Unique structural isomerism involving tetrazole and amide/azide derivatives of gallium

Ned J. Hardman and Philip P. Power*

Department of Chemistry, University of California, Davis, One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA, 95616, USA. E-mail: pppower@ucdavis.edu

Received (in Irvine, CA, USA) 1st January 2001, Accepted 3rd May 2001 First published as an Advance Article on the web 13th June 2001

The reaction between {HC(MeCDippN)₂}Ga: (Dipp = $C_6H_3Pr_2^i$ -2,6) and N_3SiMe_3 afforded the tetrazole {HC(MeC-DippN)₂}GaN(SiMe_3)NNN(SiMe_3) 1 and its amide/azide isomer {HC(MeCDippN)₂}Ga(N_3)N(SiMe_3)₂ 2 whose stabilities are due to the unique steric properties of the [HC(MeC-DippN)₂]⁻ ligand.

Recent investigations of derivatives of the sterically encumbered β -diketiminate ligand [HC(MeCDippN)₂] - (Dipp = $C_6H_3Pr_2^i$ -2,6) have shown that it can stabilize a wide variety of species with unusual coordination numbers and bonding throughout the Periodic Table.1 In particular, its use in connection with low-valent heavier group 13 elements has resulted in the isolation of the monomeric, two-coordinate M(I) species $\{HC(MeCDippN)_2\}M$: $(M = Al^2 \text{ or } Ga^3)$ which contain a stereochemically active lone pair of electrons at the metals. Previous work on less hindered, weakly associated M(1) species such as Cp*M ($Cp* = C_5Me_5$; $M = Al^4$ or Ga^5) has shown that they can react with azides to give dimeric imides such as Cp*AlN(AlCp*2)Al{N(SiMe3)2}N{Al(Cp*)N(SiMe3)2}⁶ $\{Cp*GaN(C_6H_3Me_2-2,6)\}_2^7$ under elimination of N₂. We reasoned that the more crowded Ga(1) species {HC(MeC-DippN)₂}Ga: might react with the simple azide N₃SiMe₃ to afford a monomeric imide {HC(MeCDippN)₂}Ga=NSiMe₃ which could have a GaN multiple bond. We now report that, although unassociated products were obtained from this reaction, neither of these involved a terminal gallium imide moiety. Instead, the isomers 1 and 2, involving the reaction of 2 equivalents of N₃SiMe₃ with {HC(MeCDippN)₂}Ga:, were isolated and characterized.

The reaction of $\{HC(MeCDippN)_2\}Ga: with N_3SiMe_3 afforded the products 1 and 2 in accordance with Scheme 1.† It is probable that the species <math>\{HC(MeCDippN)_2\}GaNSiMe_3$ is generated initially, and this is prevented from dimerizing to give $[\{HC(MeCDippN)_2\}GaNSiMe_3]_2$ for steric reasons. Instead, $\{HC(MeCDippN)_2\}GaNSiMe_3$ reacts with a further equivalent of N_3SiMe_3 to give the tetrazole 1‡ and the amide/azide product 2. Solution ¹H NMR spectroscopy of the reaction mixture shows that both 1 and 2 as well as unreacted $\{HC(MeC-DipPN)_2\}Ga: and N_3SiMe_3 are detectable after stirring for 30$



Scheme 1 Reactions of $\{HC(MeCDippN)_2\}Ga; (Dipp = C_6H_3Pr_2^i-2,6)$ with N_3SiMe_3 to give 1 or 2.

