1122(S=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl3) 3.37-3.41(m, 2H), 3.48-3.51(m, 2H), 5.46(s, 2H), 7.05(d, 2H, J 7.7 Hz), 7.18(tr, 1H, J 7.7 Hz), 7.31-7.48(m, 5H) and 8.20(d, 1H, J 7.7 Hz); m/z M+325(10%), 261(12), 92(25), 91(100) and 65(23). Accurate mass: 325.07746, C18H15NO3S requires 325.07727.

6-Benzyl-1,3-dihydropyrrolo[3,4-a]acridin-1,3,11-trione (39)

A mixture of sulfolene 36 (40 mg, 0.12 mmol) and N-phenylmaleimide (30 mg, 0.18 mmol) in 1,2,4trichlorobenzene (1 ml) were heated at 220°C, under a nitrogen atmosphere, overnight. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and the solvent removed by bulb-to-bulb distillation at reduced pressure. Purification of the crude residue by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50% ethyl acetate in petroleum as eluant) gave the aromatised Diels-Alder adduct 39 as an orange oil. Recrystallisation of this oil from cyclohexane/dichloromethane gave pure (258) (20 mg, 42%) as an orange solid, m.p. 258-260°C. v_{max} (CH₂Cl₂) 1718(C=O) and 1647(C=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 5.53(s, 2H), 7.36-7.39(m, 14H), 8.06(d, 1H, J 9.1 Hz) and 8.55(d, 1H, J 7.5 Hz); δ_{C} (CDCl₃) 115.52, 120.21, 121.43, 123.42, 125.48, 126.02, 126.77, 127.06, 127.81, 128.27, 128.93, 129.58, 134.32, 134.47, 145.23, 147.49 and 182.65; m/z M⁺430(6%) and 91(100). Accurate mass: 430.13162, C₂₈H₁₈N₂O₃ requires 430.13174.

1-Benzyl-2-(2-phenylthioethyl)quinololin-4-one (40)

A mixture of sulfolene **36** (0.2 g, 0.6 mmol) and thiophenol (97%, 0.1 g, 0.9 mmol) in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (2 ml) were heated at reflux, under an atmosphere of nitrogen, for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and the solvent removed by bulb-to-bulb distillation at reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, ethyl acetate as eluant) to yield pure **40** (0.1 g, 48%) as a yellow oil. v_{max} (film) 1624(C=O) and 1599(C=C) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 2.95(tr, 2H, J 8.8 Hz), 3.18(tr, 2H, J 8.8 Hz), 5.34(s, 2H), 6.38(s, 1H), 6.90-6.94(m, 2H), 7.19-7.34(m, 10H), 7.51(tr, 1H, J 8.25 Hz) and 8.44(d, 1H, J 8.25 Hz); m/z M⁺371(18%), 338(52), 261(89), 110(83), 91(100) and 77(27). Accurate mass: 371.13453, C24H₂₁NOS requires 371.13440.

4-Carbomethoxy-2,5-dihydrothieno-3-yl triflate (41)

A solution of β -keto-ester 11 (1.0 g, 6.2 mmol) in DCM (60 ml) was cooled under an atmosphere of nitrogen to -78°C. Diisopropylethylamine (1.3 ml, 7.2 mmol) was added to this solution and, after stirring for 10 minutes, trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (1.2 ml, 7.2 mmol) was added dropwise to the resulting mixture. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product was achieved by flash column chromatography (neutral alumina, 20% ethyl acetate in petroleum as eluant) to give pure 41 (1.42 g, 78%) as a colourless oil. ν_{max} (nujol) 1729(C=O), 1670(C=C) and 1431(S=O) and 1156(S=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 3.84(s, 3H, OMe) and 3.97(s, 4H); m/z M⁺292(4%), 261(9), 159(31), 127(100), 69(28), 59(51) and 45(42). Accurate mass: 291.96882, C7H7F3O5S2 requires 291.96872.

