

Note

Synthesis of some 1,2-bis(acetylphenylhydrazone) derivatives

LÁSZLÓ SOMOGYI

Research Group for Chemistry of Antibiotics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-4010 Debrecen (Hungary)

(Received May 14th, 1985; accepted for publication, July 3rd, 1985)

Chelated hydrazone derivatives (1-acetylphenylhydrazone-2-phenylhydrazones, and α -oxophenylhydrazones) are resistant to acetylation with acetyl chloride–pyridine (or *N,N*-dimethylaniline) or hot acetic anhydride but, on treatment with acetic anhydride–trifluoroacetic acid or acetic anhydride–zinc chloride, they give¹ 1,2-bis(acetylphenylhydrazones) and α -oxoacetylphenylhydrazones, respectively.

Analogous syntheses and the characterisation of L-erythro- (**4a**) and D-threo- (tri-*O*-acetyl)pentosulose 1,2-bis(acetylphenylhydrazone) (**4b**), D-arabino- (**4c**) and L-xyl- (tetra-*O*-acetyl)hexosulose 1,2-bis(acetylphenylhydrazone) (**4d**), and benzil bis(acetylphenylhydrazone) (**7**) are now reported (Tables I and II).

	R ¹	R ²	R ³			
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{CH}=\text{N}-\text{N}(\text{R}^2)-\text{Ph} \\ \\ \text{C}=\text{N}-\text{N}(\text{R}^3)-\text{Ph} \\ \\ (\text{CHOR}^1)_n \\ \\ \text{CH}_2\text{OR}^1 \end{array} $	1	H	H	H	a	L-erythro (n = 2)
	2	Ac	H	H	b	D-threo (n = 2)
	3	Ac	Ac	H	c	D-arabino (n = 3)
	4	Ac	Ac	Ac	d	L-xyl- (n = 3)
					e	D-lyxo (n = 3)

	R ¹	R ²
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Ph} \quad \text{R}^1 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{C}=\text{N}-\text{N}-\text{Ph} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{Ph} \quad \text{R}^2 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \text{C}=\text{N}-\text{N}-\text{Ph} \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ \text{Ph} \quad \text{R}^2 \end{array} $	5	H
	6	Ac
	7	Ac

EXPERIMENTAL

General methods. — Melting points (uncorrected) were determined on a

TABLE I

PREPARATION AND PHYSICAL DATA OF **2a**, **d**, **3a**, **4a-d**, AND **7**

Product	Starting material	Method of acetylation	Yield (%) [crude (pure)]	M.p. (deg.) (solvent of recrystn.)	$[\alpha]_D^{25}$ ^a (deg.)	Formula	Analysis: found (calc.)
2a	1a ^{2,3}	Ac ₂ O-Py ^{b,c}	94 (70)	137 ^d (EtOH-H ₂ O)	+8.5 ^d	C ₂₃ H ₃₆ N ₄ O ₆	N, 12.32 (12.33)
2d	1d ²	Ac ₂ O-Py ^{b,c}	95 (81)	80-82 ^e (EtOH-hexane)	-80 ^e	C ₂₆ H ₃₄ N ₄ O ₈	C, 59.80 (59.30) H, 5.86 (5.74) N, 10.56 (10.64) C, 60.47 (60.47)
3a	2a	AcCl-Me ₂ NPh ^g	85 (78)	121 (EtOH)	-17	C ₂₃ H ₂₈ N ₄ O ₇	H, 5.50 (5.68) N, 11.53 (11.29) N, 10.45 (10.40) <i>m/z</i> 539 (M ⁺ ; 538.54)
4a	3a	Ac ₂ O-TFA ^{g,h}	(52)	amorphous	-22	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ N ₄ O ₈	C, 60.53 (60.21) H, 5.65 (5.62) N, 10.23 (10.40) <i>m/z</i> 538 (M ⁺ ; 538.54)
4b	2b ⁵	Ac ₂ O-TFA ^{g,h} (b)	(39)	amorphous	+53	C ₂₇ H ₃₀ N ₄ O ₈	C, 58.86 (59.01) H, 5.66 (5.61) N, 9.22 (9.18) <i>m/z</i> 610 70 (M ⁺ ; 610.60)
4c	2c ^{6,7}	Ac ₂ O-TFA ^{g,h} (b)	(36)	amorphous	+72.5	C ₃₀ H ₃₄ N ₄ O ₁₀	N, 8.98 (9.18) <i>m/z</i> 611 (M ⁺ ; 610.60)
4d	2d	Ac ₂ O-TFA ^{g,h} (b)	(41)	70-74 (MeOH)	+38	C ₃₀ H ₃₄ N ₄ O ₁₀	
	2d	Ac ₂ O-ZnCl ₂ ^{g,h} (a)	(30)	75-76 (MeOH)	+37		
7	5 ⁸	Ac ₂ O-ZnCl ₂ ^g (a)	86 (62)	182-183 (EtOAc)	—	C ₃₀ H ₂₆ N ₄ O ₂	C, 75.19 (75.93) H, 5.38 (5.52) N, 11.45 (11.81)
	6 ⁹	Ac ₂ O-ZnCl ₂ ^g (a)	94 (45)	178 (EtOAc)	—		

^aChloroform (c 1). ^bAccording to the known method⁴. ^cThe reaction mixture was poured into ice and water, and a solution of the precipitated gum in benzene was washed successively with aqueous KHCO₃ and water, dried (MgSO₄), and then concentrated. The residue was crystallized from the solvents given. ^dD-Enantiomer⁵. ^eamorphous, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -21° (c 0.5, chloroform). ^fLit⁴: amorphous, "m.p." 75-80°, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -70° (c 0.3, chloroform). ^gTrifluoroacetic acid. ^hSee ref. 1. ^hAfter column chromatography on Kieselgel 40 (Merck) using 95:5 chloroform-acetone, the product was crystallized from the solvent given, or a solution in acetone was concentrated to dryness *in vacuo*.

