## The First Example of a $d^3$ - $d^3$ Dinuclear Compound containing Four-co-ordinate Metal Atoms sharing a Pair of Bridging Ligands: [(Bu<sup>t</sup>O)<sub>2</sub>W(µ-PPh<sub>2</sub>)]<sub>2</sub>

William E. Buhro, Malcolm H. Chisholm,\* Kirsten Folting, Bryan W. Eichhorn, and John C. Huffman Department of Chemistry and Molecular Structure Center, Indiana University, Bloomington, IN 47405, U.S.A.

 $(p-Tolyl)(ButO)_2W\equiv W(OBut)_2(p-tolyl)$  and Ph<sub>2</sub>PH (2 equiv.) react in hydrocarbon solvents to give toluene (2 equiv.) and  $[(ButO)_2W(\mu-PPh_2)]_2$  which in the solid state contains a puckered  $W_2P_2$  moiety, W–W 2.59 Å; in solution the  $W_2(\mu-PPh_2)_2$  moiety is undergoing rapid inversion on the n.m.r. time-scale.

Since Wilkinson's<sup>1</sup> discovery of the unbridged compounds  $M_2(CH_2SiMe_3)_6$ , where M = Mo and W, numerous other examples of ethane-like molecules of formula  $X_3M\equiv MX_3$ , (I), or  $X_2(Y)M\equiv M(Y)X_2$ , (II), have been prepared and characterized and the chemistry of the M-M triple bond of configuration  $\sigma^2\pi^4$  has been systematically developed.<sup>2,3</sup> Rather interestingly, not one example of the alternate structure (III), where the metal atoms are four-co-ordinate and share a pair of bridging ligands, has yet been reported. The structural type (III), is, of course, the more common in co-ordination chemistry where dimerization of two co-ordinatively unsaturated MX<sub>3</sub> groups occurs by bridge formation *e.g.* as in Al<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>.

The preference for structural types (I) and (II), for Mo and W, reflects the importance of M-M bonding. In (III), the fusing of two tetrahedra along a common edge can at best lead

to a M–M bond of configuration  $\sigma^2 \pi^2 \delta^2$  which, taken together with an increased M–M separation as a result of the  $\mu$ -X groups, will result in a significant sacrifice of M–M bond strength. The situation is also influenced by the  $\pi$ -donor properties of the ligands X and Y. In (I) and (II),  $\pi$ -donation to the metal atoms can occur without weakening the M–M bond whereas in (III)  $\pi$ -donation from the terminal ligands will further weaken the M–M bond by interaction with metal d orbitals otherwise used for M–M bonding.

Formation of a  $d^3$ - $d^3$  dinuclear compound of type (III) requires the introduction of at least two ligands Y that have a high thermodynamic preference for bridging two metal atoms. They should also be significantly weaker  $\pi$ -donor ligands than the groups X. The compounds of formula  $M_2(PR_2)_2X_4$  where M = Mo or W and X = OR or NMe<sub>2</sub> seemed likely to favour structural type (IIIb) over (II). A rational synthesis of such a



compound involves the reaction between a  $M_2R'_2(OR)_4$ compound,<sup>4</sup> which is co-ordinatively unsaturated, and a dialkyl or diarylphosphine  $R_2PH$ . We report here the first success of this synthetic strategy and our characterization of a compound of type (IIIb), namely  $[(Bu'O)_2W(\mu-PPh_2)]_2$ .

Hydrocarbon solutions of  $(p\text{-tolyl})(Bu^{t}O)_2W\equiv W(OBu^{t})_2-(p\text{-tolyl})$  react with Ph<sub>2</sub>PH (2 equiv.) according to equation (1) to yield dark orange solutions from which  $[(Bu^{t}O)_2W(\mu PPh_2)]_2$  is obtained in *ca*. 60% yield as brown crystals. The

$$1,2-W_{2}(p-tolyl)_{2}(OBu^{t})_{4} + 2Ph_{2}PH \xrightarrow{22 \,^{\circ}C}_{Hexane}$$