1,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-c]quinolin-4-one (43)

A heterogeneous mixture of triflate 41 (0.78 g, 2.7 mmol), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (0.15 g, 0.13 mmol), 2M aqueous sodium carbonate (2.6 ml, 5.1 mmol) and crude boronic acid (42) ¹² (1.4 g, 6.2 mmol) in DME (80 ml) was heated under reflux under a nitrogen atmosphere for 6 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and the resulting yellow solid removed by filtration. Recrystallisation of this solid from ethanol gave pure 43 (0.39 g, 72%); m.p. 258-260°C. v_{max} (nujol) 1729(C=O) and 1647(C=C) cm⁻¹; $\delta_{H}(d^{6}$ DMSO) 4.06(tr, 2H, J.3.9 Hz), 4.49(tr, 2H, J.3.9 Hz), 7.15(dtr, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.7 Hz), 7.30(d, 1H, J 7.7 Hz) and 7.41-7.50(m, 2H); m/z M⁺203(88%), 184(59), 115(22) and 77(8). Accurate mass: 203.03968, C11H9NOS requires 203.04048.

1,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-c]quinolin-4-one 2,2-dioxide (44)

To a mixture of the sulfide 43 (1.0 g, 4.9 mmol) in methanol (30 ml) was added dropwise a solution of *m*CPBA (1.8 g, 10 mmol) in methanol (20 ml) and the resulting mixture stirred at room temperature overnight. The precipitate was removed by filtration and washed with ice-cold methanol (15 ml). The remaining colourless solid was pure 44 (0.7 g, 60%), m.p. 264-265°C. ν_{max} (nujol) 1729(C=O), 1646(C=C),

1307(S=O) and 1140(S=O) cm⁻¹; $\delta_{H}(d^{6}$ DMSO) 4.34(s, 2H), 4.90(s, 2H), 7.27(dtr, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.7 Hz), 7.39(dd, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.7 Hz), 7.51-7.59(m, 2H) and 9.23(s, br, 1H, NH); m/z M+235(39%), 171(100), 143(73), 115(64), 72(15) and 59(32). Accurate mass: 235.03065, C11H9NO3S requires 235.03030.

4-Chloro-1,3-dihydrothieno[3,4-c]quinoline

A mixture of sulfide 43 (1.3 g, 6.4 mmol) and freshly distilled phosphoryl chloride (10 ml) were heated at reflux for 1.5 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool, poured onto ice and neutralised with 2M ammonium hydroxide solution. The resulting precipitate was removed by filtration and purified by flash column chromatography (alumina, 40% hexane in dichloromethane as eluant) to yield pure compound (0.7 g, 64%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 154-155°C. v_{max} (nujol) 1647(C=N) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 4.48(tr, 2H, J 3.3 Hz), 7.61(dtr, 1H, J 1.65 and 6.6 Hz), 7.71-7.78(m, 2H) and 8.08(dd, 1H, J 1.65 and 6.6 Hz); m/z M⁺223/221(31/100%), 184(57), 140(57), 115(20) and 79(23).

4-Methoxy-1,3-dihydrothieno[3,4-c]quinoline

A mixture of sulfide (0.5 g, 2.3 mmol) and freshly prepared sodium methoxide (0.6 g, 11 mmol) in methanol (15 ml) were heated at reflux under an atmosphere of nitrogen for 1.5 hours. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and the solvent removed at reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in DCM, washed with water (2x10 ml), dried (MgSO4) and concentrated *in vacuo*. Recrystallisation of the crude product from methanol gave pure compound (0.34 g, 69%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 85-87°C. (Found: C, 66.27; H, 5.09; N, 6.45. C1₂H1₁NOS requires C, 66.33; H, 5.10 and N, 6.45%); v_{max} (nujol) 1621(C=N) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl₃) 4.10(s, 3H, OMe), 4.32(tr, 2H, J 3.3 Hz), 4.60(tr, 2H, J 3.3 Hz), 7.41(tr, 1H, J 7.7 Hz), 7.59-7.65(m, 2H) and 7.90(dd, 1H, J 1.65 and 7.7 Hz); m/z M⁺217(100%), 202(69), 184(34), 172(12), 140(13) and115(18). Accurate mass: 217.05590, C1₂H₁₁NOS requires 217.05614.