Compounds 1 and 2 were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, by C,H,N analysis and by X-ray crystallography.¶ The structure of the tetrazole 1 (Fig. 1) features GaN₄ and GaN_2C_3 rings that are fused at gallium. The metal has distorted tetrahedral geometry and the Ga-N bonds [av. 1.947(2) Å] to the β -diketiminate nitrogens N(1) and N(2) are significantly longer than the average distance of 1.875(16) Å to the tetrazole nitrogens. The longer pair of Ga-N distances is consistent with equal components of normal and dative character in these bonds.8 These Ga-N bond lengths also resemble those observed in other Ga(III) β-ketiminate species.9 The shorter Ga-N distances involving the tetrazole nitrogens are in agreement with known values for bonding between fourcoordinate gallium and terminal amide groups.¹⁰ The gallium tetrazole ring is essentially planar, and the N(4)-N(5) distance of 1.265(3) Å is consistent with NN double bonding. In contrast, the gallium β -diketiminate ring is folded along the N(1)...N(2) axis such that Ga(1) lies ca. 0.34 Å from the averaged N_2C_3 plane. This structural feature is common to many bulky β diketiminate derivatives.¹ The folding of the ring results in different magnetic environments for the two SiMe3 groups (as well as slightly different bond lengths for Ga-N(3) and Ga-N(6)) which is manifested in different ¹H NMR chemical shifts for the SiMe₃ resonances.



Fig. 1 Thermal ellipsoid (30%) plot of 1 with H atoms not shown. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ga(1)-N(1) 1.945(2), Ga(1)-N(2) 1.949(2), Ga(1)-N(3) 1.858(2), Ga(1)-N(6) 1.891(2), N(3)-N(4) 1.402(3), N(4)-N(5) 1.265(3), N(5)-N(6) 1.406(2), Si(1)-N(3) 1.737(2), Si(2)-N(6) 1.750(2); N(1)-Ga(1)-N(2) 95.40(8), N(3)-Ga(1)-N(6) 85.74(7), N(1)-Ga(1)-N(6) 117.49(8), N(2)-Ga(1)-N(6) 115.64(8), N(1)-Ga(1)-N(3) 126.41(8), N(2)-Ga(1)-N(3) 118.11(8).



Fig. 2 Thermal ellipsoid (30%) plot of 2 with H atoms not shown. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles (°): Ga(1)-N(1) 1.946(1), Ga(1)-N(2) 1.958(1), Ga(1)-N(3) 1.884(1), Ga(1)-N(4) 1.918(1), Si(1)-N(3) 1.746(1), Si(2)-N(3) 1.751(1); N(1)-Ga(1)-N(2) 98.28(5), N(3)-Ga(1)-N(4) 111.82(6), N(1)-Ga(1)-N(3) 122.45(5), N(2)-Ga(1)-N(3) 113.23(5), Ga(1)-N(3)-Si(1) 123.39(7), Ga(1)-N(3)-Si(2) 115.32(7).

The structure of amide/azide compound 2 (Fig. 2) also features gallium bound to four nitrogens in a distorted tetrahedral fashion. The Ga-N(β -diketiminate) bonds [av. 1.952(7) Å] have very similar lengths to the corresponding bonds in 1. The β -diketiminate ring is folded along the $N(1) \cdots N(2)$ axis such that Ga(I) lies *ca.* 0.21 Å from the averaged N_2C_3 plane. The Ga-N(SiMe₃)₂ bond length [1.884(1)] Å] is close to the 1.872(2) Å reported for $\{Cp^*\}(Me_3 Si_2N$ $Ga(\mu-N_3)$ $_2$.⁷ The Ga–N(azide) bond length, 1.918(3) Å, is essentially the same as the 1.921(4) Å observed in a bulky aryl-substituted bis(azide) of gallium.¹¹ Within the N_3 moiety, the N-N distances are similar to those previously observed in gallium azides.¹² Like 1, compound 2 displays two different resonances for the SiMe3 peaks-probably due to restricted rotation of the amide moiety around the Ga-N bond as a result of steric effects. Rotational barriers as high as 18.6 kcal mol⁻¹ have been observed for group 13 metal-nitrogen bonds in sterically congested systems.¹³ Variable temperature ¹H NMR studies of 1 or 2 in toluene did not result in the collapse of the SiMe₃ signals to a single resonance.