TABLE II

UV, I.R. AND ¹H NMR DATA FOR **2a**, **2d**, **3a**, **4a-e**, AND **7**

Compound	$\lambda_{\text{MeOH}}^{\text{max}}$	$\lambda_{\text{MeOH}}^{\text{min}}$	$\nu_{\text{KBr}}^{\text{max}}$ (cm ⁻¹)	δ (p.p.m.)							
	[η (log ϵ)]	NH	$\nu_{\text{C=O}}$	$\nu_{\text{C=N}}$	NH	H-1	H-3 (J _{3,4} Hz)	H-4 (J _{4,5} Hz)	H-5	H-6	CH ₃ CO
2a			3299	1745	—	12.34s	7.52s	5.75–5.60m ^a	4.56–4.32m ^a		2.13, 2.10 2.04
2d			3307	1754	—						
3a				1745 ^c 1740	1695	12.28s	^b	5.51m ^a	4.40–4.14m ^a		2.63, 2.03 1.94, 1.88 2.48, 2.21 2.19, 2.10 2.08
4a	234 (3.98) 286 (4.13)	254 (3.97)				6.62s	6.19d (~5)	5.67m	4.63–4.28m ^a		2.50, 2.18 2.15, 2.07 2.06
4b	240 (3.99) 284 (4.12)	254 (3.96)				6.56s	6.17d (4.5)	5.80–5.72m	4.49–4.15m ^a		
4c	236 (4.03) 285 (4.17)	256 (4.00)	1746	1700		6.51s	6.17d (2.5)	5.78dd (10)	5.45–5.37m	4.40–4.21m ^a	2.55, 2.20 2.15, 2.11 2.07, 2.05
4d	236 (4.05) 287 (4.17)	258 (4.01)	1756 1750	1703		6.50s	6.28d (6)	5.90dd (4.5)	5.49–5.41m	4.45–3.97m ^a	2.52, 2.23 2.17, 2.11 2.10, 2.06
4e^d	237 (4.08) ^e 286 (4.26) 320 (3.97) ^e	255 (4.08) 310 (4.04) ^e	1748 1733	1702 1695		6.58s	6.20d (9)	5.80dd (2)	5.57mc	4.38–3.96m ^a	2.57, 2.18 2.12 (6 H) 2.06, 2.02 1.94 (6 H)
7	255 (4.11) 273 (4.01) ^e 325 (3.89) ^e	240 (4.09) 295 (3.97) ^e	—	1702 1699 1693							

^a2 H. ^bAmong the signals for Ar-H. ^cShoulder. ^dRef. 1.

Kofler block. U.v. spectra were recorded (for solutions in methanol) with a Unicam SP 800 spectrophotometer, i.r. spectra (KBr discs) with a Perkin-Elmer 283 B spectrophotometer, and 200-MHz ^1H -n.m.r. spectra (for solutions in CDCl_3 , internal Me_4Si) with a Bruker WP SY spectrometer. Mass spectra (70 eV) were obtained using a VG-7035 GC/MS/DS instrument (ion current, 0.1 mA; direct insertion technique). Optical rotations were measured with a Schmidt and Haensch visual polarimeter (1-dm path-length). Solution were concentrated *in vacuo* at $\geq 45^\circ$ (bath).

*Methods of acetylation*¹. — (a) The starting material (2–5 mmol) was stirred with acetic anhydride (10 mL) containing anhydrous zinc chloride (1 g) until dissolution was complete. The solution was kept for 16–48 h at room temperature, and then (for the more-soluble sugar derivatives, after concentration) poured into ice and water. A solution of the crude product in chloroform was treated with fuller's earth and activated carbon, and then concentrated. The residue was crystallised from the solvents given (Table I).

(b) A solution of the starting material (~ 1 mmol) in acetic anhydride (10 mL) and trifluoroacetic acid (0.9 mL) was kept for 24 h at room temperature, then concentrated, and poured into ice and water. After the addition of sodium hydrogencarbonate, the product was dissolved in chloroform and processed as described in (a).

REFERENCES

- 1 L. SOMOGYI, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 142 (1985) 315–320.
- 2 N. K. RICHTMYER, *Methods Carbohydr. Chem.*, 2 (1963) 127–131.
- 3 P. A. LEVENE AND F. B. LAFORGE, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 20 (1915) 429.
- 4 E. G. V. PERCIVAL, *J. Chem. Soc.*, (1938) 1384–1386.
- 5 H. EL KHADEM, Z. M. EL-SHAFEI AND M. M. A. ABDEL-RAHMAN, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1 (1965) 31–37.
- 6 K. MAURER AND B. SCHIEDT, *Ber.*, 68 (1935) 2187–2191.
- 7 M. L. WOLFROM, M. KONIGSBERG, AND S. SOLTZBERG, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 58 (1936) 490–491.
- 8 A. PURGOTTI, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 22 II (1892) 611; *Beilstein*, Vol. 15, p. 174.
- 9 H. EL KHADEM, Z. M. EL-SHAFEI, AND M. M. HASHEM, *J. Chem. Soc., C*, (1968) 949–951