$$[(Bu^{t}O)_{2}W(\mu-PPh_{2})]_{2} + 2 \text{ Toluene} \quad (1)$$

new compound, like its precursor tungsten complex, is air-sensitive and must be handled in dry and deoxygenated solvents and atmospheres (N<sub>2</sub>). N.m.r. spectroscopy ([<sup>2</sup>H<sub>8</sub>]toluene, 22 °C) shows only one type of Bu'O and one type of PPh<sub>2</sub>, having equivalent phenyl rings. The <sup>31</sup>P resonance possesses satellites due to coupling to two equivalent <sup>183</sup>W nuclei, and the *ipso*-carbon atoms of the phenyl rings show both one-bond (40 Hz) and three-bond (4 Hz) couplings to phosphorus. These data† support a time-averaged molecular structure (IIIb) for [(Bu'O)<sub>2</sub>W(µ-PPh<sub>2</sub>)]<sub>2</sub>, having  $C_{2\nu}$  symmetry.

A ball-and-stick drawing of the structure found in the solid state<sup>‡</sup> is shown in Figure 1. While the general prediction of



Figure 1. A ball-and-stick diagram of the  $[(Bu'O)_2W(\mu-PPh_2)]_2$ molecule viewed nearly perpendicular to the virtual  $O_4W_2$  plane showing the puckered central  $W_2P_2$  moiety: the dihedral angle between the P(3)–W(1)–W(2) and P(4)–W(1)–W(2) planes is 136.4°. Pertinent bond distances (Å) and angles (°) are W–W 2.591(2); W(1)–P(3), W(1)–P(4), W(2)–P(4) 2.36(1); W(2)–P(3) 2.33(1); W(1)–O(5) 1.95(1); W(1)–O(10) 1.86(2); W(2)–O(15) 1.84(2); W(2)– O(20) 1.95(2); P(3)–W(1)–P(4) 101.2(2); P(3)–W(1)–O(5) 92.1(4); P(4)–W(1)– O(10) 120.4(5); O(5)–W(1)–O(10) 110.4(7); P(3)–W(2)–P(4) 102.1(2); P(3)–W(2)–O(15) 119.8(5); P(3)–W(2)–O(20) 89.8(5); P(4)–W(2)–O(15) 125.0(5); P(4)–W(2)–O(20) 99.2(5); O(15)–W(2)– O(20) 113.9(7); W(1)–P(3)–W(2) 67.0(2); W(1)–P(4)–W(2) 66.6(2).

terminal alkoxides and bridging phosphido ligands is confirmed, the molecular structure is significantly distorted from (IIIb). The co-ordination about the tungsten atoms is grossly distorted from tetrahedral. The central  $W_2(\mu-P)_2$  core is puckered, not planar, and the terminal ButO ligands are of two types, those with short W-O distances, 1.85 Å and large W-O-C angles, 158°, and the others with long W-O distances, 1.96 Å and smaller W-O-C angles, 128°. The local coordination at each metal atom may be described as a trigonal bipyramidal (tbp) fragment,  $WO_2P_2X$ , where X represents a missing axial vertex. The central W<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub> unit represents two cofacial tbp WO<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>X units sharing a common pair of equatorial ligands, PPh2, and a common missing axial site X. In this way O(5) and O(20), which have the long W-O distances, occupy the axial sites *trans* to the missing vertex. Diagrammatically, this is depicted by (IV).

The W–W distance of 2.59 Å is ca. 0.3 Å longer than those in unbridged d<sup>3</sup>–d<sup>3</sup> ditungsten compounds and a simple M–M bonding description in the new phosphido-bridged compound is not viable since there must be extensive mixing of orbitals involved in M–M and M–ligand bonding. Nevertheless there must exist at least a M–M  $\sigma$  bond, which in terms of the fusing together of two tbp WO<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>X units would involve a bent M–M bond formed from overlap of d<sub>z</sub><sup>2</sup> orbitals at the  $\mu$ -X site. It seems likely that M–M bonding is responsible for the puckering of the central M<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> unit. The present W–W distance of 2.59 Å is similar to that reported for the d<sup>4</sup>–d<sup>4</sup> anion W<sub>2</sub>( $\mu$ -Pcy<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>(Pcy<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> (cy = cyclohexyl), 2.55 Å.<sup>6</sup>

In related work, we have been studying the metathetical reaction shown in equation (2). For reactions involving