The isolation of tetrazole–amide/azide isomers appears to be unique.||¹⁴ Their stability can be rationalized on the basis of the size of the [HC(MeCDippN)₂]⁻ ligand which prevents dimerization of the intermediate {HC(MeCDippN)₂}GaNSiMe₃, but allows reaction with a further equivalent of the less hindered N₃SiMe₃. Owing to the multipolar nature of the NNNSi array, this reaction proceeds by two distinct pathways to afford **1** and **2**.

We thank the National Science Foundation for Financial Support.

Notes and references

† All manipulations were carried out under anaerobic and anhydrous conditions. A toluene solution (50 mL) of N₃SiMe₃ (0.38 mL, 2.9 mmol) was added dropwise to a rapidly stirred solution of {HC(MeCNDipp)₂}Ga: (0.66 g, 1.41 mmol) in toluene (20 mL), with cooling in an ice-bath. The solution was allowed to rise to room temperature and was then heated to *ca*. 75 °C for 1 h. The solution was concentrated to *ca*. 20 mL and cooled for 24 h in a *ca*. 4 °C refrigerator to afford colorless crystals of the product 1 (0.18 g, 19%). Anal. Calc. (found) for C₃₅H₅₉N₆GaSi₂: C, 60.94 (61.11), H, 8.62 (8.81), N, 12.25 (12.01)%. Mp 217–220 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 7.06–7.04 (m, 6H, aromatic H of Ar group), 4.89 (s, 1H, methine CH), 3.30 (sept, ³J_{HH} = 6.6 Hz, 2H, *CHM*e), 3.20 (sept, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz, 2H, *CHM*e), 1.50 (s, 6H, CHMe), 1.32 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz, 6H, CHMe₂), 1.14 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz, 6H, CHMe₂), 0.54–0.12 [s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃]: ¹³C{ ¹H} (75 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 172.81 (CN), 145.48 (*C*Me) 142.76, 140.50 (o-C on C₆H₃), 127.54 (*p*-C on

C₆H₃), 125.52, 124.26 (*m*-C on C₆H₃), 99.94 (γ-C), 29.10, (CHMe₂), 28.92 (CHMe₂), 25.30, 25.25 (CHMe₂) 24.37 (CMe) 1.93, 0.99 [Si(CH₃)₃]. The isomeric product **2** was obtained by decanting the supernatant liquid from **1** and cooling in a *ca*. -20 °C freezer for 48 h to afford colorless crystals of **2** (0.52 g, 54%). Mp 161–163 °C. ¹H NMR (300 MHz C₆D₆) δ 7.15 (s, 6H, aromatic H or Ar groups), 4.83 (s, 1H, methine CH), 3.60 (sept, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz, 2H, CHMe), 3.29 (sept, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz, 2H, CHMe), 1.48 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz, 6H, CHMe₂), 1.44 (d, ³J_{HH} 6.6 Hz, 6H, CHMe₂), 1.40 (s, 6H, CHMe₂), 0.23, 0.41 [s, 9H, Si(CH₃)₃]: ¹³C{¹H} (75 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 171.39 (CN), 145.11 (CMe) 144.25, 141.01 (*o*-C on C₆H₃), 128.08 (*p*-C on C₆H₃), 125.58, 124.92 (*m*-C), 25.25 (CMe), 25.09, 24.82 (CHMe₂), 5.90, 5.78 [Si(CH₃)₃].

 $^{+}$ Professor H. W. Roesky has informed us that a similar reaction involving $\{HC(MeCDippN)_2\}Al$: and N_3SiMe_3 affords the aluminium analog of 1. The contrasting behavior of the gallium system, with its preference for the amide/azide over the tetrazole product, is another illustration of the differences between aluminium and gallium chemistry.

Attempts at thermal interconversion of 1 and 2 have so far been unsuccessful.