4-Methoxy-1,3-dihydrothieno[3,4-c]quinoline 2,2-dioxide (45)

To a solution of sulfide (0.3 g, 1.4 mmol) in DCM (20 ml) containing potassium carbonate (0.57 g, 4.1 mmol) was added dropwise a solution of *m*CPBA (0.56 g, 2.9 mmol) in DCM (15 ml) and the resulting solution stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. Removal of the inorganic salts by filtration and removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave the crude product which could be purified by recrystallisation from methanol to give pure 45 (0.25 g, 73%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 198-200°C. (Found: C, 57.33; H, 4.46; N, 5.48. C12H11NO3S requires C, 57.82; H, 4.45 and N, 5.62%); v_{max} (nujol) 1619(C=N), 1316(S=O) and 1133(S=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl3) 4.12(s, 3H, OMe), 4.46(s, 2H), 4.67(s, 2H), 7.48-7.56(m, 2H), 7.70(dtr, 1H, J 1.65 and 8.3 Hz) and 7.92(d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz); m/z M⁺249(34%), 185(100), 154(19), 140(12), 127(12), 115(24) and 77(12). Accurate mass: 249.04618, C12H11NO3S requires 249.04596.

trans-8,9-Biscarboethoxy-6H-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrophenanthridin-6-one (47)

Sulfolene 44 (0.2 g, 0.85 mmol) and diethyl fumarate (0.18 g, 1.0 mmol) were heated together overnight at 180°C in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (2 ml). The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and hexane (10 ml) added. The precipitate was removed by filtration and washed with hexane to yield pure 47 (0.23 g, 79%) as a pale yellow solid, m.p. 196-198°C. ν_{max} (nujol) 1739(C=O) and 1646(C=O) cm⁻¹; $\delta_{H}(d^{6}$ DMSO) 1.16(tr, 3H, J 7.15 Hz), 1.18(tr, 3H, J 7.15 Hz), 2.85-3.19(m, 6H), 4.01-4.15(m, 4H), 7.14(tr, 1H, J 7.7 Hz), 7.25(dd, 1H, J

7.7 Hz), 7.42(tr, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.7 Hz), 7.64(d, 1H, J 7.7 Hz) and 9.56(s, br, 1H, NH); m/z M⁺343(22%), 298(19), 270(81), 196(100), 178(44), 167(14), 152(11) and 115(7). Accurate mass: 343.14204, C19H21NO5 requires 343.14197.

2-Phenyl-6H-1,3,3a,4,11,11a-hexahydropyrrolo[3,4-i]phenanthridin-1,3,5-trione (46)

A mixture of sulfolene 44 (0.2 g, 0.85 mmol) and N-phenylmaleimide (0.18 g, 1.0 mmol) were heated at 180°C overnight in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (2 ml). The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and DCM (5 ml) added. The yellow solid product was removed by filtration and washed with hexane to leave pure 46 (0.2 g, 70%) as a pale yellow solid m.p. >250°C. v_{max} (nujol) 1705(C=O) and 1645(C=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (d⁶ DMSO) 2.72(dd, 1H, J 8.3 and 15.1 Hz), 2.97(dd, 1H, J 6.6 and 15.1 Hz), 3.48-3.65(m, 4H), 6.96(dd, 1H, J 1.1 and 8.3 Hz), 7.21(tr, 1H, J 8.3 Hz), 7.30-7.48(m, 6H), 7.86(d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz) and 9.58(s, br, 1H, NH); δ_{C} (d⁶ DMSO) 17.52, 20.79, 21.87, 23.35, 115.49, 118.21, 123.49, 126.59, 128.29, 128.86, 129.83, 137.59, 143.82, 160.07 and 178.46; m/z M⁺³⁴⁴(100%), 196(98), 178(76), 167(28), 151(18), 115(12) and 77(16). Accurate mass: 344.11654, C21H16N2O3 requires 344.11609.

trans-8,9-Biscarboethoxy-6-methoxy-7,8,9,10-tetrahydrophenanthrene (48)