<sup>†</sup> Selected n.m.r. data for  $[(Bu^{t}O)_2W(\mu-PPh_2)]_2$ : <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} (p.p.m., C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 22 °C) 212.8 [s,  $J(^{31}P^{-183}W)$  349 Hz, satellites of 25% integral intensity], <sup>1</sup>H (δ, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>, 22 °C) 1.27 (s, Bu<sup>t</sup>O);  $[(NMe_2)_2W(\mu-Pcy_2)]_2$ : <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H}(p.p.m, [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>8</sub>]toluene, 22 °C) 228.5 [s,  $J(^{31}P^{-183}W)$  305 Hz, satellites of 26% integral intensity]; <sup>1</sup>H (δ, [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>8</sub>]toluene, 22 °C) 3.41 (s, NMe<sub>2</sub>).

 $<sup>\</sup>dot{f}$  Crystal data for [(Bu<sup>t</sup>O)<sub>2</sub>W(μ-PPh<sub>2</sub>)]<sub>2</sub> at -157 °C: a = 11.062(4), b = 19.185(8), c = 21.969(2) Å,  $\beta = 114.51(2)^\circ$ , Z = 4,  $D_c = 1.614$ g cm<sup>-3</sup> and space group P2<sub>1</sub>/c. Of the 6465 reflections collected using Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  ( $\lambda$  0.71069 Å) with  $6 \leq 2\theta \leq 45^\circ$  only those 2904 having  $F > 3\sigma(F)$  were used in the full least-squares refinement. The W,O, and P atoms were refined anisotropically and the carbon atoms isotropically. H atoms were included at idealized fixed positions. Final residuals were R(F) = 0.0529 and  $R_w(F) = 0.0522$ . Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1.

anti-1,2-W<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>(NMe<sub>2</sub>)<sub>4</sub> + 2 LiPR<sub>2</sub> -

-78-0°C Tetrahydrofuran

 $1,2-W_2(PR_2)_2(NMe_2)_4$  (2)

LiPBu<sup>t</sup><sub>2</sub>, we have now characterized both *anti* and *gauche* rotamers of the compound  $W_2(PBu_2^t)_2(NMe_2)_4$ .<sup>7</sup> The terminal phosphido ligands contain non-planar W–PC<sub>2</sub> units with W–P bond distances longer than those expected for W–P  $\sigma$  +  $\pi$  double bonds. We now find that reactions employing LiPcy<sub>2</sub> lead, at low temperatures (<0 °C), to an orange crystalline compound whose n.m.r. spectroscopic properties are consistent with a structure of type (II). However, at room temperature there is a relatively slow ( $t_{\infty}$  ca. 4 h) and irreversible reaction leading to a new brown crystalline compound for which the n.m.r. spectroscopic data<sup>+</sup> are consistent with a time-averaged symmetrically-bridged structure [(Me<sub>2</sub>N)<sub>2</sub>W( $\mu$ -Pcy<sub>2</sub>)]<sub>2</sub>. Thus it seems likely that a fairly extensive class of these new d<sup>3</sup>–d<sup>3</sup> bridged compounds may be accessible for tungsten.

847

We thank the National Science Foundation and the Wrubel Computing Center for support. B. W. E. is the 1986/87 Indiana University SOHIO Graduate Fellow.

Received, 20th January 1987; Com. 074

## References

- 1 F. Huq, W. Mowat, A. Shortland, G. Wilkinson, and A. C. Skapski, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1971, 1079.
- 2 M. H. Chisholm and F. A. Cotton, Acc. Chem. Res., 1978, 11, 356.
- 3 M. H. Chisholm, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1986, 25, 21.
- 4 M. H. Chisholm, B. W. Eichhorn, K. Folting, J. C. Huffman, and R. J. Tatz, *Organometallics*, 1986, 5, 1599.
- 5 M. H. Chisholm and R. J. Tatz, Organometallics, 1986, 5, 1590.
- 6 R. T. Baker, P. J. Krusic, T. H. Tulip, J. C. Calabrese, and S. S. Wreford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 6763.
- 7 W. E. Buhro, M. H. Chisholm, K. Folting, and J. C. Huffman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 905; further results to be published later.