¶ *Crystal data* for **1** and **2** at 90 K with Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å): **1**: C₃₅H₅₉GaN₆Si₂, M = 689.78, colorless parallelepiped, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, a = 12.3462(4), b = 21.9781(7), c = 14.0957(4) Å, $\beta = 91.064(1)^\circ$, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.198$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 0.813$ mm⁻¹, R1 = 0.0454 for 4934 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] data.

2: $C_{35}H_{59}Ga_2N_6Si_2$, M = 689.78, colorless parallelepiped, orthorhombic, space group *Pbcn*, a = 20.1655(8), b = 17.8134(7), c = 21.546(9) Å, Z = 8, $D_c = 1.200$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 0.815$ mm⁻¹, R1 = 0.0354 for 9374 [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] data. CCDC 156697 and 156698. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b1/ b100466m/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format. Non-isometic amide/azide and tetrazole derivatives of germanium have

 $\|$ Non-isomeric amide/azide and tetrazole derivatives of germanium have been obtained by reaction of Ge(II) species with azides that have different substituents. See ref. 14.

- 1 For example: J. Feldman, S. J. McLain, A. Parthasarathy, W. J. Marshall, J. C. Calabrese and S. D. Arthur, Organometallics, 1997, 16, 1514; M. F. Lappert and D.-S. Liu, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 500, 203; B. Qian, D. L. Ward and M. R. Smith, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 3070; V. C. Gibson, P. J. Maddox, C. Newton, C. Redshaw, G. A. Solar, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, Chem. Commun., 1998, 651; P. H. M. Budzelaar, R. de Gelder and A. W. Gal, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 4121; W.-K. Kim, M. J. Fevola, L. M. Liable-Sands, A. L. Rheingold and K. H. Theopold, Organometallics, 1998, 17, 4541; M. Chen, E. B. Lobkovsky and G. W. Coates, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 11018; L. W. M. Lee, W. E. Piers, M. R. J. Elsegood, W. Clegg and M. Parvez, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 2947; B. Qian, W. J. Scanlon, M. R. Smith, III and D. H. Motry, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 1693; C. E. Radzewich, I. A. Guzei and R. F. Jordan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 8673; B. Qian, S. W. Bach and M. R. Smith, Polyhedron, 1999, 18, 2405; P. L. Holland and W. B. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 7270; P. L. Holland and W. B. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 6331; C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, H. Hao, H.-G. Schmidt and M. Noltemeyer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 1815.
- 2 C. Cui, H. W. Roesky, H.-G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, H. Hao and F. Cimpoesu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2000, 39, 4274.
- 3 N. J. Hardman, B. E. Eichler and P. P. Power, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 1911.
- 4 C. Dohmeier, C. Robl, M. Tacke and H. Schnöckel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1991, **30**, 564.
- 5 D. Loos and H. Schnöckel, J. Organomet. Chem., 1993, 463, 37.
- 6 S. Schulz, L. Häming, R. Herbst-Imer, H. W. Roesky and G. M. Sheldrick, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 969.
- 7 P. Jutzi, B. Neumann, G. Reumann and H.-G. Stammler, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 2037.
- 8 A. Haaland, in *Coordination Chemistry of Aluminum*, ed. G. H. Robinson, VCH, New York, 1993.
- 9 M. Stender, B. E. Eichler, N. J. Hardman, P. P. Power, P. Prüst, M. Noltemeyer and H. W. Roesky, *Inorg. Chem.*, in press.
- 10 K. M. Waggoner, M. M. Olmstead and P. P. Power, *Polyhedron*, 1990, 9, 257.
- 11 A. H. Cowley, F. P. Gabbaï, F. Olbrich, S. Corbelin and R. J. Lagow, J. Organomet. Chem., 1995, 487, C5.
- 12 C. J. Carmalt, A. H. Cowley, R. D. Culp and R. A. Jones, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 1453.
- 13 R. J. Wehmschulte and P. P. Power, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 2106.
- 14 J. Pfeiffer, W. Maringgelle, M. Noltemeyer and A. Meller, *Chem. Ber.*, 1989, **122**, 245.