A mixture of sulfolene **45** (0.13 g, 0.52 mmol) and diethyl fumarate (0.11 g, 0.63 mmol) were heated at reflux in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (2 ml) for 3 hours. After cooling the solvent was removed via bulb-to-bulb distillation at reduced pressure and the crude residue purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20% ethyl acetate in petroleum as eluant). Pure **48** (0.12 g, 64%) was obtained as a colourless solid, m.p. 201-203°C. v_{max} (nujol) 1734(C=O) and 1612(C=N) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl3) 1.22(tr, 3H, J 7.15 Hz), 1.23(tr, 3H, J 7.15 Hz), 2.60(dd, 1H, J 4.4 and 16.5 Hz), 2.82-2.98(m, 3H), 3.12(dd, 1H, J 8.8 and 16.5 Hz), 3.37(dd, 1H, J 4.2 and 16.5 Hz), 3.97(s, 3H, OMe), 4.13(q, 2H, J 7.15 Hz), 4.15(q, 2H, J 7.15 Hz), 7.26(dtr, 1H, J 1.1 and 8.25 Hz), 7.45(dtr, 1H, J 1.1 and 8.25Hz), 7.61(d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz) and 7.71(d, 1H, J 8.3 Hz); m/z M+357(56%), 312(28), 283(84), 210(100), 195(62), 178(63), 167(32), 152(22) and 77(6). Accurate mass: 357.15773, C20H23NO5 requires 357.15762.

3-Carbomethoxy-4-(2-N,N-diethylcarbamoyloxyphenyl)-2,5-dihydrothiophene (50)

Pd(PPh3)4 (3 mole%) was added to triflate 41 (1.0 g, 3.4 mmol) in toluene (80 ml). Boronic acid 49 ¹⁴ (0.89 g, 3.8 mmol) was dissolved in the minimum volume of ethanol and added to this solution along with 2M aqueous sodium carbonate (4 ml). The resulting mixture was boiled under reflux under an atmosphere of nitrogen for 2 hours. Removal of the solvent *in vacuo* and purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30% ethyl acetate in petroleum as eluant) yielded pure 50 (0.76 g, 66%) as a yellow oil. $v_{max}(nujol)$ 1725(C=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl3) 1.19(tr, 6H, J 7.15 Hz), 3.34(q, 4H, J 7.15 Hz), 3.55(s, 3H, OMe), 4.12(s, 4H), 7.14-7.25(m, 3H) and 7.29-7.83(m, 1H); m/z M+335(3%), 303(15), 203(24), 115(46), 100(100), 72(77) and 44(13). Accurate mass: 335.11911, C17H21NO4S requires 335.11911.

1,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-c]chromen-4-one

To the cross coupling product **50** (1.0 g, 3.0 mmol) dissolved in THF (15 ml) was added 2M sodium hydroxide solution (10 ml) and the mixture heated under reflux overnight. On cooling the reaction mixture was neutralised with dilute HCl and the solids removed by filtration and washed with copious quantities of

ethyl acetate. The organic phase was dried (MgSO4) and evaporated to give the pure coumarin sulfide (0.35 g, 57%) as a yellow solid, m.p. 176-178°C. (Found: C, 64.48; H, 3.91. C11H8O2S requires C, 64.69 and H, 3.95%); ν_{max} (nujol) 1714(C=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (CDCl3) 4.22(tr, 2H, J 3.3 Hz), 4.46(tr, 2H, J 3.3 Hz), 7.30(dd, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.7 Hz), 7.40(tr, 1H, J 7.7 Hz), 7.42(d, 1H, J 7.7 Hz) and 7.56(dtr, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.7 Hz); m/z M+204(100%), 176(25), 159(20), 147(36), 131(49), 115(10) and 102(13). Accurate mass: 204.02411, C11H8O2S requires 204.02451.

1,3-Dihydrothieno[3,4-c]chromen-4-one 2,2-dioxide (51)

To a mixture of the coumarin sulfide (0.3 g, 1.5 mmol) in methanol (20 ml) was added dropwise a solution of *m*CPBA (0.66 g, 3.1 mmol) in methanol (15 ml) and the resulting mixture stirred at room temperature overnight. Removal of the solid product by filtration and washing with methanol yielded pure **51** (0.26 g, 75%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 197-199°C. (Found: C, 56.01; H, 3.41. C11H8NO4S requires C, 55.92 and H, 3.41%); ν_{max} (nujol) 1729(C=O), 1305(S=O) and 1135(S=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (d⁶ DMSO) 4.35(s, 2H), 4.89(s, 2H), 7.35(dd, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.15 Hz), 7.41(tr, 1H, J 7.15 Hz), 7.60(tr, 1H, J 7.15 Hz) and 7.66(dd, 1H, J 1.1 and 7.15 Hz); m/z M⁺236(6%), 172(100), 144(39), 115(47), 89(12) and 64(17). Accurate mass: 236.01396, C11H8O4S requires 236.01434.

2-Phenyl-1,3,3a,4,11,11a-hexahydrochromeno[3,4-f]isoindol-1,3,10-trione (52)

A mixture of sulfolene **51** (0.14 g, 0.6 mmol) and N-phenylmaleimide (0.12 g, 0.7 mmol) were heated under nitrogen at 180°C in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene (2 ml) for 1 hour. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool and the solvent removed via bulb-to-bulb distillation at reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 2% methanol in dichloromethane as eluant) to yield pure **52** (0.16 g, 78%) as a yellow solid, m.p. 226-227°C. v_{max} (nujol) 1705(C=O) cm⁻¹; δ_{H} (d⁶ DMSO) 2.00(dd, 1H, J 7.7 and 15.9 Hz), 2.20(dd, 1H, J 7.7 and 15.9 Hz), 2.41(dd, 1H, J 3.8 and 15.9 Hz), 2.61-2.87(m, 3H), 6.21-6.27(m, 2H), 6.45-6.60(m, 5H), 6.73(dtr, 1H, J 1.65 and 8.3 Hz) and 7.00(dd, 1H, J 1.65 and 8.3 Hz); m/z M⁺345(100%), 197(70), 169(9), 153(13), 115(28) and 91(12). Accurate mass: 345.09986, C21H15NO4 requires 345.10010.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank EPSRC for support and Dr. P.M. O'Neill (University of Liverpool) for helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

- 1. Preliminary communication: White, L.A.; O'Neill, P.M.; Park, B.K.; Storr, R.C. Tetrahedron Lett., **1995**, *36*, 5983.
- For leading references see: Chou, T-S. Reviews on Heteroatom Chem., 1993, 8, 65; Chaloner, L.M.; Crew, A.P.A.; O'Neill, P.M.; Storr, R.C.; Yelland, M. Tetrahedron, 1992, 48, 8101; and Chou, T-S.; Chang, R-C. J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 493.
- 3. Jones, G. The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds, Acridines, Interscience, 1973, 9.

- 4 Ellis, G.P. The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds, Chromenes, Chromanones and Chromones, Interscience, 1976, 881.
- 5. MacDowell, D.N.H.; Jeffries, A.T.; Meyers, M.B. J.Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 1416; this procedure was improved by the use of biphenyl as solvent.
- 6. Woodward, R.B.; Eastman, R.H. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1946, 68, 2229.
- 7. Chou, T-S.; Ko, C.W. Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 10721.
- 8. Hromatka, O.; Binder, D.; Eichinger, K. Monatshefte, 1974, 105, 1164.
- 9. Buiter, F.A.; Weiland, J.H.S.; Wynberg, H. Rec. Trav. Chim., 1964, 83, 1160.
- 10. A 3,4-dimethylenequinolin-2-one derivative has been reported previously by ring opening of a quinolone fused cyclobutene: Kaneko, C.; Naito, T.; Ito, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.*,**1980**, 20, 1645.
- 11. Kant, J. J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 2296.
- 12. Guillier, F.; Nivoliers, F.; Godard, A.; Marsais, F.; Quéguiner, G.; Siddiqui, M.A.; Snieckus, V. J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 292.
- 13. Lustig, E.; Benson, W.R.; Duy, N. J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 851.
- 14. Sharp, M.J.; Cheng, W.; Snieckus, V. Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 5093; Cheng, W.; Snieckus, V. Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 5097.

(Received 2 October 1995; accepted 29 November 